

# Chapter 11 Quasi-Newton Methods

- **Motivation** It takes  $\mathcal{O}(d^3)$  to calculate  $\nabla^2 f(x)^{-1}$  or solve for  $\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}_t)\Delta\mathbf{x} = -\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)$ .

## The secant method

- **Motivation**  $\frac{f(x_t) - f(x_{t-1})}{x_t - x_{t-1}} \approx f'(x_t)$ , so  $x_{t+1} := x_t - \frac{f(x_t)}{f'(x_t)} \approx x_{t+1} := x_t - f'(x_t) \frac{x_t - x_{t-1}}{f'(x_t) - f'(x_{t-1})}$
- In optimization regime, we want to find a similar matrix s.t.  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}) = H_t(\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}_{t-1})$  and so  $\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \mathbf{x}_t - H_t^{-1}\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)$ .
  - This is called *secant condition*

## Quasi-Newton methods

- **Definition** If  $H_t$  symmetric, and follows secant condition, the update method is *quasi-newton*.
- **Lemma Exercise 71**  $f \in C^2$  and  $\nabla^2 f \neq 0$ , then Newton's method is a Quasi-Newton method iff  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^\top M\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{q}^\top \mathbf{x} + c$  with  $M$  invertable symmetric.
  - **Proof**
    - Newton is quasi  $\Leftrightarrow \nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x) = \nabla^2 f(y)(y - x)$ ,  $\forall x, y$ , take derivative w.r.t  $x$ , we get  $\nabla^2 f(x) = \nabla^2 f(y)$ ,  $\forall x, y$  and this means  $f$  is a quadratic function, its invertable since every secant condition there is a solution. The other direction is straightforward.

## Greenstadt's Approach

- We already have  $H_{t-1}^{-1}, x_{t-1}, x_t$ , we need  $H_t^{-1}$ , idea is  $H_t^{-1} = H_{t-1}^{-1} + E_t$ , and we want to minimize the general change  $\|AEA^\top\|_F^2$ .
- Denote  $H := H_{t-1}^{-1}, H' := H_t^{-1}, E := E_t, \sigma := \mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{y} = \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{t-1})$ , and  $\mathbf{r} = \sigma - H\mathbf{y}$ ,
  - then the update formula is  $H' = H + E$ , such that  $H'\mathbf{y} = \sigma$  or equivalently  $E\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{r}$ ,
  - so the overall minimization is **minimize**  $\frac{1}{2}\|AEA^\top\|_F^2$ , subject to  $E\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{r}$  and  $E^\top - E = 0$ .

## Solving with Lagrange multiplier

- **Fact 11.2** If  $f(E) := \frac{1}{2}\|AEB\|_F^2$ , then  $\nabla f(E) = A^\top AEBB^\top$  if define  $\nabla f(E) = \left(\frac{\partial f(E)}{\partial E_{ij}}\right)$ .
  - **Proof**
    - By this def, we have  $\nabla_E \text{Tr}(AE)\nabla_E = \text{Tr}(E^\top A^\top) = A^\top$ , so  $f(E) := \frac{1}{2}\|AEB\|_F^2 = \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(B^\top E^\top A^\top AEB)$ , then  $\nabla f(E) = \nabla_E \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(E^\top A^\top AEBB^\top) + \nabla_E \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(BB^\top E_0^\top A^\top AE) = A^\top AEBB^\top/2 + (BB^\top E^\top A^\top A)^\top/2 = A^\top AEBB^\top$
- Denote  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d$  as the multiplier for  $d$  constraints of  $E\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{r}$ , and  $\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  as the multiplier for  $d \times d$  constraints of  $E^\top - E = 0$ .
- For each equation of  $\partial_{E_{ij}} f = \lambda^\top f_1 + \text{Tr}(\Gamma f_2)$ ,  $\lambda$  part yields a term of  $\lambda_i y_i$  and  $\Gamma$  yields a term of  $\Gamma_{ji} - \Gamma_{ij}$
- **Lemma 11.3** The above equation gives the optimal conditional of  $WE^*W = \lambda\mathbf{y}^\top + \Gamma^\top - \Gamma$ , where  $W := A^\top A$  is symmetric and positive definite.

## Solving Greenstadt family

- The minimization has now turn into three linear equations (i)  $E\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{r}$ , (ii)  $E^\top - E = 0$  and (iii)  $WEW = \lambda\mathbf{y}^\top + \Gamma^\top - \Gamma$
- To eliminate  $\Gamma$ , by plug (iii) into (ii) we get  $M(\lambda\mathbf{y}^\top - \mathbf{y}\lambda^\top + 2\Gamma^\top - 2\Gamma)M = 0$ , where  $M = W^{-1}$ , so  $\Gamma^\top - \Gamma = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y}\lambda^\top - \lambda\mathbf{y}^\top)$ 
  - then  $E = \frac{1}{2}M(\lambda\mathbf{y}^\top + \mathbf{y}\lambda^\top)M$
- Then to eliminate  $\lambda$ , we plug in the secant condition (i) we get  $\lambda = \frac{1}{\mathbf{y}^\top M\mathbf{y}}(2M^{-1}\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{y}\lambda^\top M\mathbf{y})$ 
  - multiply with  $\mathbf{y}^\top M$ , we get  $z = \lambda^\top M\mathbf{y} = \frac{\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{y}^\top M\mathbf{y}}$ , so  $\lambda = \frac{1}{\mathbf{y}^\top M\mathbf{y}}\left(2M^{-1}\mathbf{r} - \frac{(\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{r})}{\mathbf{y}^\top M\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{y}\right)$
- Plug this into  $E$ , we get  $E = \frac{1}{2}M(\lambda\mathbf{y}^\top + \mathbf{y}\lambda^\top)M = \frac{1}{\mathbf{y}^\top M\mathbf{y}}\left(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{y}^\top M + M\mathbf{y}\mathbf{r}^\top - \frac{(\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{r})}{\mathbf{y}^\top M\mathbf{y}}M\mathbf{y}\mathbf{y}^\top M\right)$ , by definition  $\mathbf{r} = \sigma - H\mathbf{y}$ , we get
  - $E^* = \frac{1}{\mathbf{y}^\top M\mathbf{y}}\left(\sigma\mathbf{y}^\top M + M\mathbf{y}\sigma^\top - H\mathbf{y}\mathbf{y}^\top M - M\mathbf{y}\mathbf{y}^\top H - \frac{1}{\mathbf{y}^\top M\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{y}^\top \sigma - \mathbf{y}^\top H\mathbf{y})M\mathbf{y}\mathbf{y}^\top M\right)$

## BFGS (Broyden, Fletcher, Goldfarb and Shanno)

- **Definition** BFGS is when  $M = H' = H_t^{-1}$ ,  $M\mathbf{y} = H'\mathbf{y} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ , even we don't know  $H'$ , but it never appears in the solution, so  $E^* = \frac{1}{\mathbf{y}^\top \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \left( -H\mathbf{y}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\top - \boldsymbol{\sigma}\mathbf{y}^\top H + \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{y}^\top H\mathbf{y}}{\mathbf{y}^\top \boldsymbol{\sigma}}\right) \boldsymbol{\sigma}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\top \right)$ , where  $H = H_{t-1}^{-1}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}_{t-1}$ ,  $\mathbf{y} = \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{t-1})$ .
  - Iteration cost is  $O(d^2)$
- **Lemma Exercise 74.1** If  $f$  convex,  $\mathbf{y}^\top \boldsymbol{\sigma} > 0$ , unless  $\mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{x}_{t-1}$  or  $f(\lambda\mathbf{x}_t + (1-\lambda)\mathbf{x}_{t-1}) = \lambda f(\mathbf{x}_t) + (1-\lambda)f(\mathbf{x}_{t-1})$  for all  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ .
  - **Proof** By property of convexity  $\mathbf{y}^\top \boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}))^\top (\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}_{t-1}) \geq 0$
- **Observation 11.6**  $H' = \left(I - \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\mathbf{y}^\top}{\mathbf{y}^\top \boldsymbol{\sigma}}\right) H \left(I - \frac{\mathbf{y}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\top}{\mathbf{y}^\top \boldsymbol{\sigma}}\right) + \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\top}{\mathbf{y}^\top \boldsymbol{\sigma}}$ 
  - **Proof**
    - $E^* + H = H + \frac{1}{\mathbf{y}^\top \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \left( -H\mathbf{y}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\top - \boldsymbol{\sigma}\mathbf{y}^\top H + \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{y}^\top H\mathbf{y}}{\mathbf{y}^\top \boldsymbol{\sigma}}\right) \boldsymbol{\sigma}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\top \right) = \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\top}{\mathbf{y}^\top \boldsymbol{\sigma}} + H\left(I - \frac{\mathbf{y}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\top}{\mathbf{y}^\top \boldsymbol{\sigma}}\right) - \boldsymbol{\sigma}\mathbf{y}^\top H + \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\mathbf{y}^\top H\mathbf{y}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\top}{\mathbf{y}^\top \boldsymbol{\sigma}} =$   
QED
- **Lemma Exercise 74.2** If  $H \succeq 0$  and  $\mathbf{y}^\top \boldsymbol{\sigma} > 0$ , then also  $H'$  is positive definite.
  - **Proof**
    - Since  $C := \left(I - \frac{\mathbf{y}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\top}{\mathbf{y}^\top \boldsymbol{\sigma}}\right) = \left(I - \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\mathbf{y}^\top}{\mathbf{y}^\top \boldsymbol{\sigma}}\right)^\top$ , so  $H' = C^\top H C + \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\top}{\mathbf{y}^\top \boldsymbol{\sigma}}$ ,  $C^\top H C$  is semi positive definite and so is  $\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\top}{\mathbf{y}^\top \boldsymbol{\sigma}}$ .
    - When  $\mathbf{z} \perp \boldsymbol{\sigma}^\top$ , we have  $C\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{z} \neq 0$ , so the two quadratic form will not be zero at the same time, this means positive definiteness.
- **Remark** Usually Newton or Quasi-Newton are performed with *scaled steps*  $\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \mathbf{x}_t - \alpha_t H_t^{-1} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)$ , either line search or backtracking line search (when  $\alpha_t = 1$  is not good enough, do  $\alpha_t/2$ ).

## L-BFGS (limited memory version)

- **Idea** Only use information from the previous  $m$  iterations, for some small value of  $m$ .
- **Lemma 11.7** If an oracle can compute  $\mathbf{s} = H\mathbf{g}$  for any vector  $\mathbf{g}$ , then  $\mathbf{s}' = H'\mathbf{g}'$  can be computed with one oracle call of  $\mathbf{s} = H\mathbf{g}$ , and  $O(d)$  arithmetic operation, assuming  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \mathbf{y}$  known.
  - **Proof**
    - $$H'\mathbf{g}' = \underbrace{\left(I - \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\mathbf{y}^\top}{\mathbf{y}^\top \boldsymbol{\sigma}}\right) H \underbrace{\left(I - \frac{\mathbf{y}^\top}{\mathbf{y}^\top \boldsymbol{\sigma}}\right) \mathbf{g}'}_{\mathbf{g}}}_{\mathbf{s}} + \underbrace{\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^\top}{\mathbf{y}^\top \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \mathbf{g}'}_{\mathbf{h}}$$

$$\underbrace{\underbrace{\mathbf{s}}_{\mathbf{w}}}_{\mathbf{z}}$$
    - $\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z}$  all are computed in  $O(d)$ .
- The idea is that we need  $H_t^{-1} \nabla f_t$ , and we can borrow from  $H_{t-1}^{-1} \nabla f_t$ , etc, and recurse back to  $t = 0$ , This gives the BFGS-step:
- **Algorithm (BFGS-STEP)**
  - **Input**  $(k, \mathbf{g})$
  - If  $k = 0$  then return  $H_0^{-1} \mathbf{g}'$
  - Else
    - Set  $\mathbf{h} = \boldsymbol{\sigma} \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k^\top \mathbf{g}'}{\mathbf{y}_k^\top \boldsymbol{\sigma}_k}$ , and  $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{g}' - \mathbf{y} \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k^\top \mathbf{g}'}{\mathbf{y}_k^\top \boldsymbol{\sigma}_k}$
    - $\mathbf{s} = \text{BFGS-STEP}(k-1, \mathbf{g})$  (*recursive call*)
    - $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{s} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_k \frac{\mathbf{y}_k^\top \mathbf{s}}{\mathbf{y}_k^\top \boldsymbol{\sigma}_k}$
    - $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{h}$
    - return  $\mathbf{z}$
- **Remark** If  $H_0$  can be computed in  $O(d)$  the total runtime is  $O(td)$ , this is acceptable when  $t \leq d$ . It's natural to think of a cut-off version
- **Algorithm (L-BFGS-STEP)**
  - **Input**  $(k, l, \mathbf{g})$
  - If  $l = 0$  then return  $H_0^{-1} \mathbf{g}'$
  - Else
    - Set  $\mathbf{h} = \boldsymbol{\sigma} \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k^\top \mathbf{g}'}{\mathbf{y}_k^\top \boldsymbol{\sigma}_k}$ , and  $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{g}' - \mathbf{y} \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k^\top \mathbf{g}'}{\mathbf{y}_k^\top \boldsymbol{\sigma}_k}$
    - $\mathbf{s} = \text{L-BFGS-STEP}(k-1, l-1, \mathbf{g})$  (*recursive call*)
    - $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{s} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_k \frac{\mathbf{y}_k^\top \mathbf{s}}{\mathbf{y}_k^\top \boldsymbol{\sigma}_k}$
    - $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{h}$

▪ return  $z$