## Chapter 4 Projected Gradient Descent

#### **Algorithm**

- Gradient Step:  $\mathbf{y}_{t+1} := \mathbf{x}_t \gamma \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)$
- ullet Projection Step:  $\mathbf{x}_{t+1} := \Pi_X\left(\mathbf{y}_{t+1}
  ight) := \operatorname*{argmin}_{\mathbf{x} \in X} \lVert \mathbf{x} \mathbf{y}_{t+1} \rVert^2$ 
  - We assume the minimization in projection step is easy to solve.
  - $d_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) := \|\mathbf{x} \mathbf{y}\|^2$  is strongly convex -> projection unique.
- Fact 4.1 Let  $X\subseteq\mathbb{R}^d$  be closed and convex,  $\mathbf{x}\in X,\mathbf{y}\in\mathbb{R}^d$ . Then
  - $\circ$  (i)  $(\mathbf{x} \Pi_X(\mathbf{y}))^{ op} (\mathbf{y} \Pi_X(\mathbf{y})) \leq 0$
  - $\circ$  (ii)  $\|\mathbf{x} \Pi_X(\mathbf{y})\|^2 + \|\mathbf{y} \Pi_X(\mathbf{y})\|^2 \le \|\mathbf{x} \mathbf{y}\|^2$  (Note this is square of distance)
  - - (i)  $\nabla d_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} \mathbf{y}$ , With Lemma 2.27  $\forall \mathbf{x} \in X, \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^\star)^\top (\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^\star) \geq 0$  (also holds for closed set) and  $\Pi_X(\mathbf{y})$  as minimum, we get  $(\Pi_X(\mathbf{y}) - \mathbf{y})^{\top}(\mathbf{x} - \Pi_X(\mathbf{y})) > 0$ .
    - (i) -> (ii) By  $2\mathbf{v}^{\top}\mathbf{w} = \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 \|\mathbf{v} \mathbf{w}\|^2$ .
- Lemma (Ex 31) If  $\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \mathbf{x}_t$ , then  $\mathbf{x}_t$  is minimizer.
  - Proof
    - Let  $\mathbf{y} \leftarrow \mathbf{x}_t \gamma \mathbf{g}_t$  we have  $\Pi_X(\mathbf{y}) := \mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \Pi_X(\mathbf{x}_t \gamma \mathbf{g}_t) = \mathbf{x}_t$ .
    - By (i)  $(\mathbf{x} \Pi_X(\mathbf{y}))^{\top} (\mathbf{y} \Pi_X(\mathbf{y})) = (\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}_t)^{\top} (-\gamma \mathbf{g}_t) \le 0 \Leftrightarrow \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)^{\top} (\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}_t) \ge 0$  -> Lemma 2.27 -> minimizer.

# Bounded gradients: $\mathcal{O}\left(1/arepsilon^2\right)$ steps (SAME)

- Theorem 4.2 Same as unbounded case
  - Proof
    - Difference only in gradient step, original procedure gives  $\mathbf{g}_t^{\top}(\mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}^{\star}) = \frac{1}{2\gamma} (\gamma^2 \|\mathbf{g}_t\|^2 + \|\mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}^{\star}\|^2 \|\mathbf{y}_{t+1} \mathbf{x}^{\star}\|^2)$
    - But we need  $\mathbf{x}_{t+1}$  instead of  $\mathbf{y}_{t+1}$ . By fact 4.1 (ii) setting  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^\star, \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}_{t+1}$ , we get  $\|\mathbf{x}_{t+1} \mathbf{x}^\star\|^2 \leq \|\mathbf{y}_{t+1} \mathbf{x}^\star\|^2$ ullet so we have  $\mathbf{g}_t^ op (\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}^\star) \leq rac{1}{2\gamma} ig( \gamma^2 \|\mathbf{g}_t\|^2 + \|\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}^\star\|^2 - \|\mathbf{x}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}^\star\|^2 ig)$

### Smooth convex function: $\mathcal{O}\left(1/arepsilon ight)$ steps (SAME)

- Lemma 4.3 (Sufficient descent under constraint) For L-smooth covnex function f, a step size of  $\gamma = L^{-1}$  gives sufficient descent of  $f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}) \leq f(\mathbf{x}_t) - \frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)\|^2 + \frac{L}{2} \|\mathbf{y}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}_{t+1}\|^2$ .
  - - Use  $2\mathbf{v}^{\top}\mathbf{w} = \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 \|\mathbf{v} \mathbf{w}\|^2$  on term  $(\mathbf{y}_{t+1} \mathbf{x}_t)^{\top} (\mathbf{x}_{t+1} \mathbf{x}_t)$  we get
    - $f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}) \leq f(\mathbf{x}_t) \frac{L}{2} \|\mathbf{y}_{t+1} \mathbf{x}_t\|^2 + \frac{L}{2} \|\mathbf{y}_{t+1} \mathbf{x}_{t+1}\|^2$
    - Then we arrive at our destination
  - $\circ$  PS (Ex 32): Since  $\mathbf{x}_{t+1}$  is the minimzer of distance to  $\mathbf{y}_{t+1}$ , we have  $\|\mathbf{y}_{t+1} \mathbf{x}_t\|^2 \ge \|\mathbf{y}_{t+1} \mathbf{x}_{t+1}\|^2$ , therefore from the last inequality,  $f\left(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}
    ight) \leq f\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}
    ight)$
- Lemma 4.4 (Error Bound)  $f(\mathbf{x}_T) f(\mathbf{x}^\star) \leq \frac{L}{2T} \|\mathbf{x}_0 \mathbf{x}^\star\|^2$  (same as unbounded case).
  - Proof
    - Since we have an additional term  $\frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)\|^2 \leq f(\mathbf{x}_t) f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}) + \frac{L}{2} \|\mathbf{y}_{t+1} \mathbf{x}_{t+1}\|^2$  we have to find some compensate in GD algorithm.
    - lacksquare We have  $\mathbf{g}_t^ op (\mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}^\star) = rac{1}{2\gamma} ig( \gamma^2 \|\mathbf{g}_t\|^2 + \|\mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}^\star\|^2 \|\mathbf{y}_{t+1} \mathbf{x}^\star\|^2 ig)$ ,
      - since  $\|\mathbf{x}_{t+1} \mathbf{x}^{\star}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{y}_{t+1} \mathbf{x}_{t+1}\|^2 \le \|\mathbf{y}_{t+1} \mathbf{x}^{\star}\|^2$ , we can upper bound it by
      - $\quad \quad \mathbf{g}_t^\top \left( \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}^\star \right) \leq \tfrac{1}{2\gamma} \left( \gamma^2 \| \mathbf{g}_t \|^2 + \| \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}^\star \|^2 \| \mathbf{x}_{t+1} \mathbf{x}^\star \|^2 \| \mathbf{y}_{t+1} \mathbf{x}_{t+1} \|^2 \right)$

    - $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \quad \text{with convexity and sum over all } t \text{, we get} \\ \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \left( f\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}\right) f\left(\mathbf{x}^{\star}\right) \right) \leq \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathbf{g}_{t}^{\top} \left(\mathbf{x}_{t} \mathbf{x}^{\star}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2L} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \lVert \mathbf{g}_{t} \rVert^{2} + \frac{L}{2} \lVert \mathbf{x}_{0} \mathbf{x}^{\star} \rVert^{2} \frac{L}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \lVert \mathbf{y}_{t+1} \mathbf{x}_{t+1} \rVert^{2} \\ \end{array}$
    - with new version of sufficient decrease we have  $\frac{1}{2L}\sum_{t=0}^{T-1}\|\mathbf{g}_t\|^2 = f(\mathbf{x}_0) f(\mathbf{x}_T) + \frac{L}{2}\sum_{t=0}^{T-1}\|\mathbf{y}_{t+1} \mathbf{x}_{t+1}\|^2$
    - then we can prove the claim.

#### Smooth and strongly convex f: $\mathcal{O}(\log(1/arepsilon))$ steps (SAME)

- Theorem 4.5 (similar to theorem 4.3)
  - $\circ$  (i) Geometric decrease for  $\|\mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}^\star\|^2$ ,  $\|\mathbf{x}_{t+1} \mathbf{x}^\star\|^2 \leq (1 \frac{\mu}{L}) \|\mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}^\star\|^2$
  - $\circ$  (ii) Exponential decrease for absoulute error  $f(\mathbf{x}_T) f(\mathbf{x}^\star) \leq \frac{L}{2} \left(1 \frac{\mu}{L}\right)^T \|\mathbf{x}_0 \mathbf{x}^\star\|^2 + \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^\star)\| \left(1 \frac{\mu}{L}\right)^{T/2} \|\mathbf{x}_0 \mathbf{x}^\star\|^2$
  - Proof
    - with strong convexity, we can bound gradient to  $\mathbf{g}_t^\top \left(\mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}^\star\right) \leq \frac{1}{2\gamma} \left(\gamma^2 \|\nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}_t\right)\|^2 + \|\mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}^\star\|^2 \|\mathbf{x}_{t+1} \mathbf{x}^\star\|^2 \|\mathbf{y}_{t+1} \mathbf{x}_{t+1}\|^2\right) \frac{\mu}{2} \|\mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}^\star\|^2$
    - ullet with convexity  $f(\mathbf{x}_t) f(\mathbf{x}^\star) \leq \mathbf{g}_t^ op (\mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}^\star)$  we can bound on  $\|\mathbf{x}_{t+1} \mathbf{x}^\star\|^2$
    - $\|\mathbf{x}_{t+1} \mathbf{x}^*\|^2 \le (1 \mu \gamma) \|\mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}^*\|^2 + 2\gamma (f(\mathbf{x}^*) f(\mathbf{x}_t)) + \gamma^2 \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)\|^2 \|\mathbf{y}_{t+1} \mathbf{x}_{t+1}\|^2$ (geometric decrease with some noise)
      - The additional term is bound to be non-positive by the adapted version of sufficient descent (Lemma 4.3)  $\frac{2}{L}(f(\mathbf{x}^{\star}) f(\mathbf{x}_t)) + \frac{1}{L^2} \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)\|^2 \|\mathbf{y}_{t+1} \mathbf{x}_{t+1}\|^2 \le 0.$
      - Then we get (i)
    - (ii) is attained by smoothness, but the gradient term does not vanish,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}_T) - f(\mathbf{x}^\star) &\leq \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^\star)^\top (\mathbf{x}_T - \mathbf{x}^\star) + \frac{L}{2} \|\mathbf{x}^\star - \mathbf{x}_T\|^2 \\ &\leq \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^\star)\| \|\mathbf{x}_T - \mathbf{x}^\star\| + \frac{L}{2} \|\mathbf{x}^\star - \mathbf{x}_T\|^2 \leq \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^\star)\| \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{L}\right)^{T/2} \|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}^\star\| + \frac{L}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{L}\right)^T \|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}^\star\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

#### PGD on $\ell_1$ -Ball

- ullet Definition An  $\ell_1$ -Ball of radius R is  $X=B_1(R):=\left\{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^d:\|\mathbf{x}\|_1=\sum_{i=1}^d|x_i|\leq R
  ight\}$
- Fact 4.6 By suitable scaling and sign flipping of coordinates, we can assume R=1 and for the point  ${\bf v}$  to be projected, each component  $v_i \geq 0$ , and the non-trivil case is when  $\sum_i v_i > 1$ .
- Fact 4.7 Under Fact 4.6, the projected point  $\mathbf{x}=\Pi_X(\mathbf{v})$  satisfies (i)  $x_i\geq 0$  (ii)  $\sum_{i=1}^d x_i=1$ .
  - o Proof
    - ullet (i) Otherwise  $(-x_i-v_i)^2 \leq (x_i-v_i)^2$  if  $x_i < 0$ , then sign-flipping can get better result.
    - (ii) If  $\sum_{i=1}^d x_i < 1$ , then for some small  $\lambda > 0$  still  $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{x} + \lambda(\mathbf{v} \mathbf{x}) \in X$ , then  $\|\mathbf{x}' \mathbf{v}\| = (1 \lambda)\|\mathbf{x} \mathbf{v}\|$  is smaller.
- Collary 4.8 (4.6 + 4.7)  $\Pi_X(\mathbf{v}) = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\mathbf{x} \in \Delta_d} \|\mathbf{x} \mathbf{v}\|^2$  where  $\Delta_d := \left\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \sum_{i=1}^d x_i = 1, x_i \geq 0 \forall i \right\}$  is the standard simplex.
- Fact 4.9 By switching coordinates, we can assume  $v_1 \geq v_2 \geq \cdots \geq v_d$ .

then we take this into optimial condition and get

- Lemma 4.10 Let  $\mathbf{x}^\star := \mathop{\mathrm{argmin}}_{\mathbf{x} \in \Delta_d} \|\mathbf{x} \mathbf{v}\|^2$ . Under Fact 4.9, there exists (a unique)  $p \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  such that  $x_i^\star > 0, i \leq p$  and  $x_i^\star = 0, i > p$ .
  - Proof
    - By Lemma 2.27, the optimal condition is  $\forall \mathbf{x} in \Delta_{d_i} \nabla d_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{x}^\star)^\top (\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^\star) = 2(\mathbf{x}^\star \mathbf{v})^\top (\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^\star) \geq 0$
    - lacksquare Since  $\sum_{i=1}^d x_i^\star = 1$ , at least one  $x_i > 0$ .
    - If we have  $x_i^\star=0$  and  $x_{i+1}^\star>0$ , we construct an  $\mathbf x$  s.t.  $x_{i+1}^\star-x_{i+1}=x_i-x_i^\star=arepsilon$ , for small enough arepsilon, we can ensure  $\mathbf x\in\Delta_d$ .
      - $(\mathbf{x}^\star \mathbf{v})^ op (\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^\star) = (0 v_i)arepsilon ig(x_{i+1}^\star v_{i+1}ig)arepsilon = arepsilon ig(v_{i+1} v_i x_{i+1}^\starig) < 0$  which leads to contradictory.
- Lemma 4.11 Under Fact 4.9, we further have  $x_i^\star = v_i \Theta_p, i \leq p$  where  $\Theta_p = \frac{1}{p} \left( \sum_{i=1}^p v_i 1 \right)$ .
  - Proof
    - If not all  $x_i^\star v_i, i \leq p$  is the same, then we must have  $x_i^\star v_i < x_j^\star v_j$  for some  $i, j \leq p$ . Similar to 4.10, we set  $\mathbf{x}$  to be  $x_i^\star x_j = x_i x_i^\star = \varepsilon$  for some small enough  $\varepsilon$
    - $\text{ then } (\mathbf{x}^{\star} \mathbf{v})^{\top} (\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^{\star}) = (x_i^{\star} v_i)\varepsilon \left(x_j^{\star} v_j\right)\varepsilon = \varepsilon \underbrace{\left((x_i^{\star} v_i) (x_j^{\star} v_j)\right)}_{<0} < 0$
    - lacksquare then we can compute  $\Theta_p$  by  $1=\sum_{i=1}^p x_i^\star=\sum_{i=1}^p (v_i-\Theta_p)=\sum_{i=1}^p v_i-p\Theta_p.$
  - $\circ$  Therefore, the solution is of the form  $\mathbf{x}^\star(p) := (v_1 \Theta_p, \dots, v_p \Theta_p, 0, \dots, 0)$  and  $v_p \Theta_p > 0$ .
  - $\circ$  The total sorting and comparison of maximum  $\|\mathbf{x}^{\star}(p) \mathbf{V}\|^2$  takes  $\mathcal{O}(d\log d)$
  - $\circ$  The following lemma show comparing  $\|\mathbf{x}^{\star}(p) \mathbf{V}\|^2$  is not necessary.
- Lemma 4.12 Finding  $p^\star := \max\left\{p \in \{1,\ldots,d\}: v_p rac{1}{p}\left(\sum_{i=1}^p v_i 1\right) > 0
  ight\}$  is enough,  $\operatorname*{argmin}_{\mathbf{x} \in \Delta_d} \|\mathbf{x} \mathbf{v}\|^2 = \mathbf{x}^\star\left(p^\star\right)$ .
  - Proof
    - We can show  $\|\mathbf{x}^{\star}(p) \mathbf{v}\|^2$  is non-increasing w.r.t. p.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \blacksquare & \|\mathbf{x}^{\star}(p) - \mathbf{v}\|^2 - \|\mathbf{x}^{\star}(p+1) - \mathbf{v}\|^2 = v_{p+1}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^p (x_i^{\star}(p) - v_i)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} (x_i^{\star}(p+1) - v_i)^2 \\ &= v_{p+1}^2 + p\Theta(p)^2 - (p+1)\Theta(p+1)^2 \end{array}$$

lacksquare Denote  $\Delta := \sum_{i=1}^p v_i - 1$  then

$$\quad \|\mathbf{x}^{\star}(p) - \mathbf{v}\|^2 - \|\mathbf{x}^{\star}(p+1) - \mathbf{v}\|^2 = v_{p+1}^2 + \Delta^2/p - (v_{p+1} + \Delta)^2/(p+1) = \frac{(pv_{p+1} - \Delta)^2}{p(p+1)} \geq 0.$$

lacksquare Then we can simply find the maximum p with  $v_p-\Theta_p>0$ .