

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
COMMISSION AGAINST DISCRIMINATION

MCAD Docket: 22BPA00184

IMRE KIFOR,)
Complainant,)
v.)
)
MIDDLESEX PROBATE & FAMILY COURT,)
Respondents.)

**COMPLAINANT'S APPEAL AND MOTIONS TO AMEND COMPLAINT AND TO
REMOVE TO SUPERIOR COURT**

NOW COMES the Complainant, ("Father"), and respectfully states:

1. Father has not received any opposition from the Respondents, ("Family Court"), in these discrimination & retaliation matters.
2. Father emailed and mailed the herein attached letter/informal complaint titled "*Systemic Child-Predatory Discriminations And Civil Rights Violations In Family Court*" to MCAD on 12/6/2021.
3. Father specifically stated in that complaint that "*Through discrimination based on color, sex, and national origin, and by purposely violating my free speech, equal protection and due process rights, the Family Court a) deliberately allowed the fabrication of infantile, dogmatic and forever intractable 'high*

conflicts' within the protected 'judicial processes,' b) forcefully silenced my claims and evidences for colluded 'out-of-court' malicious defamations and conspiring sexual harassments, c) sadistically and fully denied all my contacts with my children based on now documented and purposeful subornation of perjury on children by an ARC, d) retaliated against my complaints for discrimination and civil rights violations by sentencing me to jail, despite my filed affidavits of indigency, e) interfered with my employment through systemic 'mental health' defamations and sustained 'toxic masculinity' discriminations, f) aided and abetted stereotypical discriminations against me by refusing my 'free speech' right of petitioning the government to investigate deliberate systemic fraud, g) blatantly discriminated against an induced-handicapped person by refusing access to the court, and then issuing a retaliatory capias against an already accepted indigent person."

4. The mailed packet also contained Father's herein re-attached "Second Amended Complaint For Systemic Discriminations And Civil Rights Violations" filed in the Superior Court on 12/2/2021, and supported by Father's "Memorandum Of Law Re: Systemic Long-Term Child-Predatory Discriminations In Family Court" (see exhibits).

5. In the active Superior Court case (#2181CV00921), Father has been specifically claiming/documenting ongoing violations of all aspects of G.L.c 151B employment discriminations & retaliations.

6. Father is therefore requesting MCAD to allow the amending of his complaint with G.L.c 151B discriminations and retaliations.

7. In fact, Father has desperately appealed the orders by Family Court and filed his *"Motion For Third Amended Complaint Due To Threatened And Imminent Silencing Jail Sentence"* in the Superior Court on 1/14/2022 also supported by a supplemental memorandum.

8. Nevertheless, Father was informed during the MCAD intake on 1/25/2022 that the allocated 1 hour was only enough to file a thus agreed upon "initial" complaint, as Father would later be allowed to properly amend any such already docketed document.

9. During the referenced 1/21/2022 court hearing, Family Court released the arrested Father & took matters "under advisement."

10. While Father was waiting for Family Court's decisions to include into his motion to amend, MCAD promptly *"determined after a preliminary review of [Father's] complaint that further investigation will not serve the public interest"* on 2/28/2022.

11. The preliminary review specifically emphasizes Father having been (prior to 1986) a "Hungarian minority in Romania," and then

predictably claims *"Additionally, based on the present facts, Complainant fails to articulate a basis for how his national origin creates a reasonable inference of discrimination."*

12. Understanding how nationalistic discrimination works in communist tyrannies, Father has specifically avoided identifying his national origin with anything more than **"not Romanian."** In fact, the "Hungarian" only came up when Father responded to the MCAD interviewer's direct question "if not Romanian, then what?"

13. The original **"Father is Romanian" fabrication** (i.e. the insinuated reason for the barbaric "Romanian Orphans" tragedy widely publicized on TV) has been maintained by Family Court since the 2011 fraudulent and child-predatory GAL investigation.

14. Through the years, Father has consistently pointed to the fact that the US granted political asylum to Father in 1986 exactly because he was "not Romanian, not Hungarian, not German, etc.," as per the specific literal denials from those countries.

15. Father is therefore appealing the MCAD preliminary review on the basis of continued institutionalized "ignorant immigrant" discriminations, by deliberately insinuating a "crazed Eastern-European nationalist," despite the provided factual allegations.

16. Further ignoring Father's relevant submissions, the MCAD preliminary review then claims *"Investigating this matter would require a review of Respondent's processes and handling of matters concerning child custody and child support, ensuing judgements involving child support payments, court rules related to convening hearings and Complainant's ability to pay child support, none of which is appropriately within the purview of the Commission, especially given the existence of judicial review, court rules, the guidelines and statutory schemes overseeing child support payments, and **the process of appeal available** [emphasis added] within the Trial Courts"*.

17. To preserve the facts contradicting the above unverified MCAD assumptions, Father is attaching his *"Emergency Petition For Relief In The Nature Of Certiorari Pursuant To G.L.c. 249, § 4"* filed in the Supreme Judicial Court on 1/31/2022.

18. The essence of the petition is Father's claim that Family Court deliberately sabotaged for years the "available" process of appeal, only to cover up the allowed deeply child-predatory fraud and deliberate discriminations (based on color, sex and national origin). And to retaliate against the whistleblower, Family Court continues to threaten (and to coerce into slavery)

Father's employment with orders & judgments maintained without jurisdiction (i.e. trial courts have no appeals jurisdictions).

19. Father further notes that Family Court has not responded to Father's proper petition (#SJ-2022-0041) with any oppositions.

20. Father is therefore hereby requesting MCAD to remove these discrimination matters (that are not "appropriately within the purview of the Commission") to active dockets in either Superior or Supreme Judicial Courts for further review and prosecution.

21. Father based his SJC petition on the facts that reviewing the alleged parallel **"Conspiracy To Silence And To Enslave"** is currently not possible in either Family or the Appeals Courts.

22. The deeply child-abusive conspiracy nevertheless exists, as the original conspirators, [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], either:

22.a) declined to file an Appellee's Brief (despite demanding from the Family Court to *"order that the Father cease all attempts to contact the children"* as recently as 1/21/2022, and as per a therefore void judgment), or,

22.b) "[REDACTED] Appellee's] Brief is disingenuous when claiming that 'parenting time is still available to [Father] yet he chooses to not partake' considering that Father has now attempted to call all his dear children

1,360+ times over the internet with the Mothers'

knowledge", as Father filed in Appellant's Reply Brief.

23. The employment-related outcomes of this long-term conspiracy to discriminate are summarized in Father's attached Rule 60 dual "Motions For Relief From Parallel Orders" filed on 2/28/2022.

24. Father's therefore **forced indigency** (by Family Court) has resulted in a now **\$255,000+** in-arrears child supports/expenses.

25. As per the attached "Job applications for 3/9/2022" email to Family Court (along with the upcoming similar 3/16/2022 report), Father has so far submitted an obsessive ~100 job applications.

26. The feedback that Father has received from his applications is expected, unsurprising and logical: "you are not judged on technical merits by engineers, you are judged purely on legal merits (and risks) of your open lawsuits, and only by lawyers."

27. The whistleblower Father has been vocal about the child-predatory and discriminatory practices allowed in Family Court (see his relentless "Dr. Deutsch, An American Dr. Mengele From Harvard" published open letters to local & national officials).

28. Before suing the Commonwealth and Family Court in Superior Court, Father had submitted 42 complaints to AGO, BBO, CJC, etc.

29. Father is therefore requesting MCAD to allow him to extend the Respondents of his complaint with the Commonwealth, AG Maura Healy (in her official capacity), and [REDACTED] and [REDACTED].

WHEREFORE, Father respectfully requests that this Commission (MCAD) grant him:

- a) a material opportunity to amend his original complaint,
- b) an extension of Respondents to include the Commonwealth, Attorney General Maura Healy (in her official capacity), as well as [REDACTED] and [REDACTED],
- c) an appeal of the preliminary review dated 2/28/2022, and
- d) the removal of the systemic discrimination and retaliation matters to Father's active Superior Court (#2181CV00921) or Supreme Judicial Court dockets (#SJ-2022-0041).

Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury.

March 13, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Imre Kifor
Imre Kifor, Pro Se

[REDACTED]
Newton, MA 02464
ikifor@gmail.com

I have no phone
I have no valid driver's license
I no longer have a stable physical address