

# Tag growth

D'Arcy N. Webber, Jim Thorson

November 11, 2014

## 1 Introduction

*Dissostichus mawsoni*

Key words: Antarctic toothfish, Ross Sea

## 2 Simulation

Simulated 315 individuals, the same number as in the actual toothfish data set. The next step was to simulate sex, Age1, Age2 and time at liberty. What I did in the current simulation run was:

- Sampled sex from the observed sexes of individuals (with replacement).
- Sampled Age1, Age2 and time at liberty independently (with replacement) from those observed. Randomly selected one of these variables and calculated this values given the other two.
- Rounded Age1, Age2 and time at liberty off to the nearest integer.

This is a bit of a hack I know, but the alternative did not yeild realistic looking Age1, Age2, liberty samples.

## 2.1 Fits to simulated data

### 2.1.1 sims1

This was our first attempt at estimating simulated data.  $L_0$  was simulated as 43 and 50 for females and males respectively (Table 1).

$L_0$  was estimated without a prior penalty.  $b$  was treated as a random effect.

80 of 100 fits were pdHess. Looking at the histograms below there seems to be two states that the model estimates are jumping between. Looking at the trace plots, when  $\sigma_o$  is estimated high then the remaining parameters are poorly estimated (Figure 1).

Table 1: .		
Parameter	Female	Male
$L_0$	43	50
$\bar{b}$	0.00100	0.00106
$\sigma_b$	0.21	0.19
$\gamma$	0.19	0.19
$\psi$	2e-10	2e-10
$\sigma_o$	0.083	0.083
$\sigma_z$	0.0	0.0
$\sigma_y$	0.0	0.0




Figure 1: pdH fits plotted only (80 of 100 fits were pdH)..

### 2.1.2 sims2

The simulation was run with  $L_0$  values much closer to 0 (Table 2).

We placed a prior penalty on  $L_0$  (a normal centered about 0). Again,  $b$  was treated as a random effect.

57 of 100 fits were pdHess. Doing a poor job of recovering  $L_0$  for males. Two states that seem to be linked to  $\sigma_o$  again. Not getting  $\psi$  at all (Figure 2).

Table 2: .		
Parameter	Female	Male
$L_0$	0.0	6.9
$\bar{b}$	0.003	0.003
$\sigma_b$	0.106	0.112
$\gamma$	0.4	0.4
$\psi$	0.001	0.001
$\sigma_o$	0.099	0.099
$\sigma_z$	0.0	0.0
$\sigma_y$	0.0	0.0




Figure 2: pdH fits plotted only (57 of 100 fits were pdH).




Figure 3: The first pdH simulation (sim 3).