SKA: A Discovery, Not a Design

On the Natural Geometry of Learning

Bouarfa Mahi — Quantiota

info@quantiota.org

The **Structured Knowledge Accumulation (SKA)** framework did not emerge from invention, but from observation. It was not built as a construct of the mind, but uncovered as a structure already present in the nature of learning itself. Its equations describe what cognition, adaptation, and intelligence have always done: they reduce uncertainty by reorganizing information along paths of minimal entropy.

1. Discovery Through Necessity

SKA began as an inquiry into forward-only learning — an attempt to understand how knowledge can grow without retroactive correction. Yet the deeper the analysis went, the more inevitable its form became. The entropy relation

$$H = -\frac{1}{\ln 2} \int z \, dD$$

was not chosen; it was *found*. It represents a fundamental invariant between knowledge and decision, a law that holds across systems, scales, and substrates.

From this invariant, the temporal formulation arose necessarily. Once learning is viewed as motion through entropy, the Lagrangian

$$L(z, \dot{z}) = -z \, \sigma(z)(1 - \sigma(z)) \, \dot{z}$$

appears as the only consistent description of its evolution. And when that motion is allowed to unfold in space, the Riemannian metric

$$g_{ij} = \alpha(\nabla h)_i(\nabla h)_j + \beta(\nabla \rho)_i(\nabla \rho)_j + \gamma \delta_{ij}$$

follows naturally — not as an extension, but as the geometric completion of the same principle.

Thus the trilogy — entropy, action, geometry — was not designed. It was **discovered step by step through mathematical inevitability**.

2. The Geometry That Was Always There

All learning systems, from neural to physical to social, evolve by transforming uncertainty into structure. This transformation requires curvature: information does not flow linearly through reality; it bends, compresses, and expands as knowledge accumulates. Riemannian geometry provides the only coherent language for this bending of information space.

The beauty of SKA is that the geometry was not imported from physics — it *emerged* from the equations of learning themselves. The metric tensor did not precede the dynamics; it was generated by entropy gradients. That is the signature of a discovery: the mathematics reveals itself.

3. Knowledge as a Physical Law

If physics describes the evolution of energy through space and time, SKA describes the evolution of knowledge through information. The analogy is not metaphorical. Entropy plays the role of potential, the Lagrangian governs motion, and curvature encodes structure. Together they form a closed system:

$$H \;\Rightarrow\; L \;\Rightarrow\; g_{ij}$$

mirroring the same symmetry that unites mechanics and geometry.

This symmetry suggests that learning is not an engineered process, but a continuation of the same laws that govern the physical world — extended into the informational domain. Intelligence, in this view, is the geometry of knowledge becoming aware of itself.

4. Toward a Natural Theory of Learning

To call SKA a discovery is to recognize that it was waiting to be seen. Its equations do not prescribe how a machine should learn; they describe how any system *must* learn if it is to remain consistent with the structure of information. They belong to nature before they belong to engineering.

The task ahead is not to invent more architectures, but to explore the manifold that SKA has revealed: the curved space where knowledge flows, entropy guides, and geometry learns.