

Semiotics & Linguistics

From Tokens to Writing: the Pursuit of Abstraction

Denise Schmandt-Besserat*

* The University of Texas at Austin, USA

(Presented by Academy Member Th.V.Gamkrelidze)

ABSTRACT. The development of civilization – the stage of cultural development at which writing is attained – required the acquisition of complex cognitive processes such as abstraction. In this paper I analyze the development of the capacity of abstraction in the ancient Near East between 7500-3000 BC as reflected by tokens and writing.
© 2007 Bull. Georg. Natl. Acad. Sci.

Key words: tokens and writing, tokens and abstraction, tokens and cognition.

COUNTING AND THE REDISTRIBUTION OF ECONOMY IN THE ANCIENT NEAR EAST

In the ancient Near East, agriculture was associated with the formation of a redistribution economy. Based on studies of modern archaic societies and of the pre- and proto-historic Near East, it can be assumed that the neolithic community leaders collected at regular intervals a share of the farmers' flocks and harvests. In turn, the accumulated communal goods were redistributed for

the benefit of the group. Some were allocated to those who could not support themselves, but the greatest part was earmarked for the performance of rituals and festivals in honor of the gods. In other words, with agriculture came the need for counting and accounting in order to control and manage community surpluses.

Before analyzing their cognitive significance, I briefly describe tokens and writing, the two accounting systems created to compute entries and expenditures of goods in kind during the first four millennia of the Near Eastern redistribution economy. The earliest system with



Fig. 1. Plain tokens, Mesopotamia, present day Iraq, ca. 4000 B.C. The cone, spheres and disk represented various grain measures; the tetrahedron stood for a unit of labor. Courtesy Denise Schmandt-Besserat, The University of Texas at Austin.

tokens, appeared about 7500 BC. It consisted of counters, about 1-2 cm across, modeled in clay in multiple, often geometric shapes such as cones, spheres, disks, cylinders, tetrahedrons, ovoids, triangles and quadrangles (Fig. 1). Some of them bore markings in the form of incised lines and impressed dots. In 7000 BC, because the system exclusively recorded goods, there were only some 10 token shapes, each representing one of the farm products levied at the time, such as grain, oil and domesticated animals.

The prehistoric administration used tokens to record three types of information. The shape and markings of the artifacts indicated, first, the type of goods computed, and second, the quantity thereof. For example, both the cone and the sphere stood for grain but in two different quantities probably equivalent to a "small" and a "large" basket of grain, and an ovoid with a circular incision represented a jar of oil. It should be well understood that, at the time, measures were not yet calibrated. They consisted of the traditional containers used to handle goods in everyday life, such as different-sized baskets, jars, juglets, bowls or cups. It is even conceivable that the tokens represented such casual units as an "armful" or a "handful." The system, therefore, only dealt with approximate quantities comparable to to-day's "carafe" of wine or "cup" of coffee. Lastly, the tokens recorded the number of units of goods received or dispensed in one-to-one correspondence. In other words, two small units of grain were shown with two cones, three cones stood for three small units of grain, and so on.

There can be no doubt that an unceasing cross-fertilization took place between the economy's increas-

ing demands and the development of counting and accounting. For example, the number of token shapes increased to about 350 around 3500 BC, when urban workshops started contributing to the redistribution economy. Some of the new tokens, stood for raw materials such as wool and metal, and others for finished products among which textiles, garments, jewelry, bread, beer and honey. (Fig. 2) These so-called "complex" tokens sometimes assumed the shapes of the items they symbolized such as garments, miniature vessels, tools and furniture. These artifacts took far more skill to model compared to the former geometric shapes such as cones and spheres, suggesting that specialists were then manufacturing them. (Schmandt-Besserat 1992).

By 3300 BC, tokens were still the only accounting device to run the redistribution economy now administered at the temple by priestly rulers. The communal offerings in kind continued but the types of goods, their amount and the frequency of delivery to the temple became regulated, which meant that non-compliance was penalized. The response to the new challenge was the invention of envelopes where tokens representing a delinquent account could be kept safely until the debt was paid. The tokens standing for the amounts due were placed in hollow clay balls and, in order to show the content of the envelopes, the accountants created markings by impressing the tokens on the wet clay surface before enclosing them. (Fig. 3) The cones and spheres symbolizing the measures of grain became wedge-shaped and circular impressed signs. (Fig. 4) Within a century, about 3200 BC, the envelopes filled with counters and their corresponding signs were replaced by solid clay



Fig. 2. Complex tokens representing (above, from right to left) one sheep, one unit of a particular textile, one measure of honey and, one jar of oil. (Below, from right to left,) (?), one fleece of wool, one ingot of metal, from Susa, Iran, ca. 3300 B.C. Courtesy Musée du Louvre, Département des Antiquités Orientales, Paris.



Fig. 3. Envelope showing the imprint of three ovoid tokens with an incised line representing jars of oil, from Habuba Kabira, Syria, ca. 3300 B.C. Courtesy Museum für vor- und Frühgeschichte, Schloss Charlottenburg, Berlin.

tablets which continued the system of signs impressed with tokens. By innovating a new way of keeping records of goods with signs, the envelopes created the bridge between tokens and writing.

With the formation of city states, ca. 3200-3100 BC, the redistribution economy reached a regional scale. The unprecedented volume of goods to administer challenged writing to evolve in form, content and, as will be discussed later, in cognitive ability. First, about 3100 BC, the form of the signs changed with the use of a pointed stylus that sketched more accurately the shape of the most intricate tokens and their particular markings. The sign for oil, for example, clearly reproduced the ovoid token with a circular line (Fig. 5).



Fig. 5. Pictographic tablet featuring an account of 33 measures of oil, (circular = 10, wedges = 1) from Godin Tepe, Iran, ca. 3100 B.C. Courtesy Dr. T. Cuyler Young, Royal Ontario Museum, Toronto, CANADA



Fig. 4. Tablet showing the impression of spheres and cones representing measures of grain, from Susa, Iran, ca. 3300 B.C. Courtesy Musée du Louvre, Département des Antiquités Orientales, Paris.

Second, plurality was no longer indicated by one-to-one correspondence. Numbers of jars of oil were not shown by repeating the sign for "jar of oil" as many times as the number of units to record. The sign for jar of oil was preceded by numerals – signs indicating numbers. Surprisingly, no new signs were created to symbolize the numerals but the impressed signs for grain took on a numerical value. The wedge that formerly represented a small measure of grain came to mean "1" and the circular sign, formerly representing a large measure of grain meant "10."

Third, about 3000 BC, the state bureaucracy required to enter on the tablets the names of the recipients or donors of the goods listed. And to record the personal name of these individuals, new signs were created that stood for sounds – phonograms. The phonograms were sketches of things easy to draw that stood for the sound of the word they evoked. The syllables or words composing an individual's name were written like a rebus. The drawing of a man stood for the sound "lu" and that of the mouth for "ka," that were the sounds of the words for "man" and "mouth" in the Sumerian language. For example, the modern name Lucas, could have been written with the two signs mentioned above "lu - ka" (Fig. 6).

The state administration could no longer deal with the approximate quantities of informal containers and this prompted the standardization of measures. The resulting adjustment in accounting was to assign new signs for the standard measures of grains (*ban*, *bariga* etc...), liquids (*sila*), and surface areas (*ikus*, *eshe3*, *bur*,




Fig. 6. Example of the rebus principle used to record names.

etc...). The standardization of measures brought accounting to an unprecedented precision, while putting an end to dealing with informal hand-manufactured containers (Fig. 7).

During four millennia and a half, from 7500 to 3000 BC, tokens and writing constituted the back-bone of the Near Eastern redistribution economy. Both recording systems were closely related in material, form, and function. They shared clay as a raw material; the token shapes were perpetuated by the written signs; both kept track of similar quantities of the same types of agricultural and industrial goods for an identical socio-economic function. The difference between the systems was cognitive, namely the degree of abstraction used to manipulate data.

TOKENS AND ABSTRACTION.

The cognitive principle at work in the token system was abstraction – i.e. the dissociation of one feature from a collection. Each token abstracted goods in two ways. First, their shape abstracted one of the types of merchandise levied; for example animals, grain or oil. Second, the shape abstracted the quantity of merchandise. The cone abstracted the unit corresponding to a *small* basket vs. the sphere that abstracted a *large* basket.

Otherwise the token system remained concrete in form, content and in the representation of plurality. (Malafouris)


- The tokens were solid and tangible artifacts. They could be grasped with the fingers and held in the hand.
- Each token stood for a concrete entity, namely, one unit of staple goods. Note that an ovoid token stood for a “jar of oil,” and a sphere for a “large basket of grain” which means that, as in daily life, the product (oil, grain) and their usual container (jar, basket), were fused into a single concept.
- The token system dealt with plurality concretely, in one-to-one correspondence - as it is in the real world. In nature, a forest consists of a multitude of single trees; a flock is a set of single animals.



Uruk VI



Uruk IVa



Uruk III

Fig. 7. The representation of “one sila of oil” in 3500 BC, 3100 BC and 3000 BC.

TOKENS AND COGNITION

The true cognitive significance of the token system was to foster the manipulation of data. Compared to oral information passed on from one individual to the other, tokens were extra-somatic, that is outside the human mind. As a result, the Neolithic accountants were no longer the passive recipients of someone else’s knowledge, but they took an active part in encoding and decoding data.

The token system substituted miniature counters for the real goods which eliminated their bulk and weight and allowed dealing with them in abstraction by. As a result, heavy baskets of grains and animals difficult to control could be easily counted and recounted. The accountants could add, subtract, multiply and divide by manually moving and removing counters.

Patterning, the presentation of data in particular configurations, also promoted the abstraction of particular features. For example, the tokens representing the budget for a festival could be ordered in columns abstracting the merchandise according to its types, do-

nors, entries and expenditures, and intended use, i.e. for particular rituals. The relative value of merchandise could be abstracted by lining up units of greater value above those of lesser value. For instance, spheres, standing for large measures of grain could be placed above the cones, representing small measures of grain. It is well possible that the geometric lay out of operations such as adding two tokens to two tokens, and three tokens to three tokens, and so on, helped the conceptualization of abstract numbers (Justus 1999, 56, 64. Hoyrup 1994, 70).

Finally, because the clay tokens could be manufactured at will and stored indefinitely they abstracted goods from time. Consequently, accountants could manage merchandise independently of their current status. For instance, quantities of grain could be accounted for whether they were still in the fields or harvested, stored in granaries or in transit, delivered or promised.

In sum, the immense value of the token system was in promoting the acquisition of new cognitive skills that capitalized upon the visualization and physical manipulation of data. Computing with tokens in ever greater volume of more complex data paved the way to writing.

WRITING AND ABSTRACTION

Archaeology can interpret the technological innovations of the token system, such as the creation of new shapes and envelopes. But the cognitive dynamics that led writing to create logograms, numerals, standard units of measure and phonograms are far beyond the scope of traditional archaeology. These remarkable leaps in abstraction can be identified and dated to the early fourth millennium BC but their interpretation will have to wait until cognitive archaeology comes of age (Malafouris).

The early logograms, i.e. signs in the form of tokens standing for a unit of merchandise, represented a second degree of abstraction. The signs impressed or traced with a stylus, abstracted tokens, which were themselves abstracting actual goods. A circular marking replaced the round token, which means that the written signs kept the outline of the counters and their symbolic significance but did away with their volume. Intangible written signs replaced the awkward piles of three-dimensional tokens.

Written numerals abstracted the common denominator between sets such as *three* baskets of grain and *three* jars of oil. As a result, “*three*” became a concept that could be expressed by a sign. The invention of abstract numerals had extraordinary consequences. First, it put to an end dealing with goods in one-to-one correspondence. Second, numeral signs made obsolete the use of different counters or numerations (different num-

ber words) to count different products. Finally, with the abstraction of numbers counting had no limit.

About 3000 BC the abstraction of numbers (how many) was followed by that of quantity (how much.) Thereafter writing abstracted each of the concepts involved in for instance “one” “sila” of “oil,” requiring a sequence of 3 signs for notation. Instead, a century earlier, in 3100 BC, two signs were sufficient to record a similar amount, namely, “one” “jar of oil,” and in 3500BC, a single token fused the three concepts together “one jar of oil.”

Finally, the invention of phonograms, that abstracted the sounds of speech, removed writing from the concrete world of real goods. The signs no longer referred to concrete objects, but instead to the sound of a word. This was the beginning of a phonetic script when, by emulating speech, writing was no longer confined to the recording of goods.

Of course, all these processes of abstraction innovated by writing, in particular that of numbers, were to take many steps to be fully realized. (Justus 1999a) It is clear that for many centuries the commodity counted still determined the arithmetical value of numerical signs. For example, when animals were being counted the circular sign signified “10” whereas it was to be read “6” when it referred to measures of grain. Also, as long as the cuneiform script existed, one-to-one correspondence continued to express the number of units such as “1” and “10.” For example 33 jars of oil were expressed by three tens (three circular signs), three ones (three wedges) followed by the sign for “jar of oil” (Fig. 5).

The standardization of measures also progressed at a slow pace and, for a long time, the relation between units continued to vary with the kind of entities dealt with. For example, the units of grain (*ban*, *bariga* etc...) followed a sequence of factors: 5, 6, 10, 3, compared to 6, 3, 10, and 6 for the units of area measures (*ikus*, *eshe3*, *bur*, etc...). (Nissen, Damerow and Englund 64-65).

CONCLUSION

Between 7500-3000 BC, tokens and writing processed the data of the growing Near Eastern redistribution economy in ever greater abstraction. Each of the two accounting technologies, tokens and writing, documents one stage of the manipulation of data in abstraction. By abstracting units of real goods, the tokens could manage, one by one, a limited number of casual measures of selected staples. With the abstraction of tokens, numbers and measures, writing raised data management to limitless quantities of any possible unit of goods. Moreover, by abstracting sounds writing reached beyond accounting to take on new functions in communication.

სემიოტიკა და ენათმეცნიერება

სიმბოლოებიდან დამწერლობამდე: განზოგადების მცდელობა

დენიზ შმანდტ-ბესერატი*

* ოსტინის უნივერსიტეტი, ტექსასი, აშშ

(წარმოდგენილია აკადემიკოს თ. გამჭრელიძის მიერ)

ციფილიზაციის განვითარება — კულტურის განვითარების ის საფეხური, როდესაც დამწერლობა ჩნდება — საჭიროებდა კომპლექსური შემცნებითი პროცესების ათვისებას, როგორიცაა, მაგალითად, „განზოგადება“. წინამდებარე სტატიაში აყტორი აანალიზებს „განზოგადების“ უნარის განვითარებას ძველ ახლო აღმოსავლეთში ძვ.წ. 7500–3000 წ.წ., როგორც ეს აისახება ნიშან-სიმბოლოებისა და დამწერლობის წარმოშობაში.

REFERENCES

- Hoyrup J. 1994. *In Measure, Number, and Weight*. New York: State University of New York Press.
 Justus, C., 2004. On Language and the Rise of a Base for Counting. *General Linguistics* 42, 17- 43.
 Justus, C. 1999a. Pre-decimal Structures in Counting and Metrology. In Gvozdanovic J. (ed), *Numerical Types and Changes Worldwide*: 55-79. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter, 55-79.
 Justus C., 1999b. Can a Counting System be an Index of Linguistic Relationship? *Journal of Indo-European Studies*, Monograph 33, 219-240.
 Justus C. 1996. Numeracy and the Germanic Upper Decades. *Journal of Indo-European Studies* 23: 45-80.
 Malafouris, L. forthcoming. Grasping the concept of number: How did the sapiens mind move beyond approximation, in Colin Renfrew, ed. *Measuring the World and Beyond*, The McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research, Cambridge, England.
 Nissen, H. J., Damerow, P., Englund, R.K., 1993. *Archaic Book-Keeping*, Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
 Schmandt-Besserat D. 1996. *How Writing Came About*. Austin: The University of Texas Press.
 Schmandt-Besserat D. 1992. *Before Writing*. 2vols. Austin: The University of Texas Press.

Received September, 2007