1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		15 2 ^{pt} Ave wiston, ID 83501-3926 : 208-549-9584	
	vs. R	ase No. CV35-24-1063 ESPONSE TO SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT	
	JEREMY L. BASS Defendants. DEN	MAND FOR JURY	
9			
10	I, <i>Jeremy Bass</i> , the Defendant in this case, hereby resp	ond to the Plaintiff's Complaint for Eviction	
11	as follows:		
12	I.		
13	Response: Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph I of the Complaint. Defendant asserts		
14	that the foreclosure sale was conducted unlawfully, as detailed below, and therefore, Plaintiff's		
15	claim to ownership is invalid. Furthermore, Defendant disputes that Plaintiff is the rightful owner		
16	of the property located at 1515 21st Ave., Lewiston, ID 83501.		
17	II.		
18	Response: Defendant admits that the property is locat	Response: Defendant admits that the property is located in Nez Perce County, Idaho, but denies	
19	that Plaintiff is authorized to bring this lawsuit or is the rightful owner of the property due to the		
20	fraudulent and unlawful nature of the foreclosure sale and related proceedings.		
21	III.		

Response: Defendant admits to residing in Nez Perce County, Idaho, and occupying the property 1 in question. Defendant denies refusing to surrender possession of the property and asserts that 2 the eviction proceedings are invalid due to the issues raised in this Answer. 3 IV. 4 5 Response: Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph IV of the Complaint. The foreclosure 6 and Trustee's Sale were conducted based on a fraudulent instrument, which a forensic analysis 7 has shown does not bear the Defendant's signature. Moreover, the Plaintiff's actions violated Idaho Code § 45-1506, and the Trustee's Deed is therefore void. The purported default under the 8 9 Note and Deed of Trust is contested, and Defendant asserts that the sale was conducted 10 improperly and unlawfully. 11 ٧. Response: Defendant denies that Plaintiff was entitled to possession of the property following 12 the sale. The sale and subsequent issuance of the Trustee's Deed were based on fraudulent and 13 unlawful actions, as outlined in this Answer, rendering Plaintiff's claim to possession invalid. 14 VI. 15 16 Response: Defendant acknowledges receiving a Notice to Vacate and that Mr. Dwayne Pike, a tenant of the property, indicated he was occupying the property under a month-to-month lease 17 agreement. Defendant denies that Plaintiff had the right to issue this notice or enforce eviction, 18 19 as the foreclosure and sale were conducted improperly. Furthermore, Defendant asserts that the

22

23

24

20

21

circumstances.

VII.

lease agreement was valid and that Mr. Pike's continued occupancy was lawful under the

Response: Defendant denies that Plaintiff is entitled to attorney's fees or any other relief sought in this action. The Plaintiff's retention of the law firm and pursuit of this eviction is based on

unlawful and fraudulent premises, including the improper foreclosure and Trustee's Sale.
 Defendant asserts that Plaintiff's claims are without merit and should be dismissed with

3 prejudice.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Affirmative Defenses:

- 1. Auction Fixing and Fraud (Violation of Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1-2): The foreclosure auction was manipulated, violating federal antitrust laws. This manipulation invalidates the sale and any claims arising from it.
- 2. Breach of Contract (Idaho Code § 28-2-209): The auction violated a binding agreement in place to secure the house. The mortgage servicing company, Carrington Mortgage, breached this agreement, rendering the auction and subsequent actions invalid.
- 3. Transfer of Ownership Actions: Actions taken, including Defendant paying property taxes and insurance, demonstrate that a transfer of ownership had begun pending the completion of probate for Defendant's mother's passing. This undermines the Plaintiff's claim to the property.
- 4. Foreclosure on a Fraudulent Instrument (Idaho Code § 18-2601): The foreclosure was based on a fraudulent instrument, as a forensic analysis has shown that the signature does not match Defendant's signature. This invalidates the foreclosure and the Trustee's Deed.
- 5. Conflict of Interest (Idaho Rules of Professional Conduct 1.7): The trustee, Idea Law, had a conflict of interest due to its connection with Carrington Mortgage, further invalidating the actions taken.
- 6. Mismanagement of Forbearance (Idaho Code § 18-3106): Carrington Mortgage mismanaged the COVID forbearance, extending it improperly and creating an inflated balance. This

1	mismanagement led to an attempt to force a loan modification under fraudulent	
2	circumstances.	
3	7. Presentation of an Unrecorded Instrument (Idaho Code § 55-809): The instrument acted	
4	upon by the Plaintiff was unrecorded and invalid, further demonstrating their lack of	
5	legal standing.	
6	8. Clouded Title (Idaho Code § 6-401, Quiet Title Action): The title to the property is clouded	
7	due to the unlawful foreclosure and sale, invalidating the Plaintiff's claims in this	
8	eviction action.	
9	9. Ongoing Investigations: There are ongoing investigations with the Lewiston Police	
10	Department (LPD), the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), and the Office of	
11	the Inspector General for the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) concerning the	
12	fraudulent actions related to this case.	
13		
14	WHEREFORE, Defendant respectfully requests that this court:	
15	1. Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint for Eviction with prejudice;	
16	2. Deny Plaintiff's request for attorney's fees and costs;	
17	3. Grant Defendant any other relief that the court deems just and proper.	
18		
19	Reservation of Rights to Amend, Raise Additional Defenses, and Pursue Counterclaims	
20	Given the complexity of the issues involved and the Defendant's inability to secure legal	
21	representation due to widespread conflicts of interest and the specialized nature of this case,	
22	the Defendant, Jeremy L. Bass, expressly reserves the following rights:	
23		

1. Right to Amend and Raise Additional Defenses: The Defendant reserves the right to amend this response and to raise additional affirmative defenses as further evidence, legal theories, or circumstances may arise. This reservation includes, but is not limited to, the right to assert defenses related to any procedural deficiencies, fraud, conflicts of interest, or any other legal or equitable grounds that may become apparent through ongoing investigation or discovery. The failure to assert any specific defenses or claims in this initial response shall not be construed as a waiver of the right to raise such defenses or claims at a later time.

10

11

12

13

14

15

8

2. Right to Pursue Counterclaims and Cross-Claims: The Defendant reserves the right to file counterclaims, cross-claims, or third-party claims against any party, including but not limited to the Plaintiff, any associated entities, or any individuals involved in the foreclosure process or the subsequent legal proceedings. This reservation includes the right to seek damages, injunctive relief, or any other legal or equitable remedy available under the Idaho Rules of Civil Procedure or applicable federal law.

16

17

18

19

20

3. Right to Seek Sanctions and Attorney's Fees: The Defendant reserves the right to seek sanctions, attorney's fees, and costs associated with defending against this action, especially if it is determined that the Plaintiff or any other party has engaged in frivolous, malicious, or bad-faith litigation practices.

21

22

23

4. Right to Supplement or Modify Legal Arguments: The Defendant reserves the right to supplement, amend, or modify this response as justice may require, including the

1	addition of new legal arguments or defenses based on ongoing legal research, discovery,
2	or evolving case law.
3	
4	These reservations are made under the Idaho Rules of Civil Procedure and any applicable
5	federal or state laws, ensuring that the Defendant's rights are fully protected throughout the
6	course of this litigation.
7	
8	The Defendant expressly reserves all rights and remedies that may be available now or in
9	the future, and nothing in this reservation shall be construed as a waiver of any such rights or
10	remedies known or unknown.
	TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
11	STATUTES:
12 13 14 15 16 17	Idaho Code § 18-2601, EVIDENCE FALSIFIED OR CONCEALED AND WITNESSESINTIMIDATED OR BRIBED
19	US CODE:
20 21	15 U.S.C. §§ 1-2, Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S. Code § 1 - Trusts, etc., in restraint of trade illegal; penalty
22	RULES:
23	Idaho Rules of Professional Conduct 1.7, CONFLICT OF INTEREST
	Dated this <u>13</u> day of August 2024.
	Respectfully submitted, Jeremy L. Bass Defendant/ Pro Se
	Signature
	· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING I certify that I have sent by email and first-class mail this RESPONSE TO SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT to Plaintiffs on August 13th, 2024, at the following email address and postal address:			
Email: lewis@hwmlawfirm.com Postal: Lewis N. Stoddard, Bar No. 7766 Halliday, Watkins & Mann, P.C. 376 East 400 South, Suite 300 Salt Lake City, UT 84111			
J [eremy L. Bass Defendant		

I	Signature	
ACKNOWLEDGMENT STATE OF IDAHO)		
: SS. County of NEZ PERCE COUNT	Υ)	
On the _13 day ofAugust, 2024, before me, the undersigned Notary Public, personally appearedJeremy Bass, known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged to me that s/he executed the same. N WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand and seal the day and year as above written.		
Notary Public for Idaho Residing at		
Residing at	Commission Expires:	