9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Jeremy L. Bass, Perforce Pro Se 1515 21<sup>st</sup> Ave Lewiston, ID 83501-3926 Ph: 208-549-9584 Quantum.J.L.Bass@RAWdeal.io

# IN THE DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT FOR THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR NEZ PERCE COUNTY

DPW Enterprises LLC and Mountain Prime 2018 LLC,

Plaintiff,

VS.

Jeremy L. Bass, Dwayne Pike, and Current occupant, and Unknown Parties in Possession of the real property commonly known as 1515 21st Avenue, Lewiston, Idaho 83501

Defendants.

Case No. CV35-24-1063

# MOTION FOR STAY UNTIL FINAL JUDGMENT AFTER RECONSIDERATION AND APPEAL

#### **DEMAND FOR JURY**

COMES NOW the Defendant Jeremy L. Bass, (hereinafter "Defendant Bass"), Perforce Pro Se, and hereby submit to the Honorable Court's a *MOTION FOR STAY UNTIL FINAL JUDGMENT AFTER RECONSIDERATION AND APPEAL* and respectfully moves this Court for an order staying the enforcement of its interlocutory order granting summary judgment in favor of the Plaintiffs. This stay is requested until a final judgment is rendered, covering the period necessary for reconsideration and any subsequent appeals. This motion is based on the following grounds:

## **I. Basis for Stay**

#### 1. Preservation of Rights During Pending Reconsideration and Appeal:

- This stay seeks to prevent premature and potentially irreparable harm to Defendant. The Court's ruling raises substantive legal issues that warrant reconsideration and, if necessary, appeal.
- Defendant's arguments hinge on the controlling question of law regarding the statutory requirements for "good faith for value" under *Idaho Code § 45-1508*. If the current interpretation is found to be in error, the harm incurred by enforcing the current ruling would be substantial and unjust.

1

2

5

4

7 8

9

11

1213

14

16

15

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

### 2. <u>Irreparable Harm to Defendant:</u>

 Without a stay, Defendant will face immediate eviction, risking loss of property and significant financial harm before a complete legal review of the summary judgment order.
 The need for a stay is underscored by the unfairness of enforcing a judgment based on a misinterpretation of statutory provisions designed to protect both homeowners and purchasers. The stay imposes no meaningful additional injury to the Plaintiff's.

# 3. <u>Balance of Equities:</u>

A stay will prevent harm to Defendant while causing minimal disruption to Plaintiffs, who
have other recourses and remedies that do not require immediate possession. The
equities favor preserving the status quo until a comprehensive judicial review is
complete.

#### II. Procedural Grounds for Filing

The ability to request a stay on enforcement of an interlocutory order is provided by *Idaho*\*Rule of Civil Procedure 62(b):

- *Idaho Rule of Civil Procedure 62(b)* (Stay of Proceedings to Enforce a Judgment):
  - o Provision: *IRCP 62(b)* allows a party to request a stay on enforcing a judgment or order pending the disposition of a motion, such as a motion for reconsideration.
  - Purpose: This stay is intended to prevent enforcement actions, such as eviction or property transfer, that could cause irreparable harm while the court reviews a potentially reversible order.
  - o Application: Defendant's request for a stay under *IRCP 62(b)* is aimed at maintaining the status quo while the reconsideration process (and any potential appeal) is ongoing. This rule empowers the court to hold off on enforcing its order until all available relief avenues, including reconsideration and appeals, are exhausted.
- Supporting Language for Filing:

1	o IRCP 62(b) ensures that the Defendant is not subjected to potentially premature
2	enforcement, allowing time for complete judicial review. The stay motion is therefore
3	properly based on IRCP 62(b), which authorizes a temporary suspension of enforcement
<b>,</b>	actions under pending motions.
5	III. Relief Sought
ĵ	Defendant Jeremy L. Bass respectfully requests that this Court grant a stay of enforcement
7	pending the resolution of the Motion for Reconsideration and any necessary appeals.
	Dated this <u>6<sup>th</sup></u> day of November 2024. Respectfully submitted, Jeremy L. Bass Defendant/ Perforce Pro Se
	Signature
	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING  I certify that I have sent by email and first-class mail this <i>MOTION FOR STAY UNTIL FINAL JUDGMENT AFTER RECONSIDERATION AND APPEAL</i> to Plaintiffs and Co-Defendant's counsel on November 6 <sup>th</sup> , 2024, at the following email address and postal address:
	Email: lewis@hwmlawfirm.com [✓] Postal: Lewis N. Stoddard, Bar No. 7766 [ ] Halliday, Watkins & Mann, P.C. 376 East 400 South, Suite 300 Salt Lake City, UT 84111    Ken Nagy   Idaho Legal Aid Services, Inc.   Email: kennagy@idaholegalaid.org   [✓]   Counsel for Dwayne Pike
	Defendant/ Perforce Pro Se
	Signature

ACKNOWLEDGMENT	
STATE OF IDAHO )	
: ss. County of NEZ PERCE )	
On the <u>6<sup>th</sup></u> day of <u>November</u> , 2024, before me, the undersigned Notary Public,	
personally appeared <u>Jeremy Bass</u> , known to me to be the person whose name is subscribe	h
to the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged to me that s/he executed the same.	·u
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand and seal the day and year as above written.	
Notary Public for Idaho	
Deciding at	
Residing at	
Commission Expires:	