ORAL ARGUMENT PREPARATION DOCUMENT

IN THE IDAHO SUPREME COURT

Docket No. 52552-2024

DPW ENTERPRISES LLC and MOUNTAIN PRIME 2018 LLC v. JEREMY L. BASS, et al.

Case No. CV35-24-1063

I. ARGUMENT STRUCTURE AND TIME ALLOCATION

A. Opening Statement (2-3 minutes)

- 1. May it please the Court, I am [ATTORNEY NAME] representing the Appellant, Jeremy L. Bass.
- 2. This appeal presents three critical issues:
 - The District Court's premature grant of summary judgment without allowing essential discovery
 - Fundamental violations of procedural due process
 - Misapplication of Idaho Code § 45-1508's good faith purchaser protections

B. Primary Arguments (23-24 minutes)

- 1. Summary Judgment and Discovery (8-10 minutes)
- a. Rule 56(d) Motion Denial
 - Demonstrate specific discovery needs related to:
 - Pre-auction communications
 - Trustee compliance documentation
 - Witness statements regarding auction irregularities
- b. Material Facts in Dispute
 - Video evidence of auction irregularities
 - Documentary evidence of pre-printed bid amounts
 - Witness testimony regarding trustee collusion
- 2. Procedural Due Process (5-7 minutes)
- a. Constitutional Requirements
 - Article I § 13 of Idaho Constitution

- Fourteenth Amendment protections
- b. Evidence Exclusion Impact
 - Prejudicial effect on fundamental property rights
 - Denial of meaningful opportunity to present defense
- 3. Statutory Interpretation (5-7 minutes)
- a. Idaho Code § 45-1508 Analysis
 - Legislative intent and statutory construction
 - Prerequisites for good faith purchaser status
- b. Procedural Violations
 - Impact on sale validity
 - Relationship to statutory protections

C. Rebuttal Reserve (5 minutes)

- 1. Primary focus on:
 - Responding to statutory interpretation arguments
 - Addressing factual mischaracterizations
 - Reinforcing procedural violations

II. EXHIBIT PREPARATION

A. Visual Aids

- 1. Auction Video Evidence
 - Timestamp references: [SPECIFIC TIMES]
 - Key moments highlighting irregularities
 - Technical requirements for courtroom presentation
- 2. Documentary Evidence
 - Email communications (chronological order)
 - Trustee deed discrepancies
 - Notice requirement documentation

B. Case Authority References

1. Primary Cases

- Trotter v. Bank of N.Y. Mellon
- Mathews v. Eldridge
- Jenkins v. Boise Cascade Corp.

2. Supporting Authority

- Idaho Code § 45-1506
- Idaho Code § 45-1508
- Idaho Rule of Civil Procedure 56(d)

III. ANTICIPATED QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES

A. Procedural Questions

- 1. Standard of Review
 - De novo review for summary judgment
 - Abuse of discretion for discovery matters

2. Preservation of Issues

- Rule 56(d) motion filing
- Objections to evidence exclusion
- Constitutional claims raised below

B. Substantive Questions

- 1. Good Faith Purchaser Status
 - Elements required under statute
 - Evidence of non-compliance
 - Impact of procedural violations

2. Discovery Necessity

- Specific documents sought
- Relevance to material facts
- Prejudice from denial

IV. CONCLUSION (2-3 minutes)

A. Relief Requested

1. Reverse summary judgment

- 2. Remand for discovery
- 3. Award costs and fees

B. Key Points Emphasis

- 1. Procedural fairness requirements
- 2. Constitutional protections
- 3. Statutory compliance necessity

V. TECHNICAL TERMS AND DEFINITIONS

A. Legal Terminology

- 1. Void ab initio
 - Definition: Legally void from inception
 - Application to trustee sale context
 - Relevant case law support
- 2. Good Faith Purchaser
 - Statutory definition under Idaho Code § 45-1508
 - Elements required for protection
 - Burden of proof requirements
- 3. Procedural Due Process
 - Constitutional foundations
 - Idaho-specific requirements
 - Application in foreclosure context

B. Specialized Concepts

- 1. Pre-Auction Collusion
 - Legal definition and elements
 - Evidence standards
 - Impact on sale validity
- 2. Rule 56(d) Requirements
 - Procedural prerequisites
 - Timing considerations

Specificity requirements

VI. CONTINGENCY PLANNING

A. Alternative Arguments

- 1. Primary Position
 - Complete reversal of summary judgment
 - Full discovery rights
 - Constitutional violations
- 2. Secondary Position
 - Limited remand for specific discovery
 - Partial invalidation of sale
 - Procedural safeguards implementation

B. Factual Clarifications

- 1. Timeline of Events
 - Pre-auction activities: [DATES]
 - Discovery requests: [DATES]
 - Court rulings: [DATES]
- 2. Documentary Support
 - Record citations
 - Exhibit references
 - Witness statements

VII. PROCEDURAL SAFEGUARDS

A. Time Management

- 1. Timing Signals
 - Yellow light response protocol
 - Reserved time tracking
 - Priority point completion
- 2. Point Preservation
 - Essential elements for each argument

- Minimum necessary presentation
- Fall-back positions

B. Panel Interaction

- 1. Question Integration
 - Immediate acknowledgment protocol
 - Direct answer requirement
 - Transition back to outline
- 2. Respectful Disagreement
 - Acknowledgment of court's concern
 - Alternative analysis presentation
 - Supporting authority citation

VIII. EMERGENCY PROCEDURES

A. Technical Issues

- 1. Exhibit Malfunction
 - Verbal description backup
 - Written summaries
 - Alternative presentation methods
- 2. Time Management Issues
 - Priority point identification
 - Abbreviated argument versions
 - Essential conclusion elements

B. Unexpected Challenges

- 1. New Authority
 - Distinguished case handling
 - Statutory interpretation alternatives
 - Policy consideration responses
- 2. Factual Disputes
 - Record citation preparation

- Alternative support identification
- Clarification procedures

IX. POST-ARGUMENT PROCEDURES

A. Follow-up Submissions

- 1. Citation of Additional Authority
 - Idaho Appellate Rule compliance
 - Timing requirements
 - Format specifications
- 2. Supplemental Briefing
 - Court request response
 - Voluntary submission protocol
 - Page limit compliance

B. Order Implementation

- 1. Favorable Ruling
 - Proposed order preparation
 - Implementation timeline
 - Compliance verification
- 2. Adverse Ruling
 - Reconsideration standards
 - Alternative relief options
 - Further appeal considerations