9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Jeremy L. Bass, Perforce Pro Se 1515 21st Ave Lewiston, ID 83501-3926 Ph: 208-549-9584 Quantum.J.L.Bass@RAWdeal.io

Section Districts

2024 NOV -6 P 3: 05

IN THE DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT
FOR THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR NEZ PERCE COUNTY. WEEKS
LERK OF THE DIST COURT

DIANE ASH

DPW Enterprises LLC and Mountain Prime 2018 LLC,

Plaintiff.

VS

Jeremy L. Bass, Dwayne Pike, and Current occupant, and Unknown Parties in Possession of the real property commonly known as 1515 21st Avenue, Lewiston, Idaho 83501

Defendants.

Case No. CV35-24-1063

MOTION FOR STAY UNTIL FINAL JUDGMENT AFTER RECONSIDERATION AND APPEAL

DEMAND FOR JURY

COMES NOW the Defendant Jeremy L. Bass, (hereinafter "Defendant Bass"), Perforce Pro Se, and hereby submit to the Honorable Court's a MOTION FOR STAY UNTIL FINAL JUDGMENT AFTER RECONSIDERATION AND APPEAL and respectfully moves this Court for an order staying the enforcement of its interlocutory order granting summary judgment in favor of the Plaintiffs. This stay is requested until a final judgment is rendered, covering the period necessary for reconsideration and any subsequent appeals. This motion is based on the following grounds:

I. Basis for Stay

1. Preservation of Rights During Pending Reconsideration and Appeal:

- This stay seeks to prevent premature and potentially irreparable harm to Defendant. The Court's ruling raises substantive legal issues that warrant reconsideration and, if necessary, appeal.
- Defendant's arguments hinge on the controlling question of law regarding the statutory requirements for "good faith for value" under Idaho Code § 45-1508. If the current interpretation is found to be in error, the harm incurred by enforcing the current ruling would be substantial and unjust.

1

2

5

6 7

8

10 11

12

14

13

15 16

17

19

18

20

21

22

2324

25

2. Irreparable Harm to Defendant:

 Without a stay, Defendant will face immediate eviction, risking loss of property and significant financial harm before a complete legal review of the summary judgment order.
 The need for a stay is underscored by the unfairness of enforcing a judgment based on a misinterpretation of statutory provisions designed to protect both homeowners and purchasers. The stay imposes no meaningful additional injury to the Plaintiff's.

3. Balance of Equities:

A stay will prevent harm to Defendant while causing minimal disruption to Plaintiffs, who
have other recourses and remedies that do not require immediate possession. The
equities favor preserving the status quo until a comprehensive judicial review is
complete.

II. Procedural Grounds for Filing

The ability to request a stay on enforcement of an interlocutory order is provided by *Idaho*Rule of Civil Procedure 62(b):

- Idaho Rule of Civil Procedure 62(b) (Stay of Proceedings to Enforce a Judgment):
 - o Provision: *IRCP 62(b)* allows a party to request a stay on enforcing a judgment or order pending the disposition of a motion, such as a motion for reconsideration.
 - Purpose: This stay is intended to prevent enforcement actions, such as eviction or property transfer, that could cause irreparable harm while the court reviews a potentially reversible order.
 - Application: Defendant's request for a stay under *IRCP 62(b)* is aimed at maintaining the status quo while the reconsideration process (and any potential appeal) is ongoing. This rule empowers the court to hold off on enforcing its order until all available relief avenues, including reconsideration and appeals, are exhausted.
- Supporting Language for Filing:

1	o IRCP 62(b) ensures that the Defendant is not subjected to potentially premature					
2	enforcement, allowing time for complete judicial review. The stay motion is therefore					
3	properly based on IRCP 62(b), which authorizes a temporary suspension of enforcement					
4	actions under pending motions.					
5	III. Relief Sought					
5	Defendant Jeremy L. Bass respectfully requests that this Court grant a stay of enforcement					
7	pending the resolution of the Motion for Reconsideration and any necessary appeals.					
	Dated this _6th_ day of November 2024. Respectfully submitted, Jeremy L. Bass Defendant/ Perforce Pro Se Signature					
	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING I certify that I have sent by email and first-class mail this MOTION FOR STAY UNTIL FINAL JUDGMENT AFTER RECONSIDERATION AND APPEAL to Plaintiffs and Co-Defendant's counsel on November 6 th , 2024, at the following email address and postal address: Email: lewis@hwmlawfirm.com [] Ken Nagy Postal: Lewis N. Stoddard, Bar No. 7766 [] Idaho Legal Aid Services, Inc. Halliday, Watkins & Mann, P.C. 376 East 400 South, Suite 300 Counsel for Dwayne Pike					
	Salt Lake City, UT 84111 Jeremy L. Bass Defendant/ Perforce Pro Se					
	Signature					

LACKNOW! EDCMENT	JENN	E HAUER		
ACKNOWLEDGMENT STATE OF IDAHO)	COMM.	#20190160 RY PUBLIC OF IDAHO		
: ss. County of NEZ PERCE)				
On the <u>6th</u> day of <u>Nove</u> personally appeared <u>Jeremy Bass</u>				
to the foregoing instrument, and acl	knowledged to r	ne that s/he execut	ted the same.	
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my	nand and seal	the day and year as	above written.	
Notary Public for Idaho				
Residing at OwiSton				
	Со	mmission Expires: _	V186/1	025
1				
3 :				
3 !.				
Fi				