Jeremy L. Bass, Pro Se 1515 21st Ave Lewiston, ID 83501-3926 Ph: 208-549-9584 Quantum.J.L.Bass@RAWdeal.io

IN THE DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT FOR THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR NEZ PERCE COUNTY

COMES NOW Plaintiff, JEREMY L. BASS, to present an Expert

Witness Report. Pursuant to the Federal Rules of Evidence 702,

I, Jeremy L. Bass, Pro Se, submit this Expert Witness Report to

provide my expert opinion on the authenticity of the signature

in question, which is purportedly mine, in connection with the

JEREMY L. BASS,

Plaintiff,

VS.

MICHAEL J. NEWELL, c/o IDEA Law Group, LLC, ESQ, CARRINGTON MORTGAGE SERVICES, LLC, BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., RECONTRUST COMPANY, N.A., DOE I-X

Case No. CV35221875

EXPERT WITNESS REPORT OF JEREMY L. BASS

DEMAND FOR JURY

Defendants.

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

I. Qualifications and Expertise

19

Amended Complaint in the referenced case.

1 As a recognized expert in digital technologies and graphic 2 arts, my 30-year career has provided me with extensive experience in areas such as forensic document examination, 3 digital forensics, and signature analysis. My curriculum vitae 4 (CV), attached as EXHIBIT AC, demonstrates my professional 5 achievements, including high-profile collaborations and a 6 7 history of working with sensitive financial and emergency systems. My expertise is further evidenced by my tenure as the 8 Lead Senior Full Stack DevSecOps for Finance and Administration at Washington State University. 10

11

12

13

14

15

II. Scope of Investigation and Methodology

To determine the authenticity of the questioned signature,
I conducted a comprehensive examination using the following
industry-standard methods:

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Visual inspection and magnification of the original document(s) containing the signature in question;
- Comparative analysis of the questioned signature with multiple known genuine signatures;
- 3. Assessment of signature characteristics, such as slant, pen pressure, and letter formation;
- 4. Evaluation of the presence or absence of natural variation;

5. Utilization of advanced digital forensic tools to detect 1 2 signs of tampering or manipulation. 3 III. Expert Opinion and Analysis 4 Upon completion of the investigation, I have formed the 5 following expert opinion based on the gathered evidence and my 6 7 expertise in signature analysis: 8 The questioned signature is highly likely not authentic and may be a forgery. The signature exhibits several significant 10 discrepancies when compared to my known genuine signatures, 11 12 including but not limited to: 13 14 1. The slant of the signature differs markedly from the consistent slant observed in my genuine signatures; 15 2. The strokes in the questioned signature is inconsistent 16 and lacks the uniformity found in my authentic signatures; 17 3. The formation of certain letters in the questioned 18 signature deviates from the established patterns observed 19 in my genuine signatures; Most notably the 'B' 20

4. The guestioned signature lacks the natural variation that

is expected and consistently present in my genuine

signatures.

21

22

23

In addition to the above discrepancies, my digital forensic examination uncovered signs of potential tampering or manipulation within the document containing the questioned signature. Notable is that the signatures are highly pixelated, more so then any surrounding text and the signature lines have a break which can happen when a digital copy paste is done as a white 'halo' will sometime be present on bad cropping or pasting. Although that is not a great indicator on its own it does add to the mountain of tells in these signatures purported to be Mr. Bass' own hand that produced them. These findings raise serious concerns about the authenticity of the signature and the integrity of the document as a whole.

The most damming aspect of the signature in question is that there is no `a` in the last name. It's appearance is very much looking like `Bss`. Mr. Bass is very confident in the fact that he knows how to spell his last name. His father gave it to him, passed down from generations, too far back to trace; he may never make it famous, but he'll never bring it shame, it's his last name.

IV. Conclusion

Based on the thorough examination, comparative analysis, and application of my expertise in signature and document analysis, I conclude that the questioned signature is highly likely not authentic and may be a forgery. This conclusion is supported by the substantial discrepancies observed between the questioned signature and my known genuine signatures, as well as the potential tampering or manipulation detected in the document. The only way to refute this analysis is for an examination of the wet inked copy to be carried out.

In accordance with the Daubert Standard, which has been adopted in Idaho and governs the admissibility of expert testimony, my expert opinion is based on relevant and reliable methods, procedures, and principles that are generally accepted within the field of signature and document analysis.

Furthermore, the General Electric Co. v. Joiner and Kumho Tire Co. v. Carmichael cases emphasize the role of trial judges as gatekeepers in determining the admissibility of expert testimony, including both scientific and non-scientific expert evidence.

Under Federal Rules of Evidence 704(a), my expert opinion is offered to assist the trier of fact in this case and is not

objectionable simply because it embraces an ultimate issue. It is important to note that the ultimate determination of whether the signature is authentic or forged will be made by the judge or jury.

V. Disclosure of Facts, Data, and Expert Witness Discovery

In compliance with IRCP 26(b)(5) and Federal Rules of Evidence 705, I have disclosed the following information to facilitate the discovery process for expert witnesses:

 My qualifications, including my curriculum vitae (CV), which provides a detailed account of my education, work experience, and professional accomplishments (attached as EXHIBIT AC).

2. The subject matter of my testimony, which pertains to the authenticity of the questioned signature, its comparison with known genuine signatures, and the detection of any potential tampering or manipulation in the document.

3. A summary of the facts and data underlying my expert opinion, including the methods and procedures used in my

1	investigation, such as visual inspection, magnification,
2	comparative analysis, assessment of signature
3	characteristics, and digital forensic tools.
4	
5	In accordance with Federal Rules of Evidence 705, I am
6	prepared to disclose the specific facts or data underlying my
7	expert opinion during my testimony, should the court or opposing
8	counsel require further clarification or elaboration.
9	
10	This Expert Witness Report is submitted in conjunction with
11	the Amended Complaint and supporting documents filed in the case
12	of J.Bass v. BoA, Case No. CV35221875. Please do not hesitate to
13	contact me if you need additional information or clarification
14	regarding the disclosure of facts, data, and expert witness
15	discovery in connection with my Expert Witness Report.
16	
	Dated this <u>24</u> day of March 2023.
	Respectfully submitted,
	Jeremy L. Bass Plaintiff/ Pro Se
	Signaturo

Signature

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I certify that I have sent by email and first-class mail this OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS AND STRIKE SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT to Defendants on March 24th, 2023, at the following email address and postal address:

Email: mnewell@idealawgroupllc.com Postal: Michael J. Newell ISBA #1953

IDEA Law Group, LLC

4530 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 10

Las Vegas, NV 89119

Postal: BANK OF AMERICA, N.A

C T CORPORATION SYSTEM 1555 W SHORELINE DR

STE 100

BOISE, ID 83702

Postal: CARRINGTON MORTGAGE SERVICES

C T CORPORATION SYSTEM 1555 W SHORELINE DR

STE 100

BOISE, ID 83702

Jeremy L. Bass Plaintiff

Signature