# IN THE DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT FOR THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR NEZ PERCE COUNTY

JEREMY L. BASS,

Plaintiff,

VS.

MICHAEL J. NEWELL, c/o IDEA Law Group, LLC, ESQ, CARRINGTON MORTGAGE SERVICES, LLC, BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., RECONTRUST COMPANY, N.A., DOE I-X

**DEMAND FOR JURY** 

OF CO-COUNSEL

Case No. CV35221875

**MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT** 

Defendants.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

8

#### 1. Introduction

COMES NOW Plaintiff, JEREMY L. BASS, a pro se litigant, respectfully moves the Court for the appointment of cocumsel to assist in the representation of their case. The Plaintiff faces challenges in navigating the complexities of the legal system and competing against experienced opposing counsel. This motion is grounded in the principles of fairness, equal access to justice, and effective representation for the Plaintiff.

## 2. Legal Authorities and Grounds for Appointment of Co-Counsel

The following legal authorities support the appointment of co-counsel for the Plaintiff:

- I. U.S. Constitution:
  - a. Fifth Amendment Guaranteeing due process of law.
  - b. Fourteenth Amendment Ensuring that no state shall deprive any person of life, liberty, or property without due process of law.
- II. Idaho Code:
- a. Idaho Code § 7-610 Allowing the court to appoint counsel for an indigent person in civil cases.
- 12 | III. Case Law:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- a. Lassiter v. Department of Social Services, 452 U.S. 18(1981) Recognizing that due process may requireappointment of counsel in certain civil cases.
  - b. In re Marriage of Cabrera, 122 Idaho 156, 159 (1991) -Noting that the appointment of counsel for indigent persons in civil matters is within the discretion of the court.
  - c. Bounds v. Smith, 430 U.S. 817 (1977) Establishing the right to access legal resources and assistance for incarcerated individuals.
- d. Airey v. Ireland, 32 Eur. Ct. H.R. (ser. A) (1979) 
  Holding that lack of legal assistance in civil cases may

- impair effective access to court when the case involves
  complex legal issues or when the litigant is at a
  significant disadvantage.
  - e. Smith v. Williams, 782 F.2d 867 (9th Cir. 1986) 
    Recognizing that pro se litigants are at a disadvantage due
    to their unfamiliarity with the rules of procedure and
    substantive law.
  - f. Turner v. Rogers, 564 U.S. 431 (2011) Acknowledging that certain procedural safeguards may be required for unrepresented litigants in civil cases.

### 3. Argument for Appointment of Co-Counsel

- I. The Plaintiff faces challenges due to their pro se status, which places them at a significant disadvantage against experienced opposing counsel. Furthermore, the Plaintiff lacks access to legal resources such as PACER, which hinders their ability to effectively research and prepare their case.
- II. The appointment of co-counsel would help level the playing field by providing the Plaintiff with limited assistance in understanding complex legal issues, navigating procedural rules, and accessing essential legal resources. This would ensure that the Plaintiff's right to due process and equal access to justice is protected.

- III. The appointment of co-counsel would not shift full responsibility for the case from the Plaintiff to the appointed attorney. The co-counsel would serve in an advisory role for limited periods, such as a few hours of consultation or during pretrial sessions. This approach would maintain the spirit of pro se litigation, as the Plaintiff would continue to bear primary responsibility for their case.
- IV. The Court has discretion to appoint co-counsel for indigent litigants in civil matters under Idaho Code § 7-610 and in accordance with the legal authorities discussed above. The appointment of co-counsel would serve the interests of justice by addressing the Plaintiff's disadvantages in terms of legal knowledge, experience, and access to resources.
- V. By analogy, courts have recognized the need to provide assistance to individuals with mental disabilities or impairments in civil proceedings. This rationale supports the appointment of co-counsel for the Plaintiff, who faces similar disadvantages as a pro se litigant lacking the tools and experience of opposing counsel.

### 4. Conclusion

I. In light of the foregoing, the Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court exercise its discretion and appoint co-counsel to assist in the representation of their case. By doing so, the Court would help ensure that the Plaintiff's right to due process and equal access to justice is protected, while maintaining the spirit of pro se litigation. This appointment would serve the interests of justice by addressing the Plaintiff's disadvantages in terms of legal knowledge, experience, and access to resources, thus creating a more equitable legal environment for all parties involved.

Dated this <u>28</u> day of March 2023.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeremy L. Bass Plaintiff/ Pro Se

Signature

#### TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

1	CASES:
3 4 5 6	Airey v. Ireland, 32 Eur. Ct. H.R. (ser. A) (1979)
8	STATUTES:
9	Idaho Code § 7-610 2, 4
.0	U.S. CONSTITUTION:

2	Fifth Amendment				
3	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING  I certify that I have sent by email and first-class this OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS AND SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT to Defendants on March 24th, 2023, a following email address and postal address:				
		mnewell@idealawgroup Michael J. Newell IS IDEA Law Group, LLC 4530 S. Eastern Ave Las Vegas, NV 89119	SBA #1953		
	Postal:	BANK OF AMERICA, N.A C T CORPORATION SYST 1555 W SHORELINE DR STE 100 BOISE, ID 83702			
Postal: CARRINGTON MORTGAGE SERVICES C T CORPORATION SYSTEM 1555 W SHORELINE DR STE 100 BOISE, ID 83702					
			Jeremy L. Bass Plaintiff		
				Signature	