
Amicus Curiae Brief Opportunity: A Perfect Vehicle for Supporting Perforce Pro Se Litigants and Protecting Homeowners From Equity Theft by Banks

From Quantum <quantum.j.l.bass@RAWdeal.io>

Date Sun 2025-01-05 11:21 AM

To admin@acluidaho.org <admin@acluidaho.org>

Bcc jeremybass26 <jeremybass26@gmail.com>

To whom it may concern,

I hope this message finds you well. My name is Jeremy Bass, and I am reaching out as a Perforce Pro Se regarding a pivotal legal case that could catalyze meaningful changes in the justice system, particularly for pro se litigants like myself, and massive changes to the housing market and maybe even help fix the housing crisis.

I am currently involved in an appeal concerning a foreclosure case that stems from a massive fraud I uncovered that was missed in the 2008 crash that could be used to push for impactful changes as the case underscores the systemic barriers faced by pro se litigants, including lack of access to counsel and procedural pitfalls that often disadvantage those unable to afford legal representation. My case involves substantial issues of fairness and due process, with broader implications for justice and equity:

- **Key Issue:** Despite diligent efforts, I have been unable to find legal representation due to systemic conflicts of interest, leaving me to navigate complex legal proceedings alone. This has highlighted a critical gap in our system—one that leaves countless individuals vulnerable to procedural dismissals and unfair judgments, even when their cases have merit.
- **Fraud Validation Question:** The case also raises an alarming question: “Is there any circumstance where demonstrable fraud—verified through evidence—can be validated or enforced through the courts in a manner that undermines justice?” This question goes to the heart of public trust in the judicial system and the court's role in upholding fairness and integrity.
- **Opportunity for Reform:** This case offers a vehicle for the ACLU to champion the appointment of counsel—or at least mandatory document review—for pro se litigants in circumstances where representation is unattainable. This would not only level the playing field but also ensure that courts are not burdened with avoidable procedural inefficiencies.
- **Broad Implications:** By filing an amicus curiae brief, the ACLU could advocate for the certification of critical questions that challenge systemic inequities, such as:
 - Should courts be required to provide limited counsel or review services when a pro se litigant cannot secure representation?
 - Does denying pro se litigants access to fair procedural safeguards violate constitutional guarantees of due process and equal protection?
 - Can demonstrable fraud ever be lawfully validated by a court, and under what circumstances, if any?
 - There are a few more that I intend to bring before the courts, but the goal is to frame things better for equal access to justice.

Given the ACLU's dedication to advancing civil liberties and equal justice, I believe this case aligns perfectly with your mission. I would greatly appreciate the opportunity to discuss it further and explore

the possibility of your support through an amicus brief or other involvement.

Please feel free to contact me at Quantum.J.L.Bass@RAWdeal.io or 208-549-9584 at your earliest convenience. I am confident that this case provides a unique opportunity to make a lasting impact on our legal system.

Thank you for your time and consideration. I look forward to the possibility of working together to drive meaningful change.

Sincerely,
Jeremy Bass

Jeremy L. Bass

SR. [DevOps | Full Stack Engr | PM] && CEO / Founder

Quantum.J.L.Bass@RAWdeal.io

cell: **(208) 549-9584**

LinkedIn:linkedin.com/RAWdeal

github:github.com/RAWdeal

twiter:twitter.com/_RAWdeal

tiktok:tiktok.com/@quantumjlbass

READ:An email to authorities: Microsoft tried to murder me after they caused the SolarWinds breach!