

## Classical Coding Theory (N+C 10.4.1)

Consider a code encoding

$k$  logical bits

into

$n$  physical bits

This is defined by its  $n \times k$  'generator matrix',  $G$

This maps  $k$ -bit strings into their encoded  
 $n$  bit versions (known as code words)

Example 1 :  $k=1, n=3$  repetition code

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$G[0] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad G[1] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example 2 :  $k=2, n=6$  repetition code

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$G \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$G \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$G \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$G \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can also define the parity check matrix,  $H$

This is an  $(n-k) \times n$  matrix such that

$$Hx = 0$$

If  $x$  is a valid codeword

Each row is an independent parity check:  
a condition that codewords must satisfy.

Example 1:  $n=3, k=1$

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

✓  $H \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, H \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1+1+0 \\ 0+1+1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{2}$

X  $H \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, H \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, H \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Example 2:  $n=6, k=2$

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$H \begin{bmatrix} a \\ a \\ a \\ b \\ b \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a+a \\ a+a \\ b+b \\ b+b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad H \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$



The result of the parity check matrix  
is called the 'Syndrome'.

Deducing the most likely encoded logical  
from the syndrome is 'decoding'

Hamming distance between codewords is  
'distance' of the code.

Adding parity checks creates alternative forms of the parity checks.

For example : the  $n=7, k=4$  Hamming code

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Three independent checks

$$h_1 = [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1] \quad | \quad h_1 + h_2 = [0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0]$$

$$h_2 = [0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1] \quad | \quad h_1 + h_3 = [1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0]$$

$$h_3 = [1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1] \quad | \quad h_1 + h_2 + h_3 = [1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1]$$

With this we can construct an alternative parity check matrix

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (A \mid I_{n-k})$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad I_{n-k} = I_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

This is the 'standard form'

In this form

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} I_k \\ A \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{So } G \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ etc}$$

columns of  $G$  and  
sums thereof are  
codewords

clearly, for all codes

$$HG = 0$$

because the parity checks of  $H$  act on the code words of  $G$ .

This implies

$$(HG)^T = 0 \quad \therefore \quad G^T H^T = 0$$

So we could define a new code with

$$H' = G^T, \quad G' = H^T$$

This is the 'dual' of the original code

Example 1:  $k=1, n=3$

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$G' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, H' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Dual is a  $k=2, n=3$  code.

Code words:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ 00 & 10 & 01 & 11 \end{array}$$

Here we looked at examples defined  
on bits (i.e. over the field  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ )

But we could do so over any finite field.

Only generalization:

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} I_k \\ -A \end{pmatrix}$$

Codes are a bit like a lattice

$$\begin{array}{c} \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ g_0 \nearrow & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \hline g_1 \end{array} \quad G = (g_0 \ g_1)$$

$Hx = 0$  implies you are on a point

Decoding is finding the nearest point

