Deep Learning for large-scale traffic-sign detection and recognition

Summons

- 1. Argue that current olataset is too easy:
 - . small no. of classes
 - . do not exhibit property of signs in the wild:
 - · large degree of intra-category (within-category) variation
 - . Low degree of inter-category (between-category) variations
- d. Proprose modifications to Mask RCNN;
 - . Online hard-example mining (decide which ROIs to pass to the classification learning module based on classification loss)

. Select ROIs to even cover all sizes of the training objects:

- . argue that randomly scleeting ROI bias selecting large obj.
- . select the same no. of ROIs for each obj present in the ima. (Low?)

 . weight backgrounds with smaller weights:

 . Their evaluation shows that Mosk R-CNN can not achieve 100%

 . Their evaluation shows that Mosk R-CNN can not achieve 100%

 recall due to missing region proposals in certain eases.
- 3. Propose data augmentation methods (2 classes of distritions): · geometric/shape distortions (perspodue change, changes in scale)
 - . appearance distortions (variations in brightness and contract)
- 4. Propose a new dataset: 6957 images with 13239 tightly annotated toffe-sign instances corresponding to 200 categories.
- 5. They observe miss rate & recall rate as a function of N where N is the no. If top regions selected from the RPN => a good way to evaluate region proposal.
- B. They also observe miss rate & recall rate separately for all valid annotations & for small obj only.
- 7. The paper also proposes manued quantitative analysis of the occuracy of the trained detector.

Quetras

1. Ord they do ablatear for the different modifications?