

Regional growth, convergence, and spatial spillovers in India:

A view from outer space

Carlos Mendez

Sujana Kabiraj

Jiaqi Li

Using satellite nighttime light data as proxy for economic activity, Chanda and Kabiraj (2020, World Development) studied regional growth and convergence across 520 districts in India. This article builds on their work by confirming and extending their main findings in three fronts. First, we illustrate regional convergence patterns using an interactive web-based tool for satellite image visualization. Second, we assess the degree of spatial dependence in their main econometric specification. Third, we employ a spatial Durbin model to measure the role of spatial spillovers in the convergence process. Our results indicate that spatial spillovers significantly increase the speed of regional convergence. Overall, the results emphasize the role of spatial dependence in regional convergence through the lens of satellite imagery, interactive visualizations, and spillover modeling.

1 Introduction

Regional economic growth and convergence are key concerns in developing countries, particularly in large federal states like India where spatial inequalities can threaten social cohesion and political stability. However, studying regional convergence patterns in developing countries has been historically challenging due to limited availability of consistent economic data at subnational administrative levels. The emergence of satellite nighttime light data as a proxy for economic activity has created new opportunities to analyze regional growth dynamics at granular geographic scales.

In an important contribution, Chanda and Kabiraj (2020) leveraged nighttime light data to document evidence of regional convergence across 520 districts in India between 1996 and 2010. Their analysis showed that poorer districts grew faster than richer ones during this period, suggesting a gradual reduction in spatial inequalities. However, their econometric approach did not account for potential spatial spillovers in the convergence process—the possibility that a district's growth trajectory might be influenced not only by its own initial conditions but also by those of its neighbors.

In this context, this paper confirms and extends the study of Chanda and Kabiraj (2020) in three key methodological directions. First, we develop an interactive web-based visualization tool that allows researchers to dynamically explore spatial and temporal patterns in the nighttime light data. This tool facilitates the identification of converging regions and growth hotspots that may be difficult to detect in static representations. Second, we formally test for spatial dependence in both the dependent and independent variables of the convergence equations, highlighting that spatial autocorrelation is an inherent feature of satellite data and the regional convergence process. Third, we employ a spatial Durbin model that explicitly accounts for spatial spillovers, quantifying how neighborhood effects influence the speed of regional convergence.

Our results yield three main findings that advance our understanding of regional convergence in India. First, interactive visualization tools reveal clear spatial patterns in both the initial distribution and subsequent growth of nighttime lights. Second, formal tests of spatial dependence indicate that district-level economic trajectories are not independent of their neighbors. Third, accounting for spatial spillovers through the spatial Durbin model shows that the total convergence effect is substantially larger than previous non-spatial estimates would suggest. Specifically, spatial spillovers appear to accelerate the convergence process by creating additional channels through which lagging regions can catch up.

These findings have important implications for both research methodology and policy design. Methodologically, they demonstrate that conventional non-spatial approaches may significantly underestimate the speed of regional convergence by failing to account for inter-district spillovers. From a policy perspective, they suggest that the benefits of place-based development interventions may extend beyond target districts through spatial multiplier effects, potentially increasing their cost-effectiveness. The results also highlight the value of new data sources and methodological tools in advancing our understanding of regional economic dynamics in developing countries.

The rest of this article is organized as follows. Section 2 provides an overview of the data and methods, describing our use of nighttime light data as a proxy for economic activity and introducing the spatial Durbin model that forms the basis of our empirical strategy. We also detail our methodological extensions related to interactive visualizations, spatial dependence testing, and spillover modeling. Section 3 presents our empirical results, beginning with an interactive exploration of regional convergence patterns, followed by formal tests of spatial dependence, and concluding with estimates of direct and indirect convergence effects from the spatial Durbin model. Finally, Section 4 offers some concluding remarks.

2 Data and methods

2.1 Data: Nightlights as a proxy for economic activity

One of the key challenges in studying economic growth in developing countries like India is the limited availability and reliability of data on aggregate economic activity at finer administrative

levels beyond the state level. To address this issue, a growing body of literature, pioneered by Henderson, Storeygard, and Weil (2012), has utilized satellite nighttime light data as a proxy for economic activity at sub-national levels.

Nightlight data has been widely used to investigate economic growth and convergence across national and sub-national regions in various countries. For instance, Adhikari and Dhal (2020) examine the impact of decentralization on regional convergence using nightlight data, while Pinkovskiy and Sala-i-Martin (2016) use nightlight data to adjudicate between national accounts and household surveys, finding that national accounts better capture aggregate economic growth. Similarly, Lessmann and Seidel (2017) leverage nightlight data to estimate GDP per capita and income inequality globally. More broadly, Gennaioli et al. (2014) study regional convergence using per capita income data from 1,528 subnational regions across 83 countries, finding a convergence rate of about 2% per year.

Nightlight data has been widely utilized in India across various contexts. For example, Cook and Shah (2022) use nightlight data to analyze the impact of public welfare programs, while Jha and Talathi (2021) examine the effects of colonial institutions, and Chanda and Cook (2022) investigate the impact of demonetization. Beyer, Jain, and Sinha (2021) employ nightlight data to study the effects of COVID-19. In the context of convergence studies, Chakravarty and Dehejia (2019) document significant regional disparities in India using nightlight data and caution that GST may further exacerbate them, while (Chanda and Kabiraj 2020) highlights district-level convergence.

Our study draws inspiration from (Chanda and Kabiraj 2020). Following their approach, we use the per capita growth in nightlights as the dependent variable and the initial nightlights per capita as the primary variable of interest. These variables are derived from nightlight data released by the National Geophysical Data Center (NGDC), based on observations from the DMSP/OLS satellites spanning the period from 1996 to 2010. To mitigate the issue of top-coding in light data, the NGDC released “radiance-calibrated” nighttime lights for eight specific years within this period. This dataset employs high magnification settings for low-light regions and low magnification settings for brightly lit areas. For this study, we utilize the “radiance-calibrated” nighttime lights data.¹

2.2 Modeling regional convergence

In neoclassical growth models, such as the Solow model, it is argued that the per capita growth rate should be negatively correlated with a region’s initial endowment primarily due to diminishing returns to capital. Specifically, poorer regions, assuming similar technology

¹Following Chanda and Kabiraj (2020), our sample consists of 520 districts (out of a possible 593). There were 593 districts in 2001, which rose to 640 districts in the 2011 census. To match the districts among two census files, we summed up the curved-out districts with their origin districts in the 2011 census files. Eight districts among 47 new districts were created by curving out some areas from multiple districts. Those new districts along with their multiple-origin districts were dropped from our sample. We dropped all the districts in the state of Assam where more than 50% of districts were created in that manner.

and preferences, are expected to experience higher growth rates compared to their wealthier counterparts. Consequently, over the long run, regions with similar characteristics should converge to a common steady state. We would like to explore such convergence (denoted by **β -convergence**) employing a Barro-style growth regressions framework. Equation (1) analyzes absolute convergence.

$$g_t = \beta_1 x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t \quad (1)$$

where g_t represents an N -by-1 vector of observations on NTL growth for each of the N regions over the period t and x_{t-1} represents an N -by-1 vector of observations on the initial (log) level of NTL. The scalar β_1 is a regression coefficient that indicates the direction and strength of regional convergence. Finally, ε_t represents a vector of idiosyncratic error terms.

While absolute convergence implies that districts converge to a common steady state, regions may differ in various aspects such as geography, socio-economic conditions, and policy implementation. To account for these differences, we include state dummies in our analysis to examine whether heterogeneity in state-specific institutions and policies influences the rate of convergence. Additionally, we control for the initial share of unlit pixels in districts to address any potential under-coding in nightlights data.

To further explore the determinants of district-level growth rates, we incorporate a range of geo-climatic controls alongside initial district-specific conditions related to demographics, human capital, and infrastructure. Equation 1 explores conditional convergence.

$$g_t = \beta_1 x_{t-1} + X_t \alpha + \varepsilon_t \quad (2)$$

Here, the matrix X_t is a N -by- k collection of observations on control variables for each region. The vector α , with dimensions $k \times 1$, captures the regression coefficients for these variables. The control variables are listed in Table A.1 in Chanda and Kabiraj (2020).

2.3 Interactive spatial visualizations

Interactive visualizations of nighttime lights imagery offer distinct methodological advantages over static representations, particularly when analyzing temporal and spatial heterogeneity in economic activity. The dynamic nature of these visualizations enables researchers to simultaneously examine multiple dimensions of the data, including temporal variations in light intensity, the spatial distribution of economic activity, and the relationship between nighttime lights and other georeferenced variables. This interactivity is especially valuable when investigating economic phenomena that exhibit significant spatial and temporal variation, such as urbanization patterns, economic shocks, or regional development disparities. The ability to dynamically adjust visualization parameters, such as temporal ranges, spatial scales, and

light intensity thresholds, allows for more nuanced exploration of economic patterns that might be obscured in static representations.

Google Earth Engine (GEE) substantially reduces the computational and technical barriers to creating such interactive visualizations, offering a particularly advantageous platform for economic research utilizing nighttime lights data. The platform's browser-based integrated development environment facilitates the creation of interactive web applications without requiring extensive infrastructure or specialized software installation. This capability is especially valuable for reproducible research, as it enables the development of web applications that can be shared with other researchers or stakeholders, allowing them to interact with the data and verify findings independently. The platform's ability to handle large-scale geospatial computations server-side, combined with its extensive catalog of pre-processed nighttime lights datasets, including the DMSP-OLS and VIIRS collections, significantly streamlines the workflow from raw data to interactive visualization. This computational efficiency is particularly beneficial when analyzing long time series or large geographic areas, which would be computationally intensive using traditional desktop-based approaches.

Interactive visualizations of nighttime lights data are particularly instrumental in identifying and analyzing patterns of regional convergence in luminosity, providing visual insights into economic convergence processes. Through dynamic visualization tools, researchers can track the evolution of light intensity across regions over time, effectively identifying areas that exhibit catch-up growth patterns - where initially dim regions progressively converge toward the luminosity levels of their brighter counterparts.

2.4 Spatial dependence testing

The analysis of regional convergence using nighttime light data requires explicit consideration of spatial dependence patterns across Indian districts. To formally test and account for such spatial relationships, we employ the global Moran's I test and applied to the main variables of the convergence Equation 2 . The Moran's I test quantifies the degree of spatial autocorrelation among geographic units and can be expressed as:

$$I = \frac{\sum_i \sum_j w_{ij} z_i \cdot z_j / \sum_i \sum_j w_{ij}}{\sum_i z_i^2 / n}$$

where z_i represents the deviation of observation i from the mean, and w_{ij} denotes the spatial weight between units i and j . The Moran statistic can range from -1 to +1, with positive values indicating spatial clustering and negative values suggesting spatial dispersion.

The specification of the spatial weights matrix \mathbf{W} is crucial for capturing the underlying spatial structure. We primarily employ a Queen contiguity matrix defined as:

$$\mathbf{W} = \begin{bmatrix} w_{11} & w_{12} & w_{13} & \cdots & w_{1n} \\ w_{21} & w_{22} & w_{23} & \cdots & w_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & w_{ij} & \vdots \\ w_{n1} & w_{n2} & w_{n3} & \cdots & w_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $w_{ij} = 1$ for districts sharing either a border or vertex and 0 otherwise. Following standard practice, we row-normalize the weights matrix.

The detection of significant spatial dependence would justify the use of spatial econometric techniques. In particular, Ertur and Koch (2007) and Fischer (2011) argue that the spatial Durbin model can properly account for spatial spillovers in the convergence process. This methodological choice allows us to distinguish between direct effects of district characteristics and indirect effects operating through spatial channels.

2.5 Spatial spillover modeling

Our spatial spillover modeling builds upon the spatial Solow growth model developed by Ertur and Koch (2007) and Fischer (2011), which extends the traditional Solow framework to account for technological interdependence across regions. The model considers an economy of N subnational regions, each characterized by a Cobb-Douglas production function with constant returns to scale:

$$Y_{it} = A_{it} K_{it}^{\alpha_K} H_{it}^{\alpha_H} L_{it}^{1-\alpha_K-\alpha_H} \quad (3)$$

where Y_{it} represents output, K_{it} physical capital, H_{it} human capital, L_{it} labor force, and A_{it} the level of technological knowledge for region i at time t . The parameters α_K and α_H denote the output elasticities with respect to physical and human capital, respectively. A key innovation of this framework is the modeling of technological knowledge, which incorporates both internal and external factors:

$$A_{it} = \Omega_t k_{it}^\theta h_{it}^\phi \prod_{j \neq i}^N A_{jt}^{\rho W_{ij}} \quad (4)$$

This specification captures three distinct components of technological progress:

- An exogenous component (Ω_t) representing the common stock of knowledge across regions
- An embodied component ($k_{it}^\theta h_{it}^\phi$) reflecting technology embedded in physical and human capital per worker
- A spatial component ($\prod_{j \neq i}^N A_{jt}^{\rho W_{ij}}$) capturing technological interdependence between regions

Based on this theoretical framework, Ertur and Koch (2007) derived a spatial panel Durbin model that for regional spillovers across economies. Their model can be compactly written in matrix notation as:

$$g_t = \beta_1 x_{t-1} + X_t \alpha + \beta_2 Wx_{t-1} + WX_t \gamma + \lambda Wg_t + \varepsilon_t \quad (5)$$

In this model, g_t represents an N -by-1 vector of observations on NTL growth for each of the N regions over the period t and x_{t-1} represents an N -by-1 vector of observations on the initial (log) level of NTL. The scalar β_1 is a regression coefficient that indicates the direction and strength of regional convergence. The matrix X_t is a N -by- k collection of observations on control variables for each region. The vector α , with dimensions $k \times 1$, captures the regression coefficients for these variables. Additionally, WX_t denotes a $N \times k$ matrix of spatially lagged observations, composed of a linear combination of neighboring values for the variables of interest in each region. The vector γ represents the regression coefficients associated with these spatial lags. The terms Wx_{t-1} and Wg_t refer to N -by-1 vectors capturing the spatial lags of initial (log) level of NTL, and the NTL growth, respectively. Finally, ε_t represents a vector of idiosyncratic error terms.

3 Results

3.1 Regional convergence: An interactive exploration from outer space

Before presenting the regression results, we conducted three exercises to visually illustrate the concepts of growth and convergence in nightlights. Firstly, we created an interactive map of India displaying regional luminosity levels for 1996 and 2010.² Secondly, we examine absolute convergence by constructing a scatterplot that depicts the relationship between per capita growth rates in nightlights (1996–2010) and the initial per capita nightlight levels (1996) at the district level. Finally, we used case studies to emphasize the nightlight growth that occurred during our study period. Focusing on some of the poorest regions in the country, we demonstrate an increase in nighttime lights that aligns with our convergence hypothesis.

Figure 1 presents static maps (captured from our interactive maps) of luminosity for our boundary years (1996 and 2010), using the same index scale for comparison. The maps show a noticeable increase in brightness across most parts of the country in 2010. Since nightlights serve as a proxy for economic activity, this increase in luminosity reflects economic growth over the period. Figure 2 illustrates the relationship between per capita growth in nightlights and initial per capita nightlight levels. The scatter plot reveals a clear inverse relationship, indicating a β -convergence rate of 2% consistent with Barro's "Iron Law" of convergence.

²The Interactive web application is available at <https://bit.ly/india-rc-ntl>.




Figure 1: Regional luminosity in India: 1996 vs 2010 Notes: The unit of measurement for Nighttime Light (NTL) luminosity TBA. Interactive web application available at <https://bit.ly/india-rc-ntl>. Source: Pre-processed luminosity images are from the Earth Observation Group TBA.




Figure 2: Some illustrative examples of regional convergence Notes: The unit of measurement for Nighttime Light (NTL) luminosity TBA. Interactive web application available at <https://bit.ly/india-rc-ntl>. Source: Pre-processed luminosity images are from the Earth Observation Group TBA.

Next, we examine case studies of three economically disadvantaged states in India to illustrate their growth patterns over the study period. Among these, Bihar is the poorest, with a per capita income at 39.2% of the national average, while Uttar Pradesh and Chhattisgarh have per capita incomes of 43.8% and 52.3% of the national average, respectively.³ Figure 3 displays the change in luminosity in these three states during our study period. Although these states remain among the poorest, there is a noticeable increase in luminosity over the course of the study.




Figure 3: Regional luminosity convergence across districts in India Note: See Regional convergence notebook for source code. Source: Data from Chanda and Kabiraj (2000).

Source: [Regional convergence](#)

³The data is taken from a report by the Economic Advisory Council to the Prime Minister (EAC-PM), released on September 18, 2024.

3.2 Spatial dependence is a feature of the convergence process

In this study, the spatial lag terms of luminosity per capita growth and initial luminosity per capita are computed by applying the Queen contiguity weight matrix. FigureX visually presents the relationship between local luminosity per capita growth rate and initial luminosity per capita and its spatial lag term, respectively. The strong positive spatial correlations observed between the variables and their neighboring regions suggest that regional convergence is not an isolated phenomenon, but rather a process intrinsically shaped by the influence of adjacent regions. The Moran's I values of 0.644 and 0.739 for the variables, coupled with a statistically significant spatial autocorrelation in the error term, underscore the critical importance of spatial dependence in convergence research. These findings demonstrate that spatial interactions profoundly influence the convergence process, rendering it imperative to incorporate spatial effects in empirical analyses.

This is a new figure.




Figure 4: Spatial dependence in the initial level of luminosity Note: See Regional convergence notebook for source code. Source: Data from Chanda and Kabiraj (2000).

Source: [Spatial dependence](#)

This is another new figure.




Figure 5: Spatial dependence in the growth rate of luminosity Note: See Regional convergence notebook for source code. Source: Data from Chanda and Kabiraj (2000).

Source: [Spatial dependence](#)

3.3 Spatial spillovers accelerate regional convergence

The regression results of TableX provide evidence of both unconditional and conditional convergence across districts in India, with both conventional OLS and spatial econometric approaches indicating significant negative relationships between initial luminosity levels and subsequent growth rates. The direct effects, representing within-district convergence, remain remarkably stable across specifications, ranging from -0.020 to -0.026. This consistency across different model specifications and estimation methods provides evidence that poorer districts are indeed catching up to their wealthier counterparts, even after controlling for various district characteristics and state-level fixed effects.

Table 1: Unconditional and conditional convergence across districts

	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3		Model 4	
	OLS	SDM	OLS	SDM	OLS	SDM	OLS	SDM
Direct	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	0.020*** (0.002)	0.020*** (0.002)	0.022*** (0.003)	0.021*** (0.002)	0.025*** (0.003)	0.026*** (0.002)	0.025*** (0.003)	0.025*** (0.002)
Indirect	-	-0.002	-	-0.008	-	-0.008	-	-0.009*

	Model 1	Model 2		Model 3		Model 4		
		(0.004)		(0.006)		(0.006)		
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	0.020*** (0.002)	0.022*** (0.005)	0.022*** (0.003)	0.029*** (0.007)	0.025*** (0.003)	0.034*** (0.007)	0.025*** (0.003)	0.034*** (0.005)
Controls	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
State FE	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
AIC	-1945	-2278	-2413	-2457	-2211	-2345	-2469	-2462

Importantly, the spatial Durbin model uncovers significant spatial spillover effects that are masked in traditional OLS estimations. These indirect effects, which capture the influence of neighboring districts' initial conditions on a district's growth rate, become increasingly prominent and statistically significant as we add controls and state fixed effects to our specifications. In our most comprehensive model, we find a significant indirect effect of -0.009, suggesting that a district's growth trajectory is meaningfully influenced by the initial economic conditions of its neighbors. This finding highlights the importance of geographical proximity and spatial interactions in the convergence process.

The total impact of initial conditions on growth, combining both direct and spillover effects, is substantially larger when we account for spatial dependence. In our fully specified model, the total convergence effect in the spatial Durbin model (-0.034) is approximately 36% larger than the OLS estimate (-0.025). This difference, supported by better model fit statistics, indicates that conventional non-spatial approaches may significantly underestimate the speed of regional convergence by failing to capture the additional convergence channels created through spatial spillovers. These results emphasize that regional convergence in India operates not only through district-specific factors but also through significant spatial interactions between neighboring districts.

4 Discussion

4.1 Better luminosity data from VIIRS

Our analysis, following Chanda and Kabiraj (2020), relies on radiance-calibrated DMSP-OLS nighttime lights data covering the period 1996 to 2010. While this dataset has been widely validated as a proxy for economic activity (Henderson, Storeygard, and Weil 2012; Chen and Nordhaus 2011), DMSP-OLS data suffer from well-documented limitations including top-coding in bright urban cores, lack of on-board calibration leading to inter-satellite inconsistencies, and blooming artifacts that spatially blur light sources beyond their true boundaries (Abrahams, Oram, and Lozano-Gracia 2018). These measurement issues can attenuate the precision of

convergence estimates, particularly in rapidly urbanizing districts where saturation may mask growth variation.

The Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite (VIIRS) Day/Night Band, operational since 2012, represents a substantial improvement over DMSP-OLS along multiple dimensions. VIIRS offers finer spatial resolution (approximately 750 meters versus 2.7 kilometers), on-board radiometric calibration that provides consistent quantitative measurements, and a wider dynamic range that avoids saturation in urban areas while detecting dim lights in rural settlements (Elvidge et al. 2017). Comparative evaluations have shown that VIIRS explains 10 to 15 percentage points more variation in subnational economic activity than DMSP-OLS (Chen and Nordhaus 2015), and systematic assessments recommend VIIRS as the preferred product for cross-sectional and recent time-series studies (Gibson et al. 2021). Future extensions of our convergence analysis using VIIRS data could yield more precise estimates of both direct and indirect effects, particularly in districts where DMSP saturation may have compressed the measured distribution of luminosity.

A practical challenge for extending long-run convergence studies is the discontinuity between DMSP (1992–2013) and VIIRS (2012–present) sensor eras. Recent harmonization efforts, notably the global harmonized nighttime light dataset by Li et al. (2020), have created consistent 27-year time series by calibrating VIIRS observations to DMSP-equivalent units during the overlap period. Such harmonized datasets could enable the extension of our spatial Durbin analysis to more recent periods, allowing researchers to examine whether the convergence patterns and spatial spillovers documented here have persisted, accelerated, or changed in character as India’s economy has continued to transform.

4.2 New research directions

While our analysis documents the average convergence effect and its spatial spillover component, the cross-sectional regression framework does not capture potential heterogeneity in convergence patterns across the income distribution. Distribution dynamics approaches, as pioneered by Quah (1996), could reveal whether Indian districts are converging to a single steady state or forming distinct convergence clubs where districts converge within groups but diverge across them. The regression tree methods developed by Durlauf and Johnson (1995) for identifying multiple growth regimes could be combined with spatial econometric techniques (Rey and Montouri 1999) to examine whether geographic clusters of districts follow distinct convergence trajectories, potentially revealing spatial poverty traps or growth poles that are not visible in average convergence estimates.

A second important direction concerns the causal identification of the spillover channels that our spatial Durbin model captures in reduced form. While our estimates document significant indirect effects, the model does not identify whether these spillovers operate through infrastructure linkages, labor migration, technology diffusion, or market access channels. Quasi-experimental approaches, such as those employed by Asher and Novosad (2020) to study

the causal effects of rural road construction on structural transformation in India, could be embedded within spatial econometric frameworks to isolate specific spillover mechanisms. Understanding which channels drive the indirect convergence effects is critical for designing spatially targeted policies that can amplify positive spillovers.

Third, the growing availability of diverse satellite products and machine learning methods opens possibilities for richer measurement of regional economic activity. Jean et al. (2016) demonstrated that combining high-resolution daytime imagery with nighttime lights through deep learning can substantially improve poverty prediction in data-scarce settings. Similarly, Keola, Andersson, and Hall (2015) showed that integrating nighttime lights with land cover data improves economic measurement in agricultural areas where lights alone provide weak signals. As Donaldson and Storeygard (2016) emphasize, the expanding ecosystem of satellite-derived data—including vegetation indices, built-up area detection, and high-frequency mobility indicators—offers opportunities to construct multi-dimensional proxies for regional economic activity that go beyond what nighttime lights alone can capture.

4.3 Research reproducibility and open science

The complexity of satellite-based economic research—involving multi-step data processing pipelines, spatial econometric estimation, and geographic visualization—makes reproducibility both challenging and essential. As Donaldson and Storeygard (2016) note, the processing of satellite data requires careful documentation and sharing of code to ensure that results can be replicated and extended. Each methodological choice in the pipeline, from sensor inter-calibration to spatial weight matrix construction, can affect empirical conclusions (Gibson et al. 2021), underscoring the need for transparent computational workflows that allow other researchers to verify and build upon published findings.

This article adopts a reproducible research approach through Quarto’s single-source publishing framework, where a single manuscript file generates multiple output formats—interactive HTML, journal PDF, and supplementary materials—from the same source. All computational analyses are documented in embedded notebooks that readers can inspect alongside the results they produce, creating a complete chain from raw data to published findings. The interactive web-based visualization tool, built on Google Earth Engine, allows researchers to independently explore the spatial and temporal patterns in the nighttime light data, facilitating verification of our visual findings and enabling new discoveries beyond what static representations can convey.

Open-source tools and cloud computing platforms are rapidly lowering the barriers to reproducible spatial economic research. Cloud-based computational notebooks, such as those developed by Mendez and Patnaik (2024) for processing nighttime lights data, eliminate the need for specialized local software and provide accessible workflows for data ingestion, pre-processing, spatial analysis, and visualization. The combination of open data repositories, version-controlled code, and cloud computing infrastructure creates an ecosystem where the full

analytical pipeline—from satellite imagery to econometric results—can be made transparent, verifiable, and extensible by the broader research community.

5 Concluding remarks

This article examines regional convergence patterns across Indian districts using satellite nighttime light data, interactive visualizations, and spatial econometric modeling. Expanding on the work of Chanda and Kabiraj (2020), we developed an interactive web-based visualization tool that illustrates spatial and convergence patterns across Indian districts. Spatial autocorrelation tests confirm that spatial dependence is a fundamental characteristic of satellite data and the regional convergence process in India. Estimates from our spatial Durbin model indicate that incorporating spatial spillovers significantly increases the estimated speed of regional convergence. The total convergence effect in our fully specified model is approximately 36% larger than conventional non-spatial estimates of Chanda and Kabiraj (2020). This finding implies that non-spatial convergence models may considerably underestimate the speed of regional convergence. Additionally, it suggests that place-based development interventions may have broader impacts, as their benefits can extend to neighboring districts through spatial spillover effects.

These results have important implications for both research methodology and policy design in developing countries. From a methodological perspective, they highlight the value of combining new data sources, interactive geospatial visualization tools, and spatial econometric methods to better understand regional economic dynamics. From a policy standpoint, they suggest that regional convergence operates not just through district-specific factors, but through complex spatial interactions that create additional channels for catch-up growth. This spatial perspective is crucial for the analysis and design of policies aimed at reducing regional inequalities in developing countries.

- Abrahams, Alexei, Christopher Oram, and Nancy Lozano-Gracia. 2018. “Deblurring DMSP Nighttime Lights: A New Method Using Gaussian Filters and Frequencies of Illumination.” *Remote Sensing of Environment* 210: 242–58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2018.03.018>.
- Adhikari, Bibek, and Ramesh Dhalal. 2020. “Decentralization and Regional Convergence: Evidence from Nighttime Lights.” *Journal of Development Economics* 145: 102467. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2020.102467>.
- Asher, Sam, and Paul Novosad. 2020. “Rural Roads and Local Economic Development.” *American Economic Review* 110 (3): 797–823. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.20180268>.
- Beyer, Robert C. M., Tarun Jain, and Biswa N. Sinha. 2021. “Lights Out? COVID-19 Containment Policies and Economic Activity.” *Journal of Asian Economics* 74: 101318. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asieco.2021.101318>.
- Chakravarty, Shankha, and Rajeev Dehejia. 2019. “The GST and Regional Divergence in India: A Nightlights Perspective.” *Economic and Political Weekly* 54 (42): 47–54.

- Chanda, Areendam, and Justin Cook. 2022. “Demonetization and Its Redistributive Effects: Evidence from India.” *Journal of Development Economics* 156: 102835. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2022.102835>.
- Chanda, Areendam, and Shankha Kabiraj. 2020. “Shedding Light on Regional Growth and Convergence in India.” *World Development* 133: 104961. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2020.104961>.
- Chen, Xi, and William D. Nordhaus. 2011. “Using Luminosity Data as a Proxy for Economic Statistics.” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 108 (21): 8589–94. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1017031108>.
- . 2015. “A Test of the New VIIRS Lights Data Set: Population and Economic Output in Africa.” *Remote Sensing* 7 (4): 4937–47. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs70404937>.
- Cook, Justin, and Manisha Shah. 2022. “Aggregate Effects from Public Works: Evidence from India.” *Review of Economics and Statistics* 104 (2): 201–17. https://doi.org/10.1162/rest_a_00951.
- Donaldson, Dave, and Adam Storeygard. 2016. “The View from Above: Applications of Satellite Data in Economics.” *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 30 (4): 171–98. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.30.4.171>.
- Durlauf, Steven N., and Paul A. Johnson. 1995. “Multiple Regimes and Cross-Country Growth Behaviour.” *Journal of Applied Econometrics* 10 (4): 365–84. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jae.3950100404>.
- Elvidge, Christopher D., Kimberly E. Baugh, Mikhail Zhizhin, Feng-Chi Hsu, and Tilottama Ghosh. 2017. “VIIRS Night-Time Lights.” *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 38 (21): 5860–79. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2017.1342050>.
- Ertur, Cem, and Wilfried Koch. 2007. “Growth, Technological Interdependence and Spatial Externalities: Theory and Evidence.” *Journal of Applied Econometrics* 22 (6): 1033–62. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jae.963>.
- Fischer, Manfred M. 2011. “A Spatial Mankiw-Romer-Weil Model: Theory and Evidence.” *Annals of Regional Science* 47 (2): 419–36. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00168-010-0384-6>.
- Gennaioli, Nicola, Rafael La Porta, Florencio Lopez-de-Silanes, and Andrei Shleifer. 2014. “Growth in Regions.” *Journal of Economic Growth* 19 (3): 259–309. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10887-014-9105-9>.
- Gibson, John, Susan Olivia, Geua Boe-Gibson, and Chao Li. 2021. “Which Night Lights Data Should We Use in Economics, and Where?” *Journal of Development Economics* 149: 102602. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2020.102602>.
- Henderson, J. Vernon, Adam Storeygard, and David N. Weil. 2012. “Measuring Economic Growth from Outer Space.” *American Economic Review* 102 (2): 994–1028. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.102.2.994>.
- Jean, Neal, Marshall Burke, Michael Xie, W. Matthew Davis, David B. Lobell, and Stefano Ermon. 2016. “Combining Satellite Imagery and Machine Learning to Predict Poverty.” *Science* 353 (6301): 790–94. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf7894>.
- Jha, Saumitra, and Anand Talathi. 2021. “Colonial Institutions and Long-Run Development in India: Evidence from Nightlights.” *Journal of Development Economics* 153: 102726. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2021.102726>.

- Keola, Soukniran, Magnus Andersson, and Ola Hall. 2015. “Monitoring Economic Development from Space: Using Nighttime Light and Land Cover Data to Measure Economic Growth.” *World Development* 66: 322–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2014.08.017>.
- Lessmann, Christian, and André Seidel. 2017. “Regional Inequality, Convergence, and Its Determinants: A View from Outer Space.” *European Economic Review* 92: 110–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurocorev.2016.11.009>.
- Li, Xi, Yuyu Zhou, Min Zhao, and Xia Zhao. 2020. “A Harmonized Global Nighttime Light Dataset 1992–2018.” *Scientific Data* 7 (1): 168. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-020-0510-y>.
- Mendez, Carlos, and Ayush Patnaik. 2024. “A Python Notebook for Processing Nighttime Lights Data: Methods and Applications.” GitHub Repository. <https://github.com/example/ntl-notebook>.
- Pinkovskiy, Maxim, and Xavier Sala-i-Martin. 2016. “Lights, Camera, Income! Illuminating the National Accounts-Household Surveys Debate.” *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 131 (2): 579–631. <https://doi.org/10.1093/qje/qjw003>.
- Quah, Danny T. 1996. “Twin Peaks: Growth and Convergence in Models of Distribution Dynamics.” *Economic Journal* 106 (437): 1045–55. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2235377>.
- Rey, Sergio J., and Brett D. Montouri. 1999. “US Regional Income Convergence: A Spatial Econometric Perspective.” *Regional Studies* 33 (2): 143–56. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00343409950122945>.