

7 **Regional growth, convergence, and spatial spillovers in
8 India:
9 A reproducible view from outer space**

10 **Author(s): SUPPRESSED**

11 Received: February 4, 2026/Accepted:

12 **Abstract.** Using satellite nighttime light data as proxy for economic activity, Chanda
13 and Kabiraj (2020, World Development) studied regional growth and convergence across
14 520 districts in India. Based on a reproducible open-science approach, this article builds
15 on their work by confirming and extending their main findings in three fronts. First, we
16 illustrate regional convergence patterns using an interactive web-based tool for satellite
17 image visualization. Second, we assess the degree of spatial dependence in their main
18 econometric specification. Third, we employ a spatial Durbin model to measure the role
19 of spatial spillovers in the convergence process. Our results indicate that spatial spillovers
20 significantly increase the speed of regional convergence. Overall, the results emphasize the
21 role of spatial dependence in regional convergence through the lens of satellite imagery,
22 interactive visualizations, and spillover modeling.

23 **1 Introduction**

24 Regional economic growth and convergence are key concerns in developing countries,
25 particularly in large federal states like India where spatial inequalities can threaten
26 social cohesion and political stability. However, studying regional convergence patterns
27 in developing countries has been historically challenging due to limited availability of
28 consistent economic data at subnational administrative levels. The emergence of satellite
29 nighttime light data as a proxy for economic activity has created new opportunities to
30 analyze regional growth dynamics at granular geographic scales.

31 In an important contribution, Chanda, Kabiraj (2020) leveraged nighttime light data
32 to document evidence of regional convergence across 520 districts in India between 1996
33 and 2010. Their analysis showed that poorer districts grew faster than richer ones
34 during this period, suggesting a gradual reduction in spatial inequalities. However, their
35 econometric approach did not account for potential spatial spillovers in the convergence
36 process—the possibility that a district's growth trajectory might be influenced not only
37 by its own initial conditions but also by those of its neighbors.

38 In this context, this paper confirms and extends the study of Chanda, Kabiraj (2020)
39 in three key methodological directions. First, we develop an interactive web-based
40 visualization tool that allows researchers to dynamically explore spatial and temporal
41 patterns in the nighttime light data. This tool facilitates the identification of converging
42 regions and growth hotspots that may be difficult to detect in static representations.
43 Second, we formally test for spatial dependence in both the dependent and independent
44 variables of the convergence equations, highlighting that spatial autocorrelation is an
45 inherent feature of satellite data and the regional convergence process. Third, we employ

1 a spatial Durbin model that explicitly accounts for spatial spillovers, quantifying how
2 neighborhood effects influence the speed of regional convergence.

3 Our results yield three main findings that advance our understanding of regional
4 convergence in India. First, interactive visualization tools reveal clear spatial patterns
5 in both the initial distribution and subsequent growth of nighttime lights. Second,
6 formal tests of spatial dependence indicate that district-level economic trajectories are
7 not independent of their neighbors. Third, accounting for spatial spillovers through the
8 spatial Durbin model shows that the total convergence effect is substantially larger than
9 previous non-spatial estimates would suggest. Specifically, spatial spillovers appear to
10 accelerate the convergence process by creating additional channels through which lagging
11 regions can catch up.

12 These findings have important implications for both research methodology and policy
13 design. Methodologically, they demonstrate that conventional non-spatial approaches
14 may significantly underestimate the speed of regional convergence by failing to account
15 for inter-district spillovers. From a policy perspective, they suggest that the benefits of
16 place-based development interventions may extend beyond target districts through spatial
17 multiplier effects, potentially increasing their cost-effectiveness. The results also highlight
18 the value of new data sources and methodological tools in advancing our understanding
19 of regional economic dynamics in developing countries.

20 The rest of this article is organized as follows. Section 2 provides an overview
21 of the data and methods, describing our use of nighttime light data as a proxy for
22 economic activity and introducing the spatial Durbin model that forms the basis of our
23 empirical strategy. We also detail our methodological extensions related to interactive
24 visualizations, spatial dependence testing, and spillover modeling. Section 3 presents
25 our empirical results, beginning with an interactive exploration of regional convergence
26 patterns, followed by formal tests of spatial dependence, and concluding with estimates
27 of direct and indirect convergence effects from the spatial Durbin model. Finally, Section
28 4 offers some concluding remarks.

29 **2 Data and methods**

30 **2.1 Data: Nightlights as a proxy for economic activity**

31 One of the key challenges in studying economic growth in developing countries like India
32 is the limited availability and reliability of data on aggregate economic activity at finer
33 administrative levels beyond the state level. To address this issue, a growing body of
34 literature, pioneered by [Henderson et al. \(2012\)](#), has utilized satellite nighttime light data
35 as a proxy for economic activity at sub-national levels.

36 Nightlight data has been widely used to investigate economic growth and convergence
37 across national and sub-national regions in various countries. For instance, [Adhikari, Dhitatal \(2020\)](#)
38 examine the impact of decentralization on regional convergence using nightlight
39 data, while [Pinkovskiy, Sala-i Martin \(2016\)](#) use nightlight data to adjudicate between
40 national accounts and household surveys, finding that national accounts better capture
41 aggregate economic growth. Similarly, [Lessmann, Seidel \(2017\)](#) leverage nightlight data
42 to estimate GDP per capita and income inequality globally. More broadly, [Gennaioli et al.
\(2014\)](#) study regional convergence using per capita income data from 1,528 subnational
43 regions across 83 countries, finding a convergence rate of about 2% per year.

44 Nightlight data has been widely utilized in India across various contexts. For example,
45 [Cook, Shah \(2022\)](#) use nightlight data to analyze the impact of public welfare programs,
46 while [Jha, Talathi \(2021\)](#) examine the effects of colonial institutions, and [Chanda, Cook
\(2022\)](#) investigate the impact of demonetization. [Beyer et al. \(2021\)](#) employ nightlight
47 data to study the effects of COVID-19. In the context of convergence studies, [Chakravarty,
Dehejia \(2019\)](#) document significant regional disparities in India using nightlight data and
50 caution that GST may further exacerbate them, while ([Chanda, Kabiraj 2020](#)) highlights
51 district-level convergence.

52 Our study draws inspiration from ([Chanda, Kabiraj 2020](#)). Following their approach,
53 we use the per capita growth in nightlights as the dependent variable and the initial
54 nightlights per capita as the primary variable of interest. These variables are derived from
55

1 nightlight data released by the National Geophysical Data Center (NGDC), based on
 2 observations from the DMSP/OLS satellites spanning the period from 1996 to 2010. To
 3 mitigate the issue of top-coding in light data, the NGDC released “radiance-calibrated”
 4 nighttime lights for eight specific years within this period. This dataset employs high
 5 magnification settings for low-light regions and low magnification settings for brightly lit
 6 areas. For this study, we utilize the “radiance-calibrated” nighttime lights data.¹

7 2.2 Modeling regional convergence

8 In neoclassical growth models, such as the Solow model, it is argued that the per capita
 9 growth rate should be negatively correlated with a region’s initial endowment primarily
 10 due to diminishing returns to capital. Specifically, poorer regions, assuming similar
 11 technology and preferences, are expected to experience higher growth rates compared
 12 to their wealthier counterparts. Consequently, over the long run, regions with similar
 13 characteristics should converge to a common steady state. We would like to explore such
 14 convergence (denoted by **β -convergence**) employing a Barro-style growth regressions
 15 framework. Equation (1) analyzes absolute convergence.

$$g_t = \beta_1 x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t \quad (1)$$

16 where g_t represents an N -by-1 vector of observations on NTL growth for each of the N
 17 regions over the period t and x_{t-1} represents an N -by-1 vector of observations on the initial
 18 (log) level of NTL. The scalar β_1 is a regression coefficient that indicates the direction
 19 and strength of regional convergence. Finally, ε_t represents a vector of idiosyncratic error
 20 terms.

21 While absolute convergence implies that districts converge to a common steady state,
 22 regions may differ in various aspects such as geography, socio-economic conditions, and
 23 policy implementation. To account for these differences, we include state dummies in
 24 our analysis to examine whether heterogeneity in state-specific institutions and policies
 25 influences the rate of convergence. Additionally, we control for the initial share of unlit
 26 pixels in districts to address any potential under-coding in nightlights data.

27 To further explore the determinants of district-level growth rates, we incorporate a
 28 range of geo-climatic controls alongside initial district-specific conditions related to demo-
 29 graphics, human capital, and infrastructure. Equation 1 explores conditional convergence.

$$g_t = \beta_1 x_{t-1} + X_t \alpha + \varepsilon_t \quad (2)$$

30 Here, the matrix X_t is a N -by- k collection of observations on control variables for each
 31 region. The vector α , with dimensions $k \times 1$, captures the regression coefficients for these
 32 variables. The control variables are listed in Table A.1 in Chanda, Kabiraj (2020).

33 2.3 Interactive spatial visualizations

34 Interactive visualizations of nighttime lights imagery offer distinct methodological ad-
 35 vantages over static representations, particularly when analyzing temporal and spatial
 36 heterogeneity in economic activity. The dynamic nature of these visualizations enables
 37 researchers to simultaneously examine multiple dimensions of the data, including tem-
 38 poral variations in light intensity, the spatial distribution of economic activity, and the
 39 relationship between nighttime lights and other georeferenced variables. This interactivity
 40 is especially valuable when investigating economic phenomena that exhibit significant
 41 spatial and temporal variation, such as urbanization patterns, economic shocks, or regional
 42 development disparities. The ability to dynamically adjust visualization parameters, such
 43 as temporal ranges, spatial scales, and light intensity thresholds, allows for more nuanced
 44 exploration of economic patterns that might be obscured in static representations.

¹Following Chanda, Kabiraj (2020), our sample consists of 520 districts (out of a possible 593). There were 593 districts in 2001, which rose to 640 districts in the 2011 census. To match the districts among two census files, we summed up the curved-out districts with their origin districts in the 2011 census files. Eight districts among 47 new districts were created by curving out some areas from multiple districts. Those new districts along with their multiple-origin districts were dropped from our sample. We dropped all the districts in the state of Assam where more than 50% of districts were created in that manner.

1 Google Earth Engine (GEE) substantially reduces the computational and technical
 2 barriers to creating such interactive visualizations, offering a particularly advantageous
 3 platform for economic research utilizing nighttime lights data. The platform's browser-
 4 based integrated development environment facilitates the creation of interactive web
 5 applications without requiring extensive infrastructure or specialized software installation.
 6 This capability is especially valuable for reproducible research, as it enables the devel-
 7 opment of web applications that can be shared with other researchers or stakeholders,
 8 allowing them to interact with the data and verify findings independently. The platform's
 9 ability to handle large-scale geospatial computations server-side, combined with its ex-
 10 tensive catalog of pre-processed nighttime lights datasets, including the DMSP-OLS and
 11 VIIRS collections, significantly streamlines the workflow from raw data to interactive
 12 visualization. This computational efficiency is particularly beneficial when analyzing long
 13 time series or large geographic areas, which would be computationally intensive using
 14 traditional desktop-based approaches.

15 Interactive visualizations of nighttime lights data are particularly instrumental in
 16 identifying and analyzing patterns of regional convergence in luminosity, providing visual
 17 insights into economic convergence processes. Through dynamic visualization tools,
 18 researchers can track the evolution of light intensity across regions over time, effectively
 19 identifying areas that exhibit catch-up growth patterns - where initially dim regions
 20 progressively converge toward the luminosity levels of their brighter counterparts.

21 2.4 Spatial dependence testing

22 The analysis of regional convergence using nighttime light data requires explicit consider-
 23 ation of spatial dependence patterns across Indian districts. To formally test and account
 24 for such spatial relationships, we employ the global Moran's I test and applied to the
 25 main variables of the convergence Equation 2 . The Moran's I test quantifies the degree
 26 of spatial autocorrelation among geographic units and can be expressed as:

$$I = \frac{\sum_i \sum_j w_{ij} z_i \cdot z_j / \sum_i \sum_j w_{ij}}{\sum_i z_i^2 / n}$$

27 where z_i represents the deviation of observation i from the mean, and w_{ij} denotes the
 28 spatial weight between units i and j . The Moran statistic can range from -1 to +1,
 29 with positive values indicating spatial clustering and negative values suggesting spatial
 30 dispersion.

31 The specification of the spatial weights matrix \mathbf{W} is crucial for capturing the underlying
 32 spatial structure. We primarily employ a Queen contiguity matrix defined as:

$$\mathbf{W} = \begin{bmatrix} w_{11} & w_{12} & w_{13} & \cdots & w_{1n} \\ w_{21} & w_{22} & w_{23} & \cdots & w_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & w_{ij} & \vdots \\ w_{n1} & w_{n2} & w_{n3} & \cdots & w_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

33 where $w_{ij} = 1$ for districts sharing either a border or vertex and 0 otherwise. Following
 34 standard practice, we row-normalize the weights matrix.

35 The detection of significant spatial dependence would justify the use of spatial econo-
 36 metric techniques. In particular, [Ertur, Koch \(2007\)](#) and [Fischer \(2011\)](#) argue that
 37 the spatial Durbin model can properly account for spatial spillovers in the convergence
 38 process. This methodological choice allows us to distinguish between direct effects of
 39 district characteristics and indirect effects operating through spatial channels.

40 2.5 Spatial spillover modeling

41 Our spatial spillover modeling builds upon the spatial Solow growth model developed by
 42 [Ertur, Koch \(2007\)](#) and [Fischer \(2011\)](#), which extends the traditional Solow framework
 43 to account for technological interdependence across regions. The model considers an
 44 economy of N subnational regions, each characterized by a Cobb-Douglas production
 45 function with constant returns to scale:

$$Y_{it} = A_{it} K_{it}^{\alpha_K} H_{it}^{\alpha_H} L_{it}^{1-\alpha_K-\alpha_H} \quad (3)$$

where Y_{it} represents output, K_{it} physical capital, H_{it} human capital, L_{it} labor force, and A_{it} the level of technological knowledge for region i at time t . The parameters α_K and α_H denote the output elasticities with respect to physical and human capital, respectively. A key innovation of this framework is the modeling of technological knowledge, which incorporates both internal and external factors:

$$A_{it} = \Omega_t k_{it}^\theta h_{it}^\phi \prod_{j \neq i}^N A_{jt}^{\rho W_{ij}} \quad (4)$$

This specification captures three distinct components of technological progress:

- An exogenous component (Ω_t) representing the common stock of knowledge across regions
- An embodied component ($k_{it}^\theta h_{it}^\phi$) reflecting technology embedded in physical and human capital per worker
- A spatial component ($\prod_{j \neq i}^N A_{jt}^{\rho W_{ij}}$) capturing technological interdependence between regions

Based on this theoretical framework, Ertur, Koch (2007) derived a spatial panel Durbin model that for regional spillovers across economies. Their model can be compactly written in matrix notation as:

$$g_t = \beta_1 x_{t-1} + X_t \alpha + \beta_2 Wx_{t-1} + WX_t \gamma + \lambda Wg_t + \varepsilon_t \quad (5)$$

In this model, g_t represents an N -by-1 vector of observations on NTL growth for each of the N regions over the period t and x_{t-1} represents an N -by-1 vector of observations on the initial (log) level of NTL. The scalar β_1 is a regression coefficient that indicates the direction and strength of regional convergence. The matrix X_t is a N -by- k collection of observations on control variables for each region. The vector α , with dimensions $k \times 1$, captures the regression coefficients for these variables. Additionally, WX_t denotes a $N \times k$ matrix of spatially lagged observations, composed of a linear combination of neighboring values for the variables of interest in each region. The vector γ represents the regression coefficients associated with these spatial lags. The terms Wx_{t-1} and Wg_t refer to N -by-1 vectors capturing the spatial lags of initial (log) level of NTL, and the NTL growth, respectively. Finally, ε_t represents a vector of idiosyncratic error terms.

3 Results

3.1 Regional convergence: An interactive exploration from outer space

Before presenting the regression results, we conducted three exercises to visually illustrate the concepts of growth and convergence in nightlights. Firstly, we created an interactive map of India displaying regional luminosity levels for 1996 and 2010.² Secondly, we examine absolute convergence by constructing a scatterplot that depicts the relationship between per capita growth rates in nightlights (1996–2010) and the initial per capita nightlight levels (1996) at the district level. Finally, we used case studies to emphasize the nightlight growth that occurred during our study period. Focusing on some of the poorest regions in the country, we demonstrate an increase in nighttime lights that aligns with our convergence hypothesis.

Figure 1 presents static maps (captured from our interactive maps) of luminosity for our boundary years (1996 and 2010), using the same index scale for comparison. The maps show a noticeable increase in brightness across most parts of the country in 2010. Since nightlights serve as a proxy for economic activity, this increase in luminosity reflects economic growth over the period. Figure 2 illustrates the relationship between per capita growth in nightlights and initial per capita nightlight levels. The scatter plot reveals a clear inverse relationship, indicating a β -convergence rate of 2% consistent with Barro's "Iron Law" of convergence.

²The Interactive web application is available at <https://bit.ly/india-rc-ntl>.




Figure 1: Regional luminosity in India: 1996 vs 2010 Notes: The unit of measurement for Nighttime Light (NTL) luminosity TBA. Interactive web application available at <https://bit.ly/india-rc-ntl>. Source: Pre-processed luminosity images are from the Earth Observation Group TBA.

1 Next, we examine case studies of three economically disadvantaged states in India
 2 to illustrate their growth patterns over the study period. Among these, Bihar is the
 3 poorest, with a per capita income at 39.2% of the national average, while Uttar Pradesh
 4 and Chhattisgarh have per capita incomes of 43.8% and 52.3% of the national average,
 5 respectively.³ Figure 3 displays the change in luminosity in these three states during our
 6 study period. Although these states remain among the poorest, there is a noticeable
 7 increase in luminosity over the course of the study.

³The data is taken from a report by the Economic Advisory Council to the Prime Minister (EAC-PM), released on September 18, 2024.




Figure 2: Some illustrative examples of regional convergence Notes: The unit of measurement for Nighttime Light (NTL) luminosity TBA. Interactive web application available at <https://bit.ly/india-rc-ntl>. Source: Pre-processed luminosity images are from the Earth Observation Group TBA.




Figure 3: Regional luminosity convergence across districts in India Note: See Regional convergence notebook for source code. Source: Data from Chanda and Kabiraj (2000).

¹ Source: [Setup](#)

² 3.2 Spatial dependence is a feature of the convergence process

- ³ In this study, the spatial lag terms of luminosity per capita growth and initial luminosity
- ⁴ per capita are computed by applying the Queen contiguity weight matrix. FigureX
- ⁵ visually presents the relationship between local luminosity per capita growth rate and
- ⁶ initial luminosity per capita and its spatial lag term, respectively. The strong positive
- ⁷ spatial correlations observed between the variables and their neighboring regions suggest
- ⁸ that regional convergence is not an isolated phenomenon, but rather a process intrinsically
- ⁹ shaped by the influence of adjacent regions. The Moran's I values of 0.644 and 0.739 for
- ¹⁰ the variables, coupled with a statistically significant spatial autocorrelation in the error
- ¹¹ term, underscore the critical importance of spatial dependence in convergence research.
- ¹² These findings demonstrate that spatial interactions profoundly influence the convergence
- ¹³ process, rendering it imperative to incorporate spatial effects in empirical analyses.




Figure 4: Spatial connectivity structure based on six nearest neighbors Note: See Spatial dependence notebook for source code. Source: Data from Chanda and Kabiraj (2000).

1 Source: [Setup](#)
 2 This is a new figure.





Figure 5: Spatial dependence in the initial level of luminosity Note: See Regional convergence notebook for source code. Source: Data from Chanda and Kabiraj (2000).

3 Source: [Setup](#)

1 This is another new figure.



2 Figure 6: Spatial dependence in the growth rate of luminosity Note: See Regional convergence notebook for source code. Source: Data from Chanda and Kabiraj (2000).

3 Source: [Setup](#)

3.3 Spatial spillovers accelerate regional convergence

4 The regression results of Table 1 provide evidence of both unconditional and conditional
 5 convergence across districts in India, with both conventional OLS and spatial econometric
 6 approaches indicating significant negative relationships between initial luminosity levels
 7 and subsequent growth rates. The direct effects, representing within-district convergence,
 8 remain remarkably stable across specifications, ranging from -0.020 to -0.026. This
 9 consistency across different model specifications and estimation methods provides evidence
 10 that poorer districts are indeed catching up to their wealthier counterparts, even after
 11 controlling for various district characteristics and state-level fixed effects.

Table 1: Unconditional and conditional convergence across districts.

| | Model 1 | | Model 2 | | Model 3 | | Model 4 | |
|----------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | OLS | SDM | OLS | SDM | OLS | SDM | OLS | SDM |
| Direct | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 0.020*** (0.002) | 0.021*** (0.002) | 0.022*** (0.003) | 0.021*** (0.002) | 0.025*** (0.003) | 0.026*** (0.002) | 0.025*** (0.003) | 0.025*** (0.002) |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Indirect | - | -0.001 | - | -0.001 | - | - | - | -0.012* |
| | | | | | | | | 0.015* |
| | | | | | | | | (0.007) |
| Total | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 0.020*** (0.002) | 0.022*** (0.006) | 0.022*** (0.003) | 0.022*** (0.005) | 0.025*** (0.003) | 0.041*** (0.008) | 0.025*** (0.003) | 0.037*** (0.007) |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Controls | No | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| State | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | Yes | Yes |
| FE | | | | | | | | |
| AIC | -1945 | -2290 | -2413 | -2466 | -2211 | -2356 | -2469 | -2499 |

12 Importantly, the spatial Durbin model uncovers significant spatial spillover effects
 13 that are masked in traditional OLS estimations. These indirect effects, which capture the
 14 influence of neighboring districts' initial conditions on a district's growth rate, become

1 increasingly prominent and statistically significant as we add controls and state fixed
 2 effects to our specifications. In our most comprehensive model, we find a significant
 3 indirect effect of -0.012, suggesting that a district's growth trajectory is meaningfully
 4 influenced by the initial economic conditions of its neighbors. This finding highlights the
 5 importance of geographical proximity and spatial interactions in the convergence process.

6 The total impact of initial conditions on growth, combining both direct and spillover
 7 effects, is substantially larger when we account for spatial dependence. In our fully
 8 specified model, the total convergence effect in the spatial Durbin model (-0.037) is
 9 approximately 48% larger than the OLS estimate (-0.025). This difference, supported
 10 by better model fit statistics, indicates that conventional non-spatial approaches may
 11 significantly underestimate the speed of regional convergence by failing to capture the
 12 additional convergence channels created through spatial spillovers. These results emphasize
 13 that regional convergence in India operates not only through district-specific factors but
 14 also through significant spatial interactions between neighboring districts.

15 4 Discussion

16 4.1 Better luminosity data from VIIRS

17 Our analysis, following [Chanda, Kabiraj \(2020\)](#), relies on radiance-calibrated DMSP-
 18 OLS nighttime lights data covering the period 1996 to 2010. While this dataset has
 19 been widely validated as a proxy for economic activity ([Henderson et al. 2012](#), [Chen,](#)
 20 [Nordhaus 2011](#)), DMSP-OLS data suffer from well-documented limitations including
 21 top-coding in bright urban cores, lack of on-board calibration leading to inter-satellite
 22 inconsistencies, and blooming artifacts that spatially blur light sources beyond their true
 23 boundaries ([Abrahams et al. 2018](#)). These measurement issues can attenuate the precision
 24 of convergence estimates, particularly in rapidly urbanizing districts where saturation
 25 may mask growth variation.

26 The Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite (VIIRS) Day/Night Band, opera-
 27 tional since 2012, represents a substantial improvement over DMSP-OLS along multiple
 28 dimensions. VIIRS offers finer spatial resolution (approximately 750 meters versus 2.7
 29 kilometers), on-board radiometric calibration that provides consistent quantitative mea-
 30 surements, and a wider dynamic range that avoids saturation in urban areas while
 31 detecting dim lights in rural settlements ([Elvidge et al. 2017](#)). Comparative evaluations
 32 have shown that VIIRS explains 10 to 15 percentage points more variation in subnational
 33 economic activity than DMSP-OLS ([Chen, Nordhaus 2015](#)), and systematic assessments
 34 recommend VIIRS as the preferred product for cross-sectional and recent time-series
 35 studies ([Gibson et al. 2021](#)). Future extensions of our convergence analysis using VIIRS
 36 data could yield more precise estimates of both direct and indirect effects, particularly
 37 in districts where DMSP saturation may have compressed the measured distribution of
 38 luminosity.

39 A practical challenge for extending long-run convergence studies is the discontinuity
 40 between DMSP (1992–2013) and VIIRS (2012–present) sensor eras. Recent harmonization
 41 efforts, notably the global harmonized nighttime light dataset by [Li et al. \(2020\)](#), have
 42 created consistent 27-year time series by calibrating VIIRS observations to DMSP-
 43 equivalent units during the overlap period. Such harmonized datasets could enable the
 44 extension of our spatial Durbin analysis to more recent periods, allowing researchers
 45 to examine whether the convergence patterns and spatial spillovers documented here
 46 have persisted, accelerated, or changed in character as India's economy has continued to
 47 transform.

48 4.2 New research directions

49 While our analysis documents the average convergence effect and its spatial spillover com-
 50 ponent, the cross-sectional regression framework does not capture potential heterogeneity
 51 in convergence patterns across the income distribution. Distribution dynamics approaches,
 52 as pioneered by [Quah \(1996\)](#), could reveal whether Indian districts are converging to a
 53 single steady state or forming distinct convergence clubs where districts converge within

1 groups but diverge across them. The regression tree methods developed by [Durlauf, Johnson \(1995\)](#) for identifying multiple growth regimes could be combined with spatial
2 econometric techniques ([Rey, Montouri 1999](#)) to examine whether geographic clusters
3 of districts follow distinct convergence trajectories, potentially revealing spatial poverty
4 traps or growth poles that are not visible in average convergence estimates.
5

6 A second important direction concerns the causal identification of the spillover channels
7 that our spatial Durbin model captures in reduced form. While our estimates document
8 significant indirect effects, the model does not identify whether these spillovers operate
9 through infrastructure linkages, labor migration, technology diffusion, or market access
10 channels. Quasi-experimental approaches, such as those employed by [Asher, Novosad \(2020\)](#) to study the causal effects of rural road construction on structural transformation
11 in India, could be embedded within spatial econometric frameworks to isolate specific
12 spillover mechanisms. Understanding which channels drive the indirect convergence effects
13 is critical for designing spatially targeted policies that can amplify positive spillovers.
14

15 Third, the growing availability of diverse satellite products and machine learning
16 methods opens possibilities for richer measurement of regional economic activity. [Jean et al. \(2016\)](#) demonstrated that combining high-resolution daytime imagery with nighttime
17 lights through deep learning can substantially improve poverty prediction in data-scarce
18 settings. Similarly, [Keola et al. \(2015\)](#) showed that integrating nighttime lights with land
19 cover data improves economic measurement in agricultural areas where lights alone provide
20 weak signals. As [Donaldson, Storeygard \(2016\)](#) emphasize, the expanding ecosystem of
21 satellite-derived data—including vegetation indices, built-up area detection, and high-
22 frequency mobility indicators—offers opportunities to construct multi-dimensional proxies
23 for regional economic activity that go beyond what nighttime lights alone can capture.
24

25 *4.3 Research reproducibility and open science*

26 The complexity of satellite-based economic research—involving multi-step data processing
27 pipelines, spatial econometric estimation, and geographic visualization—makes repro-
28 ducibility both challenging and essential. As [Donaldson, Storeygard \(2016\)](#) note, the
29 processing of satellite data requires careful documentation and sharing of code to ensure
30 that results can be replicated and extended. Each methodological choice in the pipeline,
31 from sensor inter-calibration to spatial weight matrix construction, can affect empirical
32 conclusions ([Gibson et al. 2021](#)), underscoring the need for transparent computational
33 workflows that allow other researchers to verify and build upon published findings.
34

This article adopts a reproducible research approach through Quarto's single-source
publishing framework, where a single manuscript file generates multiple output formats—
interactive HTML, journal PDF, and supplementary materials—from the same source.
All computational analyses are documented in embedded notebooks that readers can
inspect alongside the results they produce, creating a complete chain from raw data to
published findings. The interactive web-based visualization tool, built on Google Earth
Engine, allows researchers to independently explore the spatial and temporal patterns in
the nighttime light data, facilitating verification of our visual findings and enabling new
discoveries beyond what static representations can convey.
43

Open-source tools and cloud computing platforms are rapidly lowering the barriers
to reproducible spatial economic research. Cloud-based computational notebooks, such
as those developed by [Mendez, Patnaik \(2024\)](#) for processing nighttime lights data,
eliminate the need for specialized local software and provide accessible workflows for data
ingestion, preprocessing, spatial analysis, and visualization. The combination of open
data repositories, version-controlled code, and cloud computing infrastructure creates
an ecosystem where the full analytical pipeline—from satellite imagery to econometric
results—can be made transparent, verifiable, and extensible by the broader research
community.
51

52 **5 Concluding remarks**

53 This article examines regional convergence patterns across Indian districts using satellite
54 nighttime light data, interactive visualizations, and spatial econometric modeling. Ex-

1 panding on the work of Chanda, Kabiraj (2020), we developed an interactive web-based
 2 visualization tool that illustrates spatial and convergence patterns across Indian districts.
 3 Spatial autocorrelation tests confirm that spatial dependence is a fundamental character-
 4 istic of satellite data and the regional convergence process in India. Estimates from our
 5 spatial Durbin model indicate that incorporating spatial spillovers significantly increases
 6 the estimated speed of regional convergence. The total convergence effect in our fully
 7 specified model is approximately 36% larger than conventional non-spatial estimates of
 8 Chanda, Kabiraj (2020). This finding implies that non-spatial convergence models may
 9 considerably underestimate the speed of regional convergence. Additionally, it suggests
 10 that place-based development interventions may have broader impacts, as their benefits
 11 can extend to neighboring districts through spatial spillover effects.

12 These results have important implications for both research methodology and policy
 13 design in developing countries. From a methodological perspective, they highlight the
 14 value of combining new data sources, interactive geospatial visualization tools, and spatial
 15 econometric methods to better understand regional economic dynamics. From a policy
 16 standpoint, they suggest that regional convergence operates not just through district-
 17 specific factors, but through complex spatial interactions that create additional channels
 18 for catch-up growth. This spatial perspective is crucial for the analysis and design of
 19 policies aimed at reducing regional inequalities in developing countries.

20 **6 Acknowledgments**

21 This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 24K04884. During the
 22 preparation of this work, the authors used Claude Code (Anthropic) to assist with
 23 manuscript editing, computational notebook development, and research infrastructure
 24 setup. After using this tool, the authors reviewed and edited all content and take full
 25 responsibility for the content of the publication.

26 **7 Conflict of Interest**

27 The authors declare no conflict of interest.


28 **8 Data and Code Availability**

29 All data and computational code used in this study are available in the project repository:
 30 <https://github.com/quarcs-lab/project2025s>. Also, the interactive HTML version of
 31 this manuscript (<https://quarcs-lab.github.io/project2025s/>) embeds the computational
 32 notebooks, allowing readers to inspect the complete analytical pipeline from raw data to
 33 published results.

34 **References**

- 35 Abrahams A, Oram C, Lozano-Gracia N (2018) Deblurring dmsp nighttime lights: A
 36 new method using gaussian filters and frequencies of illumination. *Remote Sensing of
 37 Environment* 210: 242–258. [CrossRef](#)
- 38 Adhikari B, Dhital R (2020) Decentralization and regional convergence: Evidence from
 39 nighttime lights. *Journal of Development Economics* 145: 102467. [CrossRef](#)
- 40 Asher S, Novosad P (2020) Rural roads and local economic development. *American
 41 Economic Review* 110: 797–823. [CrossRef](#)
- 42 Beyer RCM, Jain T, Sinha BN (2021) Lights out? covid-19 containment policies and
 43 economic activity. *Journal of Asian Economics* 74: 101318. [CrossRef](#)
- 44 Chakravarty S, Dehejia R (2019) The gst and regional divergence in india: A nightlights
 45 perspective. *Economic and Political Weekly* 54: 47–54
- 46 Chanda A, Cook J (2022) Demonetization and its redistributive effects: Evidence from
 47 india. *Journal of Development Economics* 156: 102835. [CrossRef](#)

- ¹ Chanda A, Kabiraj S (2020) Shedding light on regional growth and convergence in india.
² *World Development* 133: 104961. [CrossRef](#)
- ³ Chen X, Nordhaus WD (2011) Using luminosity data as a proxy for economic statistics.
⁴ *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 108: 8589–8594. [CrossRef](#)
- ⁵ Chen X, Nordhaus WD (2015) A test of the new viirs lights data set: Population and
⁶ economic output in africa. *Remote Sensing* 7: 4937–4947. [CrossRef](#)
- ⁷ Cook J, Shah M (2022) Aggregate effects from public works: Evidence from india. *Review
⁸ of Economics and Statistics* 104: 201–217. [CrossRef](#)
- ⁹ Donaldson D, Storeygard A (2016) The view from above: Applications of satellite data in
¹⁰ economics. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 30: 171–198. [CrossRef](#)
- ¹¹ Durlauf SN, Johnson PA (1995) Multiple regimes and cross-country growth behaviour.
¹² *Journal of Applied Econometrics* 10: 365–384. [CrossRef](#)
- ¹³ Elvidge CD, Baugh KE, Zhizhin M, Hsu FC, Ghosh T (2017) Viirs night-time lights.
¹⁴ *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 38: 5860–5879. [CrossRef](#)
- ¹⁵ Ertur C, Koch W (2007) Growth, technological interdependence and spatial externalities:
¹⁶ Theory and evidence. *Journal of Applied Econometrics* 22: 1033–1062. [CrossRef](#)
- ¹⁷ Fischer MM (2011) A spatial mankiw-romer-weil model: Theory and evidence. *Annals of
¹⁸ Regional Science* 47: 419–436. [CrossRef](#)
- ¹⁹ Gennaioli N, La Porta R, Lopez-de Silanes F, Shleifer A (2014) Growth in regions. *Journal
²⁰ of Economic Growth* 19: 259–309. [CrossRef](#)
- ²¹ Gibson J, Olivia S, Boe-Gibson G, Li C (2021) Which night lights data should we use in
²² economics, and where? *Journal of Development Economics* 149: 102602. [CrossRef](#)
- ²³ Henderson JV, Storeygard A, Weil DN (2012) Measuring economic growth from outer
²⁴ space. *American Economic Review* 102: 994–1028. [CrossRef](#)
- ²⁵ Jean N, Burke M, Xie M, Davis WM, Lobell DB, Ermon S (2016) Combining satellite
²⁶ imagery and machine learning to predict poverty. *Science* 353: 790–794. [CrossRef](#)
- ²⁷ Jha S, Talathi A (2021) Colonial institutions and long-run development in india: Evidence
²⁸ from nightlights. *Journal of Development Economics* 153: 102726. [CrossRef](#)
- ²⁹ Keola S, Andersson M, Hall O (2015) Monitoring economic development from space: Using
³⁰ nighttime light and land cover data to measure economic growth. *World Development* 66:
³¹ 322–334. [CrossRef](#)
- ³² Lessmann C, Seidel A (2017) Regional inequality, convergence, and its determinants: A
³³ view from outer space. *European Economic Review* 92: 110–132. [CrossRef](#)
- ³⁴ Li X, Zhou Y, Zhao M, Zhao X (2020) A harmonized global nighttime light dataset
³⁵ 1992–2018. *Scientific Data* 7: 168. [CrossRef](#)
- ³⁶ Mendez C, Patnaik A (2024). A python notebook for processing nighttime lights data:
³⁷ Methods and applications. GitHub Repository. Accessed: 2026-02-11
- ³⁸ Pinkovskiy M, Sala-i Martin X (2016) Lights, camera, income! illuminating the national
³⁹ accounts-household surveys debate. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 131: 579–631.
⁴⁰ [CrossRef](#)
- ⁴¹ Quah DT (1996) Twin peaks: Growth and convergence in models of distribution dynamics.
⁴² *Economic Journal* 106: 1045–1055. [CrossRef](#)
- ⁴³ Rey SJ, Montouri BD (1999) Us regional income convergence: A spatial econometric
⁴⁴ perspective. *Regional Studies* 33: 143–156. [CrossRef](#)

¹  © by the authors. Licensee: REGION – The Journal of ERSA, European Regional Science Association, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium. This article is distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).