

Homework Set #10 Solutions :

model : $\{n_{ij}\} \sim \text{MULT}(n, \{\pi_{ij}\})$, $H_0: \pi_{ij} = \pi_{i+} \pi_{+j}$
(X, Y are independent)

$$(1) \quad \chi^2 = \sum_i \sum_j \frac{(n_{ij} - \hat{m}_{ij})^2}{\hat{m}_{ij}} , \quad \hat{m}_{ij} = \frac{n_{i+} n_{+j}}{n} , \quad df = (I-1)(J-1)$$

$$\chi^2 = \underline{16.955} , \quad df = 9 , \quad p\text{-value} = P(\chi^2_9 > 16.955) = \underline{.049}$$

The data provides moderate evidence against the independence model (in support of a general association model).

$$(2) \quad \hat{\gamma} = .3604 , \quad \hat{\sigma}(\hat{\gamma}) = .1124 , \quad H_0: \gamma = 0$$

$$Z^* = \frac{\hat{\gamma} - 0}{\hat{\sigma}(\hat{\gamma})} = \underline{3.207} , \quad p\text{-value} = P(\chi^2_1 > (3.207)^2) \\ = \underline{.0014}$$

The data provides very strong evidence against the independence model (in support of a monotonic (positive) association model).

- (3.) Estimates from simpler models will have smaller variance than estimates from more complicated models. If the simpler model provides an appropriate fit to the data, then its estimates will also have small bias.

Bias can be thought of as model misspecification
Variance can be thought of as estimation error.

```

> obs = matrix(c(7,7,2,3,2,8,3,7,1,5,4,9,2,8,9,14),nrow = 4,byrow = TRUE)
> dimnames(obs) = list(husband=c("1","2","3","4"),
+                        wife=c("1","2","3","4"))
>
> print(obs)
      wife
husband 1 2 3 4
      1 7 7 2 3
      2 2 8 3 7
      3 1 5 4 9
      4 2 8 9 14

> I = dim(obs)[1]
> J = dim(obs)[2]
>
> total = sum(obs)
> row.sum = apply(obs,1,sum)
> col.sum = apply(obs,2,sum)
>
> expected = matrix(row.sum) %*% t(matrix(col.sum)) / total
> dimnames(expected) = dimnames(obs)
>
> print(expected,digits=6)
      wife
husband 1      2      3      4
      1 2.50549 5.84615 3.75824 6.89011
      2 2.63736 6.15385 3.95604 7.25275
      3 2.50549 5.84615 3.75824 6.89011
      4 4.35165 10.15385 6.52747 11.96703

> X2 = sum((obs-expected)^2/expected)
> df = (I-1)*(J-1)
> p.value.X2 = pchisq(X2,df,lower.tail = FALSE)
> print(X2)
[1] 16.95524
> print(df)
[1] 9
> print(p.value.X2)
[1] 0.0494215

> out = chisq.test(obs,correct = FALSE)

> out$observed
      wife
husband 1 2 3 4
      1 7 7 2 3
      2 2 8 3 7
      3 1 5 4 9
      4 2 8 9 14

> out$expected
      wife
husband 1      2      3      4
      1 2.505495 5.846154 3.758242 6.890110
      2 2.637363 6.153846 3.956044 7.252747
      3 2.505495 5.846154 3.758242 6.890110
      4 4.351648 10.153846 6.527473 11.967033

> out

      Pearson's Chi-squared test

data:  obs
X-squared = 16.955, df = 9, p-value = 0.04942

```

```

> library("MESS")
>
> gk.result = gkgamma(obs)
>
> gamma.hat = gk.result$estimate
> se = gk.result$se1
>
> z = gamma.hat / se
> p.value.g = 2*pnorm(abs(z),lower.tail = FALSE)
>
> g.table = matrix(c(gamma.hat,se,z,p.value.g),byrow=TRUE,nrow = 1)
> dimnames(g.table) =
+   list(c("Gamma"),c("estimate","ASE","z.stat","p.value"))
> print(g.table,digits = 4)
      estimate    ASE z.stat p.value
Gamma 0.3604 0.1124 3.207 0.001341

```