

Programming Languages

UCLA-CS131-S18

Quentin Truong
Taught by Professor Eggert

Spring 2018

Contents

1	Ch1: Programming Languages	2
1.1	Introduction	2
1.2	The Amazing Variety	2
1.3	The Odd Controversies	2
1.4	The Intriguing Evolution	2
1.5	The Many Connections	2
1.6	A Word about Application Programming Interfaces	3
2	Ch2: Defining Program Syntax	4
2.1	Introduction	4
2.2	A Grammar Example for English	4
2.3	A Grammar Example for a Programming Language	4
2.4	A Definition of Grammars: Backus-Naur Form	4
2.5	Writing Grammars	4
2.6	Lexical Structure and Phrase Structure	4
2.7	Other Grammar Forms	5
2.8	Conclusion	5
3	Ch3: Where Syntax Meets Semantics	6
3.1	Introduction	6
3.2	Operators	6
3.3	Precedence	6
3.4	Associativity	6
3.5	Introduction	6
3.6	Introduction	6
3.7	Introduction	6
3.8	Introduction	7
3.9	Introduction	7
4	Ch1: Programming Languages	8
4.1	Introduction	8

1 Ch1: Programming Languages

1.1 Introduction

- Practical Magic
 - Useful and beautiful
- Programming Languages
 - ML, Java, Prolog

1.2 The Amazing Variety

- Imperative Languages (C)
 - Hallmarks: assignment and iteration
- Functional Languages (ML, Lisp)
 - Hallmarks: recursion and single-valued variables
 - Factorial is natural to functional
- Logic Programming Languages (Prolog)
 - Express program in terms of rules about logical inferences and proving things
 - Factorial is very not natural to logic programming; not well suited to mathematical functions
- Object-oriented Programming Languages (Java)
 - Object is a bundle of data which knows how to do things to itself
 - Helps keep large programs organized
- Other categories
 - Applicative, concurrent, constraint, declarative, definitional, procedural scripting, single-asstgnmen
- Multi-paradigm
 - JavaScript, OCaml, Python, Ruby
- Others
 - FORTH is stack-oriented
 - APL is a unique functional language relying on large character sets with many symbols that most users don't have

1.3 The Odd Controversies

- Partisans
 - For every language b/c some advantages
 - But all languages have advantages and disadvantages
 - Disagreement even on basic terminology, like object oriented

1.4 The Intriguing Evolution

- Programming languages change
 - All change; new ones evolve from old ones
 - Many have several dialects
 - Fortran is entirely only dialects (sequence of standards)

1.5 The Many Connections

- Styles
 - Object Oriented, like Java -i objects
 - Functional, like ML -i many small functions
 - Logic, like Prolog -i express problem as searches in logically defined space of solutions
- Language evolution driven by hardware + applications
 - AI encouraged Lisp; Classes bc Simula

1.6 A Word about Application Programming Interfaces

- Application Programming Interfaces (API)
 - May implement data structures, GUI, network input/output, encryption, security, other services
 - Is much of language; more than the printed specification of the language

2 Ch2: Defining Program Syntax

2.1 Introduction

- Syntax
 - Language definition that says how programs look (form and structure)
 - Appearance, delimiters, etc
- Semantics
 - language definition that says what programs do (behavior and meaning)
 - How it works, what can go wrong, etc
- Formal grammar
 - Used to define programming language syntax

2.2 A Grammar Example for English

- English
 - Article, noun, noun phrase, verb, sentence composes subset of unpunctuated English
 - Grammar used as set of rules that say how to build a parse tree (sentence at root)
 - Language defined by grammar is set of all strings that can be formed as fringes of parse trees

2.3 A Grammar Example for a Programming Language

- Infinite language have arbitrarily long expressions
 - Recursive grammar where expressions can be children of expressions
 - Expressions can be sum/product/enclosed/variable of two expressions

2.4 A Definition of Grammars: Backus-Naur Form

- Tokens
 - Smallest units of syntax
 - Strings and symbols not consisting of smaller parts (cat, if, !=)
- Non-terminal symbols
 - Correspond to different language constructs (sentences, noun phrases, statements)
 - Special non terminal symbol `<empty>`
- Productions
 - Possible way of building parse tree
 - LHS is non-terminal; RHS is sequence of one or more things
- Start symbol
 - Special non-terminal symbol
- `<if-stmt > ::= if <expr > then <stmt > else-part >`
 - `<else-part > ::= else <stmt > — <empty >`

2.5 Writing Grammars

- Divide and Conquer
 - `<var-dec > ::= <type-name > <declarator-list >;`
 - `<declarator-list > ::= <declarator > — <declarator >, <declarator-list >`
 - BNF syntax defines programming language constructs

2.6 Lexical Structure and Phrase Structure

- Lexical Structure
 - How to divide program text into tokens
- Phrase Structure
 - How to construct parse trees with tokens at leaves

- Separate lexical and phrase structure
 - Otherwise, is ugly, hard to read, and complicated
- Lexer
 - Reads input file and converts to stream of tokens, discarding white space and comments
- Parser
 - Reads stream of tokens and forms parse tree
- Free-format languages
 - End-of-line is no more special than space or tab
 - Most modern languages don't care for column position, so could write program as a single line
 - Python is an exception

2.7 Other Grammar Forms

- Backus-Naur Form (BNF)
 - Has many minor variations, use = or -> instead of ::=
 - Metasymbols are part of language of the definition, not of the language being defined
- Extended Backus-Naur Form (EBNF)
 - Might use brackets, parentheses, etc
 - [optional], {repeatable}, (group)
 - Use quotes to denote tokens as not metasymbols
- Syntax Diagrams (Railroad diagram)
 - Way to express grammars graphically
 - Uses circles, rectangles, and arrows to show flow and possible control flows
 - Railroad diagram bc many many arrows
 - Good for casual use; hard for machines + parse trees
- Formal, Context-free Grammars
 - Formal languages study formal grammars
 - Context-free b/c children of node in parse tree depend only on that node's non-terminal symbol (not on context of neighboring nodes in tree)
 - Regular grammars (less expressive, good for lexical structure) and context-sensitive grammars (more expressive, good for phrase structure) both exist

2.8 Conclusion

- Grammars
 - Used to define syntax (lexical and phrase structure)
 - Lexical is division of program text into meaningful tokens
 - Phrase is organization of tokens into parse tree for meaningful structures
- Good grammars
 - If grammar is in the correct form, can be fed into parser-generator
 - Simple, readable, short grammars are more memorable + easier to learn/use

3 Ch3: Where Syntax Meets Semantics

3.1 Introduction

- Grammar
 - Set of rules for constructing parse trees
 - Language defined by grammar is set of fringes of parse trees
- Equivalent Grammars
 - Different grammars may generate identical languages (bc identical fringes despite different internal structure)
- Internal structure of parse tree
 - Semantics must be unambiguous

3.2 Operators

- Operator (+, *)
 - Refers to both the tokens for the operation, and the operation itself
 - Unary, binary, ternary take one, two, three operands
- Operands
 - Inputs to operator
- Infix Notation
 - Operator between operands
 - Postfix has operator after operands

3.3 Precedence

- Higher precedence performed before lower precedence
 - Use different non-terminal symbol for each precedence level
 - Non-terminal symbols in this chain are in order of precedence, from lowest to highest (is generalizable)
- Precedence Levels
 - Smalltalk has 1 precedence level (no precedence)
 - C has 15, Pascal has 5
 - Can add unnecessary parentheses to make expressions more readable

3.4 Associativity

- Grammar for a language must generate only one parse tree for each expression
 - So need to implement left/right-associative (eliminate the other direction)
 - $\langle \text{exp} \rangle ::= \langle \text{exp} \rangle + \langle \text{exp} \rangle$
 - $\langle \text{exp} \rangle ::= \langle \text{exp} \rangle + \langle \text{mulexp} \rangle | \langle \text{mulexp} \rangle$
 - Only recursive on left side, so that it is left associative and grows tree left
- Nonassociative operator
 - Has no defined behavior when used in sequence in expression
 - Prolog 'a :- b :- c' is just a syntax error; also '1 j 2 j 3'

3.5 Other Ambiguities

–

3.6 Introduction

–

3.7 Introduction

–

3.8 Introduction

—

3.9 Introduction

—

4 Ch1: Programming Languages

4.1 Introduction

—