Relational Database Design: E/R-Relational Translation

Introduction to Database Management

CS348 Spring 2021

E/R Model

- E/R Concepts
- E/R Schema Design
- Next: Translating E/R to relational schema



- *Building (name, year)*
- *Room (building_name, room_number, capacity)*
- *Seat (building_name, room_number, seat_number, left_or_right)*

Translating entity sets

- An entity set translates directly to a table
  - Attributes → columns
  - Key attributes → key columns



User (<u>uid</u>, name)

Group (<u>gid</u>, name)

Translating weak entity sets

- Remember the "borrowed" key attributes
- Watch out for attribute name conflicts



Building (<u>name</u>, year)

Room (<u>building_name</u>, <u>room_number</u>, capacity)

Seat (<u>building_name</u>, <u>room_number</u>, <u>seat_number</u>, left_or_right)

Translating relationship sets

- A relationship set translates to a table
  - Keys of connected entity sets → columns
  - Attributes of the relationship set (if any) → columns
  - Multiplicity of the relationship set determines the key of the table



Member (*<u>uid</u>*, *<u>gid</u>*, *fromDate*)

More examples



Parent (*parent_uid*, *child_uid*)

Translating double diamonds?

- No need to translate because the relationship is implicit in the weak entity set's translation



RoomInBuilding
(room_building_name, room_number, building_name)

is subsumed by
Room (building_name, room_number, capacity)

Translating subclasses & ISA: approach 1

- Entity-in-all-superclasses approach ("E/R style")
  - An entity is represented in the table for each subclass to which it belongs
  - A table includes only the attributes directly attached to the corresponding entity set, plus the inherited key



Group (<u>*gid*</u>, *name*)

⟨142, Bart⟩
⟨456, Ralph⟩ ∈ *User* (<u>*uid*</u>, *name*)

Member (<u>*uid*</u>, <u>*gid*</u>, *from_date*)

⟨456, ☺⟩ ∈ *PaidUser* (<u>*uid*</u>, *avatar*)

Translating subclasses & ISA: approach 2

- Entity-in-most-specific-class approach ("OO style")
  - An entity is only represented in one table (the most specific entity set to which the entity belongs)
  - A table includes the attributes attached to the corresponding entity set, plus all inherited attributes



Group (*gid*, *name*)

⟨142, Bart⟩ ∈ *User* (*uid*, *name*)

Member (*uid*, *gid*, *from_date*)

⟨456, Ralph, ☺⟩ ∈ *PaidUser* (*uid*, *name*, *avatar*)

Translating subclasses & ISA: approach 3

- All-entities-in-one-table approach ("NULL style")
  - One relation for the root entity set, with all attributes found in the network of subclasses
    - (plus a "type" attribute when needed)
  - Use a special NULL value in columns that are not relevant for a particular entity



⟨142, Bart , NULL⟩
⟨456, Ralph, ☺⟩ ∈

Group (gid, name)
User (uid, name, avatar)
Member (uid, gid, from_date)

Comparison of three approaches

- Entity-in-all-superclasses
  - *User* (<u>*uid*</u>, *name*), *PaidUser* (<u>*uid*</u>, *avatar*)
  - Pro: All users are found in one table
  - Con: Attributes of paid users are scattered in different tables
- Entity-in-most-specific-class
  - *User* (<u>*uid*</u>, *name*), *PaidUser* (<u>*uid*</u>, *name*, *avatar*)
  - Pro: All attributes of paid users are found in one table
  - Con: Users are scattered in different tables
- All-entities-in-one-table
  - *User* (<u>*uid*</u>, [*type*,]*name*, *avatar*)
  - Pro: Everything is in one table
  - Con: Lots of NULL's; complicated if class hierarchy is complex

A complete example



A complete example



Train (<u>number</u>, engineer)
LocalTrain (<u>number</u>)
ExpressTrain (<u>number</u>)

Station (<u>name</u>, address)
LocalStation (<u>name</u>)
ExpressStation (<u>name</u>)

LocalTrainStop (<u>local_train_number</u>, <u>time</u>)
LocalTrainStopsAtStation (<u>local_train_number</u>, <u>time</u>, station_name)

merge

ExpressTrainStop (<u>express_train_number</u>, <u>time</u>)
ExpressTrainStopsAtStation (<u>express_train_number</u>, <u>time</u>, express_station_name)

merge

Simplifications and refinements

Train (*<u>number</u>*, *engineer*), *LocalTrain* (*<u>number</u>*), *ExpressTrain* (*<u>number</u>*)
Station (*<u>name</u>*, *address*), *LocalStation* (*<u>name</u>*), *ExpressStation* (*<u>name</u>*)
LocalTrainStop (*<u>local_train_number</u>*, *station_name*, *<u>time</u>*)
ExpressTrainStop (*<u>express_train_number</u>*, *express_station_name*, *<u>time</u>*)

- Eliminate *LocalTrain* table
  - Redundant: can be computed as

$$\pi_{number}(Train) - ExpressTrain$$

  - Slightly harder to check that *local_train_number* is indeed a local train number
- Eliminate *LocalStation* table
  - It can be computed as $\pi_{number}(Station) - ExpressStation$

An alternative design

Train (<u>number</u>, engineer, type)

Station (<u>name</u>, address, type)

TrainStop (<u>train_number</u>, station_name, <u>time</u>)

- Encode the type of train/station as a column rather than creating subclasses
- What about the following constraints?
  - Type must be either “local” or “express”
  - Express trains only stop at express stations
  - ☞They can be expressed/declared explicitly as database constraints in SQL
  - ☞Arguably a better design because it is simpler!

Design principles

- KISS
  - Keep It Simple, Stupid
- Avoid redundancy
- Capture essential constraints, but don't introduce unnecessary restrictions
- Use your common sense
  - Warning: mechanical translation procedures given in this lecture are no substitute for your own judgment

http://ungenius.files.wordpress.com/2010/03/thehomer.jpg

More examples

- Representing aggregation
  - Tabular representation of aggregation of *R* = tabular representation for relationship set *R*
  - To represent relationship set involving aggregation of *R*, treat the aggregation like an entity set whose primary key is the primary key of the table for *R*



Student (StudentNum)
Couse(CourseNum)
Account(UserID)

EnrolledIn(StudentNum,CouseNum)

CouseAccount(UserId, StudentNum, CourseNum, ExpirationDate)

More examples (Exercise)

- ER Diagram



Relational Schema

?

More examples

- ER Diagram



Relational Diagram



More examples

- ER Diagram



Relational DDL Commands

```
CREATE TABLE Course
(CourseNum INTEGER PRIMARY KEY,
 CourseName CHAR(50));
```

```
CREATE TABLE Student
(StudentNum INTEGER PRIMARY KEY,
StudentName CHAR(50),
GPA FLOAT);
```

```
CREATE TABLE Professor
(ProfNum INTEGER PRIMARY KEY,
 ProfName CHAR(50));
```

```
CREATE TABLE Section
(CourseNum INTEGER NOT NULL REFERENCES Course(CourseNum),
SectionNum INTEGER NOT NULL,
Term INTEGER NOT NULL,
PRIMARY KEY(CourseNum, SectionNum, Term),
ProfNum INTEGER NOT NULL REFERENCES Professor(ProfNum));
```

```
CREATE TABLE Off-SiteSection
(CourseNum INTEGER NOT NULL,
SectionNum INTEGER NOT NULL,
Term INTEGER NOT NULL,
FOREIGN KEY(CouseNum,SectionNum,Term) REFERENCES
                    Section(CouseNum,SectionNum,Term),
Location CHAR(50));
```

```
CREATE TABLE EnrolledIn
(CourseNum INTEGER NOT NULL,
SectionNum INTEGER NOT NULL,
Term INTEGER NOT NULL,
StudentNum INTEGER NOT NULL REFERENCES Student(StudentNum),
FOREIGN KEY(CouseNum,SectionNum,Term) REFERENCES
                    Section(CouseNum,SectionNum,Term),
Primary Key(CouseNum,SectionNum,Term,StudentNum),
Mark INTEGER);
```

Database Design

- Entity-Relationship (E/R) model
- Translating E/R to relational schema
- Next week: Relational design principles

Clarifications

June 03, 2022

(slide 5) Translating relationship sets

- A relationship set translates to a table
  - Keys of connected entity sets → columns
  - Attributes of the relationship set (if any) → columns
  - Multiplicity of the relationship set determines the key of the table



Member (*<u>uid</u>*, *<u>gid</u>*, *fromDate*)

- If we can deduce the general cardinality constraint (0,1) for a component entity set E, then take the primary key attributes for E
- Otherwise, choose primary key attributes of each component entity

(Slide 17) More examples

- Representing aggregation
  - Tabular representation of aggregation of *R* = tabular representation for relationship set *R*
  - To represent relationship set involving aggregation of *R*, treat the aggregation like an entity set whose primary key is the primary key of the table for *R*



Student (StudentNum)
Couse(CourseNum)
Account(UserID)

EnrolledIn(StudentNum,CouseNum)

CouseAccount(UserId, StudentNum, CourseNum, ExpirationDate)

One-to-one relationships → We can simply take UserId or (StudentNum, CourseNum) as the eky