QLOG

The One Without Robin Update

IETF 120 – Vancouver – 2024-07

Robin Marx, Luca Niccolini, Marten Seemann, Lucas Pardue

Since IETF 119

- draft-ietf-quic-qlog-main-schema-09
- draft-ietf-quic-qlog-quic-events-08
- draft-ietf-quic-qlog-h3-events-08

Focused on well-defined extensibility of events and schema. More use of media types, so we need to register them #425

QLOG File Example

```
"file schema": "urn:ietf:params:qlog:file:contained",
"serialization format": 'application/qlog+json',
"title": "Name of this particular glog file (short)",
"description": "Description for this group of traces (long)",
"event schemas": [
    "urn:ietf:params:qlog:events:gen#loglevel-09",
    "urn:ietf:params:glog:events:guic#guic-08",
    "urn:ietf:params:glog:events:h3#h3-08",
"traces": [...]
```

Extensions to events cannot be indicated by schema #432

- Example: Adding a single field to the QUIC packet_sent event
- Redefining the event in an entirely new namespace, category and with new name is a lot of work
- Proposal: add another prefix for event extensions e.g.,
 - urn:ietf:params:qlog:event_ext:extension_foo
 - https://example.com/072024/event_ext/extension_foo.html

The problems with clocks #198

Same as it was in Yokohama (IETF 116)

PR https://github.com/quicwg/qlog/pull/290 effectively rejected

We'll probably go with something simpler

Anyone feel strongly, please speak now

The problems with clocks #198

Events are time stamped. Values depend on format.

UNIX timestamps are implied but not mandatory. Not everyone wants to/can use UNIX e.g. Windows Epoch, CLOCK MONOTONIC.

Explicit epoch date in ISO 8601 format

Possibly a special "opaque" tag to indicate scenarios like CLOCK_MONOTONIC.

Looking ahead

- qvis update planned for August/September
 - Now is a great time to start thinking about updating to the latest drafts
 - Cloudflare qlog update took a couple of hours (https://github.com/cloudflare/quiche/pull/1813)
- Only 25 issues remaining
 - All from document authors
- Polite request to review and implement ahead of IETF 121
- Still on track for WGLC
 - Modulo new issues raised between now and then