[qlog]

structured event logging

The philosophical update

Robin Marx robin.marx@kuleuven.be

The story so far

Log events directly inside the endpoint implementations

- Packet captures require <u>full</u> decryption → worse for privacy/security
- Can add additional information (e.g., congestion window)

Protocol agnostic in 3 parts:

- Main schema
- QUIC and TLS events
- HTTP/3 and QPACK events

https://github.com/quicwg/qlog

What do we actually standardize and why?



draft-01: csv + JSON

```
"event_fields": [
                        "column"
   "relative_time",
   "category",
   "event",
                           names
   "data"
 "events": [
     "transport",
     "packet_received",
     { header: {...}, frames: {...} }
```

```
mvfst
aioquic
quicly / H2O
f5
neqo
picoquic
ats
...
```

draft-01: csv + JSON

```
"event_fields": [
                        "column"
   "relative_time",
   "category",
   "event",
                           names
   "data"
 "events": [
     "transport",
     "packet_received",
     { header: {...}, frames: {...} }
```

```
mvfst - neqo
aioquic - picoquic
quicly / H2O - ats
f5 - ...
```

draft-02: JSON

```
"events": [
   "time": 2,
    "name": "transport:packet_received",
    "data": {
      header: {...},
      frames: {...}
  },
```

```
- quic-go
```

- ngtcp2
- quiche
- haskell
- kwik

draft-01: csv + JSON

```
"event_fields": [
                        "column"
   "relative_time",
   "category",
   "event",
                           names
   "data"
 "events": [
     "transport",
     "packet_received",
     { header: {...}, frames: {...} }
```

```
mvfst - neqo
aioquic - picoquic
quicly / H2O - ats
f5 - ...
```

draft-02: JSON + NDJSON

```
"time": 2,
  "name": "transport:packet_received",
  "data": {
    header: {...},
    frames: {...}
},
```

- quic-go
- ngtcp2
- quiche
- haskell
- kwik

Part 1: The JSON in the room

JSON pros:

- Broadly supported → browser-based tooling, scripting libraries
- Plaintext → re-use existing tools (jq, sed/awk/grep/..., YOU), fprintf("%s")

JSON cons:

- Slow
- Verbose
- NDJSON isn't actually standardized anywhere yet

Alternatives:

- CBOR
- Protobuffers/flatbuffers/...
- PCAPNG

- ...

Part 1: What is the goal for qlog?

Optimize for interoperable/reusable tools?

VS

Optimize for direct output/storage/transfer?

Part 1: What is the goal for qlog?

Optimize for interoperable/reusable tools?

Optimize for direct output/storage/transfer?

Is this even needed?

- Direct 2 JSON is feasible
 - mvfst, quic-go
- Log optimized, convert
 - quicly, picoquic
 - chromium (kind of)

Compress

500MB_0ms_lsquic							
format	raw (MB)	%	gzip6 (MB)	%	brotli4 (MB)	%	
рсар	561.57	203.45	529.01	191.65	528.85	191.60	4
qlog	276.02	100.00	19.15	6.94	19.40	7.03	
cbor	215.53	78.08	17.78	6.44	18.90	6.85	
qlog_lookup	155.89	56.48	17.25	6.25	17.99	6.52	
cbor_lookup	90.85	32.91	15.18	5.50	13.18	4.77	4
protobuf	66.15	23.96	14.56	5.27	10.71	3.88	
							4

Part 1: Proposal

Prioritize tools, stick to JSON + NDJSON

- Other documents can later define CBOR/PCAPNG/Protobuf/... if needed
- We do need to define NDJSON proper ourselves then...
- Implies switch to CDDL to describe events in drafts
 - Currently, we're using a custom DDL based on TypeScript

- Even loading large JSON files should be feasible
 - Not in qvis/browser, but surely in native apps

Part 2: what's inside it?



Part 2: What we have now

```
wire image
"time": 15000,
"name": "transport:packet_received",
"data": {
    "header": {
       "packet_type": "1rtt",
        "packet_number": 25
    "frames": [
       "frame_type": "ack",
        "acked_ranges": [
           [10,15],
           [17,20]
    }]
}}
```

```
internal state
"time": 15001,
"name": "recovery:metrics_updated",
"data": {
  "min_rtt": 25,
  "smoothed_rtt": 30,
  "latest_rtt": 25,
  "congestion_window": 60,
  "bytes_in_flight": 77000,
```

+ Custom events!

Tools MUST deal with unknown events

Part 2: What we also have now

```
• • •
                     wire image
"time": 15000,
"name": "transport:packet_received",
"data": {
    "header": {
        "packet_type": "1rtt",
        "packet_number": 25
    "frames": [
       "frame_type": "ack",
        "acked_ranges": [
           [10,15],
           [17,20]
     }]
}}
```

```
state changes

{
"time": 15000,
"name": "transport:packets_acked",
"data": {
    "packet_numbers": [17,20]
}
Only newly
ACKed
```

Part 2: What we also also have now

```
state changes
                                                wire image
"time": 15000,
                                                "time": 15000,
"name": "transport:packet_received",
                                                "name": "transport:packets_acked",
"data": {
                                                                                               Only newly
                                                "data": {
   "header": {
                                                  "packet_numbers": [17,20]
                                                                                               ACKed
       "packet_type": "1rtt",
       "packet_number": 25
    "frames": [
                                                   partial wire image
       "frame_type": "ack",
       "acked_ranges": [
           [10, 15],
                                                   "time": 15000,
           [17,20]
                                                   "name": "transport:frames_processed",
                                                   "data": {
                                                                                              No packet
                                                     "frames": {
    }]
                                                                                              header
                                                        "frame_type": "ack",
}}
                                                        "acked_ranges": [
                                                           [10, 15],
                                                           [17,20]
```

Part 2: What people are proposing

```
wire image
"time": 15000,
"name": "transport:packet_received",
"data": {
   "header": {
       "packet_type": "1rtt",
       "packet_number": 25
    "frames": [
       "frame_type": "ack",
       "acked_ranges": [
           [10,15],
           [17,20]
    }]
}}
```

```
"optimized" partial wire image
"time": 15000,
  "name":"transport:frames_created",
  "data":{
    "default_frame": {
      "frame_type": "stream",
      "stream_id":0,
      "length": 1000
    },
    "frames":[
      {"offset": 2000 },
      {"offset": 3000 },
      {"offset": 4000, "length": 500}
```

Often

sending

STREAM

similar

frames

Part 2: Explosion of events

All useful, but confusing

- qlog implementers: what to log when/where?
- Tool creators: which events to use? What if contradictions?
 - If tools only support a subset, what's the use of standardizing more?

We need guidelines/design philosphy

When should something be a new event / re-use event / be custom event?

Part 2: Re-use event types

```
"time": 15000,
"name": "transport:packet_received",
                                                               "time": 15000,
"data": {
                                                               "name": "transport:packet_received",
    "header": {
                                                               "data": {
        "packet_type": "1rtt",
                                                                   "header": {
        "packet_number": 25
                                                                       "packet_number": 25
                                                  Tool
                                                                   "frames": [
}}
                                                couples
                                                                       "frame_type": "ack",
                                                                       "acked_ranges": [
                                               based on
                                                                           [10, 15],
                                                                           [17,20]
                                                   PN
                                                                    }]
                                                               }}
```

When handling header

When handling payload

Part 2: Proposal

Pragmatism: rules with exceptions

- 1. Stay as close to wire image as possible
 - Only deviate for internal state
 - Makes tools mostly usable on pcaps as well
- 2. Prevent duplicate info logging
 - Only deviate for non-trivial internal state changes
 - packets_acked would be a good "exception to the rule"
 - QPACK wire image vs "dynamic_table_contents"

If implementations need split (re-used) events/other logic:

→ Write custom converter to "proper" qlog for tools that don't support those

In short

Proposal 1: JSON + NDJSON



Proposal 2: limit event options, similar to draft-01

- TODO: not sure if we can call for "consensus" here, but really would like to hear the thoughts in the room about this
 - Not sure how to best approach that
 - Don't want to be left in limbo AGAIN → been bringing this up for 9 months, need decision or clear involvement in discussion