CME241 Assignment5

Quinn Hollister

January 2022

1 Problem 1

Assume $U(x)=x-\frac{\alpha x^2}{2}$. Assuming $x\sim\mathcal{N}(\mu,\sigma^2)$, calculate Expected utility, x_{CE} , and π_A

1. Calculate E[U(x)]:

$$E[U(x)] = E[x - \frac{\alpha x^2}{2}] = E[x] - \frac{\alpha}{2}E[x^2]$$
 (1)

But, we know that for a normally distributed random variable x, that $E[x] = \mu$, $E[x^2] = Var(x) + E[x]^2 = \sigma^2 + \mu^2$. So, out equation (1) becomes:

$$E[U(x)] = \mu - \frac{\alpha}{2}(\sigma^2 + \mu^2)$$
 (2)

2. Calculate x_{CE} :

$$x_{CE} = U^{-1}(E[U(x)]) (3)$$

$$U(x_{CE}) = E[U(x)] \tag{4}$$

$$x_{CE} - \frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot x_{CE}^2 = \mu - \frac{\alpha}{2} (\sigma^2 + \mu^2)$$
 (5)

And we can use the quadratic equation here to solve for x_{CE} , where $a = \frac{\alpha}{2}$, b = -1, $c = \mu - \frac{\alpha}{2}(\sigma^2 + \mu^2) = E[U(x)]$. This leaves us with two solutions of the form:

$$x_{CE} = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 2 \cdot \alpha \cdot E[U(x)]}}{\alpha} \tag{6}$$

3. Calculate π_A : This is simply $E[X] - x_{CE}$, so we have:

$$\pi_A = \mu - \frac{1 \mp \sqrt{1 - 2 \cdot \alpha \cdot E[U(x)]}}{\alpha} \tag{7}$$

4. How much would you invest in this risky asset based on this utility function:

Based off of this model, we can model our wealth W (based on a riskless asset with return r) as a normal distribution process with:

$$W \sim \mathcal{N}(1 + r + \pi(\mu - r), (\pi\sigma)^2) \tag{8}$$

In order to find the optimal proportion of our money to invest in the risky asset, we need to maximize E[U(W)], or equivalently x_{CE} with respect to the proportion π . Essentially, find the π^* such that

$$\frac{\partial x_{CE}}{\partial \pi} = 0 \tag{9}$$

$$\frac{\partial x_{CE}}{\partial \pi} = \frac{\partial \mu(\pi)}{\partial \pi} \pm \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha} \cdot (f(\pi))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f(\pi)}{\partial \pi}$$
(10)

$$f(\pi) = 1 - 2\alpha(\mu(\pi) - \frac{\alpha}{2}(\sigma(\pi)^2 + \mu(\pi)^2))$$
 (11)

$$\mu(\pi) = 1 + r + \pi(\mu - r), \sigma(\pi) = \sigma \cdot \pi \tag{12}$$

Thus, in order for our partial to equal zero, either $f(\pi)$ approaches infinity—which is possible only when either σ or μ go to infinity, and thus not worth considering—or the partial of f with respect to pi is zero. This is the condition that we will consider:

$$\frac{\partial f(\pi)}{\partial \pi} = -2\alpha(\mu - r - \alpha\pi\sigma^2 - \alpha(\mu - r)(1 + r + \pi(\mu - r))) = 0$$
 (13)

$$(\mu - r - \alpha(\mu - r)(1+r)) - \pi^*(\alpha\sigma^2 + \alpha(\mu - r)^2) = 0$$
 (14)

$$\pi^* = \frac{\mu - r - \alpha(\mu - r)(1 + r)}{\alpha(\sigma^2 + (\mu - r)^2}$$
 (15)

Thus, when we compare the amount of money we should invest in the risky asset $z = (\$1 \text{ mil})\pi^*$ with our risk aversion α , we get the plot:

2 Problem 2

In this exercise, you will be deriving the kelly criterion, i.e. the optimal amount to bet to maximize the expected utility of wealth after a single bet

1. Write down the two outcomes for wealth W at the end of your bet $f \cdot W_0$:

$$W_1 = \begin{cases} W_0 + f \cdot W_0(1+\alpha), & \text{Bet wins} \\ W_0 + f \cdot W_0(1-\beta), & \text{Bet loses} \end{cases}$$
 (16)

2. Write down two outcomes for Utility of W:

$$\log W_1 = \begin{cases} \log W_0(1 + f \cdot (1 + \alpha), & \text{Bet wins} \\ \log W_0(1 + f \cdot (1 - \beta)), & \text{Bet loses} \end{cases}$$
 (17)

3. Write down E[U(W)]:

$$E[\log W] = p \cdot (\log W_0 + \log (1 + f(1 + \alpha))) + q \cdot (\log W_0 + \log (1 + f(1 - \beta)))$$
(18)

But we know p + q = 1, so

$$E[\log W] = \log(W_0) + p \cdot \log(1 + f(1 + \alpha)) + q \cdot \log(1 + f(1 - \beta))$$
 (19)

4. Take the derivative with respect to f

$$\frac{\partial E[\log W_1]}{\partial f} = \frac{\partial}{\partial f} (p \cdot \log(1 + f(1 + \alpha)) + q \cdot \log(1 + f(1 - \beta))) \tag{20}$$

$$\frac{\partial E[\log W_1]}{\partial f} = p \frac{1+\alpha}{1+f(1+\alpha)} + q \frac{1-\beta}{1+f(1-\beta)}$$
 (21)

5. Set this to zero to find f^* :

$$0 = p \frac{1+\alpha}{1+f^*(1+\alpha)} + q \frac{1-\beta}{1+f^*(1-\beta)}$$
 (22)

$$-p\frac{1+\alpha}{1+f^*(1+\alpha)} = q\frac{1-\beta}{1+f^*(1-\beta)}$$
 (23)

$$-p(1+\alpha)(1+f^*(1-\beta)) = q(1-\beta)(1+f^*(1+\alpha)) \tag{24}$$

$$f^* \cdot ((1+\alpha)(1-\beta)q + (1-\beta)(1+\alpha)p) = -p(1+\alpha) - q(1-\beta)$$
 (25)

$$f^* = \frac{-p(1+\alpha) - q(1-\beta)}{((1+\alpha)(1-\beta)q + (1-\beta)(1+\alpha)p)}$$
(26)

Thus, since p + q = 1 our kelly criterion is given as:

$$f^* = \frac{-p}{1-\beta} + \frac{-q}{1+\alpha} \tag{27}$$

This makes intuitive sense in the relationship between the parameters and the wager size. We exepct β to be greater than 1 as that would indicate an actual loss, and with that condition, the denominator is always negative. This means that we increase the wager size in a positive relationship with p, and decrease the wager size as β increases in magnitude (when its greater than 1). Also, we decrease the wager size as q increases, and we increase the wager size as we increase α .