Wrangle and Analyze Data @WeRateDogs

Process Documentation

By

Qusay Elewy

November, 2021

Introduction

In this report, we are going to document our wrangling efforts for WeRateDogs Twitter account's data. That is, we are going to briefly discuss the work done in the three (3) key data wrangling tasks: *Data Gathering, Assessing Data*, and *Cleaning Data*.

Data Gathering

The data used in this project come from three sources listed as follows:

- **twitter_archive_enhanced.csv:** The WeRateDogs Twitter archive data, which is downloaded directly.
- **image_predictions.tsv:** The tweet image prediction file which is downloaded using Requests library.
- **tweet-json.txt:** Provides the tweets' JSON data. Accessed using json library to extract additional data to what was provided in the Twitter archive data file, i.e., retweet_count and favorite count.

Assessing Data

Both *visual* and *programmatic* assessments have been performed on the three datasets. For the visual assessment, the three datasets have been loaded into three data frames which we viewed and explored in Jupyter as well as MS Excel. And for the programmatic assessment, functions such as describe(), info(), value counts(), nunique(), and duplicated() have been used.

These three schemas, extracted using info() are listed below.

Archive Dataset

```
Data columns (total 17 columns):
tweet id
                              2356 non-null int64
                              78 non-null float64
in_reply_to_status_id
in_reply_to_user_id
                              78 non-null float64
timestamp
                              2356 non-null object
                              2356 non-null object
source
                              2356 non-null object
text
retweeted status id
                              181 non-null float64
retweeted status user id
                              181 non-null float64
retweeted status timestamp
                              181 non-null object
expanded urls
                              2297 non-null object
rating numerator
                              2356 non-null int64
rating denominator
                              2356 non-null int64
                              2356 non-null object
name
doggo
                              2356 non-null object
floofer
                              2356 non-null object
pupper
                              2356 non-null object
puppo
                              2356 non-null object
dtypes: float64(4), int64(3), object(10)
```

Prediction Dataset

```
Data columns (total 12 columns):
            2075 non-null int64
tweet id
            2075 non-null object
jpg_url
            2075 non-null int64
img num
            2075 non-null object
р1
            2075 non-null float64
p1 conf
p1_dog
            2075 non-null bool
            2075 non-null object
p2
            2075 non-null float64
p2 conf
            2075 non-null bool
p2 dog
рЗ
            2075 non-null object
            2075 non-null float64
p3 conf
            2075 non-null bool
p3 dog
dtypes: bool(3), float64(3), int64(2), object(4)
```

Json Dataset

```
Data columns (total 4 columns):
tweet_id 2354 non-null int64
name 2354 non-null object
favorite_count 2354 non-null int64
retweet_count 2354 non-null int64
dtypes: int64(3), object(1)
```

Issues found during this assessment have been divided into two lists: one for quality issues, which are concerned with the content; and the other for tidiness issues, which are concerned with the data structure.

Cleaning Data

A copy of our datasets has been made before commencing the data cleaning process so that we could check our original data anytime during the process.

Define-Code-Test framework is utilized in our work, where each issue was documented along with its definition, the code used to fix it, and the result of testing the changes made.

Summary

Python libraries allowed us to access various data sources and formats, and although there were some tricky issues to fix, Pandas made it fairly easy to access and manipulate our data. Plotting visualizations using matplotlib is not only visually appealing, but it also helps us to understand our data better and draw some interesting insights.

As we can see below, our actions have improved the overall quality and tidiness of our data. We now have a combined dataset that is compact, with the right data types, and with much fewer null values; null values are have been marked as NaNs (instead of "None" and empty cells.)

Master Dataset

```
Data columns (total 17 columns):
tweet id
                         2175 non-null int64
                         78 non-null float64
in reply to status id
in_reply_to_user_id
                         78 non-null float64
timestamp
                         2175 non-null datetime64[ns]
                         2175 non-null object
source
text
                         2175 non-null object
expanded urls
                         2175 non-null object
                         2175 non-null int64
rating numerator
rating_denominator
                         2175 non-null int64
name
                         1391 non-null object
                         344 non-null object
stage
                         2175 non-null int64
favorite count
                         2175 non-null int64
retweet_count
                         1994 non-null object
jpg_url
                         1994 non-null object
probability
probability_conf
                         1994 non-null float64
                         1994 non-null object
is dog
dtypes: datetime64[ns](1), float64(3), int64(5), object(8)
```