

**UMVUE**  
 Def:  $\hat{\tau}$  is called uniformly minimum variance unbiased for  $\tau(\theta)$  if:
 

- (i)  $E(\hat{\tau}) = \tau(\theta)$  for all  $\theta$
- (ii) for  $\forall$  other  $\tilde{\tau}$  s.t.  $E(\tilde{\tau}) = \tau(\theta)$ ,  $\text{Var}(\tilde{\tau}) \geq \text{Var}(\hat{\tau})$  [Best Unbiased]

 Thm: If  $\hat{\tau}$  is UMVU for  $\tau(\theta)$ , it's unique.  
 Thm: [Rao-Blackwell]  $\Rightarrow$  Suppose  $T$  is sufficient for  $\theta$  and  $\tilde{\tau}$  is an unbiased estimator for  $\tau(\theta)$ , then we can construct  $\hat{\tau} = E(\tilde{\tau} | T(x))$  is an unbiased estimator for  $\tau(\theta)$  and  $\text{Var}(\hat{\tau}) \leq \text{Var}(\tilde{\tau})$   
 Thm: [Lehman-Scheffe]  $\Rightarrow$  Suppose  $T$  is a complete sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ , if  $\hat{\tau}(T)$  is unbiased for  $\tau(\theta)$ , then  $\hat{\tau}$  is just the UMVUE.

Applying Lehman-Scheffe:

1. Find a complete sufficient statistic  $T$ .
2. If possible, identify the distribution of  $T$ .
3. Determine some function  $h(T)$  s.t.  $E(h(T)) = \tau(\theta) \Rightarrow h(T)$  is the UMVUE for  $\tau(\theta)$ .

PS: Alternatively, find any simple unbiased estimator  $\tilde{\tau}$  and compute  $E(\tilde{\tau} | T)$ .

Definition: Score function:  $S(\theta | x) = \frac{\partial \ell(\theta)}{\partial \theta}$ ,  $\ell(\theta) = \log L(\theta | x)$ . Also, under regular condition, the

Fisher Information:  $I(\theta) = E(S(\theta | x)^2) = E\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \ell(\theta)\right)$

Theorem: Under regular condition:

$$1) E(S(\theta | x)) = 0 \text{ for all } \theta$$

$$2) \text{Cov}(h(x), S(\theta | x)) = \frac{d}{d\theta} E(h(x))$$

$$3) I(\theta) = \text{Var}(S(\theta | x))$$

$\Rightarrow$  Corollary: [If  $x_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f(x | \theta)$  [Assuming "A"]. Then,  $I(\theta) = n \cdot \text{Var}\left(\frac{d}{d\theta} \log f(x | \theta)\right) = n \cdot I_1(\theta)$ . Also,  $I_1(\theta) = -E\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \ell(\theta)\right)$

Theorem: Cramer-Rao: Given "A" and assuming  $E(\hat{\tau}(\theta))$  is differentiable w.r.t.  $\theta \Rightarrow$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\tau}(x)) \geq \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} E(\hat{\tau}(x))\right)^T I^{-1}(\theta) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} E(\hat{\tau}(x))\right) \Rightarrow \text{Var}(\hat{\tau}(x)) \geq \frac{\left[\frac{d}{d\theta} E(\hat{\tau}(x))\right]^2}{I(\theta)} \text{ for 1-param case.}$$

Special case: when  $\hat{\tau}$  is unbiased for  $\theta$ :  $\text{Var}(\hat{\tau}(x)) \geq 1/I(\theta)$

Hypothesis Testings:

Definition: A hypothesis is called simple if the model is completely parameterized by the parameter space  $\Theta$  and  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  (i.e.,  $\theta_0 = \{\theta_0\}$ ) is a single set. O.w. the hypothesis is called composite.

Definition: A hypothesis test is a rule that translates the data into a decision between  $H_0$  and  $H_1$ . It specifies whether to: a: Reject  $H_0$  in favor of  $H_1$ , b: Not reject  $H_0$ . Such rule is often of form:

$\begin{cases} T(x) \in A \Rightarrow H_0 \text{ is not rejected} \\ T(x) \notin A \Rightarrow H_0 \text{ is rejected} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \text{The set } A \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ is called acceptance region.} \\ \text{The set } A^c \text{ is called rejection region.} \end{cases}$

Definition:  $\psi(x) = 1(T(x) \in A^c)$  is called decision rule.  $\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \psi(x) = 1 : \text{reject } H_0 \\ \psi(x) = 0 : \text{do not reject } H_0 \end{cases}$

Type I error: Reject  $H_0$  when  $H_0$  is true.

Type II error: Fail to reject  $H_0$  when  $H_1$  is true.

Power of a test is the chance that  $H_0$  is rejected =  $P(T(x) \notin A) = P(\psi(x) = 1) = E(\psi(x))$

$H_0$  is true: Power = chance of type I error

$H_1$  is true: Power = 1 - chance of type II error.



Condition: In a parametric family:  $H_0: \theta \in \Theta_0$   $H_1: \theta \in \Theta_1$

i) The power function is:

ii) The size of the test is:  $\beta(\theta) = \text{Power when } \theta \text{ is true value} = E(\psi(x) | \theta) = P(T(x) \in A | \theta)$   
 $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \beta(\theta) = \text{max chance of type I error.}$

Also, test is said to have level  $\alpha$  if its size is no more than  $\alpha$ .

Choosing a sample size determination for a hypothesis testing.

1) Determine the test that will have size  $\alpha$  for any  $n$ .

2) For a "meaningful" alternative  $\theta_1 \in \Theta_1$ , solve  $1 - \beta(\theta_1) = \gamma$ .  $\gamma$  is the desired chance of type II error.

Definition: A hypothesis test (decision rule) with power function  $\beta(\theta)$  is called unbiased if  $\beta(\theta_1) \geq \beta(\theta_0)$  for all  $\theta_0 \in \Theta_0$ ,  $\theta_1 \in \Theta_1$ . otherwise, it may be more likely to reject in cases where  $H_0$  is true than it's not.

Definition: A test  $\psi(x)$  is uniformly most powerful (UMP) in class  $C$  if:  
 $\beta_\psi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E(\psi(x) | \theta) \geq \beta_{\psi^*} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E(\psi^*(x) | \theta)$  for all  $\psi^*(x)$  in the class  $C$  and all the  $\theta$  in  $\Theta$ .

Theorem: [Neyman-Pearson Lemma] Consider testing simple hypothesis  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  and  $H_1: \theta = \theta_1$ . Then the test given by reject  $H_0$  iff  $\frac{L(\theta_1 | x)}{L(\theta_0 | x)} \geq k$  ( $k > 0$ ) is UMP among tests of its size or smaller. i.e.  $k$  is all level  $\alpha$  tests where  $\alpha = P(\frac{L(\theta_1 | x)}{L(\theta_0 | x)} \geq k)$ . Furthermore, the test is essentially unique.

Corollary: The UMP test in N-P lemma is a function of the minimal sufficient statistic. If  $T=t(x)$  is sufficient, then the test the same as reject  $H_0$  is  $\frac{f_T(t(x) | \theta_1)}{f_T(t(x) | \theta_0)} > k$

Theorem: Suppose  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $T$  is a 1-dim sufficient statistic. If  $f_T$  satisfies for any  $C$ ,  $\theta_1 > \theta_0$ , there exists  $k \geq 0$  such that  $t > C \Leftrightarrow \frac{f_T(t | \theta_1)}{f_T(t | \theta_0)} > k$  (\*). Then:

i)  $T > C$  is a UMP test for  $\begin{cases} H_0: \theta \leq \theta_0 \\ H_1: \theta > \theta_0 \end{cases} \rightarrow$  of size  $\alpha = P(T > C | \theta_0)$  and the power function is nondecreasing in  $\theta$ .

ii)  $T < C$  is a UMP test for  $\begin{cases} H_0: \theta \geq \theta_0 \\ H_1: \theta < \theta_0 \end{cases} \rightarrow$  and the power is non-decreasing in  $\theta$ .

Definition: If (\*) holds,  $T$  is said to have monotone likelihood ratio. Because it's equivalent to saying  $\frac{f_T(t | \theta_1)}{f_T(t | \theta_0)}$  is non-decreasing in  $t$  if  $\theta_1 > \theta_0$ .

Theorem: Suppose  $\pi_i$  is iid:  $f(x | \theta) = h(x) \cdot c(\theta) \cdot \exp(w(\theta) \cdot t(x))$  and  $w(\theta)$  is non-decreasing in  $\theta$  then  $T = \sum_{i=1}^n t(x_i)$  has monotone likelihood ratio.

Definition: let  $\hat{\theta}_0$  maximize the likelihood under the constraint  $\theta \in \Theta_0$ . The likelihood ratio statistic for testing  $H_0: \theta \in \Theta_0$  vs  $H_1: \theta \in \Theta_1$  is:  $\pi(x) = \frac{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} L(\theta | x)}{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} L(\theta | x)} = \frac{L(\hat{\theta}_0 | x)}{L(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} | x)}$

And the level  $\alpha$  test is to reject if  $\pi(x) \leq C_\alpha$ , where  $C_\alpha$  satisfies  $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} P(\pi(x) \leq C_\alpha | \theta = \theta_0) \leq \alpha$ . Note, small  $\pi(x)$  indicates  $\theta \in \Theta_0$  is not likely.

Note: As in the case of N-P test,  $\pi(x)$  is a function of the (minimal) sufficient statistic  $T(x)$ , and the test may be expressed in terms of  $T(x)$ .



**Theorem:** Assume  $X_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f(x|\theta) = h(x) \cdot c(\theta) \cdot \exp(w(\theta) \cdot t(x))$ ,  $w(\theta)$  strictly  $\uparrow$ , let  $T = \sum_{i=1}^n t(X_i)$   
 Define  $\varphi(x) = \mathbb{1}[t(x) < t_1] + \mathbb{1}[t(x) > t_2]$ ,  $t_1 < t_2$ . If  $P(T(x) < t_1 \text{ or } T(x) > t_2 | \theta_0) = \alpha$  and  
 $E_{\theta_0}(T(x)\varphi(x)) = \alpha \cdot E(T(x) | \theta_0)$ , then  $\varphi$  is a UMPV size  $\alpha$  test of  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  vs  $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$   
**Definition:** Suppose  $T$  is test statistic for  $H_0: \theta \in \theta_0$  vs  $H_1: \theta \in \theta$ , with rejection of the form  $T > C$  and  
 CDF  $F_T$ , then p-value (Significance level) of the test is  $p = 1 - F_T(T)$ .  
**Interpretation:**

- 1).  $p < \alpha$  iff  $T > C_\alpha$ , where  $F_T(C_\alpha) = 1 - \alpha$ , so  $p$  is a test statistic with rejection region " $p < \alpha \Leftrightarrow T > C$ ".
- 2).  $p$  is a random variable.
- 3).  $p$  is a simple measure of how much the evidence favors retaining  $H_0$  [Small  $p \Rightarrow$  Little evidence for keeping  $H_0$ ]

## Interval Estimate

**Definition:**  $X$ : Sample Data,  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^1$ , let  $\tau = \tau(\theta)$  be real value.  
 i) An interval estimator for  $\tau$  is a random 2-d statistic  $[L(x), U(x)]$  with  $L(x) \leq U(x)$ . The inference we make is " $\tau(\theta)$  is between the calculated values  $L(x)$  and  $U(x)$ ".  
 ii). A set estimator for  $\theta$  is a random set  $C(x)$ .  $C(x)$  depending only on data  $X$ .  
**Definition:** Let  $C = C(x)$  be a set estimator of  $\theta$ .

- i) The coverage probability of  $C$  is:  $P(C(x) \text{ contains } \theta)$  depends on  $\theta$ .
- ii) The confidence level of  $C$  is  $\inf_{\theta \in \Theta} P(C(x) \text{ contains } \theta)$

Several ways to identify confidence sets:

- i) Use of "pivots"
- ii) Use of hypothesis tests
- iii) Use of asymptotic distributions.
- iv) Bayesian (credible) intervals.
- v) Likelihood (confidence) intervals.

**Definition:** A random quantile variable  $Q(x, \theta)$  is pivotal quantity if:

- i) The distribution of  $Q(x, \theta)$  does not depend on  $\theta$
- ii). There are sets  $A, C(x)$  s.t.  $Q(x, \theta) \in A \Leftrightarrow \theta \in C(x)$ ,  $C(x)$  is a useful estimator of  $\theta$ .  
 If  $P(Q(x, \theta) \in A) = 1 - \alpha$ , then  $C(x)$  is a  $1 - \alpha$  confidence set for  $\theta$ .

**Theorem:** Suppose  $X_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f(x|\mu, \sigma^2)$  where  $f(\cdot|\mu, \sigma^2)$  is a location-scale family.

- i)  $\mu$  unknown,  $\sigma^2$  known.

$T$  is location invariant  $\Rightarrow t - \mu$  is a pivot.

- ii)  $\mu$  known,  $\sigma^2$  unknown.

$S$  is scale invariant  $\Rightarrow S/\sigma$  is a pivot.

- iii). Both  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  are unknown:

$(T, S)$  is location scale invariant  $\Rightarrow (T - \mu, S/\sigma)$  is pivot.

**Theorem:** Suppose  $T$  is a 1-dim statistic with continuous distribution  $F_T(t|\theta)$ .

- i).  $Q(T, \theta) = F_T(T|\theta)$  is a pivot. [Probability Transform]
- ii). If  $F_T(t|\theta) = h(Q(t, \theta))$ ,  $Q(t, \theta)$  is monotone in  $t \Rightarrow Q(T, \theta)$  is pivot.

Theorem: Let  $A(\theta_0)$  be a level  $\alpha$  acceptance region for a test of:  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$ .  
Define  $C(x) = \{\theta_0: x \in A(\theta_0)\}$ , then  $C(x)$  is a confidence set with confidence  $1-\alpha$ .

Bayesian Approach: A  $1-\alpha$  credible set  $c(x)$  is a subset of  $\theta$  such that  $\int_{c(x)} \pi(\theta|x) d\theta = 1-\alpha$ .