

# Embedded OS Implementation, Fall 2020

## Project #1 (due November 11, 2020 (Wednesday) 13:00)

### [ PART I] Task Control Block Linked List

#### Objective:

Follow the previous homework (HW1), please add some code to the  $\mu$ C/OS-II scheduler **in the kernel level** to observe the operations of task control block (TCB) and TCB linked list.

✂The TCB address is dynamic

The output results are shown below:

```
OSTick created, Thread ID 21332
Task[ 63] created, TCB Address 0010F660
-----After TCB[63] being linked-----
Previous TCB point to addrss 00000000
Current TCB point to addrss 0010F660
Next TCB point to addrss 00000000

Task[ 1] created, TCB Address 0010F6B8
-----After TCB[1] being linked-----
Previous TCB point to addrss 00000000
Current TCB point to addrss 0010F6B8
Next TCB point to addrss 0010F660

Task[ 2] created, TCB Address 0010F710
-----After TCB[2] being linked-----
Previous TCB point to addrss 00000000
Current TCB point to addrss 0010F710
Next TCB point to addrss 0010F6B8

===== TCB linked list =====
Task  Prev_TCB_addr  TCB_addr  Next_TCB_addr
2      00000000      0010F710  0010F6B8
1      0010F710      0010F6B8  0010F660
63     0010F6B8      0010F660  00000000
```

### [ PART II] RM Scheduler Implementation

#### Objective:

To implement the Rate Monotonic (RM) scheduler for periodic tasks, and observe the scheduling behaviors.

#### Problem Definition:

Implement the following four task sets of periodic tasks. Add necessary code to the  $\mu$ C/OS-II scheduler **in the kernel level** to observe how the task suffers the schedule delay.

Periodic Task Set =  $\{\tau_{ID}(\text{arrival time, execution time, period})\}$

Task set 1 =  $\{\tau_1(0, 1, 3), \tau_2(0, 3, 6)\}$

Task set 2 =  $\{\tau_1(0, 8, 15), \tau_2(0, 2, 5)\}$

Task set 3 =  $\{\tau_1(1, 1, 3), \tau_2(0, 4, 6)\}$

Task set 4 =  $\{\tau_1(0, 4, 6), \tau_2(2, 2, 10), \tau_3(1, 1, 5)\}$

✂ The priority of task is set according to the RM scheduling rules.

## Evaluation:

The output format:

Tick	Event	CurrentTask ID	NextTask ID	ResponseTime	# of ContextSwitch
##	Preemption	task(ID)(job number)	task(ID)(job number)		
##	Completion	task(ID)(job number)	task(ID)(job number)	##	##
##	MissDeadline	task(ID)(job number)	-----		

✖ If task is Idle Task, print “*task(priority)*”.

The **Example1** of output results:

Consider two tasks  $\tau_1$  (0,2,4) and  $\tau_2$  (0,3,8) with priority 1 and 2, respectively.

Tick	Event	CurrentTask ID	NextTask ID	ResponseTime	# of ContextSwitch
2	Completion	task(1)(0)	task(2)(0)	2	1
4	Preemption	task(2)(0)	task(1)(1)		
6	Completion	task(1)(1)	task(2)(0)	2	2
7	Completion	task(2)(0)	task(63)	7	4
8	Preemption	task(63)	task(1)(2)		
10	Completion	task(1)(2)	task(2)(1)	2	2
12	Preemption	task(2)(1)	task(1)(3)		
14	Completion	task(1)(3)	task(2)(1)	2	2
15	Completion	task(2)(1)	task(63)	7	4
16	Preemption	task(63)	task(1)(4)		
18	Completion	task(1)(4)	task(2)(2)	2	2
20	Preemption	task(2)(2)	task(1)(5)		
22	Completion	task(1)(5)	task(2)(2)	2	2
23	Completion	task(2)(2)	task(63)	7	4

<b>Example1</b>	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
Task1(0,2,4)	0				1					2			3					4			5				6			
Task2(0,3,8)	0								1									2							3			
Scheduling Result	0	0		1	0				2	1		3	1				4	2		5	2							

The **Example2** of output results:

Consider two tasks  $\tau_1$  (1,1,3) and  $\tau_2$  (0,3,6) with priority 1 and 2, respectively.

Tick	Event	CurrentTask ID	NextTask ID	ResponseTime	# of ContextSwitch
1	Preemption	task(2)(0)	task(1)(0)		
2	Completion	task(1)(0)	task(2)(0)	1	2
4	Completion	task(2)(0)	task(1)(1)	4	3
5	Completion	task(1)(1)	task(63)	1	2
6	Preemption	task(63)	task(2)(1)		
7	Preemption	task(2)(1)	task(1)(2)		
8	Completion	task(1)(2)	task(2)(1)	1	2
10	Completion	task(2)(1)	task(1)(3)	4	4
11	Completion	task(1)(3)	task(63)	1	2
12	Preemption	task(63)	task(2)(2)		
13	Preemption	task(2)(2)	task(1)(4)		
14	Completion	task(1)(4)	task(2)(2)	1	2
16	Completion	task(2)(2)	task(1)(5)	4	4
17	Completion	task(1)(5)	task(63)	1	2
18	Preemption	task(63)	task(2)(3)		
19	Preemption	task(2)(3)	task(1)(6)		
20	Completion	task(1)(6)	task(2)(3)	1	2

<b>Example2</b>	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
Task1(1,1,3)		0			1			2			3			4			5			6			7	
Task2(0,3,6)	0							1					2						3					
Scheduling Result	0	0	0		1			1	2	1		3		2	4	2		5		3	6	3		

**Crediting:**

[ PART I] Task Control Block Linked List [20%]

- The screenshot results. (10%)
- A report that describes your implementation (please attach the screenshot of the code and **MARK** the modified part). (10%)

[ PART II] RM Scheduler Implementation [80%]

- The screenshot results (with the given format) of four task sets. (**Time ticks 0-30 or miss deadline**). (40%)
- A report that describes your implementation (please attach the screenshot of the code and **MARK** the modified part). (40%)

※ **You must modify source code!**

**Project submit:**

Submit to Moodle.

Submit deadline: November 11, 2020 (Wednesday) 13:00


File name format: RTOS\_your student ID\_PA1.zip

RTOS\_ your student ID\_PA1.zip includes:

- The report (RTOS\_ your student ID\_PA1.pdf).
- The file you modify( main.c, os\_core.c, etc. )

### Hints:

1. Task (**a**, **c**, **p**) means that a task arrives at **a** tick and then executes **c** tick in every **p** ticks.
2. We define the number of context switch for every task in every period is the sum of switch in and switch out.



3. Please modify **OS\_TASK\_STAT\_EN** and **OS\_TMR\_EN** from 1 to 0 in “**os\_cfg.h**” file to disable the statistic task and timer management.

```

69      #define OS_TASK_QUERY_EN          1u    /*
70      #define OS_TASK_REG_TBL_SIZE      1u    /*
71      #define OS_TASK_STAT_EN           0u    /*
72      #define OS_TASK_STAT_STK_CHK_EN   1u    /*

139      #define OS_TMR_EN                 0u    /*
140      #define OS_TMR_CFG_MAX            16u    /*
141      #define OS_TMR_CFG_NAME_EN        1u    /*
142      #define OS_TMR_CFG_WHEEL_SIZE     7u    /*

```

4. We build a simple task set at the application region (i.e., main.c), and it includes some simple periodic tasks (c, p). A simple periodic task as following :

```

while(1){
    Do something          →A
    Wait for next period  →B
}


```

The periodic task can be subdivided into two parts. The part A is in charge of executing some operations, the execution time **c** is estimated in offline profiling. The part B is in charge of waiting for the next arrival time, the waiting time is **p-c**. Therefore, if there is an application we can model it as a simple task to simulate its behavior.


```

while(1){
    Start = OSTimeGet() ;
    While(OSTimeGet() - start < c){    →A
        //Do something
    }
    OSTimeDly (p-c) ;                →B
}

```



However, **if two more tasks exist in the system, this task function might not be adaptive.** That is because task preemption occurs at runtime to affect the set time in task function. For example, the following figure shows the task preemption occurring between the task  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  execution.



The original execution time and delay of  $\tau_2$  you set will mismatch, because the original execution time is affected by  $\tau_1$  (i.e., the execution time of  $\tau_2$  will include the execution time of  $\tau_1$ ).

In min function which is in application region (i.e., main.c). There is a function named **OSTaskCreateExt(...)** which is the function creates a task. There are lots of data structures used in this function. If you have no clue where to start this project, you can trace this function.

```

main(){
    ...
    OSTaskCreateExt(Task1, ...) ;
    OSTaskCreateExt(Task2, ...) ;
    ...
    OSStart();
}

```

- To add new variables into the task control block for recoding the execution time, job ID, etc. You can trace the function **OS\_TCBInit(...)**.