

$$(21\varphi_12) = ((2_1|\alpha^+ + \sqrt{1-|\alpha|^2}|2_{-1}])((\omega_1|2_1 \times 2_1| - \omega_1|2_2 \times 2_1|)(1|2_1)\alpha^+ + \sqrt{1-|\alpha|^2}|1|2_{-2})$$

$$= |\alpha|^2 \omega_1 + (1 + |\alpha|^2) \omega_2 = |\alpha|^2 (\omega_1 - \omega_2) + \omega_2$$

P is mixed. For $|\alpha|=1, 0$ we are in a pure state $|w_1\rangle, |w_2\rangle$ respectively, and for $|\alpha|=\frac{1}{\delta}$ we are in the maximally mixed state.

b) Consider a linear combination $|\psi\rangle = a|\psi_1\rangle + b|\psi_2\rangle$ and compare it with the density matrix $\rho = w_1|\psi_1\rangle\langle\psi_1| + w_2|\psi_2\rangle\langle\psi_2|$ above with $w_1 = |a|^2$ and $w_2 = |b|^2$. Do they describe the same state? What are the similarities? The differences? Explain in as many details as you can. Explore physically interesting limits of your answers.

$$|ax+bi| = \begin{pmatrix} |a|^2 & ab^* \\ a^*b & |b|^2 \end{pmatrix} \neq P = \begin{pmatrix} |a|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & |b|^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

↳ pure ↳ mixed

↳

by definition P is unseparable
and therefore mixed.

For $b=0$ or $a=0$ they are the same

For $a=b=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ it is the maximally mixed state.

Here the off-diagonal elements of 14×1

represent the fact that there's a phase relationship between $|1\downarrow\rangle$ and $|1\uparrow\rangle$. In contrast with ρ where there is none, there the system behaves as classical probabilities. Therefore in $1\downarrow X 1\downarrow$ we can observe interference between $|1\downarrow,\downarrow\rangle$ and $|1\uparrow,\downarrow\rangle$ and in ρ we cannot.

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \rho = \sum_{E_K} P_{n_k n_k} \phi_n \phi_{n_k}^*$$

without degeneracies

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} e^{-PE_1} \\ e^{-PE_2} \\ \vdots \end{array} \right)$$

with degeneracies

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} \begin{array}{c} e^{-PE_1} \\ \# e^{-PE_1} \# \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \# \\ \# \\ \# \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} e^{-PE_1} \\ \# e^{-PE_1} \# \\ \vdots \end{array} \end{array} \right)$$

degenerate sub-space

diagonal block matrix
(usually finite degeneracies)

T_1 won't change because the diagonal elements are basically the same (there are just more of them). T_2 is more interesting we need to consider off diagonal elements within the same degenerate sub-space $\#$, and off diagonal elements outside this sub-space $\#\#$. Each sub-space is a fully mixed density matrix, therefore $\# = 0$. As to $\#\#$, they can still hold a value and their phase is still determined by $\Delta m = E_n - E_m$ and by the same T_2 .

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \prod_{N \text{ terms}} \left[1 - i\varepsilon \hat{H}/\hbar - \frac{i}{2} (\varepsilon \hat{H}/\hbar)^2 - \dots \right]$$

with multiplying N terms of order $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ you get $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^N)$ and when you take $N \rightarrow \infty$ you get all orders.

Let's take just the first two terms for one sec:

$$N=2$$

$$\left[1 - i\varepsilon \hat{H}/\hbar - \frac{i}{2} (\varepsilon \hat{H}/\hbar)^2 \right] \left[1 - i\varepsilon \hat{H}/\hbar - \frac{i}{2} (\varepsilon \hat{H}/\hbar)^2 \right]$$

$$1 - i\varepsilon \hat{H}/\hbar - \frac{i}{2} (\varepsilon \hat{H}/\hbar)^2$$

$$- i\varepsilon \hat{H}/\hbar - \left(\varepsilon \hat{H}/\hbar \right)^2 + \frac{i}{2} (\varepsilon \hat{H}/\hbar)^3$$

$$- \frac{i}{2} (\varepsilon \hat{H}/\hbar)^2 + \frac{i}{2} (\varepsilon \hat{H}/\hbar)^3 + \frac{1}{6} (\varepsilon \hat{H}/\hbar)^4$$

We see that we get terms of the

type $N^\alpha \varepsilon^k$. In the terms where $k \geq 2$

we get $\alpha \leq 2$ and therefore the term goes

to zero when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, N \rightarrow \infty$ (they go at the

same rate). And we are left with just

$$(1 - i \epsilon \hat{H}/\hbar).$$

$$b) = U = e^{-i N \epsilon \hat{H}/\hbar} = e^{-i \sum_{\text{N times}} \epsilon \hat{H}/\hbar} = e^{-i \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\text{N times}} (\epsilon_f - \epsilon_i) \hat{H}/\hbar}$$
$$= e^{-i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} \hat{H}(t) dt}$$

c) The form $(1 - i \hat{H}(t) \epsilon / \hbar)$

because in the integral form

it is not clear at which time interval

$\hat{H}(t)$ acts in. Only in this form

we can make sure we don't get into

trouble with the uncommutativity of $H(t_1)$ and

$H(t_2)$. If we want to use the integral

form we must use the \hat{T} , which will take

sure we act with $H(t_i)$ in the correct order
and not get into trouble