A PROOF OF THEOREM 1

A.1 Additional Notation

For simplicity of notations, we denote the error of weight quantization $\mathbf{r}_n^k \triangleq Q_w\left(\mathbf{w}_n^{k+1}\right) - \mathbf{w}_n^{k+1}$, and the local "gradient" with weight quantization as $\hat{\mathbf{g}}_n^k \triangleq \nabla \widetilde{f_n}(\mathbf{w}_n^k) - \mathbf{r}_n^k/\eta$.

Inspired by the iterate analysis framework in [24], we define the following virtual sequences:

$$\boldsymbol{u}_n^{k+1} = \boldsymbol{w}_n^k - \eta \hat{\boldsymbol{g}}_n^k, \tag{23}$$

$$\mathbf{w}_{n}^{k+1} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{u}_{n}^{k+1}, & k+1 \notin \mathcal{U}_{H}, \\ \mathbf{u}_{n}^{k'} - \sum_{n=1}^{N} p_{n} Q_{q}(\Delta_{n}^{k'}), & k+1 \in \mathcal{U}_{H}. \end{cases}$$
(24)

Here, k'=k+1-H is the last synchronization step and $\Delta_N^k=u_n^{k'}-u_n^{k+1}$ is the differences since the last synchronization. The following short-hand notation will be found useful in the convergence analysis of the proposed FL framework: $\bar{\boldsymbol{u}}^k=\sum_{n=1}^N p_n \boldsymbol{u}_n^k, \ \bar{\boldsymbol{w}}_n^k=\sum_{n=1}^N p_n \boldsymbol{w}_n^k, \ \text{and} \ \hat{\boldsymbol{g}}^k=\sum_{n=1}^N p_n \hat{\boldsymbol{g}}_n^k.$ Thus, $\bar{\boldsymbol{u}}^{k+1}=\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^k-\eta \hat{\boldsymbol{g}}^k.$ Note that we can only obtain $\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{k+1}$ when $k+1\in\mathcal{U}_H$. Further, due to the unbiased gradient quantization scheme, Q_g , no matter whether $k+1\in\mathcal{U}_H$ or $k+1\notin\mathcal{U}_H$, we always have $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}_Q[\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{k+1}]]=\mathbb{E}[\bar{\boldsymbol{u}}^{k+1}].$

A.2 Key Lemmas

Now, we give four important lemmas to convey our proof.

LEMMA 1 (WEIGHT QUANTIZATION ERROR [21]). The stochastic rounding error on each iteration can be bounded, in expectation

$$\mathbb{E}_{Q}\left[\left\|r_{n}^{k}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right] \leq \eta \sqrt{d} \delta_{w} \tau. \tag{25}$$

Lemma 2 (Bounding the divergence). According to the Alg. 1 the expected inner product between stochastic gradient and full batch gradient is bounded with:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \sum_{n=1}^{N} p_{n} \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{E}_{Q} \left[\left\| \bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{k} - \boldsymbol{w}_{n}^{k} \right\|_{2}^{2} \right] \right] \\
\leq \frac{\eta^{2} K H \sigma^{2} / M + 3 \eta^{2} K H^{2} G^{2}}{1 - 3 \eta^{2} L^{2} H^{2}} + \frac{\eta K H \sqrt{d} \tau}{1 - 3 \eta^{2} L^{2} H^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} p_{n} \delta_{w,n} \\
+ \frac{3 \eta^{2} H^{2}}{1 - 3 \eta^{2} L^{2} H^{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} \left\| \nabla F(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{i}) \right\|_{2}^{2} \tag{26}$$

PROOF. Recalling that at the synchronization step $k' \in \mathcal{U}_H$, $\mathbf{w}_n^{k'} = \bar{\mathbf{w}}^{k'}$ for all $n \in \mathcal{N}$. Therefore, for any $k \geq 0$, such

that $k' \le k \le k' + H$, we get,

$$A1_{k} := \sum_{n=1}^{N} p_{n} \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{E}_{Q} \left[||\bar{\mathbf{w}}^{k} - \mathbf{w}_{n}^{k}||_{2}^{2} \right] \right]$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} p_{n} \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{E}_{Q} \left[||(\bar{\mathbf{w}}^{k} - \bar{\mathbf{w}}^{k'}) - (\mathbf{w}_{n}^{k} - \bar{\mathbf{w}}^{k'})||_{2}^{2} \right] \right]$$

$$\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} \sum_{n=1}^{N} p_{n} \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{E}_{Q} \left[||\mathbf{w}_{n}^{k} - \mathbf{w}_{n}^{k'}||_{2}^{2} \right] \right]$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} p_{n} \mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \sum_{i=k'}^{k} \left(\eta \nabla \widetilde{f}_{n}(\mathbf{w}_{n}^{i}) - \mathbf{r}_{n}^{i} \right) \right) \right\|_{2}^{2} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} p_{n} \mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \sum_{i=k'}^{k} \eta \nabla \widetilde{f}_{n}(\mathbf{w}_{n}^{i}) \right\|_{2}^{2} + \sum_{i=k'}^{k} \mathbb{E}_{Q} \left[||\mathbf{r}_{n}^{i}||_{2}^{2} \right] \right]$$

$$\leq \eta^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} p_{n} \mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \sum_{i=k'}^{k'} \nabla \widetilde{f}_{n}(\mathbf{w}_{n}^{i}) \right\|_{2}^{2} + H \eta \sqrt{d} \tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} p_{n} \delta_{\mathbf{w},n}, \right]$$

$$(27)$$

where $k'_H = k' + H - 1$, (a) holds due to $\mathbb{E}[\|\sum_{i=1}^n a_i\|_2^2] = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[\|a_i\|_2^2]$ if $\mathbb{E}[a_i] = 0$. The last equality is due to Lemma 1.

We generalize the result from [19] to upper-bound the first term in RHS of (27), (see the of Theorem 3 and its proof in appendix for the special case of $p_n = \frac{1}{N}$):

$$\eta^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} p_{n} \mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \sum_{i=k'}^{k'_{H}} (\nabla \widetilde{f_{n}}(\boldsymbol{w}_{n}^{i}) - \nabla F_{n}(\boldsymbol{w}_{n}^{i}) + \nabla F_{n}(\boldsymbol{w}_{n}^{i})) \right\|_{2}^{2} \right]$$

$$\leq \eta^{2} H \frac{\sigma^{2}}{M} + 3\eta^{2} H^{2} G^{2} + 3\eta^{2} H \sum_{i=k'}^{k'_{H}} (L^{2} A 1_{i} + \left\| \nabla F(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{i}) \right\|_{2}^{2}).$$

$$(28)$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{K-1} A 1_i \le \eta^2 K H \frac{\sigma^2}{M} + 3\eta^2 K H^2 G^2 + 3\eta^2 L^2 H^2 \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} A 1_i + 3\eta^2 H^2 \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} \left\| \nabla F(\bar{\mathbf{w}}^i) \right\|_2^2 + \eta K H \sqrt{d} \tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} p_n \delta_{w,n}.$$
(29)

Suppose $1 - 3\eta^2 L^2 H^2 \ge 0$, we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{K-1} A 1_i \le \frac{\eta^2 K H \sigma^2 / M + 3\eta^2 K H^2 G^2}{1 - 3\eta^2 L^2 H^2} + \frac{\eta K H \sqrt{d} \tau}{1 - 3\eta^2 L^2 H^2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} p_n \delta_{w,n} + \frac{3\eta^2 H^2}{1 - 3\eta^2 L^2 H^2} \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} \left\| \nabla F(\bar{\mathbf{w}}^i) \right\|_2^2, \tag{30}$$

and the proof complete.

Lemma 3. Under the Assumptions 1-3, the following conditions hold

$$\frac{L}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}_{Q}\left[\left\|\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{k+1} - \bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{k}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right]\right]$$

$$\leq \frac{\eta L}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(\eta H \tau^{2} p_{n} \delta_{g,n} + H \sqrt{d} \tau p_{n} \delta_{g,n} \delta_{w,n} + \eta \frac{\sigma^{2}}{M} p_{n}^{2}\right)$$

$$+ \frac{\eta^{2} L}{2} \left\|\sum_{n=1}^{N} p_{n} \nabla F_{n}(\boldsymbol{w}_{n}^{k})\right\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\eta L K H \sqrt{d} \tau}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} p_{n}^{2} \delta_{w,n}. \tag{31}$$

Proof.

$$\frac{L}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}_{Q}\left[\left\|\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{k+1} - \bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{k}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right]\right] \\
= \frac{L}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}_{Q}\left[\left\|\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{k+1} - \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}^{k+1} + \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}^{k+1} - \bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{k}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right]\right] \\
= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{L}{2}\mathbb{E}_{Q}\left[\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{N} p_{n}(Q(\tilde{\Delta}_{n}^{k}) - \tilde{\Delta}_{n}^{k})\right\|_{2}^{2}\right] + \frac{L}{2}\|\eta\hat{\boldsymbol{g}}^{k}\|_{2}^{2}\right] \\
\leq \frac{L}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{N} p_{n}^{2}\delta_{g,n}\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\boldsymbol{w}_{n}^{k} - \bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{k'}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right] + \frac{\eta^{2}L\sigma^{2}}{2M}\sum_{n=1}^{N} p_{n}^{2} \\
+ \frac{\eta^{2}L}{2}\left(\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{N} p_{n}\nabla F_{n}(\boldsymbol{w}_{n}^{k})\right\|_{2}^{2} + \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{N} p_{n}r_{n}^{k}/\eta\right\|_{2}^{2}\right]\right) \\
\leq \frac{\eta^{2}L}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{N} p_{n}^{2}\delta_{g,n}\left(H\frac{\sigma^{2}}{M} + 3HG^{2}\right) + 3\frac{\eta^{2}L^{3}}{2}H\sum_{i=k'}^{k'_{H}}A2_{i} \\
+ \frac{3\eta^{2}L}{2}H\sum_{n=1}^{N} p_{n}^{2}\delta_{g,n}\sum_{i=k'}^{k'_{H}}\left\|\nabla F(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{i})\right\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\eta^{2}L\sigma^{2}/M\sum_{n=1}^{N} p_{n}^{2}}{2} \\
+ \frac{\eta^{2}L}{2}\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{N} p_{n}\nabla F_{n}(\boldsymbol{w}_{n}^{k})\right\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\eta L\sqrt{d}\tau}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{N} p_{n}^{2}\delta_{w,n}(1 + H\delta_{g,n}). \tag{32}$$

where $A2_i = \sum_{n=1}^N p_n \delta_{g,n} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}_Q[||\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^k - \boldsymbol{w}_n^k||_2^2]]$. The result of $A2_i$ can be bounded using similar analysis of (29).

A.3 Main Results

Under the L-smooth assumption of F, we have,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[F(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{k+1}) - F(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{k})\right]$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle \nabla F(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{k}), \bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{k+1} - \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}^{k+1} + \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}^{k+1} - \bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{k}\right\rangle\right] + \frac{L}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{k+1} - \bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{k}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right]$$

$$\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} \mathbb{E} \left[\left\langle \nabla F(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{k}), \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}^{k+1} - \bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{k} \right\rangle + \frac{L}{2} \left\| \bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{k+1} - \bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{k} \right\|_{2}^{2} \right] \\
\stackrel{(b)}{\leq} \frac{\eta}{2} \mathbb{E}_{Q} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{N} L^{2} p_{n} \left\| \bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{k} - \boldsymbol{w}_{n}^{k} \right\|_{2}^{2} - \left\| \nabla F(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{k}) \right\|_{2}^{2} - \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{N} p_{n} \nabla F_{n}(\boldsymbol{w}_{n}^{k}) \right\|_{2}^{2} \right] \\
+ \frac{L}{2} \left\| \bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{k+1} - \bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{k} \right\|_{2}^{2}, \tag{33}$$

where (a) is $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}_{Q}[\bar{\mathbf{w}}^{k+1}]] = \mathbb{E}[\bar{\mathbf{u}}^{k+1}]$, (b) is due to $2 < a, b >= ||a||^2 + ||b||^2 + ||a - b||^2$ and $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\mathbf{g}}_n^k] = \nabla F_n(\mathbf{w}_n^k)$, and follows from L-smoothness assumption. We use Lemma 1-3 to upper bound the RHS of (33) and set $\eta L \leq 1$, which gets,

$$\mathbb{E}[F(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{k+1}) - F(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{k})] \le -\frac{\eta}{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{k})\right\|_{2}^{2}\right] + \frac{\eta L^{2}}{2} A 1_{k} + \frac{L}{2} A 2_{k} + \frac{\eta^{2} L \sigma^{2} / M}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} p_{n}^{2} + \frac{\eta L \sqrt{d} \tau}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} p_{n}^{2} \delta_{w,n}.$$
(34)

Summing up for all *K* communication rounds and we get,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[F(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{K}) - F(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{0})\right] \\
\leq -\frac{\eta C_{1}}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla F(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{k})\right\|_{2}^{2}\right] + \frac{\eta L^{2}}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} A 1_{k} + \frac{3\eta^{2} L^{3}}{2} H \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} A 2_{k} \\
+ \frac{\eta L K \sqrt{d} \tau}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} p_{n}^{2} \delta_{w,n} (1 + H \delta_{g,n}) \\
+ \frac{\eta^{2} L K \sigma^{2} / M}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} p_{n}^{2} (1 + H \delta_{g,n}) + \frac{3\eta^{2} L K H^{2} G^{2} \bar{\delta}_{g}}{2}. \tag{35}$$

where $\bar{\delta}_g = \sum_{n=1}^N p_n^2 \delta_{g,n}$, $C_1 = 1 - 3\eta L H \bar{\delta}_g$ and $C_2 = 1 + 3\eta L H \bar{\delta}_g$. Plugging Lemma 4 into (35), if $C_1' = C_1 - \frac{3\eta^2 H^2 (L^2 + 3\eta L^3 \bar{\delta}_g H)}{1 - 3\eta^2 L^2 H^2} \ge 0$,

$$\frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \nabla F(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{k}) \right\|_{2}^{2} \right] \\
\leq \frac{2\mathbb{E} \left[F(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{0}) - F(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{K}) \right]}{\eta C_{1}^{\prime} K} + \frac{\eta^{2} L^{2} C_{2} H \sigma^{2}}{C_{1}^{\prime} M (1 - 3\eta^{2} L^{2} H^{2})} + \frac{3\eta L K H}{C_{1}^{\prime}} G^{2} \\
+ \frac{3\eta^{2} L^{2} C_{2} H^{2} G^{2}}{C_{1}^{\prime} (1 - 3\eta^{2} L^{2} H^{2})} + \frac{\eta L^{2} H \sqrt{d} \tau}{C_{1}^{\prime} (1 - 3\eta^{2} L^{2} H^{2})} \sum_{n=1}^{N} p_{n} \delta_{w,n} C_{3,n} \\
+ \frac{\eta L \sigma^{2}}{C_{1}^{\prime} M} \sum_{n=1}^{N} p_{n}^{2} (1 + H \delta_{g,n}) + \frac{L \sqrt{d} \tau}{C_{1}^{\prime}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} p_{n}^{2} \delta_{w,n} (1 + H \delta_{g,n}), \tag{36}$$

where $C_{3,n} = 1 + 3\eta L H p_n \delta_{g,n}$. If we set $\eta = \sqrt{MN/K}$ and $\eta L H \bar{\delta}_g \geq \frac{\eta^2 H^2 (L^2 + 3\eta L^3 \bar{\delta}_g H)}{1 - 3\eta^2 L^2 H^2}$, we can get the $1/C_1' \leq 2$. Thus,

$$\frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \nabla F(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{k}) \right\|_{2}^{2} \right] \\
\leq \frac{4 \mathbb{E} \left[F(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{0}) - F(\bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{K}) \right]}{\sqrt{MNK}} + \frac{2LH\sigma^{2}}{\sqrt{MNK}} (2\bar{\delta}_{g} + p) + \frac{12MLH\bar{\delta}_{g}G^{2}}{\sqrt{MNK}} \\
+ 2LH\sqrt{d}\tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} p_{n}^{2} \delta_{w,n} (1 + 2\delta_{g,n}). \tag{37}$$