I. MAIN RESULT FOR NON-CONVEX SETTING

Motivated by the iterate analysis framework in [1], we define the auxiliary sequences for each device $i \in \mathcal{N}$, where $\boldsymbol{v}_i^0 := \tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_i^0$ and for all $t \geq 1$ as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{v}_i^{t+1} = \boldsymbol{v}_i^t - \gamma \dot{\nabla} f_i(\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_i^t), \tag{1}$$

and $\boldsymbol{v}^t = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \boldsymbol{v}_i^t$. For convenience, we also define $\dot{\boldsymbol{g}}^t := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \dot{\nabla} f_i(\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_i^t)$ and $\boldsymbol{g}^t = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \nabla f_i(\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_i^t)$. Therefore, $\boldsymbol{g}^t = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \left[\dot{\boldsymbol{g}}^t \right]$ and $\boldsymbol{v}^{t+1} = \boldsymbol{v}^t - \gamma \dot{\boldsymbol{g}}^t$.

A. Lemmas

We present some necessary lemmas that are useful in the proof of Theorem 1. Under the \hat{L} -smooth assumption of F, we have,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f(\boldsymbol{v}^{t+1}) - f(\boldsymbol{v}^{t})\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle \nabla f(\boldsymbol{v}^{t}), \boldsymbol{v}^{t+1} - \boldsymbol{v}^{t}\right\rangle\right] + \frac{\dot{L}}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\boldsymbol{v}_{t+1} - \boldsymbol{v}^{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right]$$

$$= -\gamma \mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle \nabla f(\boldsymbol{w}_{t}), \dot{\boldsymbol{g}}^{t}\right\rangle\right] + \frac{\gamma^{2}\dot{L}}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\dot{\boldsymbol{g}}^{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right]$$
(2)

According to Assumption 3, we get $\mathbb{E}_{\xi}\left[\|\boldsymbol{g}^t - \grave{\boldsymbol{g}}^t\|_2^2\right] \leq \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{M} \tilde{G}^2 = \frac{\tilde{G}^2}{MN}$. This gives

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f(\boldsymbol{v}^{t+1}) - f(\boldsymbol{v}^{t})\right] \leq -\gamma \mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle \nabla f(\boldsymbol{v}^{t}), \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \nabla f_{i}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_{i}^{t}) \right\rangle \right] + \gamma^{2} \dot{L} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \nabla f_{i}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_{i}^{t})\right\|_{2}^{2}\right] + \gamma^{2} \frac{\tilde{G}^{2}}{MN}$$

$$= -\frac{\gamma}{2} \left(\left\|\nabla f(\boldsymbol{v}^{t})\right\|_{2}^{2} + \left\|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \nabla f_{i}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_{i}^{t})\right\|_{2}^{2} - \left\|\nabla f(\boldsymbol{v}^{t}) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \nabla f_{i}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_{i}^{t})\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)$$

$$+ \gamma^{2} \dot{L} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \nabla f_{i}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_{i}^{t})\right\|_{2}^{2}\right] + \gamma^{2} \frac{\tilde{G}^{2}}{MN}$$

$$\leq -\frac{\gamma}{2} (1 - 2\gamma \dot{L}) \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla f_{i}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_{i}^{t})\right\|_{2}^{2}\right] + \frac{\gamma \dot{L}^{2}}{2} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\|\boldsymbol{v}^{t} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_{i}^{t}\right\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\gamma^{2} \tilde{G}^{2}}{MN}$$
(3)

Lemma 1.

$$\|\boldsymbol{v}^{t} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_{i}^{t}\|_{2}^{2} \le \frac{\gamma^{2}}{N^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\kappa_{q,s} - 1) H^{2} \tilde{G}^{2} + \frac{\gamma^{2} l}{N^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{i}^{t}}{h_{i}^{t} \alpha_{i}^{t}}\right)^{2}$$
 (4)

where $\tilde{\sigma}_i^t = \sqrt{(h_i^t \alpha_i^t \sigma_i^t)^2 \kappa_{q,s}/\theta_s + N_o}$.

Proof. We show this along the lines of the proof of [2]. Since the proposed algorithm requires a communication after H local training iterations. Therefore, for any $t \ge 0$, there exists a $t_k \le t$, such that $t - t_k \le H$

1

According to the notations of v^t and \tilde{w}_i^t , we can write

$$\|\boldsymbol{v}^{t+1} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_{i}^{t+1}\|_{2}^{2} = \|\boldsymbol{v}^{t+1} - \boldsymbol{w}^{t+1} + \boldsymbol{w}^{t+1} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_{i}^{t+1}\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$\stackrel{(a)}{=} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma \left(C_{q,s} \left(\sum_{j \leq t_{k}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{g}}_{i}^{j} + \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i}^{t} \right) - \tilde{\boldsymbol{g}}_{i}^{t} + \frac{\boldsymbol{n}_{i}^{t}}{h_{i}^{t} \alpha_{i}^{t}} \right) \right\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\kappa_{q,s} - 1) \gamma^{2} \left\| \sum_{j}^{j+H-1} \tilde{\boldsymbol{g}}_{i}^{j} + \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i}^{t} \right\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\gamma^{2}}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N^{2}} \left\| \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i}^{t} \right\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\gamma^{2}}{N^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\| \frac{\boldsymbol{n}_{i}^{t}}{h_{i}^{t} \alpha_{i}^{t}} \right\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma^{2} (\kappa_{q,s} - 1) H^{2} \tilde{G}^{2} + \frac{\gamma^{2}}{N^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\kappa_{q,s} d\sigma_{i}^{2} + \frac{N_{0}}{(h_{i}^{t} \alpha_{i}^{t})^{2}} \right) \tag{5}$$

where (a) is according to the definition of the updating rules and virtual sequences.

B. Proof of Theorem 1

By substituting (3) with (4), we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f(\boldsymbol{v}^{t+1}) - f(\boldsymbol{v}^{t})\right] \leq -\frac{\gamma}{2} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla f_{i}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_{i}^{t})\right\|_{2}^{2}\right] + \gamma^{2} \frac{\tilde{G}^{2}}{MN} + \frac{\gamma \dot{L}^{2}}{2} \left(\frac{1}{N} \gamma^{2} (\kappa_{q,s} - 1) H^{2} \tilde{G}^{2} + \frac{\gamma^{2} l}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{i}^{t}}{h_{i}^{t} \alpha_{i}^{t}}\right)^{2}\right)$$

$$\tag{6}$$

where the standard variance of the effective noise is $\tilde{\sigma}_i^t = \sqrt{(h_i^t \alpha_i^t \sigma_i^t)^2 \kappa_{q,s} d\sigma_i^2 + N_0}$

Summing up from t = 0 to T - 1, yields

$$\frac{\gamma}{2T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla f_i(\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_i^t)\right\|_2^2\right] \le \frac{2(\mathbb{E}\left[f(\boldsymbol{v}^0)\right] - f^{\star})}{\gamma T} + \frac{2\gamma \tilde{G}^2}{MN} + \frac{\gamma^2 \tilde{L}^2}{N} \left(\kappa_{q,s} H^2 \tilde{G}^2 + \frac{l}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{\tilde{\sigma}_i}{h_i \alpha_i}\right)^2\right)$$
(7)

Let z^T be a random variable sampled from $\{\tilde{w}_i^t\}$ with probability $\Pr[z^T = \tilde{w}_i^t] = 1/MT$. By taking $\gamma = \frac{\mu\sqrt{N}}{\sqrt{MT}}$, where μ is a constant statifying $\frac{\mu\sqrt{N}}{\sqrt{MT}} \leq \frac{1}{2\tilde{L}}$, we get

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla f_{i}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_{i}^{t})\right\|_{2}^{2}\right] \leq \frac{2\mu(\mathbb{E}\left[f(\boldsymbol{v}^{0})\right] - f^{\star})}{\sqrt{NT}} + \frac{2\mu\tilde{G}^{2}}{M\sqrt{NT}} + \frac{\dot{L}^{2}\mu^{2}}{2T} \left(\kappa_{q,s}H^{2}\tilde{G}^{2} + \frac{l}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{i}}{h_{i}\alpha_{i}}\right)^{2}\right)$$

$$(8)$$

C. Proof of Corollary 1

For achieving e model convergence, Let $\bar{\sigma} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{\tilde{\sigma}_i}{h_i \alpha_i} \right)^2$

$$\frac{2J + 2\tilde{G}^2/M}{\sqrt{NT}} + \frac{\kappa_{q,s}H^2\tilde{G}^2\dot{L}^2 + \bar{\sigma}\dot{L}^2}{2T} = e \tag{9}$$

$$eT - \frac{2J + 2\tilde{G}^2/M}{\sqrt{N}}\sqrt{T} - \frac{\kappa_{q,s}H^2\tilde{G}^2\dot{L}^2 + \bar{\sigma}\dot{L}^2}{2} = 0$$
 (10)

$$T = \frac{\kappa_{q,s}H^{2}\tilde{G}^{2}\dot{L}^{2} + \bar{\sigma}\dot{L}^{2}}{2e} + \frac{(J + \tilde{G}^{2}/M)^{2}}{Ne^{2}} + \sqrt{\frac{(J + \tilde{G}^{2}/M)^{2}}{2Ne^{2}} + \frac{\kappa_{q,s}H^{2}\tilde{G}^{2}\dot{L}^{2} + \bar{\sigma}\dot{L}^{2}}{2e}}$$

$$K = \frac{\kappa_{q,s}H^{2}\tilde{G}^{2}\dot{L}^{2} + \bar{\sigma}\dot{L}^{2}}{2He} + \frac{(J + \tilde{G}^{2}/M)^{2}}{NHe^{2}} + \sqrt{\frac{(J + \tilde{G}^{2}/M)^{2}}{2H^{2}Ne^{2}} + \frac{\kappa_{q,s}H^{2}\tilde{G}^{2}\dot{L}^{2} + \bar{\sigma}\dot{L}^{2}}{2H^{2}e}}$$

$$= \mathcal{O}(\kappa_{q,s}H) + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{HN}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{i}}{h_{i}\alpha_{i}}\right)^{2})$$

$$(12)$$

D. Proof of Lemma 1

Proof. According to the definition of randomized sparsification and stochastic quantization, for $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ it holds

$$\mathbb{E}_{q}[Q(\hat{v})] = \|\hat{v}\|_{2}^{2} \cdot \operatorname{sgn}(\hat{v}_{j}) \cdot \frac{|\hat{v}_{j}|}{\|\hat{v}\|_{2}^{2}} \frac{u}{u} = \hat{v}, \tag{13}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{s}\left[\left(1/\theta_{s}\right)\cdot\left(\boldsymbol{m}\otimes\boldsymbol{v}\right)\right] = \frac{d}{l}\left[\frac{l}{d}v_{1},\cdots,\frac{l}{d}v_{d}\right] = v. \tag{14}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|C_{q,s}(v) - v\|_{2}^{2}\right] \\
= \|v\|_{2}^{2} + \mathbb{E}\left[\|C_{q,s}(v)\|_{2}^{2}\right] - 2\mathbb{E}\left[\langle v, C_{q,s}(v)\rangle\right] \\
= \mathbb{E}\left[\|C_{q,s}(v)\|_{2}^{2}\right] - \|v\|_{2}^{2} \\
\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} (1 + \hat{\theta}_{q})\mathbb{E}_{S}\left[\|S_{k}(v)\|_{2}^{2}\right] - \|v\|_{2}^{2} \\
\stackrel{(b)}{=} \left((1 + \hat{\theta}_{q})\frac{d}{l} - 1\right)\|v\|_{2}^{2}.$$
(15)

In the second equality, we used that the unbiasedness of the compressor $\mathbb{E}\left[C_{q,s}(v)\right] = v$. (a) is due to the definition of the stochastic quantization: $\mathbb{E}[\|Q(v)\|_2^2] \leq (1++\hat{\theta}_q) \|v\|_2^2$ with $+\hat{\theta}_q = \min\{d/(2K^2), \sqrt{d}/K\}$. With the sparsification operator, we can treat the $S_k(v)$ as a length-l vector whose entries correspond to the l non-zero entries of v and thus the variance can be efficiently reduced by a smaller dimension $(l \leq d)$. (b) is due to $\mathbb{E}_S\left[\|S_k(v)\|_2^2\right] = \sum_{j=1}^A (\frac{d}{l}v_j)^2 \times \frac{l}{d} = \frac{d}{l} \|v\|_2^2$.

REFERENCES

- D. Basu, D. Data, C. Karakus, and S. Diggavi, "Qsparse-local-sgd: Distributed sgd with quantization, sparsification and local computations," in Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 2019, pp. 14695

 –14706.
- [2] A. Reisizadeh, A. Mokhtari, H. Hassani, A. Jadbabaie, and R. Pedarsani, "Fedpaq: A communication-efficient federated learning method with periodic averaging and quantization," in *International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*. PMLR, 2020, pp. 2021–2031.