

### Exercise 11.1 - obligatory (4 points)

Which of the following propositional formulas are satisfiable, which are valid (tautologies), which are unsatisfiable?

(1)  $\text{rich} \wedge \text{sick} \rightarrow \text{not happy}$  : satisfiable

happy	rich	sick	$((\text{rich} \wedge \text{sick}) \rightarrow \neg \text{happy})$
F	F	F	T
F	F	T	T
F	T	F	T
F	T	T	T
T	F	F	T
T	F	T	T
T	T	F	T
T	T	T	F

(2)  $\text{not happy} \wedge \text{not sick} \wedge (\text{happy} \vee \text{sick})$  : unsatisfiable

happy	sick	$((\neg \text{happy} \wedge \neg \text{sick}) \wedge (\text{happy} \vee \text{sick}))$
F	F	F
F	T	F
T	F	F
T	T	F

(3)  $(\text{sick} \rightarrow \text{not happy}) \vee \text{sick}$  : tautology

happy	sick	$((\text{sick} \rightarrow \neg \text{happy}) \vee \text{sick})$
F	F	T
F	T	T
T	F	T
T	T	T

(4)  $(\text{happy} \wedge \text{not rich}) \vee (\text{not happy} \wedge \text{rich})$  : satisfiable

happy	rich	$((\text{happy} \wedge \neg \text{rich}) \vee (\neg \text{happy} \wedge \text{rich}))$
F	F	F
F	T	T
T	F	T
T	T	F

### Exercise 11.2

Are both formulas equivalent? (give a short justification)

(1)  $\text{not } (p \wedge (q \vee r))$  and.  $\text{not } p \vee \text{not } q \wedge \text{not } r$

(2)  $a \rightarrow b$  and.  $\text{not } a \rightarrow \text{not } b$

(3)  $a \rightarrow b$  and.  $\text{not } b \rightarrow \text{not } a$

### Exercise 11.3 - obligatory (6 points)

Let the following vocabulary for first-order logic formulas be given:

• Function symbols:  $f$  (unary)

$g$  (binary)

- Predicate symbols: P (unary)
- Q (binary)
- Constants: a, b
- Variables: x, y, z

Which of the following first-order formulas are syntactically correct? Indicate all errors.

(1)  $Q(f(x), g(a))$

Incorrect, g takes 2 arguments but only one provided

(2)  $\exists z f(z) \rightarrow \forall x Q(x, f(z))$

Correct (not sure if  $f(z)$  can follow  $\exists z$ )

(3)  $\exists z \forall x Q(f(x), z)$  or  $\forall z P(g(z, z))$

Correct

(4)  $\forall y Q(f(y) \text{ or } g(a, b))$

Incorrect, Q takes 2 arguments but after OR only one is provided

(5)  $\exists \text{ not } \forall y Q(y, f(a))$

Incorrect, universal quantifier cannot follow directly after existential quantifier.

(6)  $\exists y \text{ not } (\forall z P(f(x)) \text{ or } \exists y Q(a, y))$

Correct

#### Exercise 11.4

Let the following predicates on persons be given:

- |                 |   |
|-----------------|---|
| Male(x)         | "x is male"                                 |
| Brother(p1, p2) | "p1 is brother of p2"                       |
| Child(c, p)     | "c is a child of p" (i.e. p is parent of c) |
| Aunt(a, p)      | "a is aunt of p"                            |

a) Explain in everyday language the meaning of the following formulas. Are the formulas true if they are considered as statements about all living persons?

(1)  $\text{not } \forall p \text{ Male}(p)$

(2)  $\forall p \exists b \text{ Brother}(b, p)$

(3)  $\exists p \exists b \text{ Brother}(b, p)$

(4)  $\exists p \forall b \text{ Brother}(b, p)$

(5)  $\forall p \forall b \text{ Brother}(b, p)$

b) Formulate as a first-order formula:

(1) "There is someone who is male."

(2) "If a person p1 is brother of p2, then also p2 is brother of p1."

(3) "Not every person has a child."

(4) "A male person is never aunt of someone else."

(5) "If any two persons are brothers, then they have a common parent."

(6) "If a person is brother of someone else, then the person is male."

#### Exercise 11.5 - obligatory (10 points)

Let the following vocabulary for first-order formulas be given. We assume natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$  as domain of discourse.

Constants: 0, 1, 2

Function symbols: + (addition, binary),

• (multiplication, binary)

Predicate symbols: = (equality, binary),

< (less-than relation, binary),

Prime (is a prime number, unary)

As usual, +, •, =, and < can be written in infix notation.

Formulate the following statements about natural numbers as first order formulas:

a) For any number n, n+n is never a prime number.

$\forall n \neg \text{Prime}(n + n)$

b) If p is a prime number greater than 2, then p+1 is not prime.

$\forall p \text{ Prime}(p) \wedge \neg(p < 2) \rightarrow \neg \text{Prime}(p + 1)$

c) There is no number n > 1, so that n+n is a prime number.

$\forall n \neg(n < 2 \wedge \text{Prime}(n + n))$

d) The sum of two numbers is always less than the product of both numbers.

$\forall n (n + n) < (n \cdot n)$

e) For every prime number, there is a larger number that is also prime.4)  $\forall p \exists b \text{ Brother}(b, p)$

$\exists n \text{ Prime}(p) \wedge p < n$

