

2023 History

National 5

Finalised Marking Instructions

© Scottish Qualifications Authority 2023

These marking instructions have been prepared by examination teams for use by SQA appointed markers when marking external course assessments.

The information in this document may be reproduced in support of SQA qualifications only on a non-commercial basis. If it is reproduced, SQA must be clearly acknowledged as the source. If it is to be reproduced for any other purpose, written permission must be obtained from permissions@sqa.org.uk.



General marking principles for National 5 History

Always apply these general principles. Use them in conjunction with the detailed marking instructions, which identify the key features required in candidates' responses.

- (a) Always use positive marking. This means candidates accumulate marks for the demonstration of relevant skills, knowledge and understanding; marks are not deducted for errors or omissions.
- (b) If a candidate response does not seem to be covered by either the principles or detailed marking instructions, and you are uncertain how to assess it, you must seek guidance from your team leader.
- (c) Marks for each candidate response must always be assigned in line with these general marking principles and the detailed marking instructions for this assessment.
- (d) (i) For credit to be given, points must relate to the question asked. Where candidates give points of knowledge without specifying the context, these should be rewarded unless it is clear that they do not refer to the context of the question. For example, Some soldiers on the Western Front suffered from trench foot as they were unable to keep their feet dry. (1 mark for knowledge, even though this does not specify that it relates to the Scottish soldiers)
 - (ii) There are six types of question used in this question paper. Each assesses a particular skill, namely
 - A. Describe . . .
 - B. Explain the reasons why . . .
 - C. To what extent or How important or How successful. . .
 - D. Evaluate the usefulness of Source X as evidence of . . .
 - E. Compare the views of Sources X and Y. . .
 - F. How fully does Source X describe/explain. . .
 - (iii) For each of the question types (in ii above), the following provides an overview of marking principles and an example of their application for each question type.

A Questions that ask candidates to *Describe* . . . (4 marks)

Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. The points do not need to be in any particular order. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward points or a smaller number of developed points, or a combination of these.

Up to the total mark allocation of 4 marks for this question:

- 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point
- a second mark should be given for any reason that is developed, as in the following example

Question: Describe the Liberal Welfare Reforms introduced between 1906 and 1914.

The Liberals brought in a number of welfare reforms that were aimed at helping the poorest people in society. (1 mark for knowledge) They were particularly trying to help children and the elderly. (a second mark for development)

B Questions that ask candidates to Explain the reasons why . . . (6 marks)

Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example, by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons and may include theoretical ideas. There is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward reasons or a smaller number of developed reasons, or a combination of these.

Up to the total mark allocation of 6 marks for this question:

- 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point
- a second mark should be given for any reason that is developed, as in the following example

Question: Explain the reasons why the Liberals introduced their social welfare reforms between 1906 and 1914.

The Liberals introduced a variety of reforms in order to help the poorest in society as it had been shown that this group was suffering particular hardships. (1 mark for a reason) Booth had identified that over 35% of Londoners were living in poverty. (a second mark for developing a reason)

C Questions that ask *To what extent* . . . or How important . . . or How successful . . . (9 marks)

Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or to its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented.

Give 1 mark for each relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors: up to a maximum of 5 marks. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be given for relevant points of knowledge.

Up to 4 marks should be given for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows:

- 1 mark for an introduction. (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors)
- 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way. (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors)
- 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement. (or overall summary)
- 1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement. (a summary cannot be supported)

Question: To what extent was genuine concern for the poor the main reason why the Liberals introduced their welfare reforms between 1906 and 1914?

The Liberals introduced their welfare reforms between 1906 and 1914 for many reasons. One of these was genuine concern for the poorest in society but concerns about both national efficiency and the rise of the Labour Party were also clear motives. (1 mark for an introduction — factors)

Some historians think the Liberals passed their welfare reforms to help the poorest people. The National Insurance Acts helped keep workers out of poverty. (1 mark for knowledge) The introduction of old age pensions meant that families didn't have the burden of supporting the elderly. (1 mark for knowledge)

However, other historians think they were more concerned with fighting off the Labour Party. (1 mark for balance) Cutting the working day for miners was simply a way of buying their support. (1 mark for knowledge) The National Insurance Acts were targeted at working men who might be likely to support the Labour Party if they felt the Liberals didn't listen. (1 mark for knowledge)

Others believe it was about national efficiency. Churchill and Lloyd George argued that Britain needed its people to be fitter to be able to compete. (1 mark for knowledge) They were shocked by the state of the men who volunteered during the Boer War and wanted to solve this problem. (1 mark for knowledge)

Overall, the Liberals were more concerned about helping the poor. (1 mark for a judgement). Most of their reforms were directed at helping the poor and it is clear from their speeches that this was what motivated them most. (1 mark for supporting a judgement)

D Questions that ask candidates to Evaluate the usefulness of a given source as evidence of . . . (5 marks)

Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by making separate evaluative comments on aspects such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content and omission.

Up to the total mark allocation of 5 marks for this question:

- a maximum of 4 marks can be given for evaluative comments relating to author, type of source, purpose and timing
- a maximum of 2 marks may be given for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source
- a maximum of 2 marks may be given for evaluative comments relating to points of significant omission.

Example response (Evaluate the usefulness of Source A as evidence of the effectiveness of Liberal Welfare Reforms)

Source A is useful as it was written in 1910 which was at the time when the Liberals were introducing their main reforms. (1 mark for timing) It was written by the Prime Minister so it may be less useful as he has a personal interest in making the reforms sound successful. (1 mark for authorship) It says 'these reforms will make the lives of the poor infinitely better' which shows evidence of bias and makes it less useful. (1 mark for content) On the other hand it also says that the National Insurance Act would benefit working men by giving them unemployment benefits which is accurate so makes the source more useful. (1 mark for content) But it is less useful as it fails to mention that women would not really be covered by this Act. (1 mark for omission)

E Questions that ask candidates to Compare the views of two given sources about . . . (4 marks)

Candidates must interpret evidence and make direct comparisons between sources. Candidates are expected to compare content directly on a point-by-point basis.

Up to the total mark allocation of 4 marks for this question:

 A simple comparison will indicate what points they agree or disagree about and should be given 1 mark. A developed comparison will be supported by specific references to each source and should be given 2 marks.

Example response (Compare the views of Sources A and B about the effectiveness of King Charles I as a ruler)

Sources A and B agree that King Charles I was an ineffective ruler. (1 mark for a simple comparison)

Source A agrees with Source B where it says 'the king failed to maintain control of parliament' and Source B says 'King Charles provoked his own people to rebel'. (a second mark for developing a comparison)

The sources disagree about how far Charles I created his own problems. (1 mark for a simple comparison)

The sources disagree about how much Charles I's problems were his own making, where Source A thinks Charles was a disaster as king, while Source B feels he was generally not bad but made some important mistakes. (2 marks for a developed comparison)

F Questions that ask How fully does a given source explain/describe . . . (6 marks)

Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description/explanation of a given event or development.

Up to the total mark allocation of 6 marks for this question:

- candidates should be given up to **3 marks** for their interpretation of points from the source that support their judgement
- candidates should be given up to **4 marks** for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement
- a maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source.

Example response (How fully does Source B explain the reasons why the Liberals introduced their reforms)

Source B explains the reasons why the Liberals introduced their reforms fairly well (evidence of judgement) as it mentions their growing fear of the Labour party. (1 mark, point from source). It mentions specifically the Welsh MPs such as Lloyd George who might lose their seats. (1 mark, point from source) It also deals with the raising of working class expectations which the new Labour Party might bring. (1 mark, point from source) However, it fails to mention (evidence of judgement) their genuine concern for the masses which motivated many MPs. (1 mark, significant omission) The poor state of health among volunteers for the Boer War had shocked many of them into demanding changes. (1 mark, significant omission) Others, such as Churchill, felt that poverty was holding back Britain's competitiveness as a nation and must be changed. (1 mark, significant omission)

Example response (How fully does source A describe how the Liberal reforms 1906-14 led to improvements in the lives of the young and the old?):

Source A describes how the Liberal reforms led to improvements in the lives of the young and old to a certain extent (evidence of a judgment) as it mentions that the Old Age Pension Act helped to keep the old out of poverty (1 mark, point from the source). It also mentions that children in schools were given free school meals to help them focus on schoolwork. (1 mark, point from the source). However, the source doesn't mention (evidence of judgment) that medical inspections in schools were also introduced to improve the lives of the young (1 mark, significant omission). The source also doesn't mention that the Children's Charter helped keep children out of the workhouses (1 mark, significant omission).

Marking instructions for each question

Section 1 — Scottish Contexts

PART A - The Wars of Independence, 1286-1328

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|---|-------------|--|
| 1. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events. Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. These do not have to be in any particular order. 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be awarded for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or any combination of these). | 4 | Possible points of knowledge may include: Alexander III died without a male heir his granddaughter Margaret, Maid of Norway, was very young when Alexander died the proposed marriage between Margaret and Edward's son gave Edward I the opportunity to interfere in Scottish affairs the death of Margaret left Scotland without a clear heir rivalry between claimants to the throne meant there was a prospect of civil war Edward I brought an army with him to Norham to intimidate the Scots Edward I demanded the nobles recognise him as overlord of Scotland at Norham any other valid point of knowledge. |

| Questio | n | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific mark | ing instructions for this question |
|------------|----|--|--|---|------------------------------------|
| Questio 2. | on | _ | in a gament of the state of the | Possible factors: Edward I's treatment of Balliol The Ragman Roll | |
| | | | Edward I's interference in Scotland's affairs | were the important nobles and church leaders in Scotland 5. Edward I appointed an English noble as Scottish Chancellor 6. Edward I declared that he would hear legal complaints and court hearings from Scottish nobles, undermining Balliol's authority | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific mark | ing instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|--|--|
| | | | Edward I's invasion of Scotland | 7. Edward I ransacked the Scottish town of Berwick, for example, killing 10,000 of the population 8. the Scottish Army was defeated at Dunbar 9. Edward I had captured the majority of important Scottish castles, as far north as Elgin |
| | | | The Scottish nobles' relations with Balliol | 10. the nobles undermined Balliol by creating 12 Guardians of the Realm 11. the Bruce's were hostile to Balliol and his rule in Scotland and sided with Edward |
| | | | Edward I's capture of Scottish regalia | 12. Edward I took important Scottish symbols of kingship to London, symbolising Balliol's loss of authority for example, the Stone of Destiny |
| | | | Other factors | 13. any other valid point. |

| Q | uestion | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | • | nstructions for this stion |
|----|---------|--|-------------|--|--|
| 3. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. Candidates must interpret the evidence and make direct comparisons between sources. Candidates are expected to compare content directly on a point-by-point basis. They may compare the details in the sources and/or compare the viewpoints overall. | mark 4 | Possible points of cinclude: Overall - the source | comparison may |
| | | A simple comparison will indicate what points of detail or viewpoint the sources agree or disagree on and should be awarded 1 mark. A developed comparison of the points of detail or overall viewpoint should be awarded a second mark. Candidates may achieve full | | to fight for him. France. The Scots did not want to get preferred involved because they wanted to France. | in his war against France. 'The Scots preferred to develop closer links with France by signing a |
| | | marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons (or by any combination of these). | | Scotland had strong economic ties with France and the Scots did not want to fight their trading partner. | The Scots did not want to fight in a war against a country with whom they had strong economic links. |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|--|
| 4. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these). | 6 | Possible reasons may include: William Wallace's forces were heavily outnumbered by the English army Andrew Murray had died after Stirling Bridge, depriving the Scots of his military experience at Falkirk the English army were led by Edward I who was a strong military leader the English army had more professional soldiers than the Scottish army it was difficult for the Scottish schiltrons to move forward and attack the English the Scottish cavalry fled the battlefield the Scottish schiltrons were vulnerable to attack without the protection of cavalry or archers English longbowmen were able to weaken the schiltrons from a distance the English cavalry were able to charge at the schiltrons and break them up any other valid reason. |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instr question | |
|----------|--|-------------|--|--|
| 5. | _ | | Point identified in the source 1. The battle started with Edward II sending two separate scouting parties ahead of his main force. 2. Despite this, the English army still lacked proper organisation. 3. The English cavalry did not have enough space to charge at the Scots successfully. 4. Also, the English archers could not find an effective position from which to attack the Scots. | Possible comment which shows the candidate has interpreted the key point(s) Edward II sent advance forces to scout out Bannockburn. The English army was disorganised. The English cavalry did not have enough room to charge the Scots. English archers were ineffective. |
| | | | Possible points of signi may include: 5. Edward II's poor mile created confusion in army 6. Henry de Bohun, an charged at Bruce directly killed by Bruce, with axe 7. on the morning of the English saw the Scote advance quickly 8. Bruce's well-trained pushed the English is ano force 10. the Scottish army active separate 'schill any other valid poin omission. | itary leadership in the English knight, rectly but was in a blow of his ine battle the its and started to its spearmen back the Sma' Folk ther Scottish dvanced in itron' formations |

PART B - Mary Queen of Scots, and the Reformation, 1542-1587

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|---|-------------|---|
| 6. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events. Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. These do not have to be in any particular order. 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be awarded for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or any combination of these). | 4 | Possible points of knowledge may include: people would receive blessings of the sacraments from the Church the Church would pardon sins in exchange for land and money the Church rented out land to farmers the Church collected taxes from the people the Church would take care of the sick clergy would educate young boys any other valid point of knowledge. |

| Q | uestion | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | | s instructions for this lestion |
|----|---------|---|-------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| 7. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the | 9 | Possible factors: Andrew Melville | Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include: 1. Melville was appointed to influential position of |
| | | influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented. Up to 5 marks can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark given for each point. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for relevant points of knowledge. A further 4 marks can be awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion. Up to 4 marks should be given for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows: 1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in | | Damoneios of | Principal of Glasgow University 2. Melville was hostile to Royal interference in church affairs 3. Second Book of Discipline set out blueprint for Protestant faith 4. Creation of presbyteries meant the Kirk had control over clergymen 5. Presbyteries meant the Kirk had control over discipline so influenced ordinary people |
| | | its historical context or outlines relevant factors) 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors) 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary) 1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported). | | Regencies of Moray and Morton | 6. Moray passed laws of the Reformation Parliament which legitimised the Protestant faith 7. Moray took action against Catholic Priests which gained Protestant support 8. Morton forced ministers and councillors to declare on oath of loyalty to the King and Kirk |

| Q | uestio | n | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific mark | king instructions for this question |
|---|--------|---|--|-------------|---------------|---|
| | | | | | Mary's loss | 9. Morton appointed Bishops to strengthen the hierarchy of the Protestant faith 10. abdication of Mary |
| | | | | | of support | left the Catholic church without a leader in Scotland 11. rumours of her involvement in Ridolfi plot weakened her position and the position of Catholicism 12. loss of Edinburgh castle as last Catholic stronghold in Scotland |
| | | | | | Other factors | 13. any other valid point. |

| Q | uestion | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | | nstructions for this stion | |
|----|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| 8. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. | 4 | Possible points of comparison may include: Overall — the sources agree about the sources agree agree about the sources agree agree agree about the sources agree | | |
| | | Candidates must interpret the evidence and make direct | | terms of the Treaty | • | |
| | | comparisons between sources. | | Source A | Source B | |
| | | Candidates are expected to compare content directly on a point-by-point basis. They may compare the details in the sources and/or compare the viewpoints overall. | | | Under the terms of the Treaty, the French forces would leave Scotland. | Therefore, the removal of the French soldiers from Scotland was written into the terms of the Treaty. |
| | A simple comparison will indicate what points of detail or viewpoint the sources agree or disagree on and should be awarded 1 mark. A developed comparison of the points of detail or overall viewpoint should be awarded a second mark. Candidates may achieve full marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons (or by any combination of these). | | Elizabeth I was to be recognised as the rightful Queen of England. | The Treaty stated that Mary would acknowledge Elizabeth as the true Queen of England. | | |
| | | | Mary and her husband Francois would abandon all claims to the English throne. | She strongly believed in her right to the English throne but the Treaty would force her to give up her claim. | | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|--|
| 9. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these). | 6 | Possible reasons may include: |

| Questi | on | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question | | |
|--------|----|--|---|--|---|--|
| 10. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description of a given event or development. | 6 | 6 | 6 | Possible comment which shows the candidate has interpreted the key point(s) 1. She was taken Mary was moved |
| | | To 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that | into protective for a period of time. Carlisle Castle in May 1568 where she stayed for a few months. | | | |
| | | | 2. While there she was permitted to go on daily walks in front of the castle. Mary was allowed out within the castle grounds. | | | |
| | | | support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or | 3. When Mary moved to Bolton Castle her staff totalled 50 servants including a secretary, a doctor and two cooks. Mary was allowed a large household. | | |
| | | | 4. Desperate to escape her imprisonment, Mary became involved in the Babington Plot, which made Elizabeth furious. Mary plotted to get free. Mary plotted to get free. | | | |
| | | | Possible points of significant omission may include: 5. Casket letters attributed to Mary, were used against her 6. Mary was moved frequently 7. Mary was closely guarded throughout her imprisonment 8. Mary was spied upon by her jailors | | | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|---|
| | | | 9. Mary was linked to several conspiracies, for example, the Ridolfi plot 10. Mary's imprisonment ended with her execution 11. any other valid point of significant omission. |

PART C - The Treaty of Union, 1689-1715

| Q | uestion | 1 | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|-----|---------|---|---|-------------|--|
| 11. | | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events. Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. These do not have to be in any particular order. 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be awarded for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or any combination of these). | 4 | Possible points of knowledge may include: the Convention of Estates stated that Scots could act independently of the monarch the Claim of Right declared that James had lost the throne the Scottish Act of Settlement secured the Presbyterian Church in Scotland Scottish Jacobite MPs openly opposed the new monarchy in the Scottish Parliament Government troops killed members of the MacDonald clan in the Glencoe Massacre The Worcester incident increased tension failure of the Darien Scheme was blamed on lack of English support any other valid point of knowledge. |

| Q | uestion | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific mark | king instructions for this question |
|-----|---------|--|-------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| 12. | destion | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented. | 9 | Possible factors: Religious concerns | Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include: 1. Presbyterians opposed Union as they feared bishops returning to control the Church of Scotland 2. Episcopalians |
| | | Up to 5 marks can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark given for each point. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for relevant points of knowledge. A further 4 marks can be awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion. Up to 4 marks should be given for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows: • 1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or | | | opposed Union as they feared it would lead to Presbyterian control 3. Catholics opposed Union as Catholics were barred from becoming the monarch |
| | | | | Economic concerns | 4. feared Union could lead to increased taxes in Scotland 5. Union would give England control of Scottish trade 6. English manufacturers could produce goods more cheaply |
| | | outlines relevant factors) 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in support of | | Political concerns | 7. a British Parliament would be dominated by England |
| | | different factors) 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary) 1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported). | | Cultural concerns | 8. Union could be a danger to Scottish legal and educational traditions 9. Union could lead to an end to Scotland's identity as an independent nation |
| | | | | Other factors | 10. any other valid point. |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | | nstructions for this stion |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| 13. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. Candidates must interpret the evidence and make direct comparisons between sources. Candidates are expected to compare content directly on a | 4 | Possible points of cinclude: Overall — the source reasons why some Sunion with England Source A Many Scots saw a | es agree about the Scots supported |
| | point-by-point basis. They may compare the details in the sources and/or compare the viewpoints overall. A simple comparison will indicate what points of detail or viewpoint the sources agree or disagree on and should be awarded 1 mark. A developed comparison of the points of detail or overall viewpoint should be awarded a second mark. Candidates may achieve full marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed | | full Union as the only way for the Scottish economy to improve as it was believed it would reduce poverty. | Union might help the Scottish economy recover and improve living standards. |
| | | | The Treaty of Union would grant Scotland free trade with all English colonies abroad, including America. | If Scotland and England were one country, then Scottish merchants would gain access to English colonies and markets. |
| comparisons (or by any combination of these). | | Therefore, landowners viewed Union as the only option to increase investment in agricultural developments. | The economic strength created by Union would lead landowners and farmers to invest in land improvements in their estates. | |

| Q | uestion | n | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|-----|---------|---|--|-------------|--|
| 14. | | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these). | 6 | Possible reasons may include: the Equivalent encouraged many to vote in favour of Union with England the Squadrone Volante voted for Union because they would control who received money from the Equivalent some MPs received a share of money from the English Government the English court offered pensions and government jobs fear of an English military invasion if Union was rejected the threat of the Aliens Act being reintroduced which would have ruined trade with England Presbyterian politicians voted for the treaty to secure the Kirk's position there were divisions amongst the opponents of Union in Parliament the opposition was led by Hamilton who made many mistakes any other valid reason. |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question | |
|----------|---|-------------|---|--|
| 15. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description of a given event or | 6 | 6 | Possible comment which shows the candidate has interpreted the key point(s) |
| | development. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided. Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their | | 1. The Union gave Scotland free access to the large English domestic and colonial markets opening new trade links. | |
| | source which support their judgement. Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source. | | 2. Scottish merchants were presented with a chance for personal advancement through positions in the Hudson Bay and East India Company. | |
| | | | | 3. Scots had opportunities to buy land in the Caribbean, making money from the production of sugar cane. |
| | | | 4. Highland chiefs benefited increased trade through the black cattle trade which was in demand to feed the growing populations in towns. | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|---|
| | | | Possible points of significant omission may include: 5. the Salt Tax had a negative impact on Scottish fishing 6. increased tax on linen 7. English farming techniques were copied in Scotland which increased the amount of crops 8. the number of Scottish merchants involved in the tobacco trade increased 9. many Scots became more involved with smuggling 10. industries in towns developed due to increased trade 11. any other valid point of significant omission. |

PART D — Migration and Empire, 1830—1939

| Q | uestion | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|-----|---------|---|-------------|--|
| 16. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events. Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. These do not have to be in any particular order. 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be awarded for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or any combination of these). | 4 | Possible points of knowledge may include: many Irish moved around Scotland in search of employment, for example, agriculture, navvies Irish immigrants settled in towns and cities where there was employment in industry Catholic Irish tended to settle in poorer areas Irish tended to settle near to skilled industries, for example, shipbuilding, iron industry large numbers of Jewish immigrants arrived in Scotland in the later nineteenth century most Jewish immigrants settled in Glasgow Lithuanians settled near to jobs in the coal mining and iron industry Italians settling in Scotland often stayed for short time to earn money before returning home. any other valid point of knowledge. |

| Q | uestion | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | _ | ng instructions for this question |
|-----|---------|--|-------------|----------------------|--|
| 17. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which different factors | 9 | Possible factors: | Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include: |
| | | contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented. | | Italians | 1. Italians brought new jobs by setting up cafes, restaurants, ice cream shops and fish and chip shops, for |
| | | Up to 5 marks can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark given for each point. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for relevant points of knowledge. | | | example, 'Nardini's' in Largs and Glasgow, 'Valvona and Crolla' in Edinburgh 2. Italians contributed to |
| | | A further 4 marks can be awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion. | | | hairdressing, setting up barber and hairdressing businesses |
| | | Up to 4 marks should be given for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows: 1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors) 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors) 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary) 1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported). | | Irish | some Irish had skills in weaving and spinning and this was useful in factories some Northern Irish had experience in shipbuilding and helped this industry grow Irish navvies helped the growth of the railway industry as well as roads, canals, docks and harbours |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | | ng instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|---------------|--|
| | | | Lithuanians | 6. many Lithuanians worked in coal mines, contributing to the industrial revolution 7. positive impact on the growing iron and steel industries in Lanarkshire and Ayrshire |
| | | | Jewish people | 8. Jewish people created businesses, for example, jewellery, furniture and hats 9. many Jewish people contributed to the economy in the production of clothing 10. some Jewish people helped create and contribute to the growth of the tobacco industry in Scotland |
| | | | Other factors | 11. any other valid point. |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | instructions for this estion |
|----------|---|-------------|--|
| 18. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. Candidates must interpret the evidence and make direct comparisons between sources. Candidates are expected to compare content directly on a point-by-point basis. They may compare the details in the sources and/or compare the viewpoints overall. A simple comparison will indicate what points of detail or viewpoint the sources agree or disagree on and should be awarded 1 mark. A developed comparison of the points of detail or overall viewpoint should be awarded a second mark. Candidates may achieve full marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons (or by any combination of these). | 4 | rces agree about the r Irish immigrants in Source B Irish families sometimes lived in cramped buildings in single rooms of 12 people. Cholera was a problem due to the sewage and refuse in the water. It was not uncommon for the families to share facilities such as toilets and water pumps. |

| Questio | n | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|---------|---|--|-------------|---|
| 19. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these). | 6 | Possible reasons may include: charities and emigration societies helped emigration, for example, YMCA the Empire Settlement Act provided funds for those who wanted to emigrate some landowners provided money for emigration, for example, Harris poverty forced Scots to look for employment opportunities abroad the Highland Clearances forced crofters off their land to make way for sheep and deer new farming methods and increased technology meant fewer people were needed for farm work cheap land was offered in North America cattle and sheep farming in Australia and New Zealand attracted many skilled workers were needed to help develop new settlements abroad higher wages and improved living conditions attracted Scots abroad family and friends who had emigrated sent letters back encouraging Scots to move newspapers ran adverts and published letters from successful emigrants any other valid reason. |

| Q | uestion | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|-----|---|--|--|---|
| 20. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description of a given event or development. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided. Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement. Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source. | Possible comment which shows the candidate has interpreted the key point(s) | | |
| | | Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided. Candidates should be awarded up | ded from c of d up the d up | 1. The Scottish woman, Learmonth Zealand was Dalrymple, helped to create the first girls' school in New Zeoland. The first girls' school in New Zeoland. |
| | | interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement. Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of | | 2. Scots also helped shape the curriculum of New Zealand's first university was influenced by Scots. Otago. The curriculum at New Zealand's first university was influenced by Scots. |
| | | support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or | | 3. In terms of politics, the Scot Peter Fraser helped to set up the New Zealand Labour Party in 1916. Scots were important in setting up new political parties such as the Labour Party. |
| | | | 4. Around the same time, Andrew Fisher became three times Prime Minister of Australia. | |
| | | | Possible points of significant omission may include: 5. Scots developed sheep farming in New Zealand, for example, Donald Reid 6. Scots developed the steel industry in the USA, for example, Andrew Carnegie 7. Scots founded and developed the brewing industry in Australia, for example, Robert McCracken | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question | |
|----------|--|-------------|--|--|
| | | | Scots created and developed railway networks in India and Canada, for example, James Dalhousie in India, Lord Mount Stephen, Sandford Fleming in Canada Scots attacked and killed local Aboriginal people in Australia, for example, Angus McMillan and the Warrigal massacre Scots fought the Maoris in New Zealand any other valid point of significant omission. | |

PART E - The Era of the Great War, 1900-1928

| Question | | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|---|-------------|---|
| 21. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events. Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. These do not have to be in any particular order. 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be awarded for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or any combination of these). | 4 | Possible points of knowledge may include: gas was first used to cause panic among opposing troops wind was used to carry the gas across no man's land gas was first used in 1915 from 1916 poison gas shells were developed which were more efficient gas damaged the lungs of soldiers mustard gas caused burns to exposed skin wind often changed direction and the gas blew back on the soldiers, for example, at the Battle of Loos gas masks nullified threat of poison gas any other valid point of knowledge. |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | | ng instructions for this question | |
|----------|---|-------------|----------------------|--|---|
| 22. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to | 9 | Possible factors: | Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include: | |
| | which different factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented. Up to 5 marks can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark given for each point. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for relevant points of knowledge. A further 4 marks can be awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming | | i | Censorship | 1. the Government controlled what people could know about the war, for example, the War Office Press Bureau 2. reports of the |
| | | | | fighting were limited by the government 3. war reporters were strictly controlled about what they could report on | |
| | | | | 4. letters home from soldiers were censored | |
| | to a reasoned conclusion. Up to 4 marks should be given for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows: • 1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors) • 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors) • 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary) • 1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported). | | Daily life | the Government decided opening and closing times for pubs, for example, no Sunday opening the Government allowed local councils to take over land not being used, for food production the Government restricted leisure activities, for example, the buying of binoculars, lighting bonfires the Government required travel permits for areas that were militarily important, for example, Orkney | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for thi question | |
|----------|--|-------------|--|---|
| | | | Employment | 9. people working in essential war industries had to have permission to change jobs |
| | | | Economy | 10. the Government co-ordinated the supply of war materials 11. the Government set up its own munitions factories 12. the Government took control of the coal industry, from 1917 |
| | | | Other factors | 13. any other valid point. |
| | | | | |

| Question | | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question | |
|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 23. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. Candidates must interpret the evidence and make direct comparisons between sources. Candidates are expected to | 4 | Possible points of comparison may include: Overall — the sources agree about how conscientious objectors were treated in prison. Source A Source B | |
| | compare content directly on a point-by-point basis. They may compare the details in the sources and/or compare the viewpoints overall. A simple comparison will indicate what points of detail or viewpoint the sources agree or disagree on and should be awarded 1 mark. A developed comparison of the points of detail or overall viewpoint should be awarded a second mark. Candidates may achieve full marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons (or by any combination of these). | | Quite often conscientious objectors faced cruel treatment from prison guards. | Prison warders were accused of unfair punishments, particularly towards conscientious objectors. | |
| | | | Prisoners were given simple, monotonous work to do, such as making mail bags. | 'Conchies' undertook boring manual jobs like breaking stones and hand sewing mail bags. | |
| | | | Their diet was very poor, quite often bread and water and was meant to be much worse than the army diet. | Conscientious objectors could be fed a very basic diet of bread and water. | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|--|
| 24. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these). | 6 | Possible reasons may include: cheaper coal from abroad led to less demand for Scottish coal development of new fuels meant coal production went into decline after the end of the war demand for war goods fell, this led to the decline in iron and steel production increasing foreign competition led to the closing of over half of Scotland's iron furnaces the loss of international export markets led to a decline in many Scottish heavy industries after 1920 Scottish shipyards went into decline due to lack of orders Scotland's poor industrial relations record discouraged orders from foreign companies failure of Scottish companies to invest in new technology led to a lack of competitiveness global economic downturn led to fewer orders for the Scottish railway industry post-war management of Scottish industry failed to adapt to new practices leading to a decline in heavy industries any other valid reason. |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question | |
|----------|---|---|--|--|
| 25. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description of a given event or development. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided. Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement. Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their | 6 | Possible comment which shows the candidate has interpreted the key point(s) | |
| | | 1. Private landlords raised rents leading to over 20,000 tenants refusing to pay the increases. | | |
| | | | 2. Many wives and mothers played a key role in organising community action. Shows that women played a leading part in the rent strikes. | |
| | identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source. | | 3. When striking tenants were taken to court in November 1915, sympathetic shipyard workers threatened to strike. | |
| | | | 4. A strike of over 10,000 workers took place later in 1915 in support of the women. | |
| | | | Possible points of significant omission may include: 5. women formed the Glasgow Women's Housing Association to resist rent rises, for example, Helen Crawfurd, Mary Barbour 6. women blocked access to tenement closes to stop sheriff officers evicting tenants 7. women pelted sheriff officers with objects to prevent them carrying out evictions 8. rent strikes happened elsewhere in Scotland, for example, Aberdeen, Dundee and Lanarkshire | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|---|
| | | | further demonstrations were held to protest against the prosecution of rent strikers, for example, demonstrations in George Square rents were frozen at pre-war levels, for example, the Rent Restrictions Act any other valid point of significant omission. |

Section 2 — British Contexts

PART A - The Creation of the Medieval Kingdoms, 1066-1406

| Qu | estion | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|-----|--------|---|-------------|---|
| 26. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events. Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These do not have to be in any particular order. 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be awarded for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or any combination of these). | 4 | Possible points of knowledge may include: new burghs were founded, for example, Berwick and Roxburgh Sheriffs would collect taxes Sheriffs would hold local courts roles of Chancellor and Chamberlain were introduced in the Royal Household Norman nobles invited to settle in Scotland royal charters were issued granting Norman nobility land landholding in return for military service was introduced building of castles as defensive strongholds introduction of new monastic orders to Scotland, for example, Benedictine monks creation of own coinage by David I any other valid point of knowledge. |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | nstructions for this stion |
|--------------|--|-------------|----------------------------------|
| Question 27. | | 4 | comparison may es disagree about |
| | | | pursued by the English. |

| Q | uestio | n | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|-----|--------|---|--|-------------|---|
| 28. | | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these). | 6 | Possible reasons may include: Becket asserted the independence of the Church from the State which antagonised Henry Becket resigned as Chancellor and Henry felt betrayed Becket refused to sign the Constitution of Clarendon which angered Henry Henry kept Becket prisoner for three days until he agreed to sign the Constitution of Clarendon Becket left the Northampton Trial which angered Henry Henry charged Becket with contempt of court which intensified their conflict Henry confiscated Becket's lands which humiliated him Becket fled to France without royal permission which made Henry feel betrayed Henry refused to give Becket the royal kiss when they met in France which angered Becket Henry asked the Archbishop of York, instead of Becket, to crown his son which insulted Becket any other valid reason. |

| Q | uestion | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | _ | nstructions for this stion | | |
|-----|---------|--|-------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| 29. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full explanation of a given event or development. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided. Candidates should be awarded up | 6 | Point identified in the source 1. The Church collected taxes from everyone which meant it could provide food if a harvest failed. | Possible comment which shows the candidate has interpreted the key point(s) The Church provided support for people in case of food shortages. | | |
| | | to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement. Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source. | | 2. Religion also provided a sense of purpose and belonging in communities which brought reassurance to people. 3. An important aspect of religious life was death, and the Church administered the last rites for a dying | The Church was the focal point of the community. The Church was present at the most significant points of people's lives. | | |
| | | | | | | an important as 6. the Church would | ld also hear n people which was pect of religious life |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|--|
| | | | people celebrated Church Holy Days, for example, Saints Days and religious festivals such as Easter, Christmas the Church was a major employer in the local community the Church would provide a career for boys which was seen as important as a major landowner it provided land to tenant farmers, collected rents any other valid point of significant omission. |

| Q | Question | | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | | instructions for this estion |
|-----|----------|--|---|--|--|--|
| 30. | (a) | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. | 5 | Examples of aspe and relevant con | ects of the source nments: |
| | | | Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such | | Aspect of the source | Possible comment |
| | | | as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source | | historian | Useful as they are a well-informed expert on the Black Death. |
| | | | and make a comment which shows why this aspect makes the source more or less useful. | | textbook | Useful because the issue will have been researched thoroughly. |
| | | | A maximum of 4 marks can be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing. A maximum of 2 marks may be | | | Useful as it provides detailed information about the impact of the |
| | | | awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to points of significant omission. | | | Black Death. Useful as it has been written with the benefit of hindsight. |
| | | | | | | Content |
| | | | | | Without as many peasants to work the land, those who survived demanded higher wages. | Useful because it is accurate (fewer people to work the land meant lords had to pay higher wages). |
| | | | | | Some peasants even left their home and their lord's land in the search for higher wages. | Useful because it is accurate (peasants would work for the highest wages they could find). |
| | | | This led to disgruntled lords and relationships between landowners and peasants deteriorated following the Black Death. | Useful because it is accurate (landowners and peasants were at odds over wages following the Black Death). | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|---|
| | | | Possible points of significant omission may include: 1. one third of the population of England died as a result of the Black Death 2. villages became derelict with farms and homes abandoned 3. effects on agriculture, for example, livestock died; crops rotted in fields 4. the feudal system collapsed when people moved chasing higher wages 5. any other valid point of significant omission. |

| Q | uestion | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | - | g instructions for this uestion | |
|---|---------|--|-------------|--|--|--|
| | (b) | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. | 5 | Examples of as and relevant co | pects of the source omments: | |
| | | Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such | | Aspect of the source | Possible comment | |
| | | by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source | | Author: modern historian | Useful as they are a well-informed expert on saints, relics and pilgrimage. | |
| | | and make a comment which shows why this aspect makes the source more or less useful. A maximum of 4 marks can be | | Type of Source: textbook | Useful because the issue will have been researched thoroughly before | |
| | | awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of | | | publication. | |
| | | source, purpose and timing. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to points of significant omission. | | Purpose: to inform | Useful as it has been written to explain the role of saints, relics and pilgrimage in the medieval period. | |
| | | | | Timing: 2010 | Useful as it has been written with the benefit of hindsight. | |
| | | | | Content | Possible comment | |
| | | | | The church encouraged people to go on pilgrimage to visit special holy places called shrines. | encouraged | |
| | | | | Pilgrims would pray to God and saints at these holy shrines one given access by the keeper of the shrine. | (people would | |
| | | | | Sometimes pilgrims would be allowed to touch or kiss ho relics but they would have to pay money to the keeper of the shrine. | Useful because it is accurate (the keeper of the shrine would charge people to touch or kiss relics). | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|---|
| | | | Possible points of significant omission may include: people would visit shrines to pray for their sins shrine keepers would give stamped badges to pilgrims some pilgrims would travel abroad pilgrims would travel in groups to prevent attacks from outlaws any other valid point of significant omission. |

Section 2 — British Contexts

PART B — War of the Three Kingdoms, 1603—1651

| Q | uestion | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|-----|---------|---|-------------|---|
| 31. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events. Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These do not have to be in any particular order. 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be awarded for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or any combination of these). | 4 | Possible points of knowledge may include: 1. James was perceptive 2. James was diplomatic 3. James believed he was capable of out-arguing almost anyone 4. James had an extravagant character and enjoyed spending money 5. James was paranoid and fearful of being assassinated 6. James had a vulgar sense of humour 7. James had a strong sense of duty and was aware of the dignity of kingship 8. James was able to make decisions quickly 9. any other valid point of knowledge. |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | | nstructions for this stion |
|--------------|--|-------------|---|--|
| Question 32. | | | Possible points of include: Overall — the source the arguments over religion during the VI and I. Source A King James was celebrated for overcoming years of strife in England regarding religious and financial challenges. His low taxation policy had earned him love and respect from his countrymen. Furthermore, he was tolerant towards Catholics, even | es disagree about revenue and reign of King James Source B Overall, James did not always deal successfully with the religious and financial challenges of his reign. The country, represented through the House of Commons, would react angrily when James introduced new taxes. He also hated Catholics, especially after the Gunpowder |
| | | | | |

| Qı | uestion | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|-----|---------|--|-------------|---|
| 33. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these). | 6 | Possible reasons may include: Parliament objected to Charles' belief in the Divine Right of Kings Parliament objected to his attitude to the Church of England resentment when Charles levied taxes on tonnage and poundage resentment at other money raising methods, for example, selling knighthoods Parliament resented forced loans and imprisonment without trial laws (leads to Parliament presenting the Petition of Right in 1628) Charles' dissolving of Parliament (1626 and 1629) frustrated MPs frustration at Charles' failures in foreign policy, for example, France, Spain any other valid reason. |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|--|---|
| 34. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full explanation of a given event or development. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided. Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their | 6 | Point identified in the source shows the candidate has interpreted the key point(s) 1. These were made worse by the Act of Revocation that took former church lands from the nobility, which angered the nobles. |
| | judgement. Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source. | to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or | 2. Some also felt that this would serve to create a rich church again, like the Catholic model. 3. When Charles insisted his coronation be held with full Anglican rites, many were horrified and thought this was too much like Catholicism. |
| | | | 4. Charles never returned to Scotland after his coronation and was resented for being an absentee monarch. Possible points of significant omission may include: 5. the introduction of Laud's Canons was opposed in Scotland 6. opposition to Bishops being introduced into Scottish churches |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|---|
| | | | the introduction of the new Prayer Book was resented in Scotland resentment at the abolition of the Presbyteries in Scotland anger that Charles declared the General Assembly was not allowed to meet any other valid point of significant omission. |

| estion | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | | instructions for this estion |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. | 5 | | | |
| Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows why this aspect makes the source more or less useful. A maximum of 4 marks can be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to points of significant omission. | | Aspect of the source | Possible comment | |
| | | Author: modern historian | Useful because it is written by an expert. | |
| | | Type of Source: textbook | Useful because it is well researched. | |
| | | Purpose: to inform | Useful because it gives evidence of the trial and execution of Charles I. | |
| | | Timing: 2020 | Useful because it has the benefit of hindsight. | |
| | | Content | Possible comment | |
| | | Parliament then put the King on trial for treason. | Useful because it is accurate (shows that the King was put on trial for betraying the country). | |
| | | Charles was found guilty of being a tyrant and a traitor by a court he did not recognise as having any authority over him. | Useful because it is accurate (shows that he was found guilty of treason, but he did not recognise the court's authority). | |
| | | His death warrant was signed by 59 officials including Oliver Cromwell. | Useful because it is accurate (shows that his punishment was to be put to death). | |
| | <u> </u> | this type of question (a) Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows why this aspect makes the source more or less useful. A maximum of 4 marks can be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to points of significant | this type of question Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows why this aspect makes the source more or less useful. A maximum of 4 marks can be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source. | this type of question (a) Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows why this aspect makes the source more or less useful. A maximum of 4 marks can be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to points of significant omission. Content Parliament then put the King on trial for treason. Charles was found guilty of being a tyrant and a traitor by a court he did not recognise as having any authority over him. His death warrant was signed by 59 |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|--|
| | | | Possible points of significant omission may include: Charles refused to speak at his trial to defend himself Charles wore thick underclothes to stop him from shivering on the scaffold Charles delivered a speech to the crowd before he was executed Charles was executed by beheading any other valid point of significant omission. |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | · · | nstructions for this stion | |
|----------|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|------------------|
| (b) | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. | 5 | Examples of aspe and relevant com | | |
| | Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such | | Aspect of the source | Possible comment(s) | |
| | as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source | | Author: modern historian | Useful as they are a well-informed expert on the St Giles riot. | |
| | and make a comment which shows why this aspect makes the source more or less useful. | | Type of Source: textbook | Useful because the issue will have been well- researched. | |
| | A maximum of 4 marks can be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing. | | Purpose: to inform | Useful as it provides detailed information about the effects of the | |
| | A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to points of significant omission. | | | St Giles riot. | |
| | | | Timing: 2010 | Useful as it has the benefit of hindsight. | |
| | | relating to points of significant | | Content | Possible comment |
| | | | When the Dean of St Giles tried to use Charles' book during a service, the congregation protested. | Useful because it is accurate (the attempt by the Dean of St. Giles to use the new service book caused protests) | |
| | | | Groups of Scots who were unhappy with Charles' rule, took advantage of the riot and decided to teach Charles a lesson. | Useful because it is accurate (Scots disgruntled with Charles' decisions, took advantage of the popular religious discontent). | |
| | | | This event marked a significant public expression of the growing opposition to Charles in Scotland. | Useful as it is accurate (the riot was an important event in public opposition to Charles in Scotland. | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|--|
| | | | Possible points of significant omission may include: women were to the fore of the religious protests. a woman called Jenny Geddes is reputed to have thrown a stool at the Dean. others threw prayer books and continued their protest outside the church. church services were suspended for a week for fear of public uprising. the St Giles riot led to the signing of the National Covenant in 1638. any other valid point of significant omission. |

Section 2 — British contexts

PART C - The Atlantic Slave Trade, 1770-1807

| Q | uestion | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|-----|---------|---|-------------|---|
| 36. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events. Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These do not have to be in any particular order. 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be awarded for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or any combination of these). | 4 | Possible points of knowledge may include: enslaved people were chained together enslaved people had very little space in the hold the floors were covered in human waste diseases were widespread, for example, dysentery exploitation of female slaves by crew enslaved people were kept below deck for long periods overcrowded conditions caused extreme heat in the hold enslaved people were often made sick by poor diet any other valid point of knowledge. |

| Que | estion | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | | instructions for this estion |
|-----|---|---|---|---|--|
| 37. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. Candidates must interpret the evidence and make direct comparisons between sources. | 4 | Possible points of include: Overall — the source how enslaved peopslave factory. Source A | |
| | | Candidates are expected to compare content directly on a point-by-point basis. They may compare the details in the sources and/or compare the viewpoints overall. A simple comparison will indicate what points of detail or viewpoint the sources agree or disagree on and should be awarded 1 mark. A developed comparison of the points of detail or overall viewpoint should be awarded a second mark. Candidates may achieve full marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed | | I have seen slaves with plenty of space to walk around when they were held in slave factories. The slaves I saw during my visit were always well-fed and watered which kept them healthy. There was | Enslaved people lived in cramped, poorly ventilated dungeons. Captives were often kept without water and given poor quality food. There was little |
| | comparisons (or by any combination of these). | | enough space for captives to sleep while held in the slave factories. | room for enslaved people to lie down to rest. | |

| Q | uestic | n | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|-----|--------|---|--|-------------|--|
| 38. | | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these). | 6 | Possible reasons may include: diseases and enslavement killed native peoples, for example, the Arawaks plantations took over smaller farms causing a loss of livelihood for farmers on these islands large areas of the natural environment were ruined to make way for the sugar cane the slave trade caused an overreliance on sugar production, damaging the economy repressive rule by a white minority caused destructive slave rebellions slavery led to an increase in racism Slave Laws which led to brutal punishment of enslaved people created a culture of fear in the islands profits from slave produced goods were not reinvested in the Caribbean but sent to Britain any other valid reason. |

| Que | estion | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|-----|--|--|---|--|
| 39. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description or explanation of a given event or development. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided. Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement. Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source. | Possible comment which shows the candidate has interpreted the key point(s) | | |
| | | 1. Enslaved people who were branded found it difficult to run away because they were easily identified. Branded enslaved people were often caught quickly making it harder to escape. | | |
| | | | | 2. Hunters were hired to track down missing enslaved people meaning they were often returned to their owners. Missing slaves were often found by men hired to find them meaning it was harder to remain free. |
| | | | 3. Slave owners put up posters enslaved people to remain anonymous. making it harder for them to remain free. | |
| | | | 4. Enslaved people who engaged in resistance faced severe punishments, making them less likely to rebel. Strict punishments would stop enslaved people rebelling. | |
| | | | | repel. |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|--|
| | | | Possible points of significant omission may include: enslaved people came from different tribes and languages so found it harder to organise rebellions troops stationed on the islands would be used to crush rebellion or resistance on plantations plantation owners had guns to help subdue rebellion which made them stronger than the enslaved people rebel ringleaders were tried and executed publicly which deterred others from rebelling families could be split up which deterred many from resisting enslaved people found it hard to resist as they were often too tired and hungry to do so any other valid point of significant omission. |

| Q | uestio | n | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | | ng ins Juest | structions for this ion | |
|-----|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|------------------|
| 40. | (a) | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. | 5 | Examples of as and relevant co | | s of the source ents: | |
| | | | Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such | | Aspect of the source | Ро | essible comment | |
| | | | as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows why this aspect makes the | purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which | Author: modern historian | info who abo used | ful as it is a well- ormed historian o is an expert ut the methods d by the litionists. | |
| | | | A maximum of 4 marks can be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of | | Type of Source: textbook | text bee | ful as it is a tbook which has n well earched. | |
| | source, purpose and timing. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to points of significant omission. | | Purpose: to inform | inte info the | eful as it is ended to give formation about e abolitionist mpaign. | | | |
| | | awarded for evaluative comments relating to points of significant | | Timing: 2018 | writ | ful as it is tten with the efit of hindsight. | | |
| | | | | | | Content | | Possible comment |
| | | | In the 1780s, Africans who had freed themselve formed the Sons of Africa, giving lectures about their horrific experiences. | es s | Useful because it is accurate (former enslaved people educated the public about the slave trade). | | | |
| | | | | | In 1787, Cugoar published a boo on the evils of slavery in the British Caribbea which shocked in readers. | ık an, | Useful because it is accurate (books were written about the horrors of the slave trade). | |
| | | | | Thomas Clarkso travelled around Britain and West Africa collecting evidence against Atlantic slavery | d it g st | Useful because it is accurate (Clarkson gathered instruments used on the slave trade). | | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|--|
| | | | Possible points of significant omission may include: campaigners produced art to publicise the abolitionist cause, for example, Hannah More, Josiah Wedgewood Equiano wrote an autobiography and toured Britain doing speaking tours educating people about the slave trade Wilberforce presented an abolition bill in Parliament every year for 18 years women organised a boycott on sugar produced by enslaved people any other valid point of significant omission. |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | _ | ng instructions for this juestion |
|----------|---|-------------|---|--|
| (b) | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. | 5 | Examples of as and relevant co | pects of the source omments: |
| | Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such | | Aspect of the source | Possible comment |
| | as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows why this aspect makes the source more or less useful. | | Author: modern historian | Useful as it is a historian who would be an expert on the living and working conditions of enslaved people on plantations. |
| | A maximum of 4 marks can be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing. | | Type of Source: textbook | Useful as the source is a history book meaning its research is more likely to be accurate. |
| | A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to points of significant omission. | | Purpose: to inform | Useful as the purpose of the source is to educate people about living and working conditions for enslaved people on plantations. |
| | | | Timing: 2011 | Useful as the source was written with the benefit of hindsight. |
| | | | Content | Possible comment |
| | | | Enslaved people did not have much furniture in their huts on the plantations. | Useful because it is accurate (accommodation was often very basic). |
| | | | They slept on simple beds made from boards, with just a mat and blanket to provide basic comfort. | Useful because it is accurate (most slaves slept on very simple beds). |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for th question | |
|----------|--|-------------|---|---|
| | | | Content | Possible comment |
| | | | In some ways, house slaves had better treatment and working conditions than field hands. | Useful because it is accurate (house slaves sometimes had preferable treatment and working conditions). |
| | | | may include: 1. some plantat doctor to tre who were un 2. enslaved per to build their 3. enslaved per work extrem 4. enslaved per back-breaking | of significant omission cion owners provided a cat enslaved people well ople were often forced r own accommodation ople were forced to ely long hours ople were forced to do ag work on plantations lid point of significant |

Section 2 — British contexts

PART D — Changing Britain, 1760—1914

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|---|-------------|---|
| 41. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events. Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These do not have to be in any particular order. 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be awarded for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or any combination of these). | 4 | Possible points of knowledge may include: clean water supplied to houses improved sanitation which carried away waste slum clearances got rid of some of the poorest quality housing new homes were built which reduced overcrowding abolition of the Window Tax in 1851 allowed for more light and fresh air in homes health boards were created to ensure laws were followed, for example, 1848 Public Health Act laws were enforced on slum clearance and sewers, for example, 1875 Public Health Act provision of shared toilets any other valid point of knowledge. |

| Q | uestion | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | | nstructions for this stion |
|-----|--|---|---|---|---|
| 42. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. Candidates must interpret the evidence and make direct | 4 | Possible points of cinclude: Overall — the source the causes of medical points. | es disagree about |
| | | comparisons between sources. | | Source A | Source B |
| | | Candidates are expected to compare content directly on a point-by-point basis. They may compare the details in the sources and/or compare the viewpoints overall. A simple comparison will indicate what points of detail or | | He concluded that disease amongst the working class was not caused by their own behaviour, but by filth on the | Many people believed that poverty and ill- health were caused by idleness amongst the working classes. |
| | | viewpoint the sources agree or disagree on and should be awarded 1 mark. A developed comparison of the points of detail or overall viewpoint should be awarded a second mark. Candidates may achieve full marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed | | streets. The report found a link between poor living standards such as overcrowding and the spread of disease. | The government believed that living standards had no impact on health and were therefore not their responsibility. |
| | comparisons, two developed comparisons (or by any combination of these). | | The lack of clean drinking water was also most likely a cause of much disease, such as cholera. | Many blamed diseases such as cholera on bad air in the atmosphere, known as miasma. | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|---|
| 43. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these). | 6 | Possible reasons may include: children's hours were limited which reduced the possibility of injury through fatigue women's hours were limited which improved the health of female workers safety provisions were introduced which reduced injuries, for example, safety guards on machines inspectors were appointed, which helped to enforce safety laws, for example, Factory Act 1833 workers injured at work could receive limited compensation which allowed them to continue to earn money, for example, Workmen's Compensation Act of 1897 workers' hours were reduced, which allowed for a better standard of living holiday provisions were introduced, which allowed workers time to relax minimum working age for children was introduced which reduced exploitation any other valid reason. |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking in ques | |
|----------|--|--|--|---|
| 44. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full explanation of a given event or development. | 6 | Point identified in the source 1. Most companies had earned | Possible comment which shows the candidate has interpreted the key point(s) The railways were a benefit |
| | Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided. | | large profits for years, which were invested back into the economy. | to the economy as they earned large profits. |
| | | 2. Thousands of new jobs were created, such as train drivers and conductors. | Railways benefited society and the economy as they provided employment. | |
| | | 3. The demand for raw materials to build rails and stations meant industries such as iron and coal grew. | Railways benefited the economy as they boosted other industries. | |
| | | | 4. The forestry industry was also boosted as timber was needed to build the rails. | Railways benefited the economy as they provided work for the forestry industry. |
| | | | more easily 7. newspapers carri | e able to transport ich benefited wed people to m home and work ed across the allowed people to ews ouraged tourism, ople could go on ast more easily |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|--|
| | | | 10. cheap train transport led to the growth of national sporting events, for example, football leagues,11. any other valid point of significant omission. |

| Q | uestio | n | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | | nstructions for this stion |
|-----|--|---|--|--|--|----------------------------|
| 45. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. | 5 | Examples of aspec and relevant com | | | |
| | | | Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such | | Aspect of the source | Possible comment |
| | as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows why this aspect makes the source more or less useful. A maximum of 4 marks can be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source. | | Author: modern historian | Useful as they are a well-informed expert on the 1832 Reform Act. | | |
| | | | Type of Source: textbook | Useful as will be well researched to ensure correct details. | | |
| | | awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing. | | Purpose: to inform | Intended to give useful information about the 1832 Reform Act. | |
| | | | Timing: 2007 | Useful as written with the benefit of hindsight. | | |
| | | A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to points of significant omission. | awarded for evaluative comments | | Content | Possible comment |
| | | | The right of some depopulated areas to elect an MP was taken away. | | | |
| | | | Middle class men who earned a certain amount gained the vote. | Useful because it is accurate (more men were given the vote). | | |
| | | | Most men and all women, still had no vote. | Useful because it is accurate (most men and all women, still had no vote). | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|---|
| | | | Possible points of significant omission may include: 1. MPs were still unpaid 2. there was still no secret ballot 3. bigger industrial towns got a few more MPs to represent them, for example, Manchester 4. the act applied new rules across the whole of the UK 5. any other valid point of significant omission. |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | | g instructions for this uestion | |
|----------|--|---|---|--|---------------------------------|
| (b) | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. | number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful | 5 | Examples of as and relevant co | pects of the source omments: |
| | extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must | | Aspect of the source | Possible comment | |
| | | | Author: modern historian | Useful as they are an expert on the topic of the building of the railways. | |
| | identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows why this aspect makes the source more or less useful. | | Type of Source: textbook | Useful as will be well researched about the building of the railways. | |
| | A maximum of 4 marks can be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source. A maximum of 2 marks may be | | Purpose: to inform | Useful as intended to give accurate information about the building of the | |
| | | | Timing: 2004 | Useful as written with the benefit of hindsight. | |
| | awarded for evaluative comments relating to points of significant omission. | | Content | Possible comment | |
| | | | Railway compar found problems buying the land they needed fro owners who believed it was worth more that companies were willing to pay. | it is accurate (it was expensive to buy land). | |
| | | | Railways faced opposition from those who feare they would destroy scenery with embankments a cuttings. | the railways due to the destruction of | |
| | | | There were also fears the railway would set farmland on fire with sparks from the locomotives | ys it is accurate (farmers were scared that trains could | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|--|
| | | | Possible points of significant omission may include: 1. farmers were concerned passing trains would disturb livestock 2. people in rural areas were concerned trains would bring in 'undesirables' from the towns and cities 3. many disliked the 'navvies' who built the railways as they believed navvies to be drunken criminals 4. canal workers and owners disliked railways as they were a threat to their jobs 5. any other valid point of significant omission. |

Section 2 — British contexts

PART E - The Making of Modern Britain, 1880-1951

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|---|-------------|--|
| 46. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events. Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These do not have to be in any particular order. 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be awarded for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 4 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing four straightforward points, by making two developed points (or any combination of these). | 4 | Possible points of knowledge may include: 1. low wages 2. unemployment 3. poor living conditions, for example, sanitation 4. limited help for those who were destitute, for example, voluntary organisations, workhouses 5. lack of affordable health care 6. high death rates 7. lack of educational opportunities 8. overcrowding, for example, large families 9. any other valid point of knowledge. |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | | instructions for this estion |
|----------|--|-------------|--|--|
| 47. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. Candidates must interpret the evidence and make direct comparisons between sources. Candidates are expected to compare content directly on a point-by-point basis. They may compare the details in the sources and/or compare the viewpoints overall. A simple comparison will indicate what points of detail or viewpoint the sources agree or disagree on and should be awarded 1 mark. A developed comparison of the points of detail or overall viewpoint should be awarded a second mark. Candidates may achieve full marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons (or by any combination of these). | mark 4 | Possible points of cinclude: Overall — the source surveys carried out Rowntree. Source A Booth and Rowntree's reports were widely read by the British public. Booth's report was based on research in London only, so many people rejected its findings on poverty. These surveys helped to change the minds of the British public by proving that poverty was not the fault of the individual. | comparison may es disagree on the by Booth and Source B However, many people in Britain did not read the reports of Booth and Rowntree and this limited the impact of the reports. The extent of poverty revealed in Booth's report shocked people leading to pressure for change in how poverty was dealt with. As a result, lots of people in Britain continued to believe in laissez-faire policies, that those living in poverty had to |
| | | | | help themselves. |

| Qu | estion | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|-----|--------|--|-------------|--|
| 48. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these). | 6 | Possible reasons may include: the reforms did not help all groups so many people still lived in poverty some of the benefits were below subsistence level, for example, Old Age Pensions Act some of the acts were not compulsory which meant many could not access support, for example, Free School Meals Act medical inspections did not provide treatment so there was no health benefit some of the reforms were difficult to enforce, for example, Children's Charter insurance contributions often resulted in a wage cut for the worker families of workers were not covered by health insurance unemployment insurance only covered certain trades, for example, shipbuilding unemployment benefit restricted to 15 weeks leaving those without work struggling to make ends meet any other valid reason. |

| Q | uestion | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking in ques | | | |
|-----|---------|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| 49. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full | 6 | 6 | 6 | Point identified in the source | Possible comment which shows the candidate has interpreted the key point(s) |
| | | explanation of a given event or development. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided. Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement. Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source. | | 1. Due to extensive bombings, the government provided temporary housing and many people hoped that this kind of support would continue. | This shows that Government help for housing became expected. | | |
| | | | to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no | | 2. Owing to conscription, people were more accepting of government involvement in helping with social problems. | People understood the need for Government action in their lives. | |
| | | | | 3. Mothers with two or more children also enjoyed the benefits of the Family Allowances Act introduced during the War, which gave additional financial support. | Families liked the extra monetary payments from the Government brought in during the War. | | |
| | | | | 4. In addition, the common experience of wartime changed what people thought the role of government should be for helping people in need. | Beveridge's report made people expect the Government would provide assistance after the War. | | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|--|
| | | | Possible points of significant omission may include: 5. many were shocked by the poor health of evacuated children 6. experience of war affected rich and poor alike which created greater sympathy for those living in poverty 7. the benefits of rationing highlighted the need for government help on food 8. many people liked increased government focus on the welfare of the British public, for example, free school milk, increased pensions 9. the war had revealed the extent of poverty which shocked many and led to demands for more action 10. any other valid point of significant omission. |

| Q | uestic | on | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking qu | inst estic | | |
|-----|---|----|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| 50. | (a) | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. | 5 | 5 | Examples of asp and relevant co | | |
| | | | Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such | | Aspect of the source | Pos | ssible comment | |
| | as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which | | Author: modern historian | wel exp Nat | ful as they are a l-informed ert on the ional Health vice. | | | |
| | | | shows why this aspect makes the source more or less useful. A maximum of 4 marks can be | Type of Source: textbook | to h tho | ful as it is likely nave been roughly earched. | | |
| | | | awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments | | Purpose: to inform | inte bala abo | ful as it is ended to give a anced account ut the National | |
| | awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to points of significant omission. | | | Timing: 2010 | Use writ | ful as it was ten with the efit of display. | | |
| | | | | | Content | | Possible comment | |
| | | | | The introduction the National Hea Service dealt effectively with tissue as treatmer and services were initially free at point of use. | lth this nt | Useful because it is accurate (medical treatment did not have to be paid for at first). | | |
| | | | However, there we little money for building new facilities so many hospitals were out of date which limited the care which could be provided. | , | Useful because it is accurate (building of new hospitals was limited due to cost). | | | |
| | | | The expansion of the National Hea Service created j opportunities, particularly for nurses and suppo staff. | lth ob | Useful because it is accurate (more jobs were created in the NHS). | | | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|---|
| | | | Possible points of significant omission may include: 1. universal access so all British people could use NHS services 2. services available, for example, GP treatment, optical care, dentists 3. specialist hospitals and centres were created, for example, fever hospitals 4. reaction from British public, for example, huge demand for services 5. issues with NHS, for example, long waiting times for beds, rising cost of treatment 6. cost for some treatments began to be introduced, for example, prescriptions 7. any other valid point of significant omission. |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | | g instructions for this uestion | |
|----------|--|-------------|---|---|--|
| (b) | (b) Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. | | Examples of as and relevant co | pects of the source omments: | |
| | Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such | | Aspect of the source | Possible comment | |
| | as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows why this aspect makes the source more or less useful. A maximum of 4 marks can be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source. | | Author: modern historian | Useful because they are a well-informed expert. | |
| | | | Type of Source: textbook | Useful because will have been thoroughly researched. | |
| | | | Purpose: to inform | Useful as it gives a detailed account of the problems identified by the Five Giants. | |
| | | | Timing: 2004 | Useful as it was written with the benefit of hindsight. | |
| | A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to points of significant omission. | | Content | Possible comment | |
| | omission. | | | As a result of intensive bombin during the war, one of the bigger problems facing the government was homelessness 'Squalor' | (Homelessness was identified as one of the Five Giants). |
| | | | However, probathe biggest issue was Want, which meant that man people in Britail did not have whathey needed to survive above the poverty line. | it is accurate h (Want was one of the Five Giant Evils identified). | |
| | | | The Labour government aim to solve the causes of pover and so introduce reforms to deal with the reason for unemployment, 'Idleness'. | (the Labour government introduced unemployment reforms). | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|---|
| | | | Possible points of significant omission may include: 1. poor quality housing (Squalor) was another social issue facing the British people 2. poor health (Disease) was a cause of poverty 3. unemployment (Idleness) limited income causing poverty 4. lack of education (ignorance) prevented many from accessing jobs or opportunities 5. any other valid point of significant omission. |

PART A - The Cross and the Crescent: the Crusades, 1071-1192

| CHIASTIAN . | | Specific marking ins quest | | | |
|-------------|---|---|--|---|--|
| 51. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full | 6 | Point identified in the source | Possible comment which shows the candidate has interpreted the key point(s) |
| | description of a given event or development. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided. Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement. Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source. | | | 1. Knights captured a series of strategically important cities using a combination of siege tactics and speed. 2. Another tactic | Knights quickly moved to capture important cities by siege tactics. The knights split |
| | | Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no | | used by knights was that they sometimes divided their army, helping them to surround important cities and castles. | their army to surround the key cities and castles. |
| | | | 3. The knights conducted long sieges of powerful cities to deny them food and supplies. | The knights used siege warfare to capture important cities. | |
| | | | 4. Sometimes the knights benefited from the treachery of castle commanders during battles. | The knights sometimes used defenders to help them capture cities. | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|--|
| | | | Possible points of significant omission may include: 5. army tactics generally relied on heavily armoured knights 6. knights often tried to defeat opponents with powerful cavalry charges 7. knights sometimes fought on foot and were equipped with armour, shields, and hand weapons, for example, spears or swords 8. knights built siege engines to help them capture large, powerful castles 9. knights also built powerful fortresses to hold onto conquered land 10. knights were supplied from the sea from the port cities they captured 11. any other valid point of significant omission. |

| Q | uestion | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking in ques | nstructions for this | | |
|-----|---------|--|--|---|--|-----------------------|--|
| 52. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. | 5 | Examples of aspec and relevant com | | | |
| | | Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source | extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such | Aspect of the source | Possible comment | | |
| | | as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows why this aspect makes the | purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which | Author: an adviser of Emperor Alexius I | Useful as they were an eyewitness to the events of the Crusades. | | |
| | | source more or less useful. A maximum of 4 marks can be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of | | Type of Source: letter | Useful as it is an accurate account of her experience. | | |
| | | source, purpose and timing. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to points of significant omission. | source, purpose and timing. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the | source, purpose and timing. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the | | Purpose: to record | Useful as it would aim to record the events connected to the People's Crusade. |
| | | | | Timing: 1148 | Useful as written at the time of the Crusades. | | |
| | | | | Content | Possible comment | | |
| | | | Alexius I asked Crusader knights to leave Byzantium because of indiscipline, which deprived them of money and supplies. | (the People's Crusade was so | | | |
| | | | The Crusaders were shipped to Nicomedia, where they received some supplies but they were still isolated. | it is accurate. (the People's | | | |
| | | | Peter the Hermit and the leadership of the People's Crusade were very inexperienced, putting the Crusade in great danger. | Useful because it is accurate. (the leadership of the People's Crusade was poor). | | | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|---|
| | | | Possible points of significant omission may include: 1. they refused to wait for the more experienced Crusaders before marching on the Holy Land 2. faced a more powerful and experienced Muslim enemy 3. launched badly planned attacks, for example, the attack at Civetot in 1096 4. the People's Crusade's military leader (Walter Sans Avoir) was killed leaving the Crusade poorly led 5. any other valid point of significant omission. |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|---|
| 53. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these). | 6 | Possible reasons may include: Saladin had a lot of military experience, for example, he was mentored by his uncle, who was a skilled military leader Saladin got important jobs which allowed him to advance his own interests, for example, the high official (vizier) of Egypt Saladin won early military victories which seemed to show God was on his side, for example, securing the pilgrimage route to Mecca Saladin was able to gain total control over Egypt which made him very powerful in the Muslim world other Muslim leaders gave Saladin a leadership role in Syria which gave him a powerful base to unite the Muslim world attempts to attack or assassinate Saladin by other Muslim leaders were not successful Saladin captured key cities making him more powerful, for example, Aleppo Saladin won key military victories against the Crusaders which encouraged many Muslims to support his rule, for example, the Battle of Hattin in 1187 any other valid reason. |

| Q | uestion | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific mar | king instructions for this question |
|-----|---------|--|-------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| 54. | (a) | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks. Candidates must make a | 9 | Possible factors: | Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include: |
| | | judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented. | | Weaknesses of Muslim forces | Saladin was running low on money after many years fighting to hold his gains in the Holy Land Saladin's army was becoming less united, making it less effective in |
| | | Up to 5 marks can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark awarded for each point. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for relevant points of knowledge. | | | battle 3. Saladin was becoming old and ill, making him less able to resist the advances of the Crusaders |
| | | A further 4 marks can be awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion. | | Richard I's leadership | 4. Richard was in a position of sole leadership allowing him to direct Crusader forces as |
| | | Up to 4 marks should be awarded for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows: • 1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors) • 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors) • 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary) • 1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported). | | | he wished 5. he was skilled at using diplomacy to try and secure Crusader gains in the Holy Land. For example, he tried to marry his sister Joan to Saladin's brother 6. he was a skilful and brave battlefield leader, as seen in battles such as Jaffa 7. ruthless tactics. For example, he killed between 2,500 and 3,000 Muslim prisoners to demonstrate his resolve |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific mar | king instructions for this question |
|----------|--|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | Possible factors: | Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include: |
| | | | Crusader advantages | 8. Crusaders were able to secure Acre as a base that provided vital supplies and shelter 9. used modern technology like Greek fire and purpose-built siege engines to win battles 10. were well supplied by sea, allowing them to support advances into the Holy Land |
| | | Nature of the Crusader Army | 11. it was very large. For example, Richard's force was estimated to be around 15,000 strong, much bigger than preceding Crusader armies 12. it was very experienced as Richard paid higher wages than other Crusaders, allowing him to get the best troops | |
| | | | Other factors | 13. any other valid point. |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific mar | king instructions for this question |
|----------|--|------------------|--|---|
| (b) | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks. Candidates must make a | 9 | Possible factors: | Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include: |
| | judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented. | Economic factors | the Crusades presented a chance to annex profitable new lands the Crusades offered the chance to gain valuable trading centres in the Eastern Mediterranean | |
| | Up to 5 marks can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark awarded for each point. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for | | | 3. the Crusades offered a chance to gain great wealth from sacking large and rich cities |
| | relevant points of knowledge. A further 4 marks can be awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion. | | Religious reasons | 4. the Crusades were a chance to secure Christian pilgrimage routes to the Holy Land 5. the Crusades allowed Pope Urban |
| | Up to 4 marks should be awarded for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows: • 1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors) • 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors) • 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary) • 1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported). | | | Il to reinforce the power/prestige of the Papacy 6. the Crusades offered the chance to capture Jerusalem from Muslim control |
| | | ay l | Political reasons | 7. Pope Urban II wanted to enhance his own position 8. the Crusades offered a chance to check growing Muslim power |
| | | | Christian unity | 9. the Crusades allowed the Pope to try to unite the Orthodox and Catholic Church 10. the Pope felt compelled to launch a Crusade after calls for aid from Alexius I |
| | | | Other factors | 11. any other valid point. |

PART B - 'Tea and Freedom': the American Revolution, 1774-1783

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | | nstructions for this stion | | |
|----------|---|--|---|--|--------------------------------|---|
| 55. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full | 6 | 6 | 6 | Point identified in the source | Possible comment which shows the candidate has interpreted the key point(s) |
| | description of a given event or development. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided. | 1. The HMS Gaspee seized every boat it could, and raided Rhode Island farms for supplies | The navy seized the property of the locals which created resentment. | | | |
| | Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement. Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be | | 2. This made the locals unhappy, but Admiral Montagu warned he would hang anyone who interfered with the Gaspee's work. | The navy used severe punishments which increased hostility towards British rule. | | |
| | awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source. | | 3. In June 1772, the Gaspee ran aground in pursuit of a small merchant vessel. | The Gaspee became stranded close to shore. | | |
| | | | 4. Local fishermen and merchants seized their chance and boarded the ship during the night. | Locals took control of the Gaspee under cover of darkness. | | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|---|
| | | | Possible points of significant omission may include: 5. the skipper was shot and forced ashore 6. the skipper was arrested by the local sheriff for an earlier seizure of colonial cargo 7. the Gaspee was set alight and burned to the waterline 8. the crew were taken prisoner and held captive in a cellar 9. local courts brought charges against the crew of the Gaspee for illegally seizing goods 10. the locals who led the attack were easily identified by the crew, but no arrests were ever made 11. any other valid point of significant omission. |

| Q | uestion | 1 | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific mar | king in ques | structions for this tion | |
|-----|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| 56. | | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. | 5 | Examples of and relevan | ts of the source nents: | | |
| | | | Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such | | Aspect of the source | Pos | sible comment | |
| | | | as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must | | Author: a colonial loyalist | | because he was witness and a t. | |
| | | | identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows why this aspect makes the source more or less useful. | | Type of Source: leaflet | | as it reflects the des of loyalists. | |
| | | | A maximum of 4 marks can be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of | | Purpose: to persuade | argum loyalis | | |
| | | | source, purpose and timing. | | | Less u | seful as it is | |
| | | awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source. | awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source. | relating to the content of the | | Timing: 1776 | time v | as it is from the when many sts were divided by alty to Britain. |
| | | | awarded for evaluative comments | | | Conten | | Possible |
| | omission. | | | Owing to our shared background, Britain has powerfully assisted our merchants a farmers and settlements prospered. I am perfect satisfied tha | nd our have ly | Useful because it is accurate (many loyalists believed that trade with Britain had allowed the colonies to proser). Useful because it is accurate (many | | |
| | | | protection o Great Britair secure us pe within these lands. | f n will ace | loyalists believed independence would lead to the breakdown of law and order). | | | |
| | | | | However, we much better security from invasions as result of the largest and be disciplined a under heave | n a best rmy | Useful because it is accurate (many loyalists believed the British army protected them from foreign invasion). | | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|---|
| | | | Possible points of significant omission may include: 1. many enslaved people remained loyal to the British Empire, for example, they were offered their freedom 2. more recent immigrants remained loyal as they had just arrived 3. the British army paid bounties to colonists to join loyalist regiments 4. some loyalists feared the spread of revolutionary ideas 5. any other valid point of significant omission. |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|---|
| 57. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these). | 6 | Possible reasons may include: British soldiers did not know the terrain they were fighting on British forces were fighting far from home which meant supplies took months to arrive troops had to protect American ports under British control, which reduced the number of soldiers available for battle colonial troops destroyed crops as they retreated, leading to shortages in supplies the British Army was unpopular as they took supplies from the areas they occupied British foraging parties found it hard to gather supplies due to attacks from the militia colonial forces used guerrilla tactics to wear down British soldiers the presence of mercenaries in the British army created hostility amongst colonists British tactics made them easy fighting targets, for example, soldiers in straight lines, firing by volley any other valid reason. |

| Q | uestion | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for to question | | |
|-----|--|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| 58. | (a) | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks. Candidates must make a | 9 | Possible Factors: | Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include: | |
| | | judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented. | | George Washington | he was a skilled leader who led the Continental Army to victory in key battles, for example, Yorktown he maintained strict discipline in the Continental | |
| | Up to 5 marks can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark awarded for each point (but one mark should be deducted if the process is not clear in at least two factors). If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for relevant points of knowledge. A further 4 marks can be awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion. | for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark awarded for each point (but one mark should | | | Army 3. he kept up morale and inspired devotion in his troops | |
| | | | American strengths | 4. State militias were able to control most of the country not occupied by the British | | |
| | | awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming | | | 5. Americans had other experienced commanders who trained the army well, for example, von Steuben | |
| | | for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows: • 1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors) • 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors) • 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary) • 1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported). | | Foreign intervention | 6. France supplied the colonists with finance 7. France provided military assistance and supplies 8. French ships attacked British shipping in the Atlantic 9. a Franco-Spanish force threatened Britain with invasion in 1779 | |
| | | | | Poor British leadership | 10. communication between Government and military commanders was poor 11. the attacks by British military and naval commanders were uncoordinated | |

| Question | | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question | |
|----------|--|--|-------------|---|---|
| | | | | | 12. some military commanders were too cautious and missed opportunities to destroy Washington's army, for example, Howe |
| | | | | Other factors | 13. any other valid point. |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific mark | ing instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| (b) | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented. | | Possible factors: | Key points of knowledge to support this support this factor may include: |
| | | | Continental Congresses | 1. produced a Declaration of Rights which stated the colonies could not be taxed by Parliament without |
| | Up to 5 marks can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark awarded for each point. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for relevant points of knowledge. | | | representation 2. endorsed the Suffolk Resolves, which called for resistance to British rule 3. acted as a national government, for |
| | A further 4 marks can be awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion. Up to 4 marks should be awarded for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows: • 1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors) • 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors) • 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary) • 1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported). | | Actions of | example, raising armies and issuing currency |
| | | | Actions of Government | 4. refused to lift the duty on tea which damaged colonial trade 5. passed the Coercive (Intolerable) Acts which closed off Boston Port 6. passed the American Prohibitory Act which ordered all trade with the colonies cease |
| | | | Actions of British armed forces | 7. the continuing presence of British soldiers in the colonies caused tension 8. colonies were responsible for housing troops which created resentment |

| Q | uestior | n | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question | |
|---|---------|---|--|-------------|---|---|
| | | | | | | 9. British troops seized cannons and ammunition from local settlements |
| | | | | | Troop clashes | 10. British troops tried to seize weapons from colonists at Lexington and Concord but fighting broke out 11. militiamen from neighbouring colonies marched to defend Boston and further fighting occurred at Bunker Hill |
| | | | | | Other factors | 12. any other valid point. |

Section 3 — European and world contexts

PART C – USA, 1850–1880

| Questio | n | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for question | | |
|---------|---|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| 59. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full | 6 | Point identified which in the source interp | ossible nments is show the lidate has oreted the point(s) | |
| | description of a given event or development. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided. Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement. Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source. | 1. The length of the journey often stretched over several thousand miles. | ourney was | | | |
| | | J | ettlers had protection lter. | | | |
| | | 3. Weather conditions could change quickly and many settlers were killed by extreme weather events like flash floods. | er | | | |
| | | | experienced faced guides the shorta | rs were with a ge of ng water. | | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|--|
| | | | Possible points of significant omission may include: 5. settlers faced a lack of food, or even starvation 6. breakages or loss of equipment could lead to disaster 7. disease was common, particularly cholera or typhus 8. attacks from Native American tribes were a danger 9. there were physical barriers for settlers, for example, mountains or rivers 10. there was a lack of towns or infrastructure along the way to support the journey West 11. any other valid point of significant omission. |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for question | |
|----------|--|------------------------|--|---|
| 60. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. | 5 | Examples of aspe- and relevant com | |
| | Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows why this aspect makes the source more or less useful. A maximum of 4 marks can be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to points of significant omission. | Aspect of the source | Possible comment | |
| | | | Author: a Southern politician | Useful as it is an eyewitness account of the relations between slave and nonslave states. |
| | | Type of Source: speech | Useful as it gives the views of a southern politician. | |
| | | | Purpose: to criticise the North | Useful as it highlights tension between the slave and non-slave states. |
| | | | Timing: 1850 | Useful as it is during a period of tension between slave and nonslave states. |
| | | | Content | Possible |
| | | | | comment |
| | | | The North's insistence on meddling in the affairs of Southern states has placed the Union in danger. | Useful because it is accurate (the slave states did feel the North was meddling and this threatened the Union). |
| | | | The reluctance of the North to guarantee the continuation of slavery in the South has been allowed to go on and on. | Useful because it is accurate (the slave states did feel that the North was undermining slavery). |

| Q | Question | | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for the question | |
|---|----------|--|--|-------------|--|---|
| | | | | | The Abolitionist's insistence on attacking the freedoms of the South has caused considerable discontent in the Southern section of the Union. | Useful because it is accurate (many in the South felt that the Abolitionist's campaigns had caused great tension). |
| | | | | | may include: 1. the Fugitive Slar resentment in the for example, the marshals to hunthe North 2. the Kansas-Nebroissue of popular 3. the Dred Scott of Northerners 4. John Brown's ray outraged the So | ve Act caused he non-slave states, e powers of t fugitive slaves in raska Act raised the sovereignty case horrified hid on Harper's Ferry |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|--|
| 61. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these). | 6 | Possible reasons may include: some Northerners were angered that the Southern states were readmitted to the Union so easily Southerners didn't like reconstruction as it encouraged carpet baggers many Republicans rejected Lincoln's conciliatory messages to the South, for example, his claim to hold 'no ill will' for the war some Americans were angry because they felt it was the Senate and not the President who should control reconstruction some Southerners were resentful at newly freed slaves 'flaunting' their freedom, for example, leaving plantations many Americans feared reconstruction would lead to equality between white and black Americans, for example, black suffrage, 14th Amendment Northerners were angered by President Johnson's lenient policy on pardons for the Southerners Northerners were angered by President Johnson's obstruction of the Freedmen's Bureau many Southerners disliked the fact that Confederate military leaders were banned from holding political office Southerners felt that reconstruction was damaging 'white rights' leading to a violent backlash, for example, the formation of groups like the KKK many black Americans were angered that many of the promises of reconstruction were not delivered any other valid reason. |

| Q | uestion | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific mar | king instructions for this question |
|-----|---|--|--|----------------------|--|
| 62. | (a) | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks. Candidates must make a | 9 | Possible Factors: | Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include: |
| | judgement about the extent to which different factors | Actions of the US government | 1. many Native Americans were angered by the US government's frequent breaking of treaties. For example, the Fort Laramie Treaty 2. many Native | | |
| | | Up to 5 marks can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark awarded for each point. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for relevant points of knowledge. | | | Americans were angered by the seizure of their land for cultivation by settlers 3. Native Americans were resentful of being thrown off |
| | | A further 4 marks can be awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion. | | | their land when valuable resources were discovered, for example, gold |
| | | Up to 4 marks should be awarded for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows: • 1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors) • 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors) • 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary) • 1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported). | | Racist | many settlers viewed the Native American culture as backward widespread belief in Manifest Destiny justified the expansion of white settlers many settlers believed Native Americans were not entitled to legal protection popular white American culture encouraged racist treatment |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for th question | | |
|----------|--|-------------|---|--|--|
| | | | Armed conflict | 8. armed conflict increased tension between the settlers and Native Americans. For example, the impact of the Sand Creek Massacre 9. Native American military tactics such as ambushes and scalping were viewed as barbaric by the settlers | |
| | | | Impact of the settlers | 10. Native Americans were angered at the expansion of the railway which disrupted their way of life 11. deliberate overhunting of the buffalo destroyed the Native American way of life 12. Native Americans were angered by the destruction of sacred lands, for example, the Black Hills | |
| | | | Other factors | 13. any other valid point. | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific mar | king instructions for this question |
|----------|---|-------------|-----------------------|---|
| (b) | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks. Candidates must make a | 9 | Possible factors: | Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include: |
| | judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented. Up to 5 marks can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark awarded for each point. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for relevant points of knowledge. A further 4 marks can be awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion. Up to 4 marks should be awarded for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows: 1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors) 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors) 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary) 1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported). | | Southern Secession | Federal troops occupied Fort Sumter causing tension attempts to resupply Fort Sumter (in January 1861) were prevented by South Carolina causing tension South Carolina attacked Fort Sumter causing Northern outrage after Fort Sumter Virginia seceded making war more likely some Southern States secede straight after Lincoln's election (November 1860) South Carolina sent representatives to other Southern States to persuade them to secede (1860-1861) the Confederate States of America was formed in February 1861 other Southern States seceded before and after Lincoln's appointment as President |

| Abraham Lincoln Abraha | Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific mar | king instructions for this question |
|--|----------|--|-------------|---------------|---|
| | | | | Abraham | 10. Lincoln was criticised for his inaction during the 1860 election campaign 11. Southerners feared that Lincoln would move to prevent slavery 12. Southerners argued that Lincoln had been elected without any support from the |
| Other 13. any other valid factors point. | | | | Other factors | 13. any other valid point. |

PART D - Hitler and Nazi Germany, 1919-1939

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|--|
| 63. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description of a given event or development. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of | 6 | Possible comment which shows the candidate has interpreted the key point(s) 1. There was resentment in Germany in particular to Article 231, |
| | significant omission provided. Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement. Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source. | | the 'war guilt' clause. 2. The Rhineland was to become a demilitarized zone to act as a buffer between France and Germany. 3. The Anschluss (union with Austria) was forbidden by Article 80 of the Treaty. 4. All of Germany's colonies were to be handed over to the Allies as decided by the League of Nations. Possible points of significant omission may include: 5. Saar coalfields were to be controlled by France 6. Germany's army was limited to 100,000 men 7. the Navy was restricted, for example, six battleships, no submarines 8. Germany was to have no military aircraft |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|--|
| | | | 9. Germany was to pay reparations, which were set in 1921 10. Germany lost land to other countries in Europe, for example Denmark, France, Poland 11. any other valid point of significant omission. |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | | instructions for this estion |
|----------|---|-------------|---|--|
| 64. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. | 5 | Examples of aspe and relevant con | ects of the source nments: |
| | Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such | | Aspect of the source | Possible comment |
| | as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must | | the Nazi Party | Useful as it is a first-hand account of Nazi policies. |
| | awarded, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows why this aspect makes the source more or less useful. A maximum of 4 marks can be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to points of significant omission. | | Source: leaflet | Useful as this would have been widely distributed throughout Germany. |
| | | | Purpose: to persuade | Useful as it shows how the Nazis appealed to Germans. |
| | | | Timing: 1929 | Useful as it was written at the time support was growing for the Nazis. |
| | | | | |
| | | | Content | Possible comment |
| | | | They made weak coalitions that soon collapsed leaving Germany without leadership. | Useful because it is accurate (Germans were dissatisfied with weak coalitions). |
| | | | Remember when inflation robbed you of your savings and businesses? | Useful because it is accurate (middle classes suffered during the hyperinflation crisis). |
| | | | Middle class voters, the Communist Party is a threat to your livelihood, join us in fighting it wherever it is to be found. | Useful because it is accurate (the Nazis promised to defend Germany from Communism which attracted lots of support). |
| | | | | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|--|
| | | | Possible points of significant omission may include: 1. appeal of Hitler as a strong leader 2. Nazi promises appealed to a wide variety of Germans 3. the Nazis held large public meetings and rallies which enthused people 4. the SA uniform and marches impressed people 5. any other valid point of significant omission. |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|--|
| 65. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these). | 6 | Possible reasons may include: Jews were denied access to professional jobs so they could not earn a living Jews were denied access to education, affecting their opportunities Jews were subjected to violence and intimidation, for example, Kristallnacht Jews were blamed for adverse events, for example, defeat in the First World War Jews were imprisoned and sent to concentration camps Nazi racial ideas meant minority groups were treated badly, for example, Roma, Sinti Nazi ideas on science meant people with disabilities were treated badly for example, sterilisation, euthanasia religious groups who would not cooperate with the Nazis were persecuted, for example, Jehovah's Witnesses homosexuals were persecuted by the Nazi Government, for example, imprisonment Germans from ethnic minorities were excluded from certain jobs and education, denying them 'opportunities' any other valid reason. |

| Q | uestion | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for question | |
|-----|---|---|-------------|---|--|
| 66. | (a) | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks. Candidates must make a | 9 | Possible Factors: | Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include: |
| | | judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented. | | Hitler Youth | some children loved Hitler Youth activities, for example, outdoor activities some Hitler Youth members fully embraced Nazi ideals, for |
| | | Up to 5 marks can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark awarded for each point. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for relevant points of knowledge. | | | example, antisemitism 3. some children informed the Gestapo against their families 4. reinforced belief in traditional |
| | | A further 4 marks can be awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion. | | | values, for example, girls would do activities focussed on their |
| | Up to 4 marks should be awarded for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows: • 1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors) | | | role as future mothers, BDM 5. lack of enthusiasm meant attendance at Hitler Youth had to be made compulsory | |
| | | 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors) 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary) 1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported). | | Opposition | 6. some children acted against the Nazi regime, for example, the Edelweiss Pirates 7. the Nazis were unable to indoctrinate all young people in Germany as parents taught different values 8. some children passively resisted, for example, listening to American music |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question | |
|----------|--|-------------|---|--|
| | | | Possible Factors: | Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include: |
| | | | Education | 9. all teachers had to join the Nazi Teachers League and indoctrinate young people in Nazi ideas 10. girls were taught Nazi beliefs on housewife roles, for example, Kinder, Kirche, Kücher 11. boys were conditioned to prepare for war through instruction on military training 12. some children were bored by/rejected the Nazi curriculum |
| | | | Other factors | 13. any other valid point. |

| Question | Question General marking instructions for this type of question | | Specific marking instructions for t question | |
|----------|---|---|---|--|
| (b) | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks. Candidates must make a | 9 | Possible Factors: | Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include: |
| | judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented. Up to 5 marks can be awarded | | Violence and intimidation | political opponents were intimidated, for example, the 1933 March election concentration camp opened for political prisoners, for example, Dachau Gestapo established in April 1933 |
| | for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark awarded for each point. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for relevant points of knowledge. | | Use of emergency powers | 4. Night of the Long Knives eliminated opposition from SA 5. Emergency Decrees passed (in February 1933 after the Reichstag Fire) |
| | A further 4 marks can be awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion. | | | suspended rights 6. Enabling Act passed (in March 1933) which gave emergency powers |
| | Up to 4 marks should be awarded for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows: 1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in | | | to the government 7. outlawing of other parties eliminated opposition, for example, the Communists |
| | (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors) 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way | | Propaganda | 8. Ministry of Information and Propaganda controlled all media |
| | (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors) 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary) 1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported). | | Wider appeal | many voted in favour of Hitler combining the offices of President and Chancellor, for example, 90% voted in favour the army swore an oath of loyalty to Hitler Nazi promises were popular with many Germans, for example, unemployment |
| | | | Other factors | 12. any other valid point. |

PART E - Red Flag: Lenin and the Russian Revolution, 1894-1921

| Qı | uestion | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | • | nstructions for this stion |
|-----|--|---|--|--|---|
| 67. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full | 6 | Point identified in the source | Possible comment which shows the candidate has interpreted the key point(s) |
| | description of a given event or development. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided. Candidates should be awarded up | | 1. If any Russians disobeyed the Tsar, they could be flogged, beaten or even executed. | Discipline in Tsarist Russia was very harsh. | |
| | to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement. Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source. | interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement. Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of | | 2. The Tsar's ministers, whom he chose personally, helped him to rule Russia. | This shows that the Tsar had people to help him rule Russia. |
| | | | 3. At this time, there was no parliament in Russia so there were no elections. | This shows that the Russian people had no say in their government. | |
| | | | 4. The Russian Orthodox Church also helped maintain the Tsar's rule by promoting him as the 'Little Father', who was appointed by God. | The Russian Orthodox Church promoted the Tsar as the ultimate ruler in Russia. | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|---|
| | | | Possible points of significant omission may include: 5. the Okhrana (secret police) were used to identify and spy on enemies of the Tsarist government 6. the army was used to enforce the Tsarist government 7. the Russian legal system was designed to maintain autocracy and the power of the Tsar 8. the Civil Service was used by the Tsar to control everyday life 9. Russification was used to control non-Russian peoples 10. any other point of significant omission. |

| Q | uestion | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | - | instructions for this estion |
|-----|--|--|--|---|--|
| 68. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. | 5 | Examples of aspe and relevant com | |
| | Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows why this aspect makes the source more or less useful. A maximum of 4 marks can be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to points of significant omission. | | Aspect of the source | Possible comment | |
| | | | Author: Pyotr Stolypin | Useful as he is an eyewitness and creator of the reforms. | |
| | | | Type of Source: speech | Useful as it will contain details of the reforms. | |
| | | | Purpose: to inform | Useful as it has been said to explain what the reforms were and why they were being introduced. | |
| | | source. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to points of significant | | Timing: 1907 | Useful as it is from the time the reforms were being introduced. |
| | | | | Content | Possible comment(s) |
| | | | The government has just recently set up a land fund. | Useful because it is accurate (a land fund had been established). | |
| | | | The peasant bank, which has also been established by this government, must now be improved. | Useful because it is accurate (a peasant bank had been established). | |
| | | | Our poorest must also be helped financially, so we will award them with credit facilities too. | Useful because it is accurate (peasants had access to credit facilities). | |

| Questi | on | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|--------|----|--|-------------|---|
| | | | | Possible points of significant omission may include: Stolypin's reforms led to an expansion of health services Stolypin's reforms abolished redemption payments Stolypin's reforms led to large numbers of primary schools being established Stolypin's reforms led to land reform, for example, the ending of control by the village mir, encouragement of migration to Siberia Stolypin's reforms led to an expansion of secondary and higher educational institutions any other valid point of significant omission. |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question | | |
|----------|--|-------------|--|--|--|
| 69. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these). | 6 | Possible reasons may include: | | |

| Q | uestion | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific mar | rking instructions for this question |
|-----|--|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| 70. | (a) | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks. Candidates must make a | Possible Factors: | Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include: | |
| | judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented. Up to 5 marks can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark awarded for each point. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for relevant points of knowledge. A further 4 marks can be awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion. Up to 4 marks should be awarded for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the | Weakness of the Whites | White leadership was disorganised, and divided corruption amongst White leadership alienated Russian people the White armies were geographically distant from each | | |
| | | Red leadership | 4. Trotsky was an excellent military leader and strategist 5. experienced former Tsarist officers were used to lead the Red Army 6. political commissars helped to spread socialist ideas and maintain loyalty to the Bolsheviks | | |
| | | question, as follows: 1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors). 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors). 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall | Red Terror Bolshevik | fear of the Cheka led to strict discipline of the Red Army former Tsarist officers were forced to lead Bolshevik forces as their families were held hostage War Communism | |
| | summary). • 1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported). | | control | was used to help supply the war effort 10. Red Army controlled a compact central area with key industries and transport | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for the question | | |
|----------|--|-------------|--|---|--|
| | | | Possible Factors: | Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include: | |
| | | | Propaganda | 11. the Bolsheviks distributed effective propaganda such as films, speeches, newspapers, and leaflets which boosted support | |
| | | | Foreign intervention | 12. foreign nations did not commit to supplying the White Army in any strength | |
| | | | Other factors | 13. any other valid point. | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific mark | cing instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|---------------------------|--|
| (b) | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to | 9 | Possible factors: | Key points of knowledge to support this support this factor may include: |
| | which different factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented. | | Opposition to the Tsar | middle classes resented having no political power political challenges from organised opposition |
| | Up to 5 marks can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark awarded for each point. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for relevant points of knowledge. A further 4 marks can be awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion. Up to 4 marks should be awarded | | | groups, for example, Social Revolutionaries and the Social Democrats 3. Tsar was seen as being too weak and unable to make good decisions for Russia 4. Russification had alienated national |
| | Up to 4 marks should be awarded for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows: • 1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors) • 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors) • 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary) • 1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported). | | Bloody Sunday | 5. Russian troops firing on an unarmed crowd led to anger across Russia, for example, widespread strikes 6. Tsar blamed for the massacre and many Russians held him responsible 7. outbreak of terrorist attacks targeted at government officials and landowners |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question | | |
|----------|--|-------------|---|--|--|
| | | | Possible factors: | Key points of knowledge to support this support this factor may include: | |
| | | | Defeat in the Russo- Japanese War | 8. defeat by Japan were humiliating for Russia and led to widespread discontent 9. Potemkin naval mutiny in the Black Sea fleet threatened support for the Tsar 10. the government was accused of providing inadequate supplies and equipment to Russia's armed forces | |
| | | | Other factors | 11. any other valid point. | |

Section 3 — European and world contexts

PART F - Mussolini and Fascist Italy, 1919-1939

| Q | uestion | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question | |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| 71. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full | 6 | 6 | Possible comment which shows the the source candidate has interpreted the key point(s) |
| description of a given event or development. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided. Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement. Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of | 1. Mussolini's speeches were well received and won many over to the Fascist cause. Mussolini's speeches were popular. | | | | |
| | | Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement. Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of | | 2. Many listeners agreed with his view that democracy had made Italy weak and that only the Fascists could make her strong. The view that democracy had failed was a widespread one. | |
| | significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source. | 3. Mussolini convinced the poor that he would create a fairer society by tackling unfair business practices. Mussolini was good at exploiting the masses. | | | |
| | | | 4. Mussolini was able to exploit resentment against the peace settlement to strengthen his appeal. Many felt Italy had been snubbed by the Allies. | | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|--|
| | | | Possible points of significant omission may include: 5. young people were attracted to Fascism as it seemed to offer the prospect of action 6. the Fascists also gained working class support by offering fair wages 7. Fascism appealed to nationalists 8. Fascism appealed to ex-soldiers by promising recognition of their service 9. the promise of an eight-hour day appealed to many 10. the wealthy were attracted by strong anti-Communist views 11. any other valid point of significant omission. |

| Q | uestion | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for t question | | |
|-----|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| 72. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. | 5 | Examples of aspec and relevant com | | |
| | | Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful | | Aspect of the source | Possible comment | |
| | by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows why this aspect makes the source more or less useful. A maximum of 4 marks can be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of significant omission. | | Author: Italian government | Useful as the Italian government sent out propaganda. | | |
| | | | Type of Source: school textbook | Useful as it shows the Fascists were keen to target the young. | | |
| | | | Purpose: to persuade | Useful as propaganda was designed to get people to act in a certain way. | | |
| | | | | Timing: 1928 | Useful as it is from the period when the Fascists had established their control over Italy. | |
| | | | | Content | Possible comment | |
| | | | To love your parents as much as your country and your country as much as you love your parents. | Useful because it is accurate (the Fascists stressed the importance of family). | | |
| | | | | To be totally devoted and give thanks always for the Duce who has made our country stronger and greater. | Useful because it is accurate (devotion to Mussolini was encouraged). | |
| | | | To feel love and warmth for all those who have unselfishly sacrificed their lives for a new Italy and for Fascism which has saved us all. | Useful because it is accurate (Fascism was portrayed as Italy's saviour). | | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|--|
| | | | Possible points of significant omission may include: 1. mass rallies were used as a means of propaganda 2. the Fascists censored opposition views 3. the Government produced newsreels to be shown at cinemas 4. posters promoted the strength of Fascist Italy 5. any other valid point of significant omission. |

| Question | | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|--|-------------|---|
| 73. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these). | 6 | Possible reasons may include: 1. to avenge defeat at Adwa (Adowa) in 1896 2. to develop the cult of the Duce 3. to consolidate Italy's position in East Africa (where they had had a presence since the 1880s) 4. to increase Italian prestige 5. to show that Italy was capable of acting as an imperial power like Britain and France 6. a successful war abroad could rally support at home 7. to put Italy in a position to exploit Abyssinian resources 8. to develop export markets for Italian businesses 9. to demonstrate to Hitler that Italy was a powerful nation (and therefore a valuable ally) 10. Mussolini believed that Britain and France would not act to stop him 11. a border clash provided the pretext for invasion 12. failure of the League to act over German breaches of Versailles had emboldened Mussolini 13. any other valid reason. |

| Q | Question | | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | · · | ng instructions for this question |
|-----|----------|--|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| 74. | (a) | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks. | 9 | Possible Factors: | Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include: |
| | | | Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented. Up to 5 marks can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark awarded for each point (but one mark should be deducted if the process is not clear in at least two factors). If only one factor is presented, a | | Fear and intimidation | 1. Blackshirts' use of violence caused terror 2. castor oil was poured down the throat of opponents which led to fear of speaking out 3. the murder of Matteotti intimidated potential opponents 4. police informers successfully penetrated opposition groups |
| | | maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for relevant points of knowledge. A further 4 marks can be awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion. Up to 4 marks should be awarded for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows: | | Control of powerful groups | 5. use of government patronage to reward loyalty 6. local government was abolished 7. arrest of some Mafia figures caused a loss of opposition leadership | |
| | | | 1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors) 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors) 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary) 1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported). | | Fascist popularity | 8. many were happy that the Dopolavoro provided leisure facilities 9. a strong foreign policy pleased many Italians, for example, Locarno, Corfu, Abyssinia |

| Q | Question | | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question | | |
|---|----------|--|--|-------------|---|---|--|
| | | | | | Possible Factors: | Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include: | |
| | | | | | Neutralisation of Catholic opposition | 10. Mussolini rejected earlier anti-clericalism 11. the Lateran Treaty ensured good relations with the Church and its followers 12. Pius XI praised Mussolini and this encouraged many to support him | |
| | | | | | Other factors | 13. any other valid point. | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question | | |
|----------|--|-------------|---|---|--|
| (b) | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented. | 9 | Possible Factors: | Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include: | |
| | | | The Battle for the Lira | banks introduced tight controls on the money supply industries dependent on imports were boosted by protectionist policies | |
| | Up to 5 marks can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark awarded for each point (but one mark should be deducted if the process is not clear in at least two factors). If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for relevant points of | | The Battle for Grain | 3. Italian exports declined 4. new land brought into use for farming 5. cereal production doubled 6. cost of bread increased for | |
| | awarded for relevant points of knowledge. A further 4 marks can be awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion. | | Transport | 7. Autostrada were built 8. 5,000 kilometres of railway were | |
| | Up to 4 marks should be awarded for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the | | | electrified 9. claim that the railways now ran on time | |
| | question, as follows: 1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors). 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way | | Cartels | 10. as a result of government policy cartels continued to fix prices 11. price fixing unpopular with consumers | |
| | (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors). 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary). 1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported). | | Other factors | 12. any other valid point. | |
| | | | | | |

Section 3 — European and world contexts

PART G — Free at Last? Civil Rights in the USA, 1918—1968

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question | |
|----------|---|--|--|---|
| 75. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full | 6 | Possible comment which shows the candidate has interpreted | |
| | description of a given event or development. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided. Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement. Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source. | | | 1. New immigrants were often exploited by landlords who charged them high rents as they had nowhere else to go. |
| | | 2. Most tenements were built to house the maximum number of people in the smallest amount of space and led to extremely overcrowded conditions. Tenement housing was often small and cramped and overcrowded. | | |
| | | 3. Shared toilets were common as working-class housing rarely came with their own modern conveniences. | | |
| | | 4. Under such conditions, immigrants suffered high rates of disease often transmitted through unsafe drinking water. | | |
| | | | | |

| Q | Question | | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|---|----------|--|--|-------------|--|
| | | | | | Possible points of significant omission may include: 5. humiliating physical examination on Ellis Island 6. many immigrants could not speak English so struggled to find work 7. many immigrants had a poor education leading to low paid and unskilled jobs 8. areas immigrants settled in often had high levels of crime 9. integration was often difficult because of racist attitudes prejudice 10. many immigrants were blamed for spreading ideas, such as communism 11. any other valid point of significant omission. |

| Q | uestion | 1 | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | • | nstructions for this stion | |
|-----|---|---|---|---|---|----------------------------|--|
| 76. | | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. | 5 | Examples of aspecand relevant com | | |
| | | | Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such | | Aspect of the source | Possible comment | |
| | | | as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which | Author: American army general | Useful as they will be an eyewitness to black Americans serving in the US military. | | |
| | shows why this aspect makes the source more or less useful. A maximum of 4 marks can be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to points of significant omission. | | Type of Source: letter | Useful as it is likely to give an accurate description of black American soldiers' experience. | | | |
| | | | Purpose: to inform | Useful as it gives detailed information about black Americans in the US military. | | | |
| | | | Timing: 1942 | Useful as it was written at the time when black Americans were serving in the US military during World War Two. | | | |
| | | | | | Content | Possible comment | |
| | | | | There are more than 70,000 black Americans who have signed up to serve in the US military. | Useful because it is accurate (many black Americans volunteered to fight). | | |
| | | | | Some black Americans have been provided with officer training and there are now more than 400 black officers in our army. | Useful because it is accurate (black Americans were trained as officers). | | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question | | |
|----------|--|-------------|--|--|--|
| | | | Content | Possible comment | |
| | | | may include: black American poorly treated in bases black Americans Vicampaign during racism in the US Tuskegee Airmed black American unit in American to the war effor working in the poorly | n Southern training s fought the Double ing war against sA n were the first military aviation n history women contributed t by nursing and | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|--|
| 77. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these). | 6 | Possible reasons may include: |

| Q | Question | | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marl | king instructions for this question |
|-----|--|--|---|---|----------------------|--|
| 78. | (a) | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks. Candidates must make a | 9 | Possible Factors: | Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include: |
| | | | judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented. | | Crime | high rates of crime in the ghettos due to the high levels of poverty gang and drug violence were commonplace in most ghetto areas high rates of drug |
| | | | Up to 5 marks can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark awarded for each point. If only one factor is presented a maximum of 3 | | | addiction and alcohol abuse within the ghettos 4. discrimination by police |
| | presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for relevant points of knowledge. A further 4 marks can be awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion. Up to 4 marks should be awarded for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows: 1 mark for an introduction | | Employment | 5. high rates of unemployment increased poverty6. jobs were often low paid | | |
| | | | Up to 4 marks should be awarded for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows: 1 mark for an introduction | | Housing | 7. Northern ghettos were often made up of slum housing 8. black Americans found it difficult to afford the high rents |
| | | | (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors) 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors) | | Education | 9. ghetto schools were often underfunded 10. schools found it difficult to attract teachers affecting the quality of education |
| | | | 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary) 1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported). | | Health | 11. black Americans found it difficult to access adequate health care 12. lack of government support for health issues |
| | | | | | Other factors | 13. any other valid point. |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for question | |
|----------|---|--------------------|---|---|
| (b) | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks. Candidates must make a | 9 | Possible Factors: | Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include: |
| | judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented. Up to 5 marks can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark awarded for each point. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for relevant points of knowledge. | 'Jim Crow' laws | Supreme Court decisions allowed segregation limited economic opportunities for black Americans, for example sharecropping, low paid jobs | |
| | | | | 3. inferior segregated facilities for southern black Americans, for example hospitals, rest rooms |
| | A further 4 marks can be awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming | | | 4. interracial marriage was illegal |
| | to a reasoned conclusion. Up to 4 marks should be awarded for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows: 1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors) 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being | | Opportunities | 5. the KKK burned crosses to intimidate black Americans 6. the KKK attacked black Americans who opposed them 7. some police officers were members of the KKK so complaints were ignored |
| | organised in support of different factors) • 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary) • 1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported). | | Opportunities in the North | 8. industrial jobs in the North often offered higher wages 9. letters from family in the North often encouraged black Americans to migrate |

| Q | Question | | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question | |
|---|----------|--|--|-------------|---|---|
| | | | | | Possible Factors: | Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include: |
| | | | | | | 10. many black Americans believed the Northern cities offered better lifestyles, better education |
| | | | | | Other factors | 11. any other valid point. |

PART H - Appearement and the Road to War, 1918–1939

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|--------------|--|-------------|--|
| Question 79. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description of a given event or development. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided. Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which supports their judgement. Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of | | |
| | signification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source. | | 3. There is also the matter of theft of our overseas territory. 4. So we must agree, but we believe this Treaty is a Diktat. Possible points of significant omission may include: 5. military terms of the Treaty of Versailles reduced the German armed forces 6. Germany felt humiliated by being blamed for starting the war, for example, War Guilt Clause 7. reparations strained the German economy 8. some Germans blamed the new democratic government for signing the Treaty 9. Germany was forbidden from joining the League of Nations 10. any other valid point of significant omission. |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | _ | nstructions for this stion |
|----------|---|-------------|--|--|
| 80. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. | 5 | Examples of aspec and relevant com | |
| | Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such | | Aspect of the source | Possible comment |
| | as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows why this aspect makes the source more or less useful. | | Author: member of the British Government | Useful as they were a member of the British government who would be well informed about British attitudes towards the Rhineland. |
| | A maximum of 4 marks can be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing. | | Type of source: | Useful as it contains an honest opinion. |
| | A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to points of significant omission. | | May be less useful as possibility of bias. | |
| | | | Purpose: to record | Useful as it a diary entry and likely to be more truthful. |
| | | | Timing: 1936 | Useful as it will provide a firsthand account of opinions at the time of the reoccupation of the Rhineland. |
| | | | Content | Possible comment |
| | | | The Treaties of Versailles and Locarno forbade this but Hitler has calculated that this move would seem of little importance to Britain compared with the Abyssinian crisis. | Useful because it is accurate (the Italian invasion of Abyssinia was seen as a more serious threat to peace). |

| Qı | Question | | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question | |
|----|----------|--|--|-------------|--|--|
| | | | | | He is right of course; there is no section of British public opinion that would support action against Germany on this issue. | Useful because it is accurate (the British people would not have supported Britain taking action against Germany). |
| | | | | | I believe that we should not go to war, and most British people would agree with me. | Useful because it is accurate (many in Britain were anti-war). |
| | | | | | may include: 1. the British gove overestimated of forces 2. Britain did not he forces to interve 3. intervention wo the British gove the money | Germany's armed have the military ene uld be costly and rnment did not have have have have have have have have |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|--|
| 81. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these). | 6 | Possible reasons may include: |

| Q | Question | | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for question | |
|-----|----------|--|--|-------------|--|--|
| 82. | (a) | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks. Candidates must make a | 9 | Possible Factors: | Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include: |
| | | | judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented. Up to 5 marks can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark awarded for each point. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for relevant points of knowledge. A further 4 marks can be | | Invasion of Poland | France and Britain had a defensive pact with Poland committing them to declare war on Germany if they threatened Polish independence appeasement was discredited as a policy for dealing with Fascist aggression forcing Britain and France to act Britain and France's strategic interests in Europe were threatened by the |
| | | | awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion. | | | expansion of Germany into Poland |
| | | | Up to 4 marks should be awarded for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows: • 1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors) • 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors) • 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary) • 1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported). | | Nazi-Soviet Pact | 4. the agreement stated that Germany and the USSR would not fight one another, this left Germany free to attack Poland 5. by agreeing to divide Poland between Russia and Germany, Hitler was confident that Russia would not stand in Germany's way 6. Hitler believed that Britain and France would not go to war over Poland without Russian assistance |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | _ | g instructions for this juestion |
|----------|--|-------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| | | | Possible Factors: | Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include: |
| | | | Britain's changing attitudes | many people in Britain were influenced by Churchill's antiappeasement speeches there were many British people who did not agree with appeasing Hitler and who would support military action against Nazi aggression, for example, the Oxford byelection rearmament and preparation now made many in Britain feel more ready for war with Germany |
| | | | Germany's invasion of Czechoslovakia | 10. the invasion of Czechoslovakia in March 1939 proved that Hitler could not be trusted 11. the invasion of Czechoslovakia proved that Hitler did not just want land where Germans lived |

| Possible Factors: Growing Fascist threat 12. the military alliance betw Germany and Italy showed appeasement failed to satis Hitler and tha was planning war 13. Fascists were growing in po across Europe for example, Franco came power in Spai 1939 | Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | | ng instructions for this question |
|---|----------|--|-------------|---------------|--|
| Fascist threat Fascist threat Alliance betw Germany and Italy showed appeasement failed to satis Hitler and tha was planning war 13. Fascists were growing in po across Europe for example, Franco came power in Spai 1939 | | | | | Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include: |
| Other factors 12 any other val | | | | | alliance between Germany and Italy showed that appeasement had failed to satisfy Hitler and that he was planning for war 13. Fascists were growing in power across Europe, for example, Franco came to power in Spain in |
| point. | | | | Other factors | 13. any other valid point. |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific mark | ring instructions for this question |
|----------|---|-------------|--|---|
| (b) | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks. Candidates must make a | 9 | Possible Factors: | Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include: |
| | judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented. | | Rearming Germany | Hitler began to rearm — this was known to Britain and France but no action was taken Hitler announced the German army was to increase to 500,000 men. He |
| | Up to 5 marks can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark awarded for each point. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for relevant points of knowledge. | | | succeeded in doing this 3. conscription was introduced and the existence of the German air force was revealed |
| | A further 4 marks can be awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion. | | Destroy the Treaty of Versailles | 4. Hitler engineered an exit for Germany from the Disarmament Conference, |
| | Up to 4 marks should be awarded for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows: • 1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors) • 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors) • 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall | | | enabling him to start rearmament 5. Britain and France were divided by the Anglo-German Naval Agreement, 1935 which broke the Treaty of Versailles |
| | summary) • 1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported). | | | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific mark | ing instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|---|--|
| | | | Possible Factors: | Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include: |
| | | | Reuniting German speaking people | 6. the Saarland voted to return to Germany after 15 years of League of Nations control, which united all German speaking people 7. German troops reoccupied the Rhineland - Britain and France took no action 8. Hitler used the threat of war to force Czechoslovakia to hand over the Sudetenland to Germany |
| | | | Lebensraum | 9. Hitler had attempted an Anschluss with Austria in 1934 but had to back down when Mussolini threatened military action to stop him 10. Hitler used the threat of invasion to force Austria to accept the Anschluss - Britain and France protested but took no action |
| | | | Destroy Communism | 11. failed to make progress in his aim to destroy Communism |
| | | | Other factors | 12. any other valid point. |

Section 3 — European and world contexts

PART I – World War II, 1939–1945

| Question | | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for th question | |
|----------|--|--|-------------|--|--|
| 83. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description of a given event or development. | 6 | Point identified in the source | Possible comment which shows the candidate has interpreted the key |
| | | Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided. | | attacks began on a Britain's coastal E | point(s) Germany attacked Britain's coastal areas. |
| | | Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement. Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. | | used t Messerschmitt M | Germans used the Messerschmitt airplane. |
| | | | | defend itself by | Britain used radar to defend itself. |
| | | A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source. | | 4. Many pilots that fought to save Britain came from other f | Pilots from different countries fought for Britain. |
| | | | | Possible points of significations 5. the Germans targeted 6. during the battle, Geraids were carried our British locations 7. Nazi aircraft bombed convoys | d RAF air bases erman bombing ut on various |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|--|
| | | | 8. Spitfires and Hawker Hurricanes were used by the RAF to fight against the Germans 9. (from September), the German air force changed their bombing raids to night raids. 10. the German airforce suffered heavy casualties 11. any other valid point of significant omission. |

| Question | | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for the question | |
|----------|---|--|-----------------------|---|---|
| 84. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, | Examples of aspe- and relevant com | | | |
| | | | Aspect of the source | Possible comment | |
| | | purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows why this aspect makes the | | Author: US President Franklin D. Roosevelt | Useful because he was the American leader who would know about the events at Pearl Harbour. |
| | | source more or less useful. A maximum of 4 marks can be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing. | | Type of Source: speech | Useful as it was a speech aimed at US politicians and the public. |
| | A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the | | Purpose: to inform | Useful as it was aiming to get public support. | |
| | | source. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to points of significant omission. | | Timing: 1941 | Useful as it was just after the attack on Pearl Harbour. |
| | | | | Content | Possible comment |
| | | | | Yesterday, the United States of America was suddenly and deliberately attacked by Japan. | Useful because it is accurate (Japanese forces carried out the attacks at Pearl Harbour). |
| | | | | I regret to tell you that due to the attack many American lives have been lost. | Useful because it is accurate (Americans were killed in the attack). |
| | | | | In addition, American ships were torpedoed at sea. | Useful because it is accurate (many US ships were attacked and destroyed.) |
| | | | | Possible points of smay include: 1. the US air force damaged or des | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|---|
| | | | many American ships were also destroyed in the harbour the Japanese failed to destroy aircraft carriers which were at sea the Japanese failed to destroy fuel dumps any other valid point of significant omission. |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|--|
| 85. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these). | 6 | Possible reasons may include: some governments accepted Nazi control hoping to maintain their country's national borders some government officials worked with the Nazis to keep their positions of power some countries collaborated hoping for some independence after the war, for example, Latvia, Lithuania and Estonia some collaborated because they supported Nazi ideas, for example, anti-Semitism, anti-Communism some workers collaborated to keep their jobs, for example, shipyard workers in France fear of being attacked and persecuted by the Nazis led to collaboration, for example, the 'Night and Fog' decree some people collaborated in the hope of getting basics for their families some people used collaboration as a way to attack enemies by denouncing them some people gave information to the Nazis in the hope of protecting family members many people collaborated because they did not believe they could do anything to stop Nazi control after imprisonment or torture some people collaborated to avoid punishment some people became involved in relationships with Nazi occupiers any other valid reason. |

| Q | | | Max mark | Specific mar | king instructions for this question |
|-----|-----|---|-------------|--|---|
| 86. | (a) | General marking instructions for this type of question Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented. Up to 5 marks can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark awarded for each point. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for relevant points of knowledge. A further 4 marks can be awarded for presented way and coming to a reasoned conclusion. Up to 4 marks should be awarded for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows: 1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in | | Possible Factors: Allied resources Effective Allied planning | |
| | | its historical context or outlines relevant factors) 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors) 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary) 1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported). | | German weaknesses | the Germans 9. the Atlantic Wall defences were not complete 10. German commanders failed to react promptly to the assault 11. Rommel was away in Germany visiting his wife 12. much of the German army was not of high quality 13. any other valid |
| | | | | factors | point. |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific mar | king instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|--|--|
| (b) | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented. Up to 5 marks can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark awarded for each point. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for relevant points of knowledge. A further 4 marks can be awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion. Up to 4 marks should be awarded for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows: 1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors) 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors) 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary) 1 mark for a reason in | g 9 | Possible Factors: British military weaknesses Japanese military tactics Military intelligence | Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include: 1. pre-war warnings about possible Japanese attacks were discounted 2. Britain failed to prepare its defences for a Japanese land attack 3. many experienced British soldiers were sent to Europe and Africa 4. poor communications between Britain's army and air force 5. weak British military leadership 6. the sinking of British battleships meant they could not prevent Japanese landings 7. superior Japanese air power gave them an advantage 8. Japanese soldiers were very experienced 9. the Japanese commander convinced the British to surrender 10. British Intelligence underestimated the strength of the |
| | support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported). | | | Japanese army 11. the Japanese had many local spies who passed on information about British activities |

| Question | Question General marking instructions for this type of question Max mark | | Specific marking instructions for this question | |
|----------|--|--|---|---|
| | | | Wider conflict | 12. the conflict in Europe meant that the British had limited resources to fight at Singapore |
| | | | Other factors | 13. any other valid point. |

Section 3 — European and world contexts

PART J – The Cold War, 1945–1989

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | | nstructions for this stion | | | | |
|----------|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| 87. | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description of a given event or development. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each valid point selected from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided. | 6 | 0 | 3 | | 3 | Point identified in the source 1. However, in 1950, North Korea invaded | Possible comment which shows the candidate has interpreted the key point(s) The war started after North Korea invaded South |
| | Candidates should be awarded up to 3 marks for their interpretation of points from the source which support their judgement. Candidates should be awarded up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or which refer only to the source. | | | | | | | |
| | | awarded for answers in which no judgement has been made or | | 3. Large numbers of Chinese troops also fought in the war. | Chinese troops were involved in the war. | | | |
| | | 4. This forced the USA to take action and eventually the United Nations sent military support to assist the South Koreans. | South Korea was supported by United Nations troops. | | | | | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|---|
| | | | Possible points of significant omission may include: 5. both sides gained and lost control of Seoul at different stages of the war 6. there were numerous land battles during the war, for example, the Battle of Bloody Ridge 7. air battles took place between USA and Soviet planes 8. huge numbers of civilians were killed during the war 9. the two sides agreed an armistice in July 1953 10. any other valid point of significant omission. |

| Q | uestion | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | | nstructions for this stion |
|-----|--|--|--|---|---|
| 88. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. | 5 | Examples of aspe- and relevant com | |
| | | Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content or omission. For a mark to be awarded, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows why this aspect makes the source more or less useful. | | Aspect of the source | Possible comment |
| | | | | Author: US President John F. Kennedy | Useful because as US leader he was aware of events during the crisis. |
| | | | | Type of Source: speech | Useful as it was aimed at people in the USA. |
| | A maximum of 4 marks can be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source. A maximum of 2 marks may be awarded for evaluative comments | | Purpose: to inform | Useful as it describes US actions in response to the Soviet threat. | |
| | | | Timing: 1962 | Useful because this was the same time as the Cuban Missile Crisis was taking place. | |
| | | relating to points of significant omission. | | | |
| | | | | Content | Possible comment |
| | | | Within the past week unmistakable evidence has been revealed that the Soviet Union has placed nuclear weapons in Cuba. | Useful as it is accurate (the Soviets did place nuclear missiles in Cuba). | |
| | | | I have ordered immediate action, including increased surveillance of Cuba. | Useful as it is accurate (the US did increase its spying activities on Cuba). | |
| | | | I have also reinforced our military base in Cuba. | Useful because it is accurate (the US did increase its military presence in Cuba). | |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|----------|--|-------------|---|
| | | | Possible points of significant omission may include: 1. the US imposed a blockade on Cuba, warning Soviet ships not to go to the island 2. an American spy plane was shot down over Cuba 3. the US navy dropped depth charges on Soviet submarines 4. the Soviets eventually agreed to remove their missiles from Cuba 5. any other valid point of significant omission. |

| Qı | uestion | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for this question |
|-----|---------|--|-------------|--|
| 89. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons but there is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be awarded for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be awarded for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons (or any combination of these). | 6 | Possible reasons may include: strategic hamlets, removal of South Vietnamese peasants from their villages, very unpopular and lost local support Operation Rolling Thunder, bombs hit schools and hospitals, lost local support My Lai massacre led to innocent civilians being killed, population turned on US soldiers Vietcong tactics used brutal guerrilla warfare, for example, punji sticks mental health impact on US soldiers affected their morale Vietcong were fighting in familiar terrain foreign aid from Soviet Union and China, for example, radar, pilot training, and MIG fighters Vietnamese soldiers were more committed to the effort as they were fighting a foreign invader opposition in the US because of media coverage, for example, 'Napalm girl' opposition to the draft in the US, for example, civil rights movement opposed it any other valid reason. |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific mar | king instructions for this question |
|------------------|---|-------------|---|--|
| Question 90. (a) | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented. Up to 5 marks can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark awarded for each point. If only one factor is | | Possible Factors: Role of Mikhail Gorbachev | _ |
| | presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for relevant points of knowledge. A further 4 marks can be awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion. Up to 4 marks should be awarded for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows: 1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors) 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being organised in support of different factors) 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary) 1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported). | | Soviet economic problems Soviet military problems Role of Ronald Reagan | world leaders 4. the Soviet Union's economy was struggling and needed outside investment 5. poor living standards in the USSR led to public complaints 6. Soviet struggles in the Afghanistan War showed their limitations 7. it was becoming more difficult to control Eastern Bloc countries 8. Reagan's huge increase in US military spending was something the USSR could not match 9. the USA's 'Star Wars' defence system worried the Soviets that their weapons would soon become useless against the USA |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for t question | |
|----------|--|-------------|--|---|
| | | | Other factors | 10. the Chernobyl explosion shocked Soviet leaders into more cooperation with the West 11. impact of Western media on raising awareness of living standards outside the Soviet Union 12. any other valid point. |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific mar | king instructions for this question | |
|----------|--|-------------|----------------------------|--|---|
| (b) | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 9 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to | 9 | Possible Factors: | Key points of knowledge to support this factor may include: | |
| | which different factors contributed to an event or development, or its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented. | | Stop Western spies | many East Berliners were spying on behalf of Western countries Western countries used East Berlin to spy on Communists, for example, | |
| | Up to 5 marks can be awarded for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark awarded for each. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be awarded for relevant points of knowledge. | | | tapping East Berlin phone lines 3. Soviet authorities claimed that Western spies used access to Berlin to get into the USSR | |
| | A further 4 marks can be awarded for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion. | | Stop population loss | 4. many East Germans that left were the country's most skilled, for | |
| | Up to 4 marks should be awarded for presenting the answer in a structured way, leading to a conclusion which addresses the question, as follows: 1 mark for an introduction (which places the question in its historical context or outlines relevant factors) 1 mark for the answer being presented in a structured way (with knowledge being | | | example, scientists, mathematicians 5. many East Germans moved west between 1949 and 1961 6. many East Germans went to West Berlin before travelling out of Germany to other Western countries | |
| | organised in support of different factors) 1 mark for a conclusion with a valid judgement (or overall summary) 1 mark for a reason in support of the judgement (a summary cannot be supported). | | | Protect and defend Communism | 7. East Germany government claimed the Wall was to stop 'fascist' access 8. Soviets did not want the embarrassment of East Germans appearing to choose Capitalism over Communism |

| Question | General marking instructions for this type of question | Max mark | Specific marking instructions for thi question | |
|----------|--|-------------|--|---|
| | | | Anger towards West Germany and its allies | 9. Soviets resented Western presence in East Germany and were angry that the West would not give up control of their sectors of Berlin 10. failure of Soviet diplomacy to gain recognition for East Germany 11. growing concerns in East Germany and the USSR at the increasing military strength of West Germany |
| | | | Other factors | 12. any other valid point. |

[END OF MARKING INSTRUCTIONS]