



National
Qualifications
2015

2015 Lifeskills Mathematics

National 5 Paper 1

Finalised Marking Instructions

© Scottish Qualifications Authority 2015

The information in this publication may be reproduced to support SQA qualifications only on a non-commercial basis. If it is to be used for any other purposes written permission must be obtained from SQA's NQ Assessment team.

Where the publication includes materials from sources other than SQA (secondary copyright), this material should only be reproduced for the purposes of examination or assessment. If it needs to be reproduced for any other purpose it is the centre's responsibility to obtain the necessary copyright clearance. SQA's NQ Assessment team may be able to direct you to the secondary sources.

These Marking Instructions have been prepared by Examination Teams for use by SQA Appointed Markers when marking External Course Assessments. This publication must not be reproduced for commercial or trade purposes.



General Marking Principles for National 5 Lifeskills Mathematics

This information is provided to help you understand the general principles you must apply when marking candidate responses to questions in this Paper. These principles must be read in conjunction with the detailed marking instructions, which identify the key features required in candidate responses.

- (a) Marks for each candidate response must always be assigned in line with these General Marking Principles and the Detailed Marking Instructions for this assessment.
- (b) Marking should always be positive. This means that, for each candidate response, marks are accumulated for the demonstration of relevant skills, knowledge and understanding: they are not deducted from a maximum on the basis of errors or omissions.
- (c) If a specific candidate response does not seem to be covered by either the principles or detailed Marking Instructions, and you are uncertain how to assess it, you must seek guidance from your Team Leader.
- (d) Credit must be assigned in accordance with the specific assessment guidelines.
- (e) Candidates may use any mathematically correct method to answer questions except in cases where a particular method is specified or excluded.
- (f) Working subsequent to an error must be followed through, with possible credit for the subsequent working, provided that the level of difficulty involved is approximately similar. Where, subsequent to an error, the working is easier, candidates lose the opportunity to gain credit.
- (g) Where transcription errors occur, candidates would normally lose the opportunity to gain a processing mark.
- (h) Scored out or erased working which has not been replaced should be marked where still legible. However, if the scored out or erased working has been replaced, only the work which has not been scored out should be judged.
- (i) Unless specifically mentioned in the specific assessment guidelines, do not penalise:
 - Working subsequent to a correct answer
 - Correct working in the wrong part of a question
 - Legitimate variations in solutions
 - Bad form
 - Repeated error within a question

Detailed Marking Instructions for each question

Question			Expected Answer(s) Give one mark for each •	Max Mark	Illustrations of evidence for awarding a mark at each •										
1.			Ans: No, supported by working • ¹ Process: calculate fraction of • ² Communication: state conclusion	2	 • ¹ $3/8 \times 280 = 105$ • ² $105 < 110$										
Notes: • Correct method with incorrect answer → 'correct' conclusion award 1/2 • Use of 'km' in conclusion instead of 'miles' award 2/2 • Incorrect fraction used eg: $4/9 \times 280 = 124(.444..)$ → 'enough fuel' award 1/2 • $3/9 \times 280 = 93(.333..)$ → 'not enough fuel' award 1/2 • Correct conclusion with no working shown award 1/2 • $1/2 \times 280 = 140$ → enough fuel (working significantly eased) award 0/2															
2.			Ans: 0310/3·10am • ¹ Strategy: knows how to deal with time zone, flight time and security clearance • ² Process/communication: state time	2	 • ¹ Evidence of adding all three times in the question on to 1845 • ² 0310										
Notes: • If any two out of the three times are added correctly award 1/2 • An answer of 'pick up from 0310 to 0315' award 2/2 Special case: Candidate subtracts 4 hour time difference instead of adding → pick Usain up at 1910 award 1/2															
3.			Ans: <table border="1"><tr><td>A, D or F</td></tr><tr><td>B, G, F or D</td></tr><tr><td>C, E</td></tr><tr><td>H, K</td></tr><tr><td>I, J, L</td></tr></table> • ¹ Strategy: attempt to re-arrange existing packages and add new packages • ² Communication: arrange boxes on shelves	A, D or F	B, G, F or D	C, E	H, K	I, J, L	2	 • ¹ Rearrange old stock onto 3 shelves • ² Arrange new stock onto remaining 2 shelves					
A, D or F															
B, G, F or D															
C, E															
H, K															
I, J, L															
Notes: • If new and old stock are mixed on the same shelf and all shelves hold $\leq 10m$ award 1/2 • Common incorrect answer: award 1/2 <table border="1"><tr><td>Shelf 1</td><td>A J</td></tr><tr><td>Shelf 2</td><td>B I</td></tr><tr><td>Shelf 3</td><td>C D L</td></tr><tr><td>Shelf 4</td><td>E H</td></tr><tr><td>Shelf 5</td><td>G F K</td></tr></table>						Shelf 1	A J	Shelf 2	B I	Shelf 3	C D L	Shelf 4	E H	Shelf 5	G F K
Shelf 1	A J														
Shelf 2	B I														
Shelf 3	C D L														
Shelf 4	E H														
Shelf 5	G F K														

Question			Expected Answer(s) Give one mark for each •	Max Mark	Illustrations of evidence for awarding a mark at each •
4.			Ans: No, supported by working <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •¹ Strategy: know to use upper/ lower limits •² Process: calculate % within tolerance •³ Communication: state conclusion 	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •¹ Evidence of 2.35 and 2.45 (may be implied in ²) •² $17/20 = 85\%$ •³ No, as $85\% < 88\%$
			Alternative Strategy 1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •¹ Strategy: know to use upper/ lower limits •² Process: calculate % outwith tolerance •³ Communication: state conclusion 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •¹ Evidence of 2.35 and 2.45 (may be implied in ²) •² $3/20 = 15\%$ •³ No, as $15\% > 12\%$
			Alternative Strategy 2: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •¹ Strategy: know to use upper/ lower limits •² Process: calculate minimum number needed for batch to be accepted •³ Communication: state conclusion 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •¹ Evidence of 2.35 and 2.45 (may be implied in ²) •² $88\% \text{ of } 20 = 17.6$, ie need 18 •³ No, as only 17 in tolerance, so batch fails
Notes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limits need not be stated explicitly if the 3 washers out of tolerance are clearly shown • If incorrect limits are stated, follow through to possibility of 2/3 • If limits are stated as 1.9 and 2.9 (± 0.5) \rightarrow 100% within tolerance so batch accepted (working significantly eased) award 1/3 • Numerical comparison is not needed for 3rd mark 					

Question			Expected Answer(s) Give one mark for each •	Max Mark	Illustrations of evidence for awarding a mark at each •
5.			Ans: £2(·00)/200p per litre • ¹ Strategy: know to use proportion • ² Process: price per litre	2	• ¹ $\frac{66}{330} \times 1000$ • ² 200p = £2(·00)
			Alternative Strategy: • ¹ Strategy: know to use proportion • ² Process: price per litre		• ¹ $3 \times 330\text{ml} + 10\text{ml} \rightarrow 3 \times 66\text{p} + ?$, where ? < 66p • ² 198p + 2p = 200p = £2(·00)
Notes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • $3 \times 330\text{ml} = 1 \text{ litre} \rightarrow £1.98$ (working significantly eased) award 0/2 • Correct answer with no working award 2/2 					
6.			Ans: £163.75 • ¹ Process: calculate selling price of the shares • ² Process: calculate 2½% of selling price • ³ Process: calculate amount she receives • ⁴ Process: calculate loss	4	• ¹ $200 \times £2.75 = £550$ • ² 2½% of £550 = £13.75 • ³ $£550 - £13.75 = £536.25$ • ⁴ $£700 - £536.25 = £163.75$
			Alternative Strategy: single share basis: • ¹ Process: calculate price per shares • ² Process: calculate loss • ³ Process: calculate fee • ⁴ Process: calculate loss		• ¹ $£700 \div 200 = £3.50$ • ² $200 \times £0.75 = £150$ • ³ 2.5% of (£700 - £150) = £13.75 • ⁴ Calculate total loss: £150 + £13.75 = £163.75
Notes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For: $£700 - (£550 + £13.75) = £136.25$ award 3/4 • For: $£700 - £550 = £150$ award 2/4 Some common answers for Alternative Strategy: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate calculates 2.5% of £150 = £3.75 $\rightarrow £150 + £3.75 = £153.75$ award 3/4 • Candidate calculates the fee per share to be £0.06875 then rounds to £0.07 leading to a loss of £164 (premature rounding penalised) award 3/4 					

Question			Expected Answer(s) Give one mark for each •	Max Mark	Illustrations of evidence for awarding a mark at each •
7.			<p>Ans: Yes, since $3.5\text{m} > 320\text{cm}$</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •¹ Strategy: Know to use correct form of Pythagoras' Theorem •² Process: Calculate half of third side of scarf •³ Process: Calculate perimeter •⁴ Communication: Yes with justification 	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •¹ $c^2 = 100^2 - 80^2$ or $c^2 + 80^2 = 100^2$ •² $\sqrt{3600} = 60$ •³ $100 + 100 + 2 \times 60 = 320$ •⁴ Yes, since $3.5\text{m} > 320\text{cm}$ Or she will have 30cm extra
Notes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If candidate finds $100^2 + 80^2 \rightarrow$ an answer of 456cm, so not enough ribbon, award 3/4 • Minimum working for 3rd mark: Correct answer to $100 + 100 + (2 \times \text{their 'length' of half the base})$ • For: $3 \times 100 = 300\text{cm} \rightarrow$ enough ribbon as $300\text{cm} < 3.5\text{m}$ award 1/4 • For a conclusion of, eg, 'enough ribbon as $3.5\text{m} > 3.2\text{m}$, so she has 3m extra', disregard the subsequent incorrect calculation of extra length of ribbon 					
8.			<p>Ans: Rule 1: Yes as 640 is upper limit of tolerance</p> <p>Ans: Rule 2: No as $17/30 > \frac{1}{2}$</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •¹ Strategy: know to check both rules •² Process: find $2 \times \text{riser} + \text{tread}$ •³ Communication: within tolerance, so passes rule 1 •⁴ Process: calculate gradient •⁵ Communication: shows that gradient $> \frac{1}{2}$, so fails rule 2 	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •¹ evidence •² $2 \times 170 + 300 = 640$ •³ 625 ± 15; range 610 - 640; 640 is within this range •⁴ $170/300$ or equivalent •⁵ $170/300 > \frac{1}{2}$, so fails rule 2
Notes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For 3rd mark, limits do not need to be stated explicitly • For 3rd mark, do not penalise error in calculation of lower limit • $G = V/H$ or equivalent is not sufficient to show that rule 2 has been considered <p>Special case: When candidate only considers one of the rules.</p> <p>A: If candidate has correctly found the gradient and correctly used equivalent fractions to compare it with $\frac{1}{2}$. In this case if the conclusion states: 'Fails rule 2 so both rules not met' award 5/5 'Fails rule 2.' (no mention of both rules) award 2/5</p> <p>B: If candidate only considers $2 \times \text{tread} + \text{height}$, but miscalculates so that the answer is outwith tolerance. In this case if conclusion states: 'Fails rule 1, so both rules not met' award 4/5 'Fails rule 1' (no mention of both rules) award 1/5</p>					

Question			Expected Answer(s) Give one mark for each •	Max Mark	Illustrations of evidence for awarding a mark at each •
9.	(a)		Ans: £360 • ¹ Process: correct total	1	• ¹ Total = £360
Notes:					
	(b)		Ans: £165.50 • ¹ Strategy: knows how to calculate finance package • ² Process: calculate deposit • ³ Process: find total finance package • ⁴ Communicate: state extra cost	4	• ¹ Evidence of attempt to find deposit and attempt to find total finance package • ² 10% of (40 + 120 + 180 + 10 + 105) = £45.50 • ³ £45.50 + 12 × £40 = £525.50 • ⁴ £525.50 - £360 = £165.50
Notes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> If candidate finds 10% of answer to (a), instead of 10% of £455 then a maximum of 3/4 is available Eg 12 × £40 + 10% of £360 = £516 £516 - £360 = £156 					
10.	(a)		Ans: 237.12m² • ¹ Strategy: find radius of semi-circle • ² Process: calculate area of semi-circle • ³ Process: calculate remaining area • ⁴ Process: calculate total area	4	• ¹ r = 4 • ² A = $\frac{1}{2} \times 3.14 \times 4^2 = 25.12$ • ³ A = 18 × 12 - 2 × 2 = 212 • ⁴ A = 212 + 25.12 = 237.12
Notes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •¹ may be implied by •² A common incorrect response: If radius taken as 3m → A = $\frac{1}{2} \times 3.14 \times 3^2 = 14.13 \rightarrow 212 + 14.13 = 226.13\text{m}^2$ award 3/4					
	(b)		Ans: £4077 • ¹ Strategy: find minimum number of packs • ² Process: calculate cost	2	• ¹ 237.12 ÷ 4 = 59.28 Therefore 60 packs required • ² 60 × £67.95 = £4077
Notes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> If answer to (a) is a multiple of 4, the 1st mark is not available If answer to (a) is 226.13m², correct follow through would be 57 × £67.95 = £3873.15 					

[END OF MARKING INSTRUCTIONS]