

## The Atom "deleted-entry" Element

### Abstract

This specification adds mechanisms to the Atom Syndication Format that publishers of Atom Feed and Entry documents can use to explicitly identify Atom entries that have been removed.

### Status of This Memo

This is an Internet Standards Track document.

This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Further information on Internet Standards is available in [Section 2 of RFC 5741](#).

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6721>.

### Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2012 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

1. Introduction .....	2
2. Notational Conventions .....	2
3. The at:deleted-entry Element .....	2
4. Deleted Entry Document .....	5
5. Digital Signatures .....	6
6. Encryption .....	7
7. Security Considerations .....	7
8. IANA Considerations .....	8
9. Acknowledgements .....	9
10. Normative References .....	9

## 1. Introduction

Atom [RFC4287] is an XML-based document format that describes lists of related information known as "feeds". Feeds are composed of a number of items known as "entries", each with an extensible set of attached metadata. The primary use case that Atom addresses is the syndication of Web content, such as weblogs and news headlines to Web sites as well as directly to user agents.

In the base Atom format, when an entry is removed from a feed but a consumer has already received and processed that entry, perhaps adding it to a local cache or display, there is no mechanism for determining that the entry has been removed. This specification adds a mechanism to the Atom Syndication Format that publishers of Atom Feed and Entry documents can use to explicitly identify Atom entries that have been removed. Atom consumers can use that information to adjust such things as their document cache and user interfaces.

## 2. Notational Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

This specification uses XML Namespaces [W3C.REC-xml-names-19990114] to uniquely identify XML element names. It uses the following namespace prefix for the indicated namespace URI:

"at": "<http://purl.org/atompub/tombstones/1.0>"

## 3. The at:deleted-entry Element

The at:deleted-entry element represents an Atom Entry that has been removed.

```
deletedEntry =
  element at:deleted-entry {
    atomCommonAttributes,
    attribute ref { atomUri },
    attribute when { atomDateConstruct },
    ( element at:by { atomPersonConstruct }?
    & element at:comment { atomTextConstruct }?
    & element atom:link { atomLink }*
    & element atom:source { atomSource }?
    & anyElement* )
  }
```

The `at:deleted-entry` element MUST contain a "ref" attribute whose value specifies the value of the `atom:id` of the entry that has been removed.

The `at:deleted-entry` element MUST contain a "when" attribute whose value is an [RFC3339] "date-time", specifying the instant the entry was removed. An uppercase "T" character MUST be used to separate date and time, and an uppercase "Z" character MUST be present in the absence of a numeric time zone offset.

The `at:deleted-entry` element MAY contain one `at:by` element used to identify the entity that removed the entry. The `at:by` element is an Atom Person Construct as defined by Section 3.2 of [RFC4287].

The `at:deleted-entry` element MAY contain one `at:comment` element whose value provides additional, language-sensitive information about the deletion operation. The `atom:comment` element is an Atom Text Construct as defined by Section 3.1 of [RFC4287].

The `at:deleted-entry` element MAY contain any number of `atom:link` elements as specified by Section 4.2.7 of [RFC4287].

The `at:deleted-entry` element MAY contain one `atom:source` element as defined by Section 4.2.11 of [RFC4287]. Within the context of an `at:deleted-entry` element, the `atom:source` element is intended to allow the aggregation of `at:deleted-entry` elements from different feeds while retaining information about an `at:deleted-entry`'s source Feed. When an `at:deleted-entry` element appears in a Feed document other than its source feed or when an `at:deleted-entry` element that has a source Feed document is used in the context of a Deleted Entry Document, it MUST contain an `atom:source` element.

An Atom feed MAY contain any number of `at:deleted-entry` elements, but MUST NOT contain more than one with the same combination of `ref` and `when` attribute values.

```
<feed xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2005/Atom"
      xmlns:at="http://purl.org/atompub/tombstones/1.0">
  ...
  <!-- Minimal deleted-entry -->
  <at:deleted-entry
    ref="tag:example.org,2005:/entries/1"
    when="2005-11-29T12:11:12Z"/>

  <!-- Extended deleted-entry -->
  <at:deleted-entry
    ref="tag:example.org,2005:/entries/2"
    when="2005-11-29T12:11:12Z">
    <at:by>
      <name>John Doe</name>
      <email>jdoe@example.org</email>
    </at:by>
    <at:comment>Removed comment spam</at:comment>
  </at:deleted-entry>
  ...
</feed>
```

An Atom feed MAY contain atom:entry elements and at:deleted-entry elements sharing the same atom:id value. In such cases, the implication is that the particular atom:entry has either been published to the feed and then subsequently removed, or that a previously removed entry has been republished to the feed following a previous deletion. To determine which condition applies, the Processor needs to compare the value of the at:deleted-entry element's when attribute to the value of the corresponding atom:entry element's atom:updated value:

- o If the when attribute specifies a value equal to or more recent than that specified by the atom:updated element, the atom:entry is assumed to have been published and then subsequently removed. Processors SHOULD ignore the older atom:entry element.
- o If the when attribute specifies a value older than that specified by the atom:updated element, the atom:entry is assumed to have been republished to the feed following a prior removal. Processors SHOULD ignore the older at:deleted-entry element.

Publishers of feeds containing at:deleted-entry elements should note that the at:deleted-entry element is advisory in nature only, and it may be ignored by Atom Processors. The presence of an at:deleted-entry element does not guarantee that the atom:entry to which it is referring will no longer be available. For example, if an entry was published to a feed document that was published and processed yesterday by an aggregator application, and then is subsequently

deleted today with a corresponding `at:deleted-entry` element added to the feed as a signal that the entry was deleted, there is no guarantee that the aggregator application will pay any attention to the `at:deleted-entry` element during subsequent processing operations.

Elements and attributes from any XML vocabulary MAY be used within an `at:deleted-entry` element. Processors encountering such markup MUST NOT stop processing or signal an error. It might be the case that the Processor is able to process the foreign markup correctly and does so. When unknown markup is encountered as a child of `at:deleted-entry`, Processors MAY bypass the markup and any textual content but MUST NOT change their behavior as a result of the markup's presence.

This specification allows the use of Internationalized Resource Identifiers (IRIs) [RFC3987] in precisely the same manner specified in Section 2 of [RFC4287].

Any element defined by this specification MAY have an `xml:base` attribute [W3C.REC-xmlbase-20010627]. When `xml:base` is used, it serves the function described in Section 5.1.1 of [RFC3986], establishing the base URI (or IRI) for resolving any relative references found within the effective scope of the `xml:base` attribute.

Any element defined by this specification MAY have an `xml:lang` attribute, whose content indicates the natural language for the element and its descendants. Requirements regarding the content and interpretation of `xml:lang` are specified in XML 1.0 [W3C.REC-xml-20040204], Section 2.12.

#### 4. Deleted Entry Document

A "Deleted Entry Document" represents exactly one `at:deleted-entry` element outside the context of an Atom feed. Its root is the `at:deleted-entry` element.

```
namespace at = "http://purl.org/atompub/tombstones/1.0"
start = at:deleted-entry
```

Deleted Entry Documents are specified in terms of the XML Information Set, serialized as XML 1.0 [W3C.REC-xml-20040204] and identified with the "application/atomdeleted+xml" media type. Deleted Entry Documents MUST be well-formed XML. This specification does not define a DTD for Deleted Entry Documents, and hence does not require them to be valid (in the sense used by XML).

## 5. Digital Signatures

The `at:deleted-entry` element MAY have an Enveloped Signature, as described by XML-Signature and Syntax Processing [W3C.REC-xmldsig-core-20020212].

Processors MUST NOT reject an `at:deleted-entry` containing such a signature because they are not capable of verifying it; they MUST continue processing and MAY inform the user of their failure to validate the signature.

In other words, the presence of an element with the namespace URI "<http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#>" and a local name of "Signature" as a child of the document element MUST NOT cause a Processor to fail merely because of its presence.

Section 6.5.1 of [W3C.REC-xmldsig-core-20020212] requires support for Canonical XML [W3C.REC-xml-c14n-20010315]. However, many implementers do not use it because signed XML documents enclosed in other XML documents have their signatures broken. Thus, Processors that verify signed `at:deleted-entry` elements MUST be able to canonicalize with the exclusive XML canonicalization method identified by the URI "<http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#>", as specified in Exclusive XML Canonicalization [W3C.REC-xml-exc-c14n-20020718].

Intermediaries such as aggregators may need to add an `atom:source` element to an `at:deleted-entry` that does not contain its own `atom:source` element. If such an entry is signed, the addition will break the signature. Thus, a publisher of individually signed `at:deleted-entry`'s should strongly consider adding an `atom:source` element to those elements before signing them. Implementers should also be aware of the issues concerning the use of markup in the "`xml:`" namespace as it interacts with canonicalization.

Section 4.4.2 of [W3C.REC-xmldsig-core-20020212] requires support for Digital Signature Algorithm (DSA) signatures and recommends support for RSA signatures. However, because of the much greater popularity in the market of RSA versus DSA, Atom Processors that verify signed Atom Documents MUST be able to verify RSA signatures; they do not need be able to verify DSA signatures. Due to security issues that can arise if the keying material for the message authentication code (MAC) is not handled properly, Atom Documents SHOULD NOT use MACs for signatures.

## 6. Encryption

The root of a Deleted Entry Document (the `at:deleted-entry` element) MAY be encrypted using the mechanisms described by XML Encryption Syntax and Processing [W3C.REC-xmlenc-core-20021210].

Section 5.1 of [W3C.REC-xmlenc-core-20021210] requires support of TripleDES, AES-128, and AES-256. Processors that decrypt Deleted Entry Documents MUST be able to decrypt with AES-128 in Cipher Block Chaining (CBC) mode.

Encryption based on [W3C.REC-xmlenc-core-20021210] does not ensure integrity of the original document. There are known cryptographic attacks in which someone who cannot decrypt a message can still change bits in a way in which part or all the decrypted message makes sense but has a different meaning. Thus, Processors that decrypt Deleted Entry Documents SHOULD check the integrity of the decrypted document by verifying the hash in the signature (if any) in the document, or by verifying a hash of the document within the document (if any).

When a Deleted Entry Document is to be both signed and encrypted, it is generally a good idea to first sign the document and then encrypt the signed document. This provides integrity to the base document while encrypting all the information, including the identity of the entity that signed the document. Note that if MACs are used for authentication, the order MUST be that the document is signed and then encrypted, and not the other way around. Further, if MACs are used along with a symmetric encryption algorithm, the same key SHOULD NOT be used in the generation of the MAC and the encryption.

## 7. Security Considerations

As specified in [RFC4287], Atom Processors should be aware of the potential for spoofing attacks in which an attacker publishes `atom:entry` or `atom:deleted-entry` elements using the same `atom:id` values as entries from other Atom feeds. An attacker may attempt to trick an application into believing that a given entry has either been removed from or added to a feed. To mitigate this issue, Atom Processors are advised to ignore `at:deleted-entry` elements referencing entries that have not previously appeared within the containing Feed document and should take steps to verify the origin of the Atom feed before considering the entries to be removed.

The `at:deleted-entry` element can be encrypted and signed using [W3C.REC-xmlenc-core-20021210] and [W3C.REC-xmlsig-core-20020212], respectively, and is subject to the security considerations implied by their use.

Digital signatures provide authentication and message integrity with proof of origin. Encryption provides data confidentiality.

An application supporting the use of digitally signed atom:entry and at:deleted-entry elements should be aware of the potential issues that could arise if an at:deleted-entry element that indicates the deletion of an atom:entry element has been signed using a different key than what was used to sign the atom:entry, or if an unsigned at:deleted-entry is used to indicate the deletion of a signed atom:entry. Either case can potentially indicate a form of spoofing attack. Processors must take steps to verify the validity of the at:deleted-entry element.

## 8. IANA Considerations

A Deleted Entry Document, when serialized as XML 1.0, can be identified with the following media type:

Type name: application

Subtype name: atomdeleted+xml

Required parameters: None

Optional parameters: "charset": This parameter has semantics identical to the charset parameter of the "application/xml" media type as specified in [RFC3023].

Encoding considerations: Identical to those of "application/xml" as described in [RFC3023], Section 3.2.

Security considerations: As defined in this specification. In addition, as this media type uses the "+xml" convention, it shares the same security considerations as described in [RFC3023], Section 10.

Interoperability considerations: There are no known interoperability issues.

Published specification: This specification.

Applications that use this media type: Undefined. As an extension to the Atom Syndication Format ([RFC4287]), this specification may be used within any application that uses the Atom Format.



## Additional information:

Magic number(s): As specified for "application/xml" in [\[RFC3023\]](#), [Section 3.2](#)

File extension(s): .atomdeleted

Macintosh file type code(s): TEXT

Person & email address to contact for further information: James M Snell <jasnell@us.ibm.com>

Intended usage: COMMON

Restrictions on usage: None.

Author: James M Snell <jasnell@us.ibm.com>

Change controller: IESG

## 9. Acknowledgements

The author gratefully acknowledges the feedback from the members of the Atom Publishing Format and Protocol working group during the development of this specification.

## 10. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC3023] Murata, M., St. Laurent, S., and D. Kohn, "XML Media Types", [RFC 3023](#), January 2001.
- [RFC3339] Klyne, G., Ed. and C. Newman, "Date and Time on the Internet: Timestamps", [RFC 3339](#), July 2002.
- [RFC3986] Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R., and L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax", STD 66, [RFC 3986](#), January 2005.
- [RFC3987] Duerst, M. and M. Suignard, "Internationalized Resource Identifiers (IRIs)", [RFC 3987](#), January 2005.
- [RFC4287] Nottingham, M., Ed. and R. Sayre, Ed., "The Atom Syndication Format", [RFC 4287](#), December 2005.

[W3C.REC-xml-20040204]

Yergeau, F., Maler, E., Sperberg-McQueen, C., Paoli, J., and T. Bray, "Extensible Markup Language (XML) 1.0 (Third Edition)", World Wide Web Consortium FirstEdition REC-xml-20040204, February 2004, <<http://www.w3.org/TR/2004/REC-xml-20040204>>.

[W3C.REC-xml-c14n-20010315]

Boyer, J., "Canonical XML Version 1.0", World Wide Web Consortium Recommendation REC-xml-c14n-20010315, March 2001, <<http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xml-c14n-20010315>>.

[W3C.REC-xml-exc-c14n-20020718]

Reagle, J., 3rd, D., and J. Boyer, "Exclusive XML Canonicalization Version 1.0", World Wide Web Consortium Recommendation REC-xml-exc-c14n-20020718, July 2002, <<http://www.w3.org/TR/2002/REC-xml-exc-c14n-20020718>>.

[W3C.REC-xml-names-19990114]

Hollander, D., Bray, T., and A. Layman, "Namespaces in XML", World Wide Web Consortium FirstEdition REC-xml-names-19990114, January 1999, <<http://www.w3.org/TR/1999/REC-xml-names-19990114>>.

[W3C.REC-xmlbase-20010627]

Marsh, J., "XML Base", World Wide Web Consortium FirstEdition REC-xmlbase-20010627, June 2001, <<http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xmlbase-20010627>>.

[W3C.REC-xmlsig-core-20020212]

Solo, D., Reagle, J., and D. Eastlake, "XML-Signature Syntax and Processing", World Wide Web Consortium FirstEdition REC-xmlsig-core-20020212, February 2002, <<http://www.w3.org/TR/2002/REC-xmlsig-core-20020212>>.

[W3C.REC-xmlenc-core-20021210]

Eastlake, D. and J. Reagle, "XML Encryption Syntax and Processing", World Wide Web Consortium Recommendation REC-xmlenc-core-20021210, December 2002, <<http://www.w3.org/TR/2002/REC-xmlenc-core-20021210>>.

#### Author's Address

James M Snell

EMail: [jasnell@us.ibm.com](mailto:jasnell@us.ibm.com)

URI: <http://ibm.com>