

Network Working Group  
Request for Comments: 5007  
Category: Standards Track

J. Brzozowski  
Comcast Cable  
K. Kinnear  
B. Volz  
S. Zeng  
Cisco Systems, Inc.  
September 2007

## DHCPv6 Leasequery

### Status of This Memo

This document specifies an Internet standards track protocol for the Internet community, and requests discussion and suggestions for improvements. Please refer to the current edition of the "Internet Official Protocol Standards" (STD 1) for the standardization state and status of this protocol. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

### Abstract

This document specifies a leasequery exchange for the Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol for IPv6 (DHCPv6) that can be used to obtain lease information about DHCPv6 clients from a DHCPv6 server. This document specifies the scope of data that can be retrieved as well as both DHCPv6 leasequery requestor and server behavior. This document extends DHCPv6.

## Table of Contents

1. Introduction . . . . .	3
2. Terminology . . . . .	3
3. Protocol Overview . . . . .	4
3.1. On-Demand Query . . . . .	4
3.2. Anticipatory Query . . . . .	5
3.3. Query Types . . . . .	5
4. Protocol Details . . . . .	6
4.1. Message and Option Definitions . . . . .	6
4.1.1. Messages . . . . .	6
4.1.2. Options . . . . .	6
4.1.3. Status Codes . . . . .	12
4.1.4. Transmission and Retransmission Parameters . . . . .	12
4.2. Message Validation . . . . .	12
4.2.1. LEASEQUERY . . . . .	12
4.2.2. LEASEQUERY-REPLY . . . . .	13
4.3. DHCPv6 Leasequery Requestor Behavior . . . . .	13
4.3.1. Creation of LEASEQUERY . . . . .	13
4.3.2. Transmission of LEASEQUERY . . . . .	13
4.3.3. Receipt of LEASEQUERY-REPLY . . . . .	14
4.3.4. Handling DHCPv6 Client Data from Multiple Sources . . . . .	15
4.4. DHCPv6 Leasequery Server Behavior . . . . .	16
4.4.1. Receipt of LEASEQUERY Messages . . . . .	16
4.4.2. Constructing the Client's OPTION_CLIENT_DATA . . . . .	17
4.4.3. Transmission of LEASEQUERY-REPLY Messages . . . . .	17
5. Security Considerations . . . . .	17
6. IANA Considerations . . . . .	19
7. Acknowledgements . . . . .	20
8. References . . . . .	20
8.1. Normative References . . . . .	20
8.2. Informative References . . . . .	20

## 1. Introduction

The DHCPv6 [2] protocol specifies a mechanism for the assignment of both IPv6 address and configuration information to IPv6 nodes. IPv6 Prefix Options for DHCPv6 [4] specifies a mechanism for the automated delegation of IPv6 prefixes and related options. Similar to DHCPv4 [5], DHCPv6 servers maintain authoritative information related to their operations including, but not limited to, lease information for IPv6 addresses and delegated prefixes.

The requirement exists in various types of IPv6 deployments, particularly those of a broadband variety, to leverage DHCPv6 [2] for retrieving data related to the operation of DHCPv6 servers programmatically. In particular, it is desirable to be able to extract lease information about IPv6 addresses and delegated prefixes assigned using DHCPv6 [2] [4]. Specific examples where this information has illustrated value are in broadband networks to facilitate access control by edge devices. This capability to programmatically extract lease data from the DHCPv6 server is called leasequery.

The leasequery capability described in this document parallels the DHCPv4 leasequery capability documented in [3]. As such, it shares the basic motivations, background, design goals and constraints as described in [3]. Differences are due to the differences between IPv4 and IPv6 and by extension, DHCPv4 and DHCPv6. For example, Neighbor Discovery [7] is used in IPv6 instead of the Address Resolution Protocol (ARP) [8] (Section 4.1 of [3]) and DOCSIS 3.0 [11] defines IPv6 support for cable modem environments.

## 2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [1].

DHCPv6 terminology is defined in [2]. Terminology specific to DHCPv6 leasequery can be found below:

### access concentrator

An access concentrator is a router or switch at the broadband access provider's edge of a public broadband access network. This document assumes that the access concentrator includes the DHCPv6 relay agent functionality.

client(s)	The nodes that have one or more bindings with a DHCPv6 server. This does not refer to the node issuing the LEASEQUERY unless it itself has one or more bindings with a DHCPv6 server.
gleaning	Gleaning is the extraction of location information from DHCPv6 messages, as the messages are forwarded by the DHCP relay agent function.
location information	Location information is information needed by the access concentrator to forward traffic to a broadband-accessible host. This information includes knowledge of the host hardware address, the port or virtual circuit that leads to the host, and/or the hardware address of the intervening subscriber modem.
requestor	The node that sends LEASEQUERY messages to one or more servers to retrieve information on the bindings for a client.

### 3. Protocol Overview

The focus of this document is to extend the DHCPv6 protocol to allow processes and devices that wish to access information from a DHCPv6 server to do so in a lightweight and convenient manner. It is especially appropriate for processes and devices that already interpret DHCPv6 messages.

The LEASEQUERY message is a query message only and does not affect the state of the IPv6 address or prefix, or the binding information associated with it.

One important motivating example is that the LEASEQUERY message allows access concentrators to query DHCP servers to obtain location information of broadband access network devices. This is described in Section 1 of [3] for IPv4.

#### 3.1. On-Demand Query

The on-demand leasequery capability allows requesting just the information necessary to satisfy an immediate need. If the requestor is an access concentrator, then the immediate need will typically be that it has received an IPv6 packet and it needs to refresh its information concerning the DHCPv6 client to which that IPv6 address is currently leased. In this case, the request will be by address. This fits clearly into the single request/response cycle common to other DHCPv6 message exchanges.

However, this approach has limitations when used with prefix delegation [4] as no traffic may arrive because the access concentrator is unable to inject the appropriate routing information into the routing infrastructure, such as after a reboot. This approach does work if the access concentrator is configured to inject routing information for a prefix that aggregates potentially delegated prefixes. Or, it also works if the access concentrator and requesting router use a routing protocol; as then the requesting router can trigger the access concentrator to request information from a DHCPv6 server and inject appropriate routing information into the routing infrastructure.

### 3.2. Anticipatory Query

A second approach for requesting information from a DHCPv6 server would be to use a leasequery-like capability to rebuild an internal data store containing information available from a DHCPv6 server. The rebuilding of the data store in this approach can take place as soon as possible after the need to rebuild it is discovered (such as on booting), and doesn't wait on the receipt of specific packets to trigger a piecemeal database update (as is the case for on-demand leasequery). This approach would also remove the limitation discussed above for prefix delegation.

This anticipatory query is not specified in this document and is an area of future work.

### 3.3. Query Types

Leasequery provides for the following queries:

Query by IPv6 address - This query allows a requestor to request from a server the bindings for a client that either is bound to the address or has been delegated the prefix that contains the address.

Query by Client Identifier (DUID) - This query allows a requestor to request from a server the bindings for a specific client on a specific link or a list of the links on which the client has one or more bindings.

## 4. Protocol Details

### 4.1. Message and Option Definitions

#### 4.1.1. Messages

The LEASEQUERY and LEASEQUERY-REPLY messages use the Client/Server message formats described in [2], Section 6. Two new message codes are defined:

LEASEQUERY (14) - A requestor sends a LEASEQUERY message to any available server to obtain information on a client's leases. The options in an OPTION\_LQ\_QUERY determine the query.


LEASEQUERY-REPLY (15) - A server sends a LEASEQUERY-REPLY message containing client data in response to a LEASEQUERY message.

#### 4.1.2. Options

##### 4.1.2.1. Query Option

The Query option is used only in a LEASEQUERY message and identifies the query being performed. The option includes the query type, link-address (or 0::0), and option(s) to provide data needed for the query.

The format of the Query option is shown below:



link-address	A global address that will be used by the server to identify the link to which the query applies, or 0::0 if unspecified.
query-type	The query requested (see below).
query-options	The options related to the query.

The query-type and required query-options are:

QUERY\_BY\_ADDRESS (1) - The query-options MUST contain an OPTION\_IAADDR option [2]. The link-address field, if not 0::0, specifies an address for the link on which the client is located if the address in the OPTION\_IAADDR option is of insufficient scope. Only the information for the client that has a lease for the specified address or was delegated a prefix that contains the specified address is returned (if available).

QUERY\_BY\_CLIENTID (2) - The query-options MUST contain an OPTION\_CLIENTID option [2]. The link-address field, if not 0::0, specifies an address for the link on which the client is located. If the link-address field is 0::0, the server SHOULD search all of its links for the client.


The query-options MAY also include an OPTION\_ORO option [2] to indicate the options for each client that the requestor would like the server to return. Note that this OPTION\_ORO is distinct and separate from an OPTION\_ORO that may be in the requestor's LEASEQUERY message.

If a server receives an OPTION\_LQ\_QUERY with a query-type it does not support, the server SHOULD return an UnknownQueryType status-code. If a server receives a supported query-type but the query-options is missing a required option, the server SHOULD return a MalformedQuery status-code.

#### 4.1.2.2. Client Data Option

The Client Data option is used to encapsulate the data for a single client on a single link in a LEASEQUERY-REPLY message.

The format of the Client Data option is shown below:



option-code        OPTION\_CLIENT\_DATA (45)

option-len        Length, in octets, of the encapsulated client-  
options field.

client-options    The options associated with this client.


The encapsulated client-options include the OPTION\_CLIENTID, OPTION\_IAADDR, OPTION\_IAPREFIX, and OPTION\_CLT\_TIME options and other options specific to the client and requested by the requestor in the OPTION\_ORO in the OPTION\_LQ\_QUERY's query-options. The server MUST return all of the client's statefully assigned addresses and delegated prefixes, with a non-zero valid lifetime, on the link.



#### 4.1.2.3. Client Last Transaction Time Option

The Client Last Transaction Time option is encapsulated in an `OPTION_CLIENT_DATA` and identifies how long ago the server last communicated with the client, in seconds.

The format of the Client Last Transaction Time option is shown below:



option-code        `OPTION_CLT_TIME` (46)

option-len        4

client-last-transaction-time


The number of seconds since the server last communicated with the client (on that link).

The `client-last-transaction-time` is a positive value and reflects the number of seconds since the server last communicated with the client (on that link).

#### 4.1.2.4. Relay Data

The Relay Data option is used only in a LEASEQUERY-REPLY message and provides the relay agent information used when the client last communicated with the server.

The format of the Relay Data option is shown below:



option-code      OPTION\_LQ\_RELAY\_DATA (47)

option-len      16 + length of DHCP-relay-message.

peer-address    The address of the relay agent from which the relayed message was received by the server.

DHCP-relay-message    The last complete relayed message, excluding the client's message OPTION\_RELAY\_MSG, received by the server.

This option is used by the server to return full relay agent information for a client. It MUST NOT be returned if the server does not have such information, either because the client communicated directly (without relay agent) with the server or if the server did not retain such information.


If returned, the DHCP-relay-message MUST contain a valid (perhaps multi-hop) RELAY-FORW message as the most recently received by the server for the client. However, the (innermost) OPTION\_RELAY\_MSG option containing the client's message MUST have been removed.

This option SHOULD only be returned if requested by the OPTION\_ORO of the OPTION\_LQ\_QUERY.

#### 4.1.2.5. Client Link Option

The Client Link option is used only in a LEASEQUERY-REPLY message and identifies the links on which the client has one or more bindings. It is used in reply to a query when no link-address was specified and the client is found to be on more than one link.

The format of the Client Link option is shown below:



A server may respond to a query by client-id, where the 0::0 link-address was specified, with this option if the client is found to be on multiple links. The requestor may then repeat the query once for each link-address returned in the list, specifying the returned link-address. If the client is on a single link, the server SHOULD return the client's data in an OPTION\_CLIENT\_DATA option.

#### 4.1.3. Status Codes

The following new status codes are defined:

UnknownQueryType (7) - The query-type is unknown to or not supported by the server.

MalformedQuery (8) - The query is not valid; for example, a required query-option is missing from the OPTION\_LQ\_QUERY.

NotConfigured (9) - The server does not have the target address or link in its configuration.

NotAllowed (10) - The server does not allow the requestor to issue this LEASEQUERY.

#### 4.1.4. Transmission and Retransmission Parameters

This section presents a table of values used to describe the message transmission behavior for leasequery.

Parameter	Default	Description
-----		
LQ_TIMEOUT	1 sec	Initial LEASEQUERY timeout
LQ_MAX_RT	10 secs	Max LEASEQUERY timeout value
LQ_MAX_RC	5	Max LEASEQUERY retry attempts

#### 4.2. Message Validation

##### 4.2.1. LEASEQUERY

Requestors and clients MUST discard any received LEASEQUERY messages.

Servers MUST discard any received LEASEQUERY messages that meet any of the following conditions:

- o the message does not include an OPTION\_CLIENTID option.
- o the message includes an OPTION\_SERVERID option but the contents of the OPTION\_SERVERID option does not match the server's identifier.
- o the message does not include an OPTION\_LQ\_QUERY option.

#### 4.2.2. LEASEQUERY-REPLY

Requestors MUST discard any received LEASEQUERY-REPLY messages that meet any of the following conditions:

- o the message does not include an OPTION\_SERVERID option.
- o the message does not include an OPTION\_CLIENTID option, or the contents of the OPTION\_CLIENTID option do not match the DUID of the requestor.
- o the "transaction-id" field in the message does not match the value used in the original message.

Servers and Relay Agents (on the server port, 547 [2]) MUST discard any received LEASEQUERY-REPLY messages.

#### 4.3. DHCPv6 Leasequery Requestor Behavior

This section describes how a requestor initiates lease data retrieval from DHCPv6 servers.

##### 4.3.1. Creation of LEASEQUERY

The requestor sets the "msg-type" field to LEASEQUERY. The requestor generates a transaction ID and inserts this value in the "transaction-id" field.

The requestor MUST include an OPTION\_CLIENTID option to identify itself to the server.

The requestor MUST include an OPTION\_LQ\_QUERY option and set the query-type, link-address, and query-options as appropriate to the query-type (Section 4.1.2.1).

The requestor SHOULD include an OPTION\_SERVERID if it is not unicasting the LEASEQUERY yet only wants a response from a specific server.

##### 4.3.2. Transmission of LEASEQUERY

The requestor MAY be configured to use a list of destination addresses, which MAY include unicast addresses, the All\_DHCP\_Servers multicast address, or other addresses selected by the network administrator. If the requestor has not been explicitly configured, it MAY use the All\_DHCP\_Servers multicast address as the default.

The requestor SHOULD send LEASEQUERY to one or more DHCPv6 servers that are known to possess authoritative information concerning the query target.

In the absence of information concerning which DHCPv6 servers might possess authoritative information on the query target, the requestor SHOULD send LEASEQUERY to all DHCPv6 servers that the requestor knows about or is configured with. For example, the requestor MAY send LEASEQUERY to the All\_DHCP\_Servers multicast address.

The requestor transmits LEASEQUERY messages according to Section 14 of [2], using the following parameters:

IRT	LQ_TIMEOUT
MRT	LQ_MAX_RT
MRC	LQ_MAX_RC
MRD	0

If the message exchange fails, the requestor takes an action based on the requestor's local policy. Examples of actions the requestor might take include:

- o Select another server from a list of servers known to the requestor.
- o Send to multiple servers by multicasting to the All\_DHCP\_Servers address.
- o Terminate the request.

#### 4.3.3. Receipt of LEASEQUERY-REPLY

A successful LEASEQUERY-REPLY is one without an OPTION\_STATUS\_CODE option (or an OPTION\_STATUS\_CODE option with a success code). There are three variants:

1. If the server had bindings for the requested client, the message includes an OPTION\_CLIENT\_DATA option and the requestor extracts the client data from the LEASEQUERY-REPLY and updates its binding information database. If the OPTION\_CLIENT\_DATA contains no OPTION\_CLT\_TIME, the requestor SHOULD silently discard the OPTION\_CLIENT\_DATA option.
2. If the server found bindings for the client on multiple links, the message includes an OPTION\_CLIENT\_LINK option. The requestor will need to reissue LEASEQUERY messages using each of the returned link-addresses to obtain the client's bindings.

3. If the server had no bindings for the client, neither the `OPTION_CLIENT_DATA` nor `OPTION_CLIENT_LINK` option will be present.

An unsuccessful `LEASEQUERY-REPLY` is one that has an `OPTION_STATUS_CODE` with an error code. Depending on the status code, the requestor may try a different server (such as for `NotAllowed`, `NotConfigured`, and `UnknownQueryType`), try a different or corrected query (such as for `UnknownQueryType` and `MalformedQuery`), or terminate the query.

#### 4.3.4. Handling DHCPv6 Client Data from Multiple Sources

A requestor may receive lease data on the same client from the same DHCPv6 server in response to different types of `LEASEQUERY`. If a `LEASEQUERY` is sent to multiple servers, the requestor may receive from several servers lease data on the same DHCPv6 client. This section describes how the requestor handles multiple lease data sources on the same DHCPv6 client from the same server or different servers.

The client data from the different sources may be disjoint or overlapping. The disjoint and overlapping relationship can happen between data from the same server or different servers.

If client data from two sources on the same client are of different types or values, then the data are disjoint. An example of data of different types is when a requestor receives an IPv6 address lease from one server and a prefix lease from another server, both assigned to the same client. An example of different values (but the same type) is when a requestor receives two IPv6 address leases from two different servers, both assigned to the same client, but the leases are on two different IPv6 addresses. If the requestor receives disjoint client data from different sources, it **SHOULD** merge them.

If client data from two sources on the same client are of the same type and value, then the data are overlapping. An example of overlapping data is when a requestor receives a lease on the same IPv6 address from two different servers. Overlapping client data are also called conflicting data.

The requestor **SHOULD** use the `OPTION_CLT_TIME` to resolve data conflicts originated from different servers, and **SHOULD** accept data with most recent `OPTION_CLT_TIME`.

#### 4.4. DHCPv6 Leasequery Server Behavior

A DHCPv6 server sends LEASEQUERY-REPLY messages in response to valid LEASEQUERY messages it receives to return the statefully assigned addresses, delegated prefixes, and other information that match the query.

##### 4.4.1. Receipt of LEASEQUERY Messages

Upon receipt of a valid LEASEQUERY message, the DHCPv6 server locates the requested client, collects data on the client, and constructs and returns a LEASEQUERY-REPLY. A LEASEQUERY message cannot be used to assign, release, or otherwise modify bindings or other configuration information.

The server constructs a LEASEQUERY-REPLY message by setting the "msg-type" field to LEASEQUERY-REPLY, and copying the transaction ID from the LEASEQUERY message into the transaction-id field.

If the query-type in the OPTION\_LQ\_QUERY option is not a known or supported value, the server adds an OPTION\_STATUS\_CODE option with the UnknownQueryType status code and sends the LEASEQUERY-REPLY to the requestor. If the query-options do not contain the required options for the query-type, the server adds an OPTION\_STATUS\_CODE option with the MalformedQuery status code and sends the LEASEQUERY-REPLY to the client.

A server may also restrict LEASEQUERY messages, or query-types, to certain requestors. In this case, the server MAY discard the LEASEQUERY message or MAY add an OPTION\_STATUS\_CODE option with the NotAllowed status code and send the LEASEQUERY-REPLY to the requestor.

If the OPTION\_LQ\_QUERY specified a non-zero link-address, the server MUST use the link-address to find the appropriate link for the client. For a QUERY\_BY\_ADDRESS, if the 0::0 link-address was specified, the server uses the address from the OPTION\_IAADDR option to find the appropriate link for the client. In either of these cases, if the server is unable to find the link, it SHOULD return an OPTION\_STATUS\_CODE option with the NotConfigured status and send the LEASEQUERY-REPLY to the requestor.

For a QUERY\_BY\_CLIENTID, if a 0::0 link-address was specified, the server MUST search all of its links for the client. If the client is only found on a single link, the server SHOULD return that client's data in an OPTION\_CLIENT\_DATA option. If the client is found on more



than a single link, the server MUST return the list of links in the `OPTION_CLIENT_LINK` option; the server MUST NOT return any client data.

Otherwise, the server uses the data in the `OPTION_LQ_QUERY` to initiate the query. The result of the query will be zero or one client. This will result in zero or one `OPTION_CLIENT_DATA` option being added to the `LEASEQUERY-REPLY`.

#### 4.4.2. Constructing the Client's `OPTION_CLIENT_DATA`

An `OPTION_CLIENT_DATA` option in a `LEASEQUERY-REPLY` message MUST minimally contain the following options:

1. `OPTION_CLIENTID`
2. `OPTION_IAADDR` and/or `OPTION_IAPREFIX`
3. `OPTION_CLT_TIME`

Depending on the bindings the client has on a link, either `OPTION_IAADDR` options, `OPTION_IAPREFIX` options, or both may be present.

The `OPTION_CLIENT_DATA` SHOULD include options requested in the `OPTION_ORO` of the `OPTION_LQ_QUERY` option in the `LEASEQUERY` message and that are acceptable to return based on the list of "sensitive options", discussed below.

DHCPv6 servers SHOULD be configurable with a list of "sensitive options" that must not be returned to the requestor when specified in the `OPTION_ORO` of the `OPTION_LQ_QUERY` option in the `LEASEQUERY` message. Any option on this list MUST NOT be returned to a requestor, even if requested by that requestor.

#### 4.4.3. Transmission of `LEASEQUERY-REPLY` Messages

The server sends the `LEASEQUERY-REPLY` message as described in the "Transmission of Reply Messages" section of [2].

### 5. Security Considerations

Access concentrators are expected to be common leasequery requestors. Access concentrators that use DHCPv6 gleaning (i.e., [10]), refreshed with `LEASEQUERY` messages, will maintain accurate client/binding information. This ensures that the access concentrator can forward data traffic to the intended destination in the broadband access network, can perform IPv6 source address verification of datagrams from the access network, and can encrypt traffic that can only be

decrypted by the intended access modem (e.g., [12] and [13])). Thus, the leasequery capability allows an access concentrator to provide considerably enhanced security.

The "Security Considerations" section of [2] details the general threats to DHCPv6, and thus to LEASEQUERY messages. The "Authentication of DHCP Messages" section of [2] describes securing communication between relay agents and servers, as well as clients and servers. If the requestor is an access concentrator, the IPsec-based [9] security as described in [2] [Section 21.1](#) SHOULD be used. Other types of requestors are essentially DHCPv6 clients. Thus, DHCPv6 authentication, Section 21 of [2], is an appropriate mechanism for securing LEASEQUERY and LEASEQUERY-REPLY messages. As the number of leasequery requestors and servers in an administrative domain is relatively small, any shared key distribution issues are minimized.

After implementing the above approaches, the DHCPv6 server should only be communicating with trusted LEASEQUERY requestors, and so security needs should be met.

However, not all traffic originates directly from these trusted requestors. For example, trusted relay agents can relay LEASEQUERY messages from untrusted requestors or elsewhere in the network. This SHOULD be prevented at least at the perimeter relay agents (or on all relay agents unless relayed LEASEQUERY messages are required for some requestors). DHCPv6 servers MAY be configured to discard relayed LEASEQUERY messages or restrict relay chaining.

DHCPv6 servers SHOULD also provide for the ability to restrict the information returned for a client in a LEASEQUERY-REPLY even to a trusted LEASEQUERY requestor, as described in [Section 4.4.2](#).

Since even trusted access concentrators may generate LEASEQUERY requests as a result of activity external to the access concentrator, access concentrators SHOULD minimize potential denial-of-service attacks on the DHCPv6 servers by minimizing the generation of LEASEQUERY messages. In particular, the access concentrator SHOULD employ negative caching (i.e., cache the fact that a particular recent query failed to return client data) and address restrictions where possible (i.e., don't send a LEASEQUERY message for addresses outside the range of the attached broadband access networks). Together, these mechanisms limit the access concentrator to transmitting one LEASEQUERY message (excluding message retries) per legitimate broadband access network address after a reboot event.

Packet-flooding denial-of-service attacks can result in the exhaustion of processing resources, thus preventing the server from serving legitimate and regular DHCPv6 clients as well as legitimate

DHCPv6 LEASEQUERY requestors, denying configurations to legitimate DHCPv6 clients as well lease information to legitimate DHCPv6 LEASEQUERY requestors. While these attacks are unlikely when only communicating with trusted LEASEQUERY requestors, the possibility always exists that the trust is misplaced, security techniques are compromised, or even trusted requestors can have bugs in them. Therefore, techniques for defending against packet-flooding denial of service are always a good idea, and they include good perimeter security, as mentioned earlier, and rate limiting DHCPv6 traffic by relay agents, other network elements, or the server itself.

One way to attack an access concentrator (as opposed to a DHCPv6 server) as a LEASEQUERY requestor is the establishment of a malicious server with the intent of providing incorrect lease or route information to the access concentrator, thwarting source IPv6 address verification, and preventing correct routing. This type of attack can be minimized by using IPsec as described in Section 21.1 of [2].

## 6. IANA Considerations

IANA has assigned the following new DHCPv6 Message types in the registry maintained in

<http://www.iana.org/assignments/dhcpv6-parameters>:

LEASEQUERY  
LEASEQUERY-REPLY

IANA has assigned the following new DHCPv6 Option Codes in the registry maintained in

<http://www.iana.org/assignments/dhcpv6-parameters>:

OPTION\_LQ\_QUERY  
OPTION\_CLIENT\_DATA  
OPTION\_CLT\_TIME  
OPTION\_LQ\_RELAY\_DATA  
OPTION\_LQ\_CLIENT\_LINK

IANA has assigned the following new DHCPv6 Status Codes in the registry maintained in

<http://www.iana.org/assignments/dhcpv6-parameters>:

UnknownQueryType  
MalformedQuery  
NotConfigured  
NotAllowed

IANA has created a new registry for the OPTION\_LQ\_QUERY option query-type codes in the registry maintained in <http://www.iana.org/assignments/dhcpv6-parameters> with the following initial assignments:

QUERY_BY_ADDRESS	1
QUERY_BY_CLIENTID	2

New OPTION\_LQ\_QUERY option query-type codes are assigned through Standards Action, as defined in [6].

## 7. Acknowledgements

Thanks to Ralph Droms, Richard Johnson, Josh Littlefield, Hemant Singh, Pak Siripunkaw, Markus Stenberg, and Ole Troan for their input, ideas, and review during the production of this document.

## 8. References

### 8.1. Normative References

- [1] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [2] Droms, R., Bound, J., Volz, B., Lemon, T., Perkins, C., and M. Carney, "Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol for IPv6 (DHCPv6)", [RFC 3315](#), July 2003.
- [3] Woundy, R. and K. Kinnear, "Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol (DHCP) Leasequery", [RFC 4388](#), February 2006.
- [4] Troan, O. and R. Droms, "IPv6 Prefix Options for Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol (DHCP) version 6", [RFC 3633](#), December 2003.

### 8.2. Informative References

- [5] Droms, R., "Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol", [RFC 2131](#), March 1997.
- [6] Narten, T. and H. Alvestrand, "Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", [BCP 26](#), [RFC 2434](#), October 1998.
- [7] Narten, T., Nordmark, E., and W. Simpson, "Neighbor Discovery for IP Version 6 (IPv6)", [RFC 2461](#), December 1998.

- [8] Plummer, D., "Ethernet Address Resolution Protocol: Or converting network protocol addresses to 48.bit Ethernet address for transmission on Ethernet hardware", STD 37, [RFC 826](#), November 1982.
- [9] Kent, S. and K. Seo, "Security Architecture for the Internet Protocol", [RFC 4301](#), December 2005.
- [10] Droms, R., "DHCPv6 Relay Agent Assignment Notification (RAAN) Option", Work in Progress, November 2006.
- [11] CableLabs, "Data-Over-Cable Service Interface Specifications: DOCSIS 3.0, MAC and Upper Layer Protocols Interface Specification, CM-SP-MULPIv3.0-I04-070518", May 2007, available at <http://www.cablemodem.com/>.
- [12] SCTE Data Standards Subcommittee, "Data-Over-Cable Service Interface Specifications: DOCSIS 1.0 Baseline Privacy Interface Specification SCTE 22-2 2002", 2002, available at <http://www.scte.org/standards/>.
- [13] CableLabs, "Data-Over-Cable Service Interface Specifications: Baseline Privacy Plus Interface Specification CM-SP-BPI+\_I12-050812", August 2005, available at <http://www.cablemodem.com/>.

## Authors' Addresses

John Jason Brzozowski  
Comcast Cable  
1800 Bishops Gate Boulevard  
Mt. Laurel, NJ 08054  
USA

Phone: +1 856 324 2671  
EMail: john\_brzozowski@cable.comcast.com

Kim Kinnear  
Cisco Systems, Inc.  
1414 Massachusetts Ave.  
Boxborough, MA 01719  
USA

Phone: +1 978 936 0000  
EMail: kkinnear@cisco.com

Bernard Volz  
Cisco Systems, Inc.  
1414 Massachusetts Ave.  
Boxborough, MA 01719  
USA

Phone: +1 978 936 0000  
EMail: volz@cisco.com

Shengyou Zeng  
Cisco Systems, Inc.  
1414 Massachusetts Ave.  
Boxborough, MA 01719  
USA

Phone: +1 978 936 0000  
EMail: szeng@cisco.com

## Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2007).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in [BCP 78](#), and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

## Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at [ietf-ipr@ietf.org](mailto:ietf-ipr@ietf.org).