Network Working Group Request for Comments: 193 E. Harslem - Rand NIC 7138

14 July 1971

J. Heafner - Rand

## NETWORK CHECKOUT

As of 15 July we had contacted or been contacted by the sites listed below. The matrix indicates the elements of Network software we have verified at each site.

	NC:	P-1						Log-on Procedure	
SDC		x	 	 	 	   	   	   	
UCLA-91			x		x	x			
UCLA-S7		x	x	x	x	x		x	x
SRI-10			x				x	x	
UCSB		x	x	x				x	x
MIT-10			x	 	x				
MIT-645			par-  tial	:	   	   	 	 	
LL-67		x		x		x			
BBN-10			x	 			x	x	x
UTAH		x	x	x	 		x	 	

## Notes

The Telnet-like protocol differs from Telnet in that lines are terminated by X'OD' rather than X'ODOA'. John Melvin will have it fixed by the time you read this.

LL-67: Joel Winett telles me NCP, ICP, EBCDIC, Telnet and access to a virtual 360 are working. Due to hardware difficulties we have not verified this.

BBN-10: Same difference as SRI with respect to Telnet.

Under certain conditions, the ICP generates a
zero byte size. Ray Tomlinson tells me these
idiosyncracies will be taken care of in about
a week. Three sites have commented that TENEX
assigns the same local socket to multiple connections, when acting as a server. Ray says that
this is the design -- not an error. BBN TENEX
is currently being used productively via the
Net, for some non-Network related problems.

UTAH: Our tests with UTAH were pre-TENEX. TENEX is currently being installed at UTAH.

MIT-645: Our understanding is that most software is working; we have not verified this.

[ This RFC was put into machine readable form for entry ] [ into the online RFC archives by Paul Williams 6/97 ]