Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)

Request for Comments: 5940

Category: Standards Track

ISSN: 2070-1721

S. Turner IECA R. Housley Vigil Security August 2010

Additional Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS) Revocation Information Choices

Abstract

The Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS) allows revocation information to be conveyed as part of the SignedData, EnvelopedData, AuthenticatedData, and AuthEnvelopedData content types. The preferred format for revocation information is the Certificate Revocation List (CRL), but an extension mechanism supports other revocation information formats. This document defines two additional revocation information formats for Online Certificate Status Protocol (OCSP) responses and Server-Based Certificate Validation Protocol (SCVP) requests and responses.

Status of This Memo

This is an Internet Standards Track document.

This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Further information on Internet Standards is available in Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5940.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2010 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

1. Introduction

The RevocationInfoChoices type defined in [CMS] provides a set of revocation status information alternatives, which allows revocation information to be conveyed as part of the SignedData, EnvelopedData, AuthenticatedData, and AuthEnvelopedData content types. The intent is to provide information sufficient to determine whether the certificates and attribute certificates carried elsewhere in the CMS-protected content have been revoked. There may be more revocation status information than necessary or there may be less revocation status information than necessary.

X.509 Certificate Revocation Lists (CRLs) [PROFILE] are the primary source of revocation status information, but any other revocation information format can be supported. This document specifies two other formats: Online Certificate Status Protocol (OCSP) responses [OCSP] and Server-Based Certificate Validation Protocol (SCVP) requests and responses [SCVP].

Section 2 discusses the RevocationInformation structure. Section 3 defines a mechanism to carry OCSP responses. Section 4 defines a mechanism to carry SCVP requests and responses. Appendix A provides the normative ASN.1 syntax for the two mechanisms.

1.1. Requirements Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [WORDS].

2. Revocation Information

For convenience, the ASN.1 definition of the RevocationInfoChoices type from [CMS] is repeated here:

RevocationInfoChoices ::= SET OF RevocationInfoChoice

The other CHOICE MUST be used to convey OCSP responses, SCVP requests, and SCVP responses.

This document defines the id-ri arc under which the revocation information formats are defined. The id-ri object identifier is:

```
id-ri OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { iso(1) identified-organization(3)
  dod(6) internet(1) security(5) mechanisms(5) pkix(7) ri(16) }
```

NOTE: Numbers 1 and 3 were assigned to CRL and Delta CRL. These two numbers are not used because these formats use the RevocationInfoChoice crl CHOICE when included in CMS [CMS].

3. OCSP Response

To carry an OCSP response, the otherRevInfoFormat is set to id-ri-ocsp-response, which has the following ASN.1 definition:

```
id-ri-ocsp-response OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { id-ri 2 }
```

In this case, otherRevInfo MUST carry the OCSP response using the OCSPResponse type defined in [OCSP]. The responseStatus field MUST be successful and the responseBytes field MUST be present.

4. SCVP Request and Response

Unlike OSCP, SCVP permits unprotected and protected responses, where protected responses can be digitally signed or include message authentication codes. While this provides more flexibility, it complicates implementations when an SCVP response can be validated by entities other than the entity that generated the SCVP request. If a lower layer provides authentication and integrity for the client-server interaction and the response is not protected, then a third

party cannot validate the response because there is no way to know that the response was returned over a protected connection. If a message authentication code is used, then the third party will be unable to validate the message authentication code because it does not possess the necessary private key. For these reasons, SCVP responses sent to a third party MUST be signed by the SCVP server so that the third party can validate them.

SCVP response validation requires matching it to the SCVP request. This means that the SCVP request MUST always be included with the response. SCVP permits the client to retain the response, and SCVP permits the request to be returned in the response (in the requestReq field). The request need not be protected for matching to be performed; nonces and certIds can be checked.

To carry the SCVP request and response, the otherRevInfoFormat is set to id-ri-scvp, which has the following ASN.1 definition:

```
id-ri-scvp OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { id-ri 4 }
```

In this case, the otherRevInfo MUST carry both the SCVP request and response with the following structure:

The SCVPReqRes has the following fields:

- o request contains the SCVP request. It contains the unprotected request, authenticated request, or the signed request. The request MUST be present if the response does not include the requestRef fullRequest field.
- o response contains the SCVP response. It MUST contain the signed response. Additionally, the responseStatus MUST be okay. Unprotected and authenticated responses MUST NOT be included.

5. Security Considerations

The security considerations of [CMS], [CMS-ASN], [OCSP], [SCVP], and [PROFILE-ASN] apply.

To locally store unprotected or authenticated SCVP responses, a client can encapsulate the unprotected or authenticated SCVP response in a SignedData. It is a matter of local policy whether these SCVP responses that are encapsulated and signed by the client are considered valid by another entity.

RFC 5940

6. IANA Considerations

This document makes use of object identifiers. These object identifiers are defined in an arc delegated by IANA to the PKIX Working Group. When the PKIX Working Group closes, this arc and its registration procedures will be transferred to IANA. No further action by IANA is necessary for this document or any anticipated updates.

7. References

7.1. Normative References

- [CMS] Housley, R., "Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS)", RFC 5652, September 2009.
- [OCSP] Myers, M., Ankney, R., Malpani, A., Galperin, S., and C. Adams, "X.509 Internet Public Key Infrastructure Online Certificate Status Protocol OCSP", RFC 2560, June 1999.

[PROFILE-ASN]

Hoffman, P. and J. Schaad, "New ASN.1 Modules for the Public Key Infrastructure Using X.509 (PKIX)", RFC 5912, June 2010.

- [SCVP] Freeman, T., Housley, R., Malpani, A., Cooper, D., and W. Polk, "Server-Based Certificate Validation Protocol (SCVP)", RFC 5055, December 2007.
- [WORDS] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.
- [X.680] ITU-T Recommendation X.680 (2002) | ISO/IEC 8824- 1:2002. Information Technology Abstract Syntax Notation One.
- [X.681] ITU-T Recommendation X.681 (2002) | ISO/IEC 8824- 2:2002. Information Technology - Abstract Syntax Notation One: Information Object Specification.
- [X.682] ITU-T Recommendation X.682 (2002) | ISO/IEC 8824- 3:2002. Information Technology - Abstract Syntax Notation One: Constraint Specification.

- RFC 5940 Additional CMS Revocation Information Choices August 2010
 - [X.683] ITU-T Recommendation X.683 (2002) | ISO/IEC 8824- 4:2002. Information Technology Abstract Syntax Notation One: Parameterization of ASN.1 Specifications, 2002.

7.2. Informative References

[PROFILE] Cooper, D., Santesson, S., Farrell, S., Boeyen, S., Housley, R., and W. Polk, "Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Profile", RFC 5280, May 2008.

Appendix A. ASN.1 Modules

Appendix A.1 provides the normative ASN.1 definitions for the structures described in this specification using ASN.1 as defined in [X.680] for compilers that support the 1988 ASN.1.

Appendix A.2 provides informative ASN.1 definitions for the structures described in this specification using ASN.1 as defined in [X.680], [X.681], [X.682], and [X.683] for compilers that support the 2002 ASN.1. This appendix contains the same information as Appendix A.1 in a more recent (and precise) ASN.1 notation, however Appendix A.1 takes precedence in case of conflict.

A.1. 1988 ASN.1 Module

```
CMS-Other-RIs-2009-88
  { iso(1) identified-organization(3) dod(6) internet(1) security(5)
   mechanisms(5) pkix(7) id-mod(0) id-mod-cms-otherRIs-2009-88(63)
DEFINITIONS IMPLICIT TAGS ::=
BEGIN
-- EXPORTS ALL
IMPORTS
-- FROM CMS [CMS]
ContentInfo
  FROM CryptographicMessageSyntax2004
  \{ iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) rsadsi(113549) pkcs(1) pkcs-9(9) 
    smime(16) modules(0) cms-2004(24) }
id-ri OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { iso(1) identified-organization(3)
  dod(6) internet(1) security(5) mechanisms(5) pkix(7) ri(16) }
-- RevocationInfoChoice for OCSP response
-- OID included in otherRevInfoFormat
-- signed OCSP response included in otherRevInfo
id-ri-ocsp-response OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { id-ri 2 }
-- RevocationInfoChoice for SCVP response
-- OID included in otherRevInfoFormat
-- SCVPReqRes included in otherRevInfo
```

```
id-ri-scvp OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { id-ri 4 }
   SCVPReqRes ::= SEQUENCE {
     request [0] EXPLICIT Contentinfo OPTIONAL,
     response ContentInfo }
   END
A.2. 2002 ASN.1 Module
   CMS-Other-RIs-2009-02
     { iso(1) identified-organization(3) dod(6) internet(1) security(5)
       mechanisms(5) pkix(7) id-mod(0) id-mod-cms-otherRIs-2009-93(64)
   DEFINITIONS IMPLICIT TAGS ::=
   BEGIN
   -- EXPORT ALL
   IMPORTS
   -- FROM [PROFILE-ASN]
   OCSPResponse
    FROM OCSP-2009
     { iso(1) identified-organization(3) dod(6) internet(1) security(5)
       mechanisms(5) pkix(7) id-mod(0) id-mod-ocsp-02(48) }
   -- FROM [CMS-ASN]
   Contentinfo, OTHER-REVOK-INFO
     FROM CryptographicMessageSyntax-2009
       { iso(1) member-body(2) us(840) rsadsi(113549) pkcs(1) pkcs-9(9)
         smime(16) modules(0) id-mod-cms-2004-02(41) }
   -- Defines OCSP and SCVP formats for RevocationInfoChoice
   SupportedOtherRevokInfo OTHER-REVOK-INFO ::= {
     ri-ocsp-response |
     ri-scvp,
     ...}
   ri-ocsp-response OTHER-REVOK-INFO ::= {
     OCSPResponse IDENTIFIED BY id-ri-ocsp-response }
```

```
id-ri OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { iso(1) identified-organization(3)
    dod(6) internet(1) security(5) mechanisms(5) pkix(7) ri(16) }
   id-ri-ocsp-response OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { id-ri 2 }
   ri-scvp OTHER-REVOK-INFO ::= {
    SCVPRegRes IDENTIFIED BY id-ri-scvp }
   id-ri-scvp OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { id-ri 4 }
   SCVPReqRes ::= SEQUENCE {
    request [0] EXPLICIT ContentInfo OPTIONAL,
    response ContentInfo }
   END
Authors' Addresses
   Sean Turner
   IECA, Inc.
   3057 Nutley Street, Suite 106
   Fairfax, VA 22031
   EMail: turners@ieca.com
   Russ Housley
   Vigil Security, LLC
   918 Spring Knoll Drive
   Herndon, VA 20170
   USA
   EMail: housley@vigilsec.com
```