Network Working Group

Request for Comments: #247

MITRE

NIC 7688 12 October 1971

Categories: Policy, Telnet

Related: #226, 236, 239, 233, 237

Obsoletes: #226

Proferred Set of Standard Host Names

In RFC #226, BBN's TENEX list of Host names was set up as a strawman set of standard Host names. Comments received since then (an RFC actually generated comments!!!) have influenced me to propose the following general rules for forming Host names.

The Host names will be 8 characters in length. The general form is

<site> '-' <machine>

<site> will be at most 4 characters, formed as follows:

- (a) Use the keyword in the site name, if not more than four characters, e.g., NASA Ames, Case Western Reserve.
- (b) Use the standard acronym, if not more than four characters, e.g., UCLA, RADC, NBS.
- (c) If a standard abbreviation exists, use it, e.g., Ill.
- (d) If none of the above apply, use the first four letters in the site name, e.g., Burr, Mitr, Harv.
- (e) If none of the above is acceptable to the site, the technical liaison should select the site mnemonic.

<machine> will be at most 4 characters of the form <mfg. #>
<designator>.

Examples of mfg. # are:

Burroughs 4 digits CDC 4 digits

etc.

<designator> will be used when more than one machine of the same
type is located at a site (e.g., 2 PDP-10s at MIT, at SRI, and
at BBN).

Limiting <machine> to 4 characters does not permit distinctions to be made between machines with 4 digit mfg. #s. I expect the situation will be handled in an ad hoc manner by the NIC if it arises.

TIPs are identified as 'TIP' rather than by '316'. If a Host is not to be permanently addressable, the machine is identified as 'TEST'.

A list of Host names, formed according to these rules, is attached. Alternate Host names should be provided, as suggested by Jon Postel (RFC #236). RFC's 206, 233, and 236 present lists with 4-character alternate names. The Technical Liaison should select the alternate name for his site and communicate the selection to the NIC.

The preceding rules and the attached list of Host names are subject to the approval of the NWG. Hereafter, the list will be generated and maintained by the NIC in cooperation with the Technical Liaison at each site, as suggested in RFC #237. Comments should be addressed to Dick Watson.

[ This RFC was put into machine readable form for entry ]
[ into the online RFC archives by BBN Corp. under the ]
[ direction of Alex McKenzie. 12/96 ]

## RFC #247 Attachment 1

| NETWORK ADDRESS | STANDARD NAME |
|-----------------|---------------|
| 1               | UCLA-7        |
| 65              | UCLA-91       |
| 2               | SRI-10NI      |
| 66              | SRI-10AI      |
| 3               | UCSB-75       |
| 4               | UTAH-10       |
| 5               | BBN-516       |
| 69              | BBN-10A       |
| 133             | BBN-10B       |
| 6               | MIT-645       |
| 70              | MIT-10DM      |
| 134             | MIT-10AI      |
| 7               | RAND-65       |
| 71              | RAND-10       |
| 8               | SDC-75        |
| 9               | HARV-10       |
| 73              | HARV-1        |
| 137             | HARV-11       |
| 10              | LL-67         |
| 74              | LL-TX2        |
| 138             | LL-TSP        |
| 11              | SAIL-10       |
| 12              | ILL-11        |
| 76              | ILL-6500      |
| 13              | CASE-10       |
| 14              | CMU-10        |
| 15              | BURR-6500     |
| 79              | BURR-TEST     |
| 16              | AMES-67       |
| 144             | AMES-TIP      |
| 145             | MITR-TIP      |
| 18              | RADC-645      |
| 146             | RADC-TIP      |
| 19              | NBS-11        |
| 147             | NBS-TIP       |
| 148             | ETAC-TIP      |
| 21              | TINK-418      |
| 22              | MCCL-418      |
| 23              | USC-44        |
| 151             | USC-TIP       |
| 152             | GWC-TIP       |
| 25              | NCAR-7600     |
| 153             | NCAR-TIP      |
| 158             | BBNX-TEST     |

## An Implementation Scheme

If the standard Host names are formed according to the proposed rules, the following implementation scheme, suggested by Steve Crocker, can be used.

Map <site> into an 8-bit number, S and map <machine> into an 8-bit number, M, where

S + M = Network Address.

S and M can be selected such that specification of <site> alone could cause a default to the "primary" Host at the site. Note that this scheme depends on a unique <site> designator for each IMP.

Some examples:

```
If the "primary" Host at UCLA is the 91, let
```

UCLA  $\rightarrow$  S = X'41'

7 -> M = X'40'

91 -> M = X'00'

then for

UCLA-7, S + M = 
$$X'01'$$
 = 1 base 10  
UCLA-91,S + M =  $X'41'$  = 65 base 10

and

UCLA alone = X'41' = 65 base 10

If the primary Host at BBN is TENEX System A, let

BBN  $\rightarrow$  S = X'45'

516 -> M = X'40'

10A -> M = X'00'

10B -> M = X'C0'

then for

BBN-516, S + M = X'05' = 5 base 10

BBN-10A, S + M = X'45' = 69 base 10

BBN-10B, S + M = X'85' = 133 base 10

and

BBN alone = X'45' = 69 base 10

The primary Host for each IMP would be designated by the site and such information disseminated by the NIC.