

Extension Mechanisms for DNS (EDNS) EXPIRE Option

Abstract

This document specifies a method for secondary DNS servers to honour the SOA EXPIRE field as if they were always transferring from the primary, even when using other secondaries to perform indirect transfers and refresh queries.

Status of This Memo

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for examination, experimental implementation, and evaluation.

This document defines an Experimental Protocol for the Internet community. This is a contribution to the RFC Series, independently of any other RFC stream. The RFC Editor has chosen to publish this document at its discretion and makes no statement about its value for implementation or deployment. Documents approved for publication by the RFC Editor are not a candidate for any level of Internet Standard; see [Section 2 of RFC 5741](#).

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7314>.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2014 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Reserved Words	2
2. Expire EDNS Option (Query)	3
3. Expire EDNS Option (Response)	3
3.1. Primary Server	3
3.2. Secondary Server	3
3.3. Non-authoritative Server	3
4. Secondary Behaviour	3
5. IANA Considerations	4
6. Security Considerations	4
7. Normative References	4

1. Introduction

The expire field of a DNS zone's SOA record [[RFC1035](#)] is supposed to indicate when a secondary server shall discard the contents of the zone when it has been unable to contact the primary [[RFC1034](#)]. Current practice only works when all the secondaries contact the primary directly to perform refresh queries and zone transfers.

While secondaries are expected to be able to, and often are configured to, transfer from other secondaries for robustness reasons as well as reachability constraints, there is no mechanism provided to preserve the expiry behaviour when using a secondary. Instead, secondaries have to know whether they are talking directly to the primary or another secondary and use that to decide whether or not to update the expire timer. This, however, fails to take into account delays in transferring from one secondary to another.

There are also zone-transfer graphs in which the secondary never talks to the primary, so the effective expiry period becomes multiplied by the length of the zone-transfer graph, which is infinite when it contains loops.

This document provides a mechanism to preserve the expiry behaviour regardless of what zone-transfer graph is constructed and whether the secondary is talking to the primary or another secondary.

1.1. Reserved Words

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)].

2. Expire EDNS Option (Query)

The EDNS [RFC6891] EXPIRE option has the value <9>. The EDNS EXPIRE option MAY be included on any QUERY, though usually this is only done on SOA, AXFR, and IXFR queries involved in zone maintenance. This is done by adding a zero-length EDNS EXPIRE option to the options field of the OPT record when the query is made.

3. Expire EDNS Option (Response)

3.1. Primary Server

When the query is directed to the primary server for the zone, the response will be an EDNS EXPIRE option of length 4 containing the value of the SOA EXPIRE field, in seconds and network byte order.

3.2. Secondary Server

When the query is directed to a secondary server for the zone, then the response will be an EDNS EXPIRE option of length 4 containing the value of the expire timer on that server, in seconds and network byte order.

3.3. Non-authoritative Server

If an EDNS EXPIRE option is sent to a server that is not authoritative for the zone, it MUST NOT add an EDNS EXPIRE option to the response.

4. Secondary Behaviour

When a secondary server performs a zone-transfer request or a zone-refresh query, it SHALL add an EDNS EXPIRE option to the query message.

If a secondary receives an EDNS EXPIRE option in a response to an SOA query, it SHALL update its expire timer to be the maximum of the value returned in the EDNS EXPIRE option and the current timer value. Similarly, if a secondary receives an EDNS EXPIRE option in its response to an IXFR query that indicated the secondary is up to date (serial matches current serial), the secondary SHALL update the expire timer to be the maximum of the value returned in the EDNS EXPIRE option and the current timer value.

If the zone is transferred or updated as the result of an AXFR or IXFR query and there is an EDNS EXPIRE option with the response, then the value of the EDNS EXPIRE option SHOULD be used instead of the value of the SOA EXPIRE field to initialise the expire timer.

In all cases, if the value of the SOA EXPIRE field is less than the value of the EDNS EXPIRE option, then the value of the SOA EXPIRE field MUST be used and MUST be treated as a maximum when updating or initialising the expire timer.

5. IANA Considerations

IANA has assigned an EDNS option code point for the EDNS EXPIRE option specified in [Section 2](#) with "Optional" status in the "DNS EDNS0 Option Codes (OPT)" registry.

6. Security Considerations

The method described in this document ensures that servers that no longer have a connection to the primary server, direct or indirectly, cease serving the zone content when SOA EXPIRE timer is reached. This prevents stale data from being served indefinitely.

The EDNS EXPIRE option exposes how long the secondaries have been out of communication with the primary server. This is not believed to be a problem and may provide some benefit to monitoring systems.

7. Normative References

- [RFC1034] Mockapetris, P., "Domain names - concepts and facilities", STD 13, [RFC 1034](#), November 1987.
- [RFC1035] Mockapetris, P., "Domain names - implementation and specification", STD 13, [RFC 1035](#), November 1987.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC6891] Damas, J., Graff, M., and P. Vixie, "Extension Mechanisms for DNS (EDNS(0))", STD 75, [RFC 6891](#), April 2013.

Author's Address

Mark P. Andrews
Internet Systems Consortium
950 Charter Street
Redwood City, CA 94063
US

EMail: marka@isc.org