Network Working Group A. Melnikov, Ed. Request for Comments: 4752 Isode

Obsoletes: 2222

Category: Standards Track

November 2006

The Kerberos V5 ("GSSAPI")
Simple Authentication and Security Layer (SASL) Mechanism

## Status of This Memo

This document specifies an Internet standards track protocol for the Internet community, and requests discussion and suggestions for improvements. Please refer to the current edition of the "Internet Official Protocol Standards" (STD 1) for the standardization state and status of this protocol. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

# Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2006).

#### Abstract

The Simple Authentication and Security Layer (SASL) is a framework for adding authentication support to connection-based protocols. This document describes the method for using the Generic Security Service Application Program Interface (GSS-API) Kerberos V5 in the SASL.

This document replaces Section 7.2 of RFC 2222, the definition of the "GSSAPI" SASL mechanism. This document, together with RFC 4422, obsoletes RFC 2222.

Melnikov Standards Track [Page 1]

#### Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	. 2
	1.1. Relationship to Other Documents	
2.	Conventions Used in This Document	
	Kerberos V5 GSS-API Mechanism	
	3.1. Client Side of Authentication Protocol Exchange	. 3
	3.2. Server Side of Authentication Protocol Exchange	. 4
	3.3. Security Layer	. 6
4.	IANA Considerations	. 7
5.	Security Considerations	. 7
6.	Acknowledgements	. 8
7.	Changes since RFC 2222	. 8
8.	References	. 8
	8.1. Normative References	. 8
	8.2. Informative References	. 9

#### 1. Introduction

This specification documents currently deployed Simple Authentication and Security Layer (SASL [SASL]) mechanism supporting the Kerberos V5 [KERBEROS] Generic Security Service Application Program Interface ([GSS-API]) mechanism [RFC4121]. The authentication sequence is described in Section 3. Note that the described authentication sequence has known limitations, in particular, it lacks channel bindings and the number of round-trips required to complete authentication exchange is not minimal. SASL WG is working on a separate document that should address these limitations.

## 1.1. Relationship to Other Documents

This document, together with RFC 4422, obsoletes RFC 2222 in its entirety. This document replaces Section 7.2 of RFC 2222. The remainder is obsoleted as detailed in Section 1.2 of RFC 4422.

## 2. Conventions Used in This Document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", and "MAY" in this document are to be interpreted as defined in "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels" [KEYWORDS].

## 3. Kerberos V5 GSS-API Mechanism

The SASL mechanism name for the Kerberos V5 GSS-API mechanism [RFC4121] is "GSSAPI". Though known as the SASL GSSAPI mechanism, the mechanism is specifically tied to Kerberos V5 and GSS-API's Kerberos V5 mechanism.

The GSSAPI SASL mechanism is a "client goes first" SASL mechanism; i.e., it starts with the client sending a "response" created as described in the following section.

The implementation MAY set any GSS-API flags or arguments not mentioned in this specification as is necessary for the implementation to enforce its security policy.

Note that major status codes returned by GSS\_Init\_sec\_context() or GSS\_Accept\_sec\_context() other than GSS\_S\_COMPLETE or GSS\_S\_CONTINUE\_NEEDED cause authentication failure. Major status codes returned by GSS\_Unwrap() other than GSS\_S\_COMPLETE (without any additional supplementary status codes) cause authentication and/or security layer failure.

#### 3.1. Client Side of Authentication Protocol Exchange

The client calls GSS\_Init\_sec\_context, passing in input\_context\_handle of 0 (initially), mech\_type of the Kerberos V5 GSS-API mechanism [KRB5GSS], chan\_binding of NULL, and targ\_name equal to output\_name from GSS\_Import\_Name called with input\_name\_type of GSS\_C\_NT\_HOSTBASED\_SERVICE (\*) and input\_name\_string of "service@hostname" where "service" is the service name specified in the protocol's profile, and "hostname" is the fully qualified host name of the server. When calling the GSS\_Init\_sec\_context, the client MUST pass the integ\_req\_flag of TRUE (\*\*). If the client will be requesting a security layer, it MUST also supply to the GSS\_Init\_sec\_context a mutual\_req\_flag of TRUE, and a sequence req flaq of TRUE. If the client will be requesting a security layer providing confidentiality protection, it MUST also supply to the GSS\_Init\_sec\_context a conf\_req\_flag of TRUE. client then responds with the resulting output\_token. GSS\_Init\_sec\_context returns GSS\_S\_CONTINUE\_NEEDED, then the client should expect the server to issue a token in a subsequent challenge. The client must pass the token to another call to GSS\_Init\_sec\_context, repeating the actions in this paragraph.

- (\*) Clients MAY use name types other than GSS\_C\_NT\_HOSTBASED\_SERVICE to import servers' acceptor names, but only when they have a priori knowledge that the servers support alternate name types. Otherwise clients MUST use GSS\_C\_NT\_HOSTBASED\_SERVICE for importing acceptor names.
- (\*\*) Note that RFC 2222 [RFC2222] implementations will not work with GSS-API implementations that require integ\_req\_flag to be true. No implementations of RFC 1964 [KRB5GSS] or RFC 4121 [RFC4121] that require integ\_req\_flag to be true are believed to exist and it is expected that any future update to [RFC4121] will require that

integrity be available even in not explicitly requested by the application.

When GSS\_Init\_sec\_context returns GSS\_S\_COMPLETE, the client examines the context to ensure that it provides a level of protection permitted by the client's security policy. In particular, if the integ\_avail flag is not set in the context, then no security layer can be offered or accepted.

If the conf\_avail flag is not set in the context, then no security layer with confidentiality can be offered or accepted. If the context is acceptable, the client takes the following actions: If the last call to GSS\_Init\_sec\_context returned an output\_token, then the client responds with the output\_token, otherwise the client responds with no data. The client should then expect the server to issue a token in a subsequent challenge. The client passes this token to GSS\_Unwrap and interprets the first octet of resulting cleartext as a bit-mask specifying the security layers supported by the server and the second through fourth octets as the maximum size output\_message the server is able to receive (in network byte order). If the resulting cleartext is not 4 octets long, the client fails the negotiation. The client verifies that the server maximum buffer is 0 if the server does not advertise support for any security layer.

The client then constructs data, with the first octet containing the bit-mask specifying the selected security layer, the second through fourth octets containing in network byte order the maximum size output\_message the client is able to receive (which MUST be 0 if the client does not support any security layer), and the remaining octets containing the UTF-8 [UTF8] encoded authorization identity. (Implementation note: The authorization identity is not terminated with the zero-valued (%x00) octet (e.g., the UTF-8 encoding of the NUL (U+0000) character)). The client passes the data to GSS\_Wrap with conf\_flag set to FALSE and responds with the generated output\_message. The client can then consider the server authenticated.

## 3.2. Server Side of Authentication Protocol Exchange

A server MUST NOT advertise support for the "GSSAPI" SASL mechanism described in this document unless it has acceptor credential for the Kerberos V GSS-API mechanism [KRB5GSS].

The server passes the initial client response to GSS\_Accept\_sec\_context as input\_token, setting input\_context\_handle to 0 (initially), chan\_binding of NULL, and a suitable acceptor\_cred\_handle (see below). If GSS\_Accept\_sec\_context returns GSS\_S\_CONTINUE\_NEEDED, the server returns the generated output\_token

to the client in challenge and passes the resulting response to another call to GSS\_Accept\_sec\_context, repeating the actions in this paragraph.

Servers SHOULD use a credential obtained by calling GSS\_Acquire\_cred or GSS\_Add\_cred for the GSS\_C\_NO\_NAME desired\_name and the Object Identifier (OID) of the Kerberos V5 GSS-API mechanism [KRB5GSS](\*). Servers MAY use GSS\_C\_NO\_CREDENTIAL as an acceptor credential handle. Servers MAY use a credential obtained by calling GSS\_Acquire\_cred or GSS\_Add\_cred for the server's principal name(s) (\*\*) and the Kerberos V5 GSS-API mechanism [KRB5GSS].

- (\*) Unlike GSS\_Add\_cred the GSS\_Acquire\_cred uses an OID set of GSS-API mechanism as an input parameter. The OID set can be created by using GSS\_Create\_empty\_OID\_set and GSS\_Add\_OID\_set\_member. It can be freed by calling the GSS Release oid set.
- (\*\*) Use of server's principal names having
  GSS\_C\_NT\_HOSTBASED\_SERVICE name type and "service@hostname" format,
  where "service" is the service name specified in the protocol's
  profile, and "hostname" is the fully qualified host name of the
  server, is RECOMMENDED. The server name is generated by calling
  GSS\_Import\_name with input\_name\_type of GSS\_C\_NT\_HOSTBASED\_SERVICE
  and input\_name\_string of "service@hostname".

Upon successful establishment of the security context (i.e., GSS\_Accept\_sec\_context returns GSS\_S\_COMPLETE), the server SHOULD verify that the negotiated GSS-API mechanism is indeed Kerberos V5 [KRB5GSS]. This is done by examining the value of the mech\_type parameter returned from the GSS\_Accept\_sec\_context call. If the value differs, SASL authentication MUST be aborted.

Upon successful establishment of the security context and if the server used GSS\_C\_NO\_NAME/GSS\_C\_NO\_CREDENTIAL to create acceptor credential handle, the server SHOULD also check using the GSS\_Inquire\_context that the target\_name used by the client matches either

 the GSS\_C\_NT\_HOSTBASED\_SERVICE "service@hostname" name syntax, where "service" is the service name specified in the application protocol's profile,

or

- the GSS\_KRB5\_NT\_PRINCIPAL\_NAME [KRB5GSS] name syntax for a two-component principal where the first component matches the service name specified in the application protocol's profile.

When GSS\_Accept\_sec\_context returns GSS\_S\_COMPLETE, the server examines the context to ensure that it provides a level of protection permitted by the server's security policy. In particular, if the integ\_avail flag is not set in the context, then no security layer can be offered or accepted. If the conf\_avail flag is not set in the context, then no security layer with confidentiality can be offered or accepted.

If the context is acceptable, the server takes the following actions: If the last call to GSS\_Accept\_sec\_context returned an output\_token, the server returns it to the client in a challenge and expects a reply from the client with no data. Whether or not an output\_token was returned (and after receipt of any response from the client to such an output\_token), the server then constructs 4 octets of data, with the first octet containing a bit-mask specifying the security layers supported by the server and the second through fourth octets containing in network byte order the maximum size output\_token the server is able to receive (which MUST be 0 if the server does not support any security layer). The server must then pass the plaintext to GSS\_Wrap with conf\_flag set to FALSE and issue the generated output\_message to the client in a challenge.

The server must then pass the resulting response to GSS\_Unwrap and interpret the first octet of resulting cleartext as the bit-mask for the selected security layer, the second through fourth octets as the maximum size output\_message the client is able to receive (in network byte order), and the remaining octets as the authorization identity. The server verifies that the client has selected a security layer that was offered and that the client maximum buffer is 0 if no security layer was chosen. The server must verify that the src\_name is authorized to act as the authorization identity. After these verifications, the authentication process is complete. The server is not expected to return any additional data with the success indicator.

#### 3.3. Security Layer

The security layers and their corresponding bit-masks are as follows:

- 1 No security layer
- 2 Integrity protection.
  Sender calls GSS\_Wrap with conf\_flag set to FALSE
- 4 Confidentiality protection.

  Sender calls GSS\_Wrap with conf\_flag set to TRUE

Other bit-masks may be defined in the future; bits that are not understood must be negotiated off.

When decoding any received data with GSS\_Unwrap, the major\_status other than the GSS\_S\_COMPLETE MUST be treated as a fatal error.

Note that SASL negotiates the maximum size of the output\_message to send. Implementations can use the GSS\_Wrap\_size\_limit call to determine the corresponding maximum size input\_message.

#### 4. IANA Considerations

IANA modified the existing registration for "GSSAPI" as follows:

Family of SASL mechanisms: NO

SASL mechanism name: GSSAPI

Security considerations: See Section 5 of RFC 4752

Published specification: RFC 4752

Person & email address to contact for further information: Alexey Melnikov <Alexey.Melnikov@isode.com>

Intended usage: COMMON

Owner/Change controller: iesg@ietf.org

Additional information: This mechanism is for the Kerberos  ${\tt V5}$ 

mechanism of GSS-API.

## 5. Security Considerations

Security issues are discussed throughout this memo.

When constructing the input\_name\_string, the client SHOULD NOT canonicalize the server's fully qualified domain name using an insecure or untrusted directory service.

For compatibility with deployed software, this document requires that the chan\_binding (channel bindings) parameter to GSS\_Init\_sec\_context and GSS\_Accept\_sec\_context be NULL, hence disallowing use of GSS-API support for channel bindings. GSS-API channel bindings in SASL is expected to be supported via a new GSS-API family of SASL mechanisms (to be introduced in a future document).

Additional security considerations are in the [SASL] and [GSS-API] specifications. Additional security considerations for the GSS-API mechanism can be found in [KRB5GSS] and [KERBEROS].

## 6. Acknowledgements

This document replaces Section 7.2 of RFC 2222 [RFC2222] by John G. Myers. He also contributed significantly to this revision.

Lawrence Greenfield converted text of this document to the XML format.

Contributions of many members of the SASL mailing list are gratefully acknowledged, in particular comments from Chris Newman, Nicolas Williams, Jeffrey Hutzelman, Sam Hartman, Mark Crispin, and Martin Rex.

# 7. Changes since RFC 2222

RFC 2078 [RFC2078] specifies the version of GSS-API used by RFC 2222 [RFC2222], which provided the original version of this specification. That version of GSS-API did not provide the integ\_integ\_avail flag as an input to GSS\_Init\_sec\_context. Instead, integrity was always requested. RFC 4422 [SASL] requires that when possible, the security layer negotiation be integrity protected. To meet this requirement and as part of moving from RFC 2078 [RFC2078] to RFC 2743 [GSS-API], this specification requires that clients request integrity from GSS\_Init\_sec\_context so they can use GSS\_Wrap to protect the security layer negotiation. This specification does not require that the mechanism offer the integrity security layer, simply that the security layer negotiation be wrapped.

#### 8. References

# 8.1. Normative References

- [GSS-API] Linn, J., "Generic Security Service Application Program Interface Version 2, Update 1", RFC 2743, January 2000.
- [KERBEROS] Neuman, C., Yu, T., Hartman, S., and K. Raeburn, "The Kerberos Network Authentication Service (V5)", RFC 4120, July 2005.
- [KEYWORDS] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.
- [KRB5GSS] Linn, J., "The Kerberos Version 5 GSS-API Mechanism", RFC 1964, June 1996.

- [RFC4121] Zhu, L., Jaganathan, K., and S. Hartman, "The Kerberos Version 5 Generic Security Service Application Program Interface (GSS-API) Mechanism: Version 2", RFC 4121, July 2005.
- [SASL] Melnikov, A. and K. Zeilenga, "Simple Authentication and Security Layer (SASL)", RFC 4422, June 2006.
- [UTF8] Yergeau, F., "UTF-8, a transformation format of ISO 10646", STD 63, RFC 3629, November 2003.

#### 8.2. Informative References

- [RFC2078] Linn, J., "Generic Security Service Application Program Interface, Version 2", RFC 2078, January 1997.
- [RFC2222] Myers, J., "Simple Authentication and Security Layer (SASL)", RFC 2222, October 1997.

## Editor's Address

Alexey Melnikov Isode Limited 5 Castle Business Village 36 Station Road Hampton, Middlesex TW12 2BX UK

EMail: Alexey.Melnikov@isode.com
URI: http://www.melnikov.ca/

## Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2006).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in BCP 78, and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST, AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

# Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <a href="http://www.ietf.org/ipr">http://www.ietf.org/ipr</a>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.

# Acknowledgement

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.