Network Working Group Request for Comments: 4603 Category: Informational G. Zorn G. Weber R. Foltak Cisco Systems July 2006

Additional Values for the NAS-Port-Type Attribute

Status of This Memo

This memo provides information for the Internet community. It does not specify an Internet standard of any kind. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2006).

IESG Note

This RFC is not a candidate for any level of Internet Standard. The IETF disclaims any knowledge of the fitness of this RFC for any purpose and in particular notes that the decision to publish is not based on IETF review for such things as security, congestion control, or inappropriate interaction with deployed protocols. The RFC Editor has chosen to publish this document at its discretion. Readers of this document should exercise caution in evaluating its value for implementation and deployment. See RFC 3932 for more information.

Abstract

This document defines a set of values for the NAS-Port-Type RADIUS Attribute.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	. 2
2.	NAS-Port-Type Values	. 2
	IANA Considerations	
	3.1. Attribute Values	
4.	Security Considerations	. 2
5.	References	. 3
	5.1. Normative References	. 3
	5.2. Informative References	. 3

Zorn, et al. Informational [Page 1]

1. Introduction

This document defines a set of new values for the NAS-Port-Type Attribute [RFC2865].

2. NAS-Port-Type Values

This document defines new values for the NAS-Port-Type Attribute. This specification concerns the following values:

- 30 PPPoA (PPP over ATM [RFC3336])
- 31 PPPoEoA (PPP over Ethernet [RFC2516] over ATM)
- 32 PPPoEoE (PPP over Ethernet [RFC2516] over Ethernet)
- 33 PPPoEoVLAN (PPP over Ethernet [RFC2516] over VLAN)
- 34 PPPoEoQinQ (PPP over Ethernet [RFC2516] over IEEE 802.1QinQ)

3. IANA Considerations

3.1. Attribute Values

This document is intended to act as a request for allocation of the numbers listed by IANA in the appropriate registry [RADTYP], according to the allocation policy given in [RFC3575].

The values given have already been implemented by at least one vendor without assignment by IANA.

IANA has registered the numbers listed in Section 2, per this request.

4. Security Considerations

This specification neither adds to nor detracts from the security of the RADIUS protocol.

5. References

5.1. Normative References

- [RFC3575] Aboba, B., "IANA Considerations for RADIUS (Remote Authentication Dial In User Service)", RFC 3575, July 2003.

5.2. Informative References

- [RADTYP] Internet Assigned Numbers Authority, "RADIUS TYPES", November 2005, http://www.iana.org/assignments/radius-types.
- [RFC2516] Mamakos, L., Lidl, K., Evarts, J., Carrel, D., Simone, D.,
 and R. Wheeler, "A Method for Transmitting PPP Over
 Ethernet (PPPoE)", RFC 2516, February 1999.
- [RFC3336] Thompson, B., Koren, T., and B. Buffam, "PPP Over
 Asynchronous Transfer Mode Adaptation Layer 2 (AAL2)", RFC
 3336, December 2002.

Zorn, et al. Informational [Page 3]

Authors' Addresses

Glen Zorn Cisco Systems 2901 Third Avenue, Suite 600 SEA1/5/ Seattle, WA 98121 US

Phone: +1 (425) 344 8113 EMail: gwz@cisco.com

Greg Weber Cisco Systems 10850 Murdock Road KNV/1/ Knoxville, TN 37932 US

EMail: gdweber@cisco.com

Rich Foltak Cisco Systems 2200 East President George Bush Turnpike RCDN6/4/2 Richardson, TX 75082 US

Phone: +1 (469) 255-6557 EMail: rfoltak@cisco.com

Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2006).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in BCP 78 and at www.rfc-editor.org/copyright.html, and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at http://www.ietf.org/ipr.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.

Acknowledgement

Funding for the RFC Editor function is provided by the IETF Administrative Support Activity (IASA).