

Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)
Request for Comments: 6603
Updates: [3633](#)
Category: Standards Track
ISSN: 2070-1721

J. Korhonen, Ed.
Nokia Siemens Networks
T. Savolainen
Nokia
S. Krishnan
Ericsson
O. Troan
Cisco Systems, Inc
May 2012

Prefix Exclude Option for DHCPv6-based Prefix Delegation

Abstract

This specification defines an optional mechanism to allow exclusion of one specific prefix from a delegated prefix set when using DHCPv6-based prefix delegation. The new mechanism updates [RFC 3633](#).

Status of This Memo

This is an Internet Standards Track document.

This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Further information on Internet Standards is available in [Section 2 of RFC 5741](#).

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6603>.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2012 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	2
2. Requirements and Terminology	2
3. Problem Background	3
4. Solution	3
4.1. Prefix Delegation with Excluded Prefixes	3
4.2. Prefix Exclude Option	4
5. Delegating Router Solicitation	6
5.1. Requesting Router	6
5.2. Delegating Router	6
6. Requesting Router Initiated Prefix Delegation	7
6.1. Requesting Router	7
6.2. Delegating Router	8
7. Security Considerations	8
8. IANA Considerations	8
9. Acknowledgements	8
10. References	9
10.1. Normative References	9
10.2. Informative References	9

1. Introduction

This specification defines an optional mechanism and the related DHCPv6 option to allow exclusion of one specific prefix from a delegated prefix set when using DHCPv6-based prefix delegation.

The prefix exclusion mechanism is targeted at deployments where DHCPv6-based prefix delegation is used, but a single aggregated route/prefix has to represent one customer, instead of using one prefix for the link between the delegating router and the requesting router and another prefix for the customer network. The mechanism defined in this specification allows a delegating router to use a prefix out of the delegated prefix set on the link through which it exchanges DHCPv6 messages with the requesting router, and is intended for use in networks where each requesting router is on its own layer-2 domain.

2. Requirements and Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

3. Problem Background

DHCPv6 Prefix Delegation (DHCPv6-PD) [RFC3633] has an explicit limitation described in Section 12.1 of [RFC3633] that a prefix delegated to a requesting router cannot be used by the delegating router. This restriction implies that the delegating router will have two (non-aggregatable) routes towards a customer: one for the link between the requesting router and the delegating router, and one for the customer site behind the requesting router.

There are architectures and link models where a host (e.g., a mobile router, also acting as a requesting router) always has a single (/64) prefix configured on its uplink interface and the delegating router is also the requesting router's first-hop router. Furthermore, it may be required that the prefix configured on the uplink interface has to be aggregatable with the delegated prefixes. This introduces a problem in how to use DHCPv6-PD together with stateless [RFC4862] or stateful [RFC3315] address autoconfiguration on a link where the /64 advertised is also part of the prefix delegated (e.g., /56) to the requesting router.

4. Solution

4.1. Prefix Delegation with Excluded Prefixes

This specification defines a new DHCPv6 option, `OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE` (67), that is used to exclude exactly one prefix from a delegated prefix. The `OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE` is included in the `OPTION_IAPREFIX` IAPrefix-options field. There can be at most one `OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE` option in one `OPTION_IAPREFIX` option. The `OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE` option allows prefix delegation where a requesting router is delegated a prefix (e.g., /56) and the delegating router uses one prefix (e.g., /64) on the link through which it exchanges DHCPv6 messages with the requesting router with a prefix out of the same delegated prefix set.

A requesting router includes an `OPTION_ORO` option with the `OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE` option code in a Solicit, Request, Renew, or Rebind message to inform the delegating router about the support for the prefix delegation functionality defined in this specification. A delegating router may include the `OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE` option code in an `OPTION_ORO` option in a Reconfigure message to indicate that the requesting router should request `OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE` from the delegating router.

The delegating router includes the prefix in the `OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE` option that is excluded from the delegated prefix set. The requesting router **MUST NOT** assign the excluded prefix to any of its downstream interfaces.

4.2. Prefix Exclude Option

```

      0               1               2               3
      0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
|          OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE          |          option-len          |
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
| prefix-len | IPv6 subnet ID (1 to 16 octets) |                      ~
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+

```

Prefix Exclude Option

- o option-code: `OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE` (67).
- o option-len: 1 + length of IPv6 subnet ID in octets. A valid option-len is between 2 and 17.
- o prefix-len: The length of the excluded prefix in bits. The prefix-len **MUST** be between '`OPTION_IAPREFIX prefix-length`' + 1 and 128.
- o IPv6 subnet ID: A variable-length IPv6 subnet ID up to 128 bits.

The IPv6 subnet ID contains prefix-len minus '`OPTION_IAPREFIX prefix-length`' bits extracted from the excluded prefix starting from the bit position '`OPTION_IAPREFIX prefix-length`'. The extracted subnet ID **MUST** be left-shifted to start from a full octet boundary, i.e., left-shift of '`OPTION_IAPREFIX prefix-length`' mod 8 bits. The subnet ID **MUST** be zero-padded to the next full octet boundary.

The encoding of the IPv6 subnet ID can be expressed in a C-like pseudocode as shown below:

```
uint128_t p1;           // the delegated IPv6 prefix
uint128_t p2;           // the excluded IPv6 prefix
uint16_t a;             // the OPTION_IAPREFIX prefix-length
uint8_t b;              // the excluded IPv6 prefix length
uint8_t s;

// sanity checks

s = 128-a;               // size of non-prefix bits
assert(b>a);             // b must be at least a+1
assert(p1>>s == p2>>s); // p1 and p2 must share a common
                        // prefix of 'a' bits

// calculate the option content

uint16_t c = b-a-1;      // the IPv6_subnet_ID_length-1 in bits
uint16_t d = (c/8)+1;    // the IPv6_subnet_ID_length in octets
uint128_t p = p2<<a;     // p is the IPv6 subnet ID that has the
                        // common p1 prefix left-shifted out to
                        // a full octet boundary (trailing bits
                        // are zeroed)

// populate the option

uint8_t* id = &OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE.IPv6_subnet_ID;
OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE.option_len = d+1;
OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE.prefix_len = b;

while (d-- > 0) {
    *id++ = p>>120;
    p <= 8;
}
```

The OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE option MUST only be included in the OPTION_IAPREFIX IAPrefix-options [RFC3633] field.

Any prefix excluded from the delegated prefix MUST be contained in OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE options within the corresponding OPTION_IAPREFIX.

The prefix included in the OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE option shares the same preferred-lifetime and valid-lifetime as the delegated prefix in the encapsulating OPTION_IAPREFIX option.

The prefix in the OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE option MUST be part of the delegated prefix in the OPTION_IAPREFIX. For example, the requesting

router has earlier been assigned a 2001:db8:dead:beef::/64 prefix by the delegating router, and the delegated prefix in the OPTION_IAPREFIX is 2001:db8:dead:bee0::/59. In this case, 2001:db8:dead:beef::/64 is a valid prefix to be used in the OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE option. The OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE option would be encoded as follows:

```

      0               1               2               3
      0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
|          OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE          |          2          |
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
|          64          | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
                        ^           ^
                        |           |
                        +- 3 zero-padded bits follow
                        +- using C syntax: 0xef << (59 % 8)
                          Note: 59 mod 8 = 3

```

5. Delegating Router Solicitation

The requesting router locates and selects a delegating router in the same way as described in [Section 11 \[RFC3633\]](#). This specification only describes the additional steps required by the use of the OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE option.

5.1. Requesting Router

If the requesting router implements the solution described in [Section 4.1](#), then the requesting router SHOULD include the OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE option code in the OPTION_ORO option in Solicit messages.

Once receiving Advertise message(s), the requesting router uses the prefix(es) received in OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE, in addition to the advertised prefixes, to choose the delegating router. The requesting router MUST proceed to the Prefix Delegation procedure described in [Section 6.1](#). If the Advertise message did not include the OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE option, then the requesting router MUST fall back to normal behavior, as described in [Section 11.1 of \[RFC3633\]](#).

5.2. Delegating Router

If the OPTION_ORO option in the Solicit message includes the OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE option code, then the delegating router knows that the requesting router supports the solution defined in this specification. If the Solicit message also contains an IA_PD option, the delegating router can delegate to the requesting router a prefix

that includes the prefix already assigned to the requesting router's uplink interface. The delegating router includes the prefix originally, or to be, assigned to the requesting router in the `OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE` option within the `OPTION_IAPREFIX` IAprefix-option in the Advertise message.

If the `OPTION_ORO` option in the Solicit message does not include the `OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE` option code, then the delegating router MUST fall back to normal behavior, as described in [Section 11.2 of \[RFC3633\]](#).

If the `OPTION_ORO` option in the Solicit message includes the `OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE` option code but the delegating router does not support the solution described in this specification, then the delegating router acts as specified in [\[RFC3633\]](#).

6. Requesting Router-Initiated Prefix Delegation

The procedures described in the following sections are aligned with [Section 12 of \[RFC3633\]](#). In this specification, we only describe the additional steps required by the use of the `OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE` option.

6.1. Requesting Router

The requesting router behavior regarding the use of the `OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE` option is mostly identical to the steps described in [Section 5.1](#), with the difference being the use of a DHCPv6 Request instead of an Solicit message. The requesting router SHOULD include the `OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE` option code in the `OPTION_ORO` option for DHCPv6 messages as described in [Section 22.7 of \[RFC3315\]](#). The requesting router SHOULD include the `OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE` option code in the `OPTION_ORO` option for DHCPv6 messages as described in [Section 22.7 of \[RFC3315\]](#).

The requesting router uses a Release message to return the delegated prefix(es) to a delegating router. The prefix(es) to be released MUST be included in the `IA_PDs` along with the excluded prefix included in the `OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE` option. The requesting router MUST NOT use the `OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE` option to introduce an additional excluded prefix in the Release message for which it originally got a valid binding.

The requesting router must create sink routes for the delegated prefixes, minus the excluded prefixes. This may be done by creating sink routes for delegated prefixes and more specific routes for the excluded prefixes.

6.2. Delegating Router

The delegating router behavior regarding the use of the `OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE` option is more or less identical to the step described in [Section 5.2](#). The only difference is the DHCPv6 messages used to carry the `OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE` option.

The delegating router may mark any prefix(es) in the `IA_PD` Prefix options in a Release message from a requesting router as 'available', excluding the prefix included in the `OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE` options. If the Release message contains a 'new' excluded prefix in any `OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE` option, the delegating router MUST send a Reply message with the Status Code set to NoBinding for that `IA_PD` option.

7. Security Considerations

Security considerations for DHCPv6 are described in [Section 23 of \[RFC3315\]](#). For DHCPv6 Prefix Delegation, they are described in [Section 15 of \[RFC3633\]](#). In particular, [RFC 3633](#) provides recommendations for protection against prefix delegation attacks. This specification does not add any new security considerations beyond those provided by [RFC 3633](#).

8. IANA Considerations

A new DHCPv6 Option Code has been reserved from the "Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol for IPv6 (DHCPv6)" registry for DHCP Option Codes.

`OPTION_PD_EXCLUDE` (67)

9. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Ralph Droms, Frank Brockners, Ted Lemon, Julien Laganier, Fredrik Garneij, Sri Gundavelli, Mikael Abrahamsson, Behcet Sarikaya, Jyrki Soini, Deng Hui, Stephen Jacob, Hemant Singh, Gaurav Halwasia, Lorenzo Colitti, and Tomasz Mrugalski for their valuable comments and discussions.

10. References

10.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC3315] Droms, R., Ed., Bound, J., Volz, B., Lemon, T., Perkins, C., and M. Carney, "Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol for IPv6 (DHCPv6)", [RFC 3315](#), July 2003.
- [RFC3633] Troan, O. and R. Droms, "IPv6 Prefix Options for Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol (DHCP) version 6", [RFC 3633](#), December 2003.

10.2. Informative References

- [RFC4862] Thomson, S., Narten, T., and T. Jinmei, "IPv6 Stateless Address Autoconfiguration", [RFC 4862](#), September 2007.

Authors' Addresses

Jouni Korhonen (editor)
Nokia Siemens Networks
Linnoitustie 6
FI-02600 Espoo
Finland

EMail: jouni.nospam@gmail.com

Teemu Savolainen
Nokia
Hermiankatu 12 D
FI-33720 Tampere
Finland

EMail: teemu.savolainen@nokia.com

Suresh Krishnan
Ericsson
8400 Decarie Blvd.
Town of Mount Royal, QC
Canada

EMail: suresh.krishnan@ericsson.com

Ole Troan
Cisco Systems, Inc
Oslo
Norway

EMail: ot@cisco.com