Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)

Request for Comments: 7128
Category: Informational

ISSN: 2070-1721

R. Bush
Internet Initiative Japan
R. Austein
Dragon Research Labs
K. Patel
Cisco Systems
H. Gredler
Juniper Networks, Inc.
M. Waehlisch
FU Berlin
February 2014

Resource Public Key Infrastructure (RPKI) Router Implementation Report

#### Abstract

This document is an implementation report for the Resource Public Key Infrastructure (RPKI) Router protocol as defined in RFC 6810. The authors did not verify the accuracy of the information provided by respondents. The respondents are experts with the implementations they reported on, and their responses are considered authoritative for the implementations for which their responses represent. The respondents were asked to only use the "YES" answer if the feature had at least been tested in the lab.

# Status of This Memo

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for informational purposes.

This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Not all documents approved by the IESG are a candidate for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at <a href="http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7128">http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7128</a>.

Bush, et al. Informational [Page 1]

# Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2014 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

#### Table of Contents

1.	Introduction							2
2.	Implementation Forms							3
3.	Protocol Data Units							4
4.	Protocol Sequence							6
5.	Protocol Transport							7
6.	Error Codes							7
7.	Incremental Updates Support							8
8.	Session ID Support							8
9.	Incremental Session Startup Support							8
10.	Interoperable Implementations							9
1	0.1. Cisco Implementation							9
1	0.2. Juniper Implementation							9
1	0.3. rpki.net Implementation							9
1	0.4. RIPE NCC Implementation							9
1	0.5. RTRlib Implementation							9
_	0.6. BBN RPSTIR Implementation							9
11.	Security Considerations							9
12.	Acknowledgements							10
13.	Normative References							10

#### 1. Introduction

In order to formally validate the origin Autonomous Systems (ASes) of BGP announcements, routers need a simple but reliable mechanism to receive Resource Public Key Infrastructure (RPKI) [RFC6810] prefix origin data from a trusted cache. The RPKI Router protocol defined in [RFC6810] provides a mechanism to deliver validated prefix origin data to routers.

This document provides an implementation report for the RPKI Router protocol as defined in RFC 6810 [RFC6810].

The authors did not verify the accuracy of the information provided by respondents or by any alternative means. The respondents are experts with the implementations they reported on, and their responses are considered authoritative for the implementations for which their responses represent. Respondents were asked to only use the "YES" answer if the feature had at least been tested in the lab.

## 2. Implementation Forms

Contact and implementation information for person filling out this form:

IOS

Name: Keyur Patel

Email: keyupate@cisco.com Vendor: Cisco Systems, Inc.

Release: IOS

Protocol Role: Client

XR

Name: Forhad Ahmed Email:foahmed@cisco.com Vendor: Cisco Systems, Inc.

Release: IOS-XR

Protocol Role: Client

JUNOS

Name: Hannes Gredler Email: hannes@juniper.net Vendor: Juniper Networks, Inc.

Release: JUNOS

Protocol Role: Client

```
rpki.net
      Name: Rob Austein
      Email: sra@hactrn.net
      Vendor: rpki.net project
      Release: <http://subvert-rpki.hactrn.net/trunk/>
      Protocol Role: Client, Server
   NCC
      Name: Tim Bruijnzeels
      Email: tim@ripe.net
      Vendor: RIPE NCC
      Release: RIPE NCC validator-app 2.0.0 <a href="https://github.com/RIPE-NCC">https://github.com/RIPE-NCC</a>
      /rpki-validator>
      Protocol Role: Server
   RTRlib
      Name: Fabian Holler, Matthias Waehlisch
      Email: waehlisch@ieee.org
      Vendor: HAW Hamburg, FU Berlin, RTRlib project
      Release: RTRlib 0.2 <http://rpki.realmv6.org/>
      Protocol Role: Client
   BBN
      Name: David Mandelberg, Andrew Chi
      Email: dmandelb@bbn.com
      Vendor: Raytheon/BBN Technologies
      Release: RPSTIR 0.2 <a href="http://sourceforge.net/projects/rpstir/">http://sourceforge.net/projects/rpstir/
      Protocol Role: Server
3. Protocol Data Units
   Does the implementation support Protocol Data Units (PDUs) as
   described in Section 5 of [RFC6810]?
   PO: Serial Notify
   P1: Serial Query
   P2: Reset Query
   P3: Cache Response
   P4: IPv4 Prefix
   P6: IPv6 Prefix
   P7: End of Data
```

P8: Cache Reset

P10: Error Report

  - 	IOS   	XR	JUNOS     	rpki .net clnt	rpki .net srvr	NCC   	RTR-   lib 	BBN   
+	+ – – – – -	+ +	+			+	+ – – – – – ·	+
Rcv.P0	YES	YES	YES	YES			YES	
Snd.P0					YES	YES		YES
Rcv.P1					YES	YES		YES
Snd.P1	YES	YES	YES	YES			YES	
Rcv.P2					YES	YES		YES
Snd.P2	YES	YES	YES	YES			YES	
Rcv.P3	YES	YES	YES	YES			YES	
Snd.P3					YES	YES		YES
Rcv.P4	YES	YES	YES	YES			YES	
Snd.P4					YES	YES		YES
Rcv.P6	YES	YES	YES	YES			YES	
Snd.P6					YES	YES		YES
Rcv.P7	YES	YES	YES	YES			YES	
Snd.P7					YES	YES		YES
Rcv.P8	YES	YES	YES	YES			YES	
Snd.P8					YES	YES		YES
Rcv.P10	YES	YES	NO~1	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Snd.P10	YES	NO	NO	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

Note 1: No, Error PDU gets silently ignored.

# 4. Protocol Sequence

Does the RPKI Router protocol implementation follow the four protocol sequences as outlined in Section 6 of [RFC6810]?

S1: Start or Restart

S2: Typical Exchange

S3: No Incremental Update Available

S4: Cache Has No Data Available

IOS   	XR	JUNOS	rpki .net clnt	rpki   .net   srvr	NCC   	RTRlib	BBN   
S1   YES     S2   YES     S3   YES     S4   YES	YES   YES   YES	YES YES YES YES	YES YES YES YES	YES   YES   YES   YES	YES   NO~1   YES   YES	YES   YES   YES   YES	YES     YES     YES     YES~2

Note 1: Does not implement Serial Query, thus Incremental Update is never available, so responds to Serial Query with Cache Reset as described in Section 6.3 of [RFC6810]

Note 2: Sends Cache Reset in response to Serial Query when no data; sends Error Report PDU in response to Reset Query when no data.

# 5. Protocol Transport

Does the RPKI Router protocol implementation support the different protocol transport mechanisms outlined in Section 7 of [RFC6810]?

     	l IOS	XR	JUNOS	rpki .net clnt	rpki   .net   srvr	NCC   	RTRlib	BBN
SSH	NO	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES
TLS	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
TCP	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
TCP-MD5	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
TCP-AO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
IPsec	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO

## 6. Error Codes

Does the RPKI Router protocol implementation support the different protocol error codes outlined in Section 10 of [RFC6810]?

	IOS	XR	JUNOS	rpki .net clnt	rpki .net srvr	NCC   	RTRlib   	BBN
Rcv.0	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Snd.0	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Rcv.1	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Snd.1	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Rcv.2	YES	YES	NO	YES			YES	
Snd.2					YES	YES		YES
Rcv.3	YES	YES	NO	YES			YES	
Snd.3					YES	YES		YES
Rcv.4	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Snd.4	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Rcv.5	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Snd.5	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Rcv.6					YES	YES~1		YES
Snd.6	YES	YES	NO	NO			YES	İ
Rcv.7					YES	YES~1		YES
Snd.7	YES	YES	NO	NO			YES	

Note 1: YES, but... fatal, so connection is dropped, but cache does not conclude it's inconsistent.

Informational Bush, et al. [Page 7]

# 7. Incremental Updates Support

Does the RPKI Router implementation support Incremental Updates as defined in Section 4 of [RFC6810]?

		JUNOS	rpki.net	rpki.net srvr			
NO	NO	YES	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES

# 8. Session ID Support

Session ID is used to indicate that the cache server may have restarted and that the incremental restart may not be possible.

Does the RPKI Router protocol implementation support the Session ID procedures outlined in Section 5.1 of [RFC6810]?

IOS	XR 	JUNOS	rpki.net clnt	rpki.net	NCC	RTRlib	BBN
YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO~1	YES	YES

Note 1: NO, using random, but will FIX

# 9. Incremental Session Startup Support

Does the RPKI Router protocol implementation support Incremental session startups with Serial Number and Session ID as defined in Section 5.3 of [RFC6810]?

IOS	XR	JUNOS	rpki.net	rpki.net srvr	NCC	RTRlib	BBN
YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES

## 10. Interoperable Implementations

List other implementations with which you have tested the interoperability of the RPKI Router implementation.

#### 10.1. Cisco Implementation

Cisco: The Cisco IOS and IOS-XR implementation should be interoperable with other vendor RPKI Router Protocol implementations. In particular, we have tested our interoperability with rpki.net's RPKI Router implementation.

# 10.2. Juniper Implementation

Juniper: The Juniper Networks, Inc. JUNOS implementation should be interoperable with other vendor RPKI Router Protocol implementations. In particular, we have tested our interoperability with rpki.net's and NCC's RPKI Router Cache implementation.

#### 10.3. rpki.net Implementation

rpki.net: The rpki.net implementation should operate with other rpkirtr implementations. In particular, we have tested our rpki-rtr server's interoperability with Cisco IOS, Cisco IOS-XR, and Juniper.

# 10.4. RIPE NCC Implementation

RIPE NCC: The RIPE NCC validator has been tested by us with other rpki-rtr implementations. In particular, we have tested with RTRlib and CISCO IOS. We received positive feedback from close contacts who tested our validator with JUNOS and Quagga.

## 10.5. RTRlib Implementation

RTRlib: The RTRlib has been tested by us with other rpki-rtr implementations. In particular, we have tested with rtr-origin from rpki.net and RIPE NCC Validator.

# 10.6. BBN RPSTIR Implementation

BBN RPSTIR: We have not yet tested with any other implementations.

## 11. Security Considerations

No new security issues are introduced to the RPKI Router protocol defined in [RFC6810].

# 12. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Andrew Chi, David Mandelberg, Fabian Holler, Forhad Ahmed, and Tim Bruijnzeels for their contributions to this document.

# 13. Normative References

[RFC6810] Bush, R. and R. Austein, "The Resource Public Key Infrastructure (RPKI) to Router Protocol", RFC 6810, January 2013.

# Authors' Addresses

Randy Bush Internet Initiative Japan 5147 Crystal Springs Bainbridge Island, Washington 98110

EMail: randy@psg.com

Rob Austein Dragon Research Labs

EMail: sra@hactrn.net

Keyur Patel Cisco Systems 170 West Tasman Drive San Jose, California 95134 US

EMail: keyupate@cisco.com

Hannes Gredler Juniper Networks, Inc. 1194 N. Mathilda Ave. Sunnyvale, California 94089 US

EMail: hannes@juniper.net

Matthias Waehlisch FU Berlin Takustr. 9 Berlin 14195 Germany

EMail: waehlisch@ieee.org

URI: http://www.inf.fu-berlin.de/~waehl