

Table 2: Large molecule generation performance. Only iterative denoising-based methods are reported here. Respective full versions in Tab. 10 (Guacamol) and Tab. 9 (MOSES), Appendix G.3.

Model	Guacamol					MOSES						
	Val. \uparrow	V.U. \uparrow	V.U.N. \uparrow	KL div \uparrow	FCD \uparrow	Val. \uparrow	Unique. \uparrow	Novelty \uparrow	Filters \uparrow	FCD \downarrow	SNN \uparrow	Scaf \uparrow
Training set	100.0	100.0	0.0	99.9	92.8	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.01	0.64	99.1
DiGress (Vignac et al., 2022)	85.2	85.2	85.1	92.9	68.0	85.7	100.0	95.0	97.1	1.19	0.52	14.8
DisCo (Xu et al., 2024)	86.6	86.6	86.5	92.6	59.7	88.3	100.0	97.7	95.6	1.44	0.50	15.1
Cometh (Siraudin et al., 2024)	<u>98.9</u>	<u>98.9</u>	<u>97.6</u>	<u>96.7</u>	<u>72.7</u>	<u>90.5</u>	<u>99.9</u>	92.6	99.1	<u>1.27</u>	<u>0.54</u>	<u>16.0</u>
DeFoG (10% steps)	91.7	91.7	91.2	92.3	57.9	83.9	<u>99.9</u>	<u>96.9</u>	96.5	1.87	0.50	23.5
DeFoG	99.0	99.0	97.9	97.7	73.8	92.8	<u>99.9</u>	92.1	<u>98.9</u>	1.95	0.55	14.4