sampling runs, each generating 40 graphs, reported as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. Full version in Tab. 7.  $\frac{Planar}{V.U.N.\uparrow} \frac{Tree}{Ratio\downarrow} \frac{SBM}{V.U.N.\uparrow} \frac{SBM}{Ratio\downarrow}$ 

1.0

490.2

2.0

3.0

5.1

431.4

251.9

16.0

210.3

2.1

1.8

3.2±1.1

1.6±0.4

100

0.0

0.0

90.0

0.0

0.0

75.0

95.0

100.0

73.5±9.0

96.5±2.6

1.0

607.0

607.0

1.6

850.7

11.4

5.2

33.2

4.0

2.5±1.0

1.6±0.4

85.9

5.0

25.0

52.5

60.0

0.0

7.5

10.0

5.0

75.0

85.0

85.0

66.2±1.4

75.0±3.7

86.5±5.3

90.0±5.1

1.0

14.7

9.7

2.2

1.7

51.4

38.6

11.9

48.8

10.5

1.1

 $2.2 \pm 0.3$ 

4.9±1.3

100

0.0

0.0

25.0

77.5

0.0

0.0

5.0

7.5

95.0

90.0

80.0

83.6+2.1

99.5±0.9

95.0±3.2

99.5±1.0

Autoregressive

Autoregressive

GAN

Diffusion

Diffusion

Diffusion

Autoregressive

Autoregressive

Diffusion

Diffusion

Flow

Diffusion

Diffusion

Flow

Flow

Train set

GraphRNN (You et al., 2018)

DiGress (Vignac et al., 2022)

GraphGen (Goyal et al., 2020)

Cometh (Siraudin et al., 2024)

HSpectre (Bergmeister et al., 2023)

CatFlow (Eijkelboom et al., 2024)

SPECTRE (Martinkus et al., 2022)

BwR (EDP-GNN) (Diamant et al., 2023)

GRAN (Liao et al., 2019)

EDGE (Chen et al., 2023)

BiGG (Dai et al., 2020)

GruM (Jo et al., 2024)

DisCo (Xu et al., 2024)

DeFoG (5% steps)

DeFoG

Table 1: Graph generation performance on the synthetic datasets: Planar, Tree and SBM. We present the results from five