



# Data Science Practice Report

## Arxiv Agent: Intelligent Research Paper Assistant

12111924 Youxin Zhu 12111609 Zimo Qi 12110615 Gengshang Dong 12112825 Kongchang Zhou 12111620 Yixuan Ding

### Introduction

In the contemporary research landscape, scholars and scientists often face the challenge of filtering and accessing relevant information from a vast pool of scientific publications. Particularly in the fields of computer science and artificial intelligence, arXiv serves as a primary platform for the dissemination of academic preprints, with numerous papers being published daily. To assist researchers in effectively tracking and accessing the latest scholarly work, we have developed a paper assistant system based on a Telegram group.

The core of this system is a Telegram bot that leverages the arXiv API to extract up-to-date paper information and employs natural language processing techniques to understand and respond to user queries. Users can interact directly with the bot within the Telegram group, entering topics or keywords of interest, and the bot provides real-time information on relevant papers, including titles, authors, abstracts, and links. This interactive mode not only enhances the efficiency of information retrieval but also greatly facilitates academic communication on mobile devices.

This report will detail the design philosophy, implementation methods, and potential application scenarios of this system. We will begin with the architecture of the system, analyze its technical details, and discuss its practical implications and future potential within the academic community. Through this innovative tool, we aim to foster the rapid dissemination and efficient utilization of scientific knowledge, thereby accelerating the pace of scientific research and technological innovation.


The rapid expansion of scientific literature, especially on platforms like Arxiv, has made it challenging for researchers to stay updated with the latest developments. With countless papers published daily across various domains, finding relevant and high-quality research has become a daunting task.



To address this, our team developed the "Arxiv Agent: Intelligent Research Paper Assistant." This tool uses advanced data crawling and processing techniques, coupled with a Large Language Model (LLM) and Retrieval Augmented Generation (RAG) module, to efficiently retrieve and present the most relevant research papers. The Arxiv Agent aims to simplify the literature review process, allowing researchers to focus on their core work while staying informed about the latest advancements. Our code is available at <https://github.com/qzm233/Arxiv-Agent>


### Overall Structure

The Arxiv Agent is an innovative research paper assistant designed to streamline the process of discovering and engaging with academic literature. Its framework comprises several key functional components that work together to provide a comprehensive solution for researchers:



1. **Data Crawling:** The framework initiates a data crawling mechanism that systematically fetches the latest research papers from Arxiv, ensuring the database remains current with the latest scholarly outputs.
2. **User Interaction (Chat):** The framework includes a chat module powered by GPT-3.5 (OpenAI), allowing users to interact with the system using natural language. Users can ask questions or request information related to specific research topics.
3. **Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG):** This module enhances search capabilities by combining the retrieval of relevant paper excerpts with the generation of new content based on user queries, providing detailed and contextual responses.
4. **LLM Similarity Match (New):** The framework utilizes a Large Language Model (LLM) to match the similarity between the content of research papers and user queries, helping to filter and identify the most relevant literature.


## Crawler and local database



- This part involves how to get data and create our basic database.
- We selected 19,000 papers from the computer science AI (cs.ai) domain on arXiv over the past six months for our experiments, i.e to test the RAG module. It is important to note that despite using arXiv's official API, we were still limited by the number of messages we could crawl. To overcome this limitation, we chose to perform a `time.sleep` after crawling a certain number of papers.
- The local database is arranged in JSON file on the daily base, within which contains the daily papers' information: the title, authors, abstract, submission date, and link. The local database will be further used for **New** and **RAG** functions. To be specific:
  - In **New** function, the latest 7-day papers will be used.

- In **RAG** function, the retrieval chunks will be created base on the local database.
- Considering database updates, you can add a part to the code to run the program on a schedule. Note that arXiv updates its papers at 8 AM on weekdays. If the user seeks the latest paper information from the bot, the crawler program will be invoked and the database will be updated. However, please pay attention that in **RAG** function, the chunks are created based on local database which is time-consuming. The procedure is provoked when the bot start and the new crawling data will be excluded if the chunks are not updated.

### LLM similarity matching module



General work flow of LLM similarity matching module.

- Large models demonstrate remarkable semantic matching capabilities and it is natural to compare queries with document abstracts to accurately determine their correlation.
- What we implement in this module is an accurate comparing system based on remote deployed LLaMa-3-8b. After invoking, the module transfers the latest paper abstracts to the remote server, who will return the top-ten papers best related to your query.
- The comparing procedure can be simplified that, one may append your query like **"Newest application of computer vision"** with and abstract and get access to the logits of last token. Extract the position of **Yes** and **No**, and get the probability after softmax. Details are shown below.

### A preliminary study

- There is a brief experiment which performs as a primary success. It inspires us that we can get explicit similarities by LLM thus to complete a recommendation task after ranking the paper list. For example, give the query and one abstract as a prompt to the LLM as follow.

```

This is an abstract of a paper: "Recent advancements in Large Language Models (LLM
s) have led to the development of Video Large Multi-modal Models (Video-LMMs) that
can handle a wide range of video understanding tasks. .... Based on our analysis,
we develop a training-free Dual-Step Contextual Prompting (DSCP) technique to enhan
ce the performance of existing Video-LMMs. .... " Does this paper related to one
of these domains:
{
* large neural network

```

```
* Video-LMMs,
}}
Answer directly Yes or No: Yes
```


- And the probability extracted from the last token is **Yes:No = 0.68:0.32**
- Then, if we change the query as

```
This is an abstract of a paper: "Recent advancements in Large Language Models (LLM
s) have led to the development of Video Large Multi-modal Models (Video-LMMs) that
can handle a wide range of video understanding tasks. .... Based on our analysis,
we develop a training-free Dual-Step Contextual Prompting (DSCP) technique to enhan
ce the performance of existing Video-LMMs. .... " Does this paper related to one
of these domains:
{
* Graph Neural Network
* Conformal Prediction
}}
Answer directly Yes or No: Yes
```

- The probability extracted is **Yes:No = 0.28:0.72**

Work Flow

0. Requirements:
- **Crawler:** acquire the latest paper list and arrange the data as json file into the local database. The crawler and the **database** has been introduced in [section 3](#)
  - **LLM:** deployed in remote server, i.e autoDL. We downloaded Llama-3-8b instruct in [ModelScope](#).
  - **Bot:** we integrate the function into telegram-api. One may check the function in detailed for personal use.
1. The customer query as ##QUERY##
2. **##QUERY## will be saved as .txt file** and **sent to remote server** via **SSH**. At the same time, the function will search the local database for latest 7-day papers and **crawl for missing data**.
3. The latest 7-day papers will be sent to remote server via SSH.
4. Meanwhile, in the remote server, the script will monitor for sent query and related papers. On query on site, the matching procedure starts. See **connect.py** for



The procedure of similarity extraction. The last token logits is based on the tokens before. The logits is a wordlist-length tensor from which we just extract logits of yes and no. Then softmax them as similarity.

1. The similarity is extracted as shown above.
2. A priority queue is maintained for storing top similarity.
5. Save the top-ten papers. Once the local function detect the results file, it will remove the file from the remote server and send it to user.

Details:

- We **do not generate the answer** but call the **.model()** function for the whole prompts. Then the last token logits is based on the all tokens before. Actually, the last word “Yes” is just the placeholder, one can replace it



to other tokens.

- The **'Instruct'** models means that the parameters has been finetuned via instructions. So the instruction here is important. We test for some variations and the results shows that the **clear instructions with somehow ordered format** is better. We use the prompt like this:

```
prompt = "Please judge that if this paper relates with all my demand: \n ##Abstract\n\n{\n}\n\n"
prompt = prompt + abstract + "\n}\n\n"
prompt = prompt + "##Demands##\n\n"
prompt = prompt + demand
prompt = prompt + "Does this paper relate to all my demands. Please answer directly\n\nYes or No: Yes"
```

- Validation:
  - We choose 50 papers from certain entities like CLIP, RLHF, Attention mechanism, GNN, Optimization, 10 for each. See result in **validation.ipynb**.
  - Then we query for each entities based on the 50 papers and calculate the recalls.


Entities	CLIP	RLHF	Attention	GNN	Optimization
Recall	0.8	0.9	0.8	1.0	0.7

Retrieval Augmented Generation

Motivation and Functioning

A successful arxiv agent needs to take any natural language input as query and output the corresponding solution to fulfill users' demand. Such technique can be powered by the outstanding ability of large language model. However, current pretrained large language models are trained on world corpus rather than specific domain knowledge. For our agent, we wish the agent can response according to some related knowledge of local database (like the arxiv documents database above), making LLM have a response more like an expert. Therefore, based on the motivation above, we adopt the technique of retrieval augmented generation to extract the most similar document from local database and empower the response of predefined LLM for more professional response.

Overall Architecture




The overall architecture are shown in the figure above: The module can be separated into two parts, frozen part and inference part.

- **Frozen:** This part is running every time newly content is added and the local database is updated. When the user doing inference, this part is frozen for system stability. First, start from a local database, the text splitter would split one whole document into several chunks for processing efficiency. The chunk size is set as 1024 in our code. For each chunk, the content would be processed by Sentence Bert to create corresponding embedding. The embedding and the corresponding text chunks will be loaded into Chroma vector database. Chroma can handle embedding similarity search and text retrieval.
- **Inference:** This part proceed when user gives a particular query, the query can be any natural language (better performance in English). In the example above, the query is formulated as: How do transformers enhance time series prediction in traffic analysis? Query will be input into Chroma. Actually, query will also be processed by Sentence Bert to generate embedding and the embedding will be utilized to match the most relevant pre-defined chunks embedding. The most relevant chunk content is return by Chroma and corporate with query to form a overall prompt and used for downstream question answering task performed by LLM. The prompt is delicately designed, more detail is shown in Appendix A.2 In our implementation, our LLM use OpenAI gpt3.5-turbo-instruct.

## Evaluation

After constructing the overall architecture, we are able to retrieve the related document in the vector database and combine it with query to prompt LLM for augmented generation. However, the effect of retriever is unknown, as we don't know whether the chunk retrieved is appropriate for the corresponding query and improve the response of answer. Below, we design an evaluation process, utilizing Deepseek as evaluator, and corporate with chain of thought process:



The evaluation is conducted with 109 sample documents: For a specific query, we can derive relevant chunk from vector database. The content of query and relevant chunk are then organized together to form a delicately designed prompt (details in Appendix A.3), which contains several key points:

- **System Character Acting:** We prompt the LLM as retrieval engine rater to do character acting.
- **Rating Mechanism:** Instead of letting LLM to comment the degree of matching between query and retrieved chunk, we let LLM to give a rating for each matching. This procedure inspires the quantification ability of LLM, making the rating more concise and trustworthy.
- **Chain of Thought Guiding:** Another aspect of ensuring LLM making reasonable judgement is to conduct chain of thought prompting. Beside utilizing traditional chain of thought prompt like "Split this problem into steps" or "Let's think step by step", we also instruct LLM to rate several aspects of content before output the final answer, which includes:
  - **M:** How well the retrieved content matches the query intent.
  - **T:** How trustworthy the retrieved context is.
  - **N:** How well the retrieved context covers the proper nouns in the query.

After rating the above aspects, we instruct LLM to output the final rating (**O**). Additionally, we utilized few shot prompts to instruct the output format as JSON, which can enhance the efficiency of processing output.

The evaluation result is shown:

Thought	M	T	N	O
2	70	55	2	67
1	35	54	23	38
0	4	0	84	4

Table 1: Performance of Retriever

Most of the evaluation scores are 2 points, indicating the feasibility of out architecture.

### Further Improvement

- For local datasets, it is promising to develop a more informative structure which may include any **preprocessing feathers** used for filtering and faster locating.
- In LLM similarity matching module, it seems that the total comparison is kind of slow. We conclude that most of matching is redundant. So it is important to exploit methods to improve the efficiency meanwhile maintain the accuracy. We emphasize the process of **pre-filtering**, i.e mapping the whole loading set to a rather small set according to the query. The possible methods should be developed together with a more representative dataset.
- In LLM similarity matching module, the implement of interaction between local device and remote server is ungraceful, i.e. circularly monitoring specific files. It is considered to use more modern communication tools like mqtt.
- For retrieval augmented generation, performance is limited when coming across hard query (e.g. Search for paper of a specific author). Fine-tuning or other advanced technique needs investigated.

### Reference

[Arxiv official api tutorial](#)

#### Tool

- [1] [Langchain](#)
- [2] [Meta: LLaMa-3-8b instruct](#)
- [3] [python-telegram-bot](#) library

#### Paper

- [1] Yunfan Gao, Yun Xiong, Xinyu Gao, Kangxiang Jia, Jinliu Pan, Yuxi Bi, Yi Dai, Jiawei Sun, and Haofen Wang. Retrieval-augmented generation for large language models: A survey. arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.10997, 2023.
  - [2] Paul Thomas, Seth Spielman, Nick Craswell, and Bhaskar Mitra. Large language models can accurately predict searcher preferences. arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.10621, 2023.
- <https://cloud.tencent.com/developer/article/1897625>

### Appendix

#### A.1: Crawler

```
import requests
from xml.etree import ElementTree
import time, json, numpy as np, calendar
from datetime import datetime, timedelta
import os
```

```

def fetch_papers_for_month(year, month):
    start_index = 0
    max_results = 100
    all_entries = []
    days_in_month = calendar.monthrange(year, month)[1]

    while True:
        url = f"http://export.arxiv.org/api/query?search_query=cat:cs.*+AND+submittedDate:[{year}{month:02d}010000+T0+{year}{month:02d}{days_in_month}2359]&start={start_index}&max_results={max_results}&sortBy=submittedDate&sortOrder=descending"
        try:
            response = requests.get(url)
            root = ElementTree.fromstring(response.content)
        except requests.RequestException as e:
            print(f"Request failed: {e}")
            break

        entries = root.findall('{http://www.w3.org/2005/Atom}entry')
        if not entries:
            break

        for entry in entries:
            paper_info = {
                'title': entry.find('{http://www.w3.org/2005/Atom}title').text.strip(),
                'link': entry.find('{http://www.w3.org/2005/Atom}link').attrib['href'],
                'abstract': entry.find('{http://www.w3.org/2005/Atom}summary').text.strip(),
                'subjects': [category.attrib['term'] for category in entry.findall('{http://www.w3.org/2005/Atom}category')],
                'authors': [author.find('{http://www.w3.org/2005/Atom}name').text for author in entry.findall('{http://www.w3.org/2005/Atom}author')],
                'published': entry.find('{http://www.w3.org/2005/Atom}published').text
            }
            all_entries.append(paper_info)

        start_index += len(entries)
        sleeptime = np.random.uniform(0.8, 1.2)
        time.sleep(sleeptime)

    return all_entries

def save_results(results, filepath):
    with open(filepath, 'w', encoding='utf-8') as f:
        json.dump(results, f, ensure_ascii=False, indent=4)
    print(f"Data has been saved to {filepath}")

def main():
    directory = '/DGS'
    if not os.path.exists(directory):
        os.makedirs(directory)

    filepath = os.path.join(directory, 'arxiv_papers8.json')
    start_date = datetime.now() - timedelta(days=180)
    end_date = datetime.now()

    results = []
    for year in range(start_date.year, end_date.year + 1):

```



```

        start_month = start_date.month if year == start_date.year else 1
        end_month = end_date.month if year == end_date.year else 12
        for month in range(start_month, end_month + 1):
            results.extend(fetch_papers_for_month(year, month))

    save_results(results, filepath)

if __name__ == "__main__":
    main()

```

## A.2: Prompt for augmented generation

```

"""
    You are a computer expert, you know about the knowledge about technology of
    computer science.
    The following context is related to computer science paper obtained from arxiv:
    {context}
    Please take a deep breath and try your best to answer the question:
    {question}
    If you don't know the answer, and give some information based on your knowledge
    that is true. Please make sure your answer is concise and the output format is in
    order. Most importantly, if the context given above includes paper related to the
    question, please attach the title of the paper and the corresponding url in your
    answer.
    Answer:
"""

```

## A.3: Prompt for evaluation process

```

"""
    You are a retrieval engine rater to evaluating the usefulness of given retrieved context
    2 = highly relevant, very helpful for this query
    1 = relevant, may be partly helpful but might contain other irrelevant content
    0 = not relevant, should never be shown for this query
    Assume that you are writing the report on the subject of the topic. If you would use any
    ### Query ###
    A person has typed {query} into a retriever.
    {augmented_query}

    ### Retrieved Context ###
    Consider the following context retrieved:
    ---Begin of the context---
    {context}
    ---End of the context---

    ### Instruction ###
    Split this problem into steps:
    Consider the underlying intent of the query.
    Measure how well the retrieved context matches a likely intent of the query (M).
    Measure how trustworthy the retrieved context is (T).
    Measure the extent to which retrieved context covers the scope of the specified proper no
    Consider the aspects above and the relative importance of each, and decide a final score
    Produce a JSON array of scores without providing any reasoning. Example: [{"M": 2, "T":

    ### Result ###
    [{
"""

```