Judgement of the Peers

Eli Bishop 4/5

When rating 1-5 with 1 being lackluster effort and 5 being outstanding effort, how much effort do you feel this group member put into this project?
4/5
Explain the reason for this score in detail:
Eli has shown great effort in trying to build that claw and was seen solving problem after problem on it. Pity that claw could not be installed onto the robot.

When rating 1-5 with 1 being not at all and 5 being an exceptional amount, how much did this team member contribute to the team's efforts throughout this project?
3/5
Explain the reason for this score in detail:
Eli appears to do well in fields he really likes, for example building and coding, even at one point refusing to write pseudocodes in order to directly work on his code. He appears to have a substantial amount of code on his github (at least on the machine he had worked on). He eventually wrote his pseudocodes.

When rating 1-5 with 1 being entirely non-functional and 5 being completely functional, how effective was this team member's final test case?
NaN/5
Explain the reason for this score in detail:
The code was unfortunately not unfinished, and was therefore not tested.

When rating 1-5 with 1 being not well at all and 5 being exceptionally well, how well do you think this team member performed throughout all stages of the project?
4/5
Explain the reason for this score in detail:
In hindsight, Eli would have worked even better if team management was better. He contributed very much to the team discussions, coming up with many of our unused solutions. He also worked hard on his code and his robot modifications.

Cedric Cunanan 4/5

When rating 1-5 with 1 being lackluster effort and 5 being outstanding effort, how much effort do you feel this group member put into this project?
4/5
When it came to work, he always seemed very focused on his task and assignments. And when the team discusses, he listens and gives his point of view, and suggestions of his own.

When rating 1-5 with 1 being not at all and 5 being an exceptional amount, how much did this team member contribute to the team's efforts throughout this project?
4/5
Explain the reason for this score in detail:
Cedric's contribution mainly lies in how he has reviewed our codes and made suggestions, like what needs commenting and where. He also contributed to discussions, making very valuable opinions on suggestions. He has also made a canva document for collaboration, but it did not seem to be used much.

When rating 1-5 with 1 being entirely non-functional and 5 being completely functional, how effective was this team member's final test case?
NaN/5
Explain the reason for this score in detail:
Cedric was focused on other stuff like reviewing code, and making suggestions.

When rating 1-5 with 1 being not well at all and 5 being exceptionally well, how well do you think this team member performed throughout all stages of the project?
3/5
Explain the reason for this score in detail:
Cedric had been trying to make this group feel more like an actual group task rather than a divide-the-workload-between-members. Although Cedric had not directly contributed much, he may have tried to increase communication between team members.