Homework 6 (Due 5/22)

Question 1 Consider a sample $\vec{x}_1, \ldots, \vec{x}_{10}$ from $\mathcal{N}_2(\vec{\mu}, \Sigma)$. The summary statistics are

$$\overline{m{x}} = egin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad m{S} = egin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (a) Find one-at-a-time 95% confidence intervals for μ_1 and μ_2 ;
- (b) Find simultaneous $\geq 95\%$ confidence intervals for $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_1 + \mu_2, \mu_1 \mu_2$ based on T^2 ;
- (c) Find simultaneous $\geq 95\%$ confidence intervals for μ_1 and μ_2 based on Bonferroni correction.

Question 2 Let $\vec{X} = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ X_3 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_3(\vec{\mu}, \Sigma)$ with $\vec{\mu} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \\ \mu_3 \end{bmatrix}$. Let $\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_{10}$ be an observed sample from the

above population, for which the sample mean and sample covariance matrix are

$$\bar{\vec{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{S}_x = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix},$$

respectively.

- 1. Find $\geq 95\%$ simultaneous confidence intervals for $\mu_1 \mu_2$ and $\mu_1 \mu_3$ with the T^2 based on the sample $\vec{x}_1, \ldots, \vec{x}_{10}$;
- 2. Suppose that the original sample is transformed to the 2-variate sample $\vec{y}_1, \ldots, \vec{y}_{10}$ by the linear transformation $Y_1 = X_1 X_2$ and $Y_2 = X_1 X_3$. Find $\geq 95\%$ simultaneous confidence intervals for $\mu_1 \mu_2$ and $\mu_1 \mu_3$ with the T^2 based on the new sample;
- 3. Find $\geq 95\%$ simultaneous confidence intervals for $\mu_1 \mu_2$ and $\mu_1 \mu_3$ with Bonferroni correction;
- 4. Compare the above results.

Question 3 We have introduced in class the Bonferroni-corrected two-sample test: $H_0: \vec{\mu}_1 - \vec{\mu}_2 = \vec{\delta}_0$ is rejected if

$$\max_{1 \le j \le p} \left| \frac{(\overline{x}_{1j} - \overline{x}_{2j}) - \delta_{0j}}{s_{pooled,j} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}} \right| \ge t_{n_1 + n_2 - 2} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2p} \right).$$

Prove the following Type I error control:

$$\mathbb{P}_{null}\left(\max_{1\leq j\leq p}\left|\frac{(\overline{X}_{1j}-\overline{X}_{2j})-\delta_{0j}}{S_{pooled,j}\sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1}+\frac{1}{n_2}}}\right|\geq t_{n_1+n_2-2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2p}\right)\right)\leq \alpha.$$

1

Question 4 Consider a sample of size $n_1 = 14$ from $\mathcal{N}_2(\vec{\mu}_1, \Sigma_1)$ and a sample of size $n_2 = 14$ from $\mathcal{N}_2(\vec{\mu}_2, \Sigma_2)$. Assume $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma_2$. The summary statistics for these two samples are

$$\overline{\vec{x}}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{S}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ -1 & 6 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \overline{\vec{x}}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{S}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- 1. Test $H_0: \vec{\mu}_1 = \vec{\mu}_2$ at the level of $\alpha = .05$ with Hotelling's T^2 ;
- 2. Find $\geq 95\%$ simultaneous confidence intervals for $\mu_{1j} \mu_{2j}$, $j = 1, \ldots, p$ with Bonferroni corrected t-tests:
- 3. Test $H_0: \vec{\mu}_1 = \vec{\mu}_2$ at the level of at most $\alpha = .05$ with Bonferroni corrected t-tests.

Question 5 Suppose we have the following two samples:

Sample 1:
$$\vec{x}_{11}, \ldots, \vec{x}_{1n_1}$$
 from $\mathcal{N}_p(\vec{\mu}_1, \Sigma_1)$,

Sample 2:
$$\vec{x}_{21}, \ldots, \vec{x}_{2n_2}$$
 from $\mathcal{N}_p(\vec{\mu}_2, \Sigma_2)$.

Two new samples are defined through the linear transformations $\vec{y}_{lj} = C\vec{x}_{lj} + \vec{d}$ for all l = 1, 2 and $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n_l$, where C is a $p \times p$ nonsingular matrix and \vec{d} is a $p \times 1$ vector. Based on Samples 1 and 2, the T^2 -statistic for testing $\vec{\mu}_1 = \vec{\mu}_2$ is denoted as T_x^2 . On the other hand, based on the two samples $\vec{y}_{11}, \ldots, \vec{y}_{1n_1}$ and $\vec{y}_{21}, \ldots, \vec{y}_{2n_2}$, the T^2 -statistic for testing the equality of vector means is denoted as T_y^2 . Show that $T_x^2 = T_y^2$.

Question 6 Consider two independent samples from 3-variate multivariate normal populations:

Population 1 with
$$\vec{\mu}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{11} \\ \mu_{12} \\ \mu_{13} \end{bmatrix}$$
: sample size $n_1 = 10$, $\bar{\vec{x}}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$, $S_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$; Population 2 with $\vec{\mu}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{21} \\ \mu_{22} \\ \mu_{23} \end{bmatrix}$: sample size $n_2 = 10$, $\bar{\vec{x}}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 6 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$, $S_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$.

Furthermore, assume the population covariance matrices of the two populations are the same. We aim to test

$$H_0: \mu_{11} - \mu_{21} = \mu_{12} - \mu_{22} = \mu_{13} - \mu_{23}.$$

- 1. Test H_0 with $\alpha = 0.05$ by Hotelling's T^2 ;
- 2. Test H_0 with $\alpha \leq 0.05$ by Bonferroni correction.

Hint: Find C such that the null can be written as $H_0: C\vec{\mu}_1 = C\vec{\mu}_2$.