Semiparametric Thresholding Least Squares Inference for Causal Effects with R

Pierre Chausse, Mihai Giurcanu, George Luta

Abstract

The vignette explains how to use the causalTLSE package to estimate thet causal effects using a semiparametric thresholding least squares methods.

Introduction

This document presents the causalTLSE package explaining in details all functions implemented in the package. It is intended for users interested in all the details about the methods presented in the paper and how they are implemented.

The main model is

$$Y = \beta_0(1-Z) + \beta_1 Z + f_0(X) + f_1(X) + \varepsilon$$
,

and it is approximated by the regression

$$Y = \beta_0(1-Z) + \beta_1 Z + \psi_0^T U_0(X)(1-Z) + \psi_1^T U_1(X)Z + u.$$

where $Y \in \mathbb{R}$ is the response variable, X is a $k \times 1$ vector of confounders, and $U_0(X) \in \mathbb{R}^{p_0}$ and $U_1(X) \in \mathbb{R}^{p_1}$ are spline vectors. We can represent $U_j(X)$, for j = 0, 1, into a block vector $\{U_{j1}(X_1)^T, U_{j2}(X_2)^T, ..., U_{jk}(X_k)^T\}^T$, where $U_{jl}(X_l) \in \mathbb{R}^{p_{jl}}$ is the vector of basis functions for group j associated with X_l , with $\sum_{l=1}^k p_{jl} = p_j$, j = 0, 1. The paper proposes a data-driven method for selecting the vectors $U_0(X)$ and $U_1(X)$.

To understand the package, it is important to know how the $U_{jl}(X_l)$'s are defined. To simplify the notation, we remove the subscript l from X and the subscripts j and l from $U_{jl}(X_l)$ and p_{jl} . We just need to keep in mind that $U(X) = \{U_s(X)\} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is different for the treated and control groups and also for different confounders. Let $\{\kappa_1, ..., \kappa_{p-1}\}$ be a set of p-1 knots strictly inside the support of X satisfying $\kappa_1 < \kappa_2 <, ..., < \kappa_{p-1}$. For a realization x and $p \ge 3$, we have the following bases:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} U_1(x) & = & xI(x \leq \kappa_1) + \kappa_1 I(x > \kappa_1) \\ U_p(x) & = & (x - \kappa_{p-1})I(x > \kappa_{p-1}) \\ U_s(x) & = & (x - \kappa_{s-1})I(\kappa_{s-1} \leq x \leq \kappa_s) + (\kappa_s - \kappa_{s-1})I(x > \kappa_s) \,, \end{array}$$

where the last $U_s(x)$ is defined for 2 < s < p. Therefore, if the number of knots is equal to 1, we only have the first two bases. Since the knots must be strictly inside the support of X, for any categorical variable with two levels, which includes as a special case binary variables, the number of knots must be equal to zero. In

^{*}University of Waterloo, pchausse@uwaterloo.ca

[†]University of Chicago, giurcanu@uchicago.edu

[‡]Georgetown University, George.Luta@georgetown.edu

this case, U(X) = X. For general nominal variables, the number of knots cannot exceed the number of levels minus two.

Note that for the sample regression

$$Y_i = \beta_0(1 - Z_i) + \beta_1 Z_i + \psi_0^T U_0(X_i)(1 - Z_i) + \psi_1^T U_1(X_i) Z_i + u_i,$$

for i = 1, ..., n, the knots of X_l , l = 1, ..., k, must be strictly inside the sample range of the vector $\{X_{li}\} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ instead of inside the support of X_l .

The causalTLSE package

Setting up the Model

The first step in using the package is to define a model. The model contains the information about the outcome, the treatment indicator, the covariates and their knots. This is the starting point before applying any basis selection method. To illustrate how to use the package, we are using the dataset from Lalonde (1986). The dataset, called nsw, contains some continuous and categorical variables, so we can illustrate how knots are selected initially. The dataset is included in the causalTLSE package.

```
library(causalTLSE)
data(nsw)
```

The outcome is the real income in 1978 (re78) and the purpose is to estimate the causal effect of a training program (treat) on the outcome. The dataset includes the continuous covariates age (age), education (ed) the 1975 real income (re75) and some binary variables (black, hisp, married and nodeg). We start by considering the covariates age, re75, ed and 'married. We can setup the model simply by running the following command:

```
model1 <- setModel(re78 ~ treat | ~ age + re75 + ed + married, data=nsw)</pre>
```

The left of | is designated for the formula linking the outcome (re78) and the treatment indicator (treat). The covariates are entered after | as a formula without a dependent variable. This method works like for formulas in 1m. For example, we can add interactions, transformations of the variables, etc. The following is an example:

This will create the vector of covariates {age, age², re75, ed, married, ed×married}. The function setModel creates an object of class tlseModel with its own print method, which will be presented later.

The following sub-sections explain all arguments of the function.

The starting knots

By default, the function automatically generates knots for each variable based on the following procedure. This procedure is applied separately for the treated and control groups. The term sample size means the number of observations in the treated or control group.

1. The starting number of knots is a function of the sample size and is determined by the argument nbases, a function of one argument, the sample size. The floor of what the function returns is the number of bases. The starting number of knots is therefore equal to the floor of what the function returns minus 1 (or 0 if this operation results in a negative number). The default function is function(n) n^0.3. For example, if the total sample size is 500, with 200 treated and 300 control, the starting number of knots in the treated and control groups are respectively equal to 3 (floor(200^0.3)-1) and 4 (floor(300^0.3)-1). It is possible to have a number of knots that does not depend on the sample size. All we need is to set the argument nbases to a function that returns an integer.

- 2. Let (p-1) be the number of knots determined by the previous step. The knots are obtained by computing p+1 quantiles of X for equally spaced probabilities from 0 to 1, and by dropping the first and last ones. For example, if the number of knots is equal to 3, we compute the quantiles for the probabilities 0.25, 0.5 and 0.75.
- 3. We drop any duplicated knots and any knots equal to either the max or the min of X. If the resulting number of knots is equal to 0, the vector of knots is set to NULL. When the knots is NULL for a variable X, it means that U(X) = X.

The last step implies that the number of knots for all categorical variables with two levels, which includes as a special case binary variables, is equal to 0. For nominal variables with a small number of levels, the number of knots may be smaller than the ones defined by **nbases**. For example, when the number of levels for a nominal variable is 3, the number of knots cannot exceed 1.

We can inspect the knots of the current model as follows. Note that each object in the package is S3-class, so the elements can be accessed using the operator \$. The elements knots0 and knots1 are the list of knots for the control and treated groups. For example, the knots for the treated are:

model1\$knots1

```
## $age
  20% 40% 60% 80%
##
    19 22
            25
##
##
  $re75
##
         40%
                              80%
                    60%
##
    357.9499 1961.8640 5588.6640
##
## $ed
  20% 40% 60% 80%
##
        10
            11
##
## $married
## NULL
```

We see that it is set to NULL for married, because it is a binary variable. The sample size for the treated is 297. Given the default nbases, it implies a number of starting knots equal to $4 (297^{0.3} - 1 = 4.519)$. This is the number of knots we have for ed and age, but not for re75. The reason is that re75 contains a large fraction of zeros. Since the 20% quantile is equal to 0 and 0 in also the minimum value of ed75, it is dropped (the type argument of the quantile function is the same as it is implemented in the package).

```
quantile(nsw[nsw$treat==1,'re75'], c(.2,.4,.6,.8), type=1)
```

```
## 20% 40% 60% 80%
## 0.0000 357.9499 1961.8640 5588.6640
```

By printing the object, we see a summary of the model. It includes the list of variable with a positive number of knots and the ones with no knots.

model1

```
## Semiparametric TLSE Model
## ************
##
## Number of treated: 297
## Number of control: 425
## Number of missing values: 0
## Selection Method: SLSE
## Covariates approximated by semiparametric TLSE:
```

```
## age, re75, ed
## Covariates not approximated by semiparametric TLSE:
## married
```

SLSE: We see that the selection method is set to SLSE, which stands for Semiparametric Least Squares Estimator. We refer to this when the knots are automatically selected by the method described above. Later in the document, we will present methods for selecting a subset of the SLSE using TLSE.

As another example, the simulated dataset simDat4 contains special types of covariates. It helps to further illustrate how the knots are determined. The dataset contains a continuous variable X1 with a large proportion of zeros, the nominal variables X2 and X3, with respectively 2 and 3 levels (the levels are {3,4} for X2 and {1,2,3} for X3), and a binary variable X4.

```
data(simDat4)
model2 <- setModel(Y~Z |~X1+X2+X3+X4, data=simDat4)</pre>
model2$knots0
## $X1
##
           40%
                       60%
                                   80%
##
    0.2531388
                2.9118507 12.1110772
##
## $X2
## NULL
##
## $X3
## 40%
##
     2
##
## $X4
## NULL
```

We see that the number of knots for the nominal variable with 2 levels is set to 0 and it is equal to 1 for the one with 3 levels.

Setting the number of knots to 0 for specific variables

To avoid having a positive number of knots for a variable, we can enter its name in the argument userRem. For example, if we want the number of knots to be zero for ed and age, we can create the model as follows:

```
## Semiparametric TLSE Model
## ***************
##
## Number of treated: 297
## Number of control: 425
## Number of missing values: 0
## Selection Method: SLSE
## Covariates approximated by semiparametric TLSE:
## re75
## Covariates not approximated by semiparametric TLSE:
## age, ed, married
```

We see that only re75 has a positive number of knots.

Setting the knots manually

We have the control over the knots through the arguments knots0 and knots1. When the arguments are missing (the default), all knots are set automatically. One way to set the number of knots to 0 for all variables in a given group is to set the argument to NULL. For example, the number of knots is equal to 0 for all variables of the treated group in the following:

```
setModel(re78~treat | ~age+re75+ed+married, data=nsw, knots1=NULL)
```

```
## Semiparametric TLSE Model
## ****************
##
## Number of treated: 297
## Number of control: 425
## Number of missing values: 0
## Selection Method: User Based
## Covariates approximated by semiparametric TLSE:
## Treated: None
## Control: age, re75, ed
## Covariates not approximated by semiparametric TLSE:
## Treated: age, re75, ed, married
## Control: married
```

Notice that the selection method is defined as "User Based" whenever knots are provided manually by the user. The other option is to provide a list of knots. The list must have the same length as the number of covariates. For each element, we have three options:

- NA: The knots are set automatically for this variable only.
- NULL: The number of knots is set to 0 for this variable only.
- A numeric vector: The vector cannot contain missing or duplicated values and must be strictly inside the range of the variable for the group.

Suppose you want to set for the control group an automatic selection for age, no knots for ed and the knots {1000,5000,10000} for re75, and the knots be automatically selected for the treated group. We proceed as follows. Note that setting the value to NA or NULL has the same effect for the binary variable married. In the following, the argument knots=TRUE is added to the print method to only print the knots.

```
## Lists of knots for the treated group
## ************
## age:
## 20%
       40%
            60%
                 80%
##
   19
        22
             25
                  28
## re75:
##
        40%
                              80%
                   60%
##
   357.9499
             1961.8640
                        5588.6640
## ed:
## 20%
       40%
            60%
                 80%
##
        10
             11
                  12
## married:
## None
##
## Lists of knots for the Control group
```

```
## age:
                                        66.66667%
   16.66667%
               33.33333%
                                  50%
                                                    83.33333%
##
           18
                                   23
                                                26
                                                            30
                       20
##
   re75:
##
      k1
              k2
                      k3
    1000
            5000
##
                  10000
## ed:
## None
## married:
## None
```

Estimating the model

Given the set of knots from the model object, the estimation is just a least squares method applied to the extended set of covariates. We want to estimate the model

$$Y = \beta_0(1-Z) + \beta_1 Z + \psi_0' U_0(X)(1-Z) + \psi_1' U_1(X)Z + u,$$

where $U_0(X)$ and $U_1(X)$ are defined above and depends on the model knots. The function that estimates the model is estModel. The function has three arguments, but two of them are mostly used internally by other functions. We present it in case it is needed. The arguments are:

- model: A model created by the function setModel.
- w0: A list of integers to select knots for the control group from the model. By default, all the knots are used.
- w1: A list of integers to select knots for the treated group from the model. By default, all the knots are used.

We illustrate with a simple model containing only two covariates and one knot per eligible variables.

```
model <- setModel(re78~treat | ~age+married, data=nsw,</pre>
                nbases=function(n) 2)
print(model, knots=TRUE)
## Lists of knots for the treated group
## **********
## age:
## 50%
##
   23
## married:
## None
##
## Lists of knots for the Control group
## **********
## age:
## 50%
   23
## married:
## None
fit <- estModel(model)</pre>
```

Semiparametric TLSE Estimate

```
## Selection Method: SLSE
##
##
  factor(treat)0
                   factor(treat)1
                                           Xf0age_1
                                                             Xf0age_2
                                                                            Xf0married
##
       4558.28061
                        3754.98326
                                           27.79868
                                                            -12.51415
                                                                            -115.81593
##
         Xf1age 1
                          Xf1age 2
                                         Xf1married
         89.25358
                          22.22331
                                         1435.28205
##
```

The object has its own print method that returns the coefficient estimates. A more detrailed presentation of the results can be obtained using the summary method. The following is an example with just one knot per eligible variable.

summary(fit)

```
## Semiparametric TLSE Estimate
   *********
## Selection Method: SLSE
##
##
                  Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## factor(treat)0
                   4558.28
                              3380.43
                                         1.348
                                                  0.178
## factor(treat)1
                   3754.98
                              4043.48
                                         0.929
                                                  0.353
                                         0.169
## Xf0age_1
                     27.80
                               164.59
                                                  0.866
## XfOage 2
                    -12.51
                                67.11
                                        -0.186
                                                  0.852
## XfOmarried
                   -115.82
                               859.66
                                        -0.135
                                                  0.893
## Xf1age 1
                     89.25
                               194.19
                                         0.460
                                                  0.646
## Xf1age_2
                     22.22
                                76.46
                                         0.291
                                                  0.771
## Xf1married
                   1435.28
                              1014.69
                                         1.415
                                                  0.157
##
## Multiple R-squared: 0.009618,
                                    Adjusted R-squared:
```

For example, the coefficient of Xf0age_1 is the effect of age for the control on re78 when age is less than the first knot (23) and Xf0age_2 is the effect when age is greater then the first knot. Note that the R^2 and adjusted R^2 are different from what we obtain using the summary of the 1m object:

```
summary(fit$lm.out)[c("r.squared","adj.r.squared")]
```

```
## $r.squared
## [1] 0.4379272
##
## $adj.r.squared
## [1] 0.4316295
```

This is because our model does not contain an intercept and the R^2 is computed differently for models without an intercept. The definition of the R^2 used by R is the following (RSS means residual sum of squares):

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{\text{RSS for the model with the regressors}}{\text{RSS for the model without the regressors}}$$

In a model with an intercept, the residual of the model without the regressors is $Y_i - \bar{Y}$, but it is equal to Y_i when the model does not have an intercept. As a result, the R^2 with and without an intercept are

$$R_{with}^{2} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{e}_{i}^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{i} - \bar{Y})^{2}}$$
$$R_{without}^{2} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{e}_{i}^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}^{2}}$$

However, our model does contain an intercept since we include a binary variable for both the control and treated groups.

The predict and plot method

The predict method is very similar to the predict.lm method. We use the same arguments: object, interval, se.fit, newdata and level. The difference is that it returns the predicted outcome for the treated and control groups separately and the argument vcov., a function like vcovHC or vcovCL fro the sandwich package, can be used to compute robust standard errors. By default, the standard errors are computed using vcov.lm (valid under the homoskedasticity assumption). Since there are many options for computing robust standard errors, we let the user chooses what is the most appropriate one when it is needed. The function return a list of 2 elements, treated and control. By default (se.fit=FALSE and interval="none"), each element contains a vector of predictions. Here is an example with the previously fitted model fit:

```
predict(fit, newdata=data.frame(treat=c(1,1,0,0),age=20:23, married=1))
```

```
## $treated
## [1] 6975.337 7064.591
##
## $control
## [1] 5054.036 5081.834
```

If interval is set to "confidence", but \$se.fit remains equal to FALSE, each element contains a matrix containing the prediction, and the lower and upper bound of the confidence interval, with the confidence level determined by the argument level. Here is an example with the same fitted model:

```
## $treated
## fit lower upper
## 1 6975.337 4960.082 8990.592
## 2 7064.591 5119.244 9009.937
##
## $control
## fit lower upper
## 3 5054.036 3455.978 6652.093
## 4 5081.834 3423.558 6740.110
```

If se.fit is set to TRUE, each element, treated or control, is a list with the elements pr, containing the predictions, and se.fit, containing the standard errors. In the following, we only show the result for the treated:

```
## $fit
## [1] 6975.337 7064.591
##
## $se.fit
## 1 2
## 1028.2100 992.5422
```

The predict method is called by the plot method to visually assess the predicted outcome for the treated and control groups with respect to a given covariate, controlling for the other covariates in the model. The arguments of the plot method are:

• x: An object of class tlseFit.


- y: An alias for which for compatibility with the generic plot function.
- which: covariate to plot against the outcome variable. It could be an integer (the position of the covariate) or a character (the name of the covariate)
- interval: The type of confidence interval to include. The default is "none". The other alternative is "confidence".
- level: The confidence level when interval="confidence". The default is 0.95.
- newdata: An optional named vector of fixed values for some or all other covariates.
- legendPos: The position of the legend. The default is "topright".
- **vcov.**: An optional function to compute the estimated matrix of covariance of the least squares estimators. This argument only affects the confidence intervals.
- col0, col1, lty0, lty1: The line colors and shapes for the control and treated. The defaults are col0=1 (black), col1=2 (red), lty0=1 (solid) and lty1=2 (dashed).
- add.: Should the curves be added to an existing plot? The default is FALSE.
- addToLegend: An optional character string to add to the legend next to "treated" and "control".
- cex: The font size for the legend. The default is 1.
- ylim, xlim: optional ranges for the y-axis and x-axis.
- addPoints: Should we include the scatterplot of the outcome and covariate to the graph? The default is FALSE.
- **FUN**: A function to determine how the other covariates are fixed. The default is **mean**. Note that the function is applied to each group separately.
- main: An optional title to replace the default one.
- **...*: Other arguments are passed to the vcov. function.

In the following, we illustrate some examples. Consider the model:

```
model1 <- setModel(re78~treat | ~age+re75+ed+married, data=nsw)
fit1 <- estModel(model1)</pre>
```


Suppose we want to compare the predicted income with respect to age or education, holding the other covariates fixed to their group means (the default).

The following are two examples with some of the default arguments modified:




If we want to fix the other covariates using another function, we can change the argument FUN. The new function must be a function of one argument. For example, if we want to fix the other covariates to their group medians, we set FUN to median (no quotes). We proceed the same way for any function that requires only one argument (e.g. mode). If the function requires more than one argument, we have to create a new function. For example, if we want to fix them to their 20% group quantiles, we can set the argument to function(x) quantile(x, .20). The following illustrates the two cases:

```
plot(fit1, "age", FUN=mode)
plot(fit1, "age", FUN=function(x) quantile(x, .20))
```





It is also possible to set some of the other covariates to a specific value by changing the argument newdata. This argument must be a named vector with the names corresponding to the variables you want to fix. You can also add a description to the legend with the argument addToLegend.

```
plot(fit1, "age", newdata=c(married=1, re75=10000), addToLegend="married", cex=0.8)
plot(fit1, "age", newdata=c(married=0, re75=10000), addToLegend="non-married", cex=0.8)
```




Outcome VS age using TLSE





To be better compare the two, it is also possible to have them plotted on the same graph by setting the argument add. to TRUE. We just need to adjust some of the arguments to better distinguish the different curves. In the following example, we set the colors and line shapes to different values and change the position of the legend in the second plot function.


Outcome VS age using TLSE



Finally, it is also possible to add the observed points to the graph.

Outcome VS ed using TLSE Outcome VS ed using TLSE Outcome VS ed using TLSE Outcome VS ed using TLSE

ed



Optimal selection of the knots

We propose two methods for selecting the knots: the backward (BTLSE) and the forward (FTLSE) methods. For each method, we propose 3 criteria: the asymptotic (ASY), the Akaike Information (AIC) and the Bayesian Information (BIC). The two selection methods can be summarized as follows:

BTLSE:

- 1. We estimate the model with all knots included in the model.
- 2. For each knot, we test if the slopes of the basis function are the same before and after, and return the p-value.
- 3. The knots are selected using one of the following criteria
 - **ASY**: We remove all knots with a p-value greater than a specified threshold.
 - AIC or BIC: We order the p-values in descending order. Then, going from the largest to the smallest, we remove the knot associated with the p-value one by one, estimate the model and return the information criterion. We keep the model with the smallest information citerion.

FTLSE:

- 1. We estimate the model by including a subset of the knots one variable at the time. When we test a knot for one variable, the number of knots is set to 0 for all the others.
- 2. For each knot, we test if the slope of the piecewise linear polynomial is the same before and after, and return the p-value. The set of knots used for each test depends on the following:
 - Variables with 1 knot: we return the p-value of the test of equality before and after the knot.
 - Variables with 2 knots: we include the two knots and return the p-values of the test of equality before and after for each knot.
 - Variables with p knots (p > 2): We test the equality before and after the knot i, for i = 1, ..., p, using the sets of knots $\{1, 2\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{2, 3, 4\}, ..., \{p 2, p 1, p\}$ and $\{p 1, p\}$ respectively.
- 3. The knots are selected using one of the following criteria
 - ASY: We remove all knots with a p-value greater than a specified threshold.
 - AIC or BIC: We order the p-values in ascending order. Then, starting with a model with no knots and going from the smallest to the highest highest p-value, we add the knot

associated with the p-value one by one, estimate the model and return the information criterion. We keep the model with the smallest information citerion.

The knot selection is done using the function selTLSE. The arguments are:

- model: An object of class tlseModel.
- method: This is the selection method. We have the choice between "FTLSE" (the default) and "BTLSE".
- **crit**: This is the criterion used by the selection method. We have the choice between "AIC" (the default), "BIC" or "ASY".
- pvalT: This is a function that returns the p-value threshold. It is a function of one argument, the average number of knots per covariate. The default is function(p) 1/log(p). It is also possible to set it to a fix threshold. For example, function(p) 0.20 set the threshold to 0.2. This argument affects the result only when method is set to "ASY".
- vcov.: By default, the p-values are computed with the lm covariance matrix method vcov. Alternatively, we can use sandwich estimators like vcovHC.
- ...: This is used to pass arguments to the vcov. function.

The function returns a model of class tlseModel with the optimal selection of knots. For example, we can compare the starting knots of model1, with the model selected by the default arguments. print(model1, knots=TRUE)

```
## Lists of knots for the treated group
## ***********
## age:
## 20% 40% 60% 80%
## 19
       22
            25
                 28
## re75:
        40%
##
                  60%
                            80%
## 357.9499 1961.8640
                      5588,6640
## ed:
## 20%
       40% 60% 80%
##
   9
        10
            11
                 12
## married:
## None
##
## Lists of knots for the Control group
## ***********
## age:
## 16.66667% 33.33333%
                            50% 66.66667% 83.33333%
##
                   20
                             23
                                       26
                                                 30
        18
        50% 66.66667% 83.33333%
##
##
  823.2544 2292.1710
                      6567.3290
## ed:
## 16.66667%
            33.33333% 66.66667%
                                 83.33333%
                   10
                             11
## married:
## None
model2 <- selTLSE(model1)</pre>
print(model2, knots=TRUE)
## Lists of knots for the treated group
```

```
## age:

## 20% 60% 80%

## 19 25 28

## re75:

## None

## ed:

## 80%
```

```
## 12
## married:
## None
##
## Lists of knots for the Control group
## ************
## age:
## None
## re75:
        50% 83.33333%
##
## 823.2544 6567.3290
## ed:
## 16.66667% 66.66667%
##
         9
## married:
## None
```

For example, the method has removed all knots from re75 for the treated group and kept two knots for the control group. The print method indicates which method was used to select the knots. In the following example, we see BTLSE as selection method and BIC as criterion. Note that the BIC selects 0 knots for all covariates.

```
model3 <- selTLSE(model1, method="BTLSE", crit="BIC")</pre>
model3
## Semiparametric TLSE Model
  ********
##
## Number of treated:
## Number of control:
                      425
## Number of missing values:
## Selection Method: BTLSE
## Criterion: BIC
##
## Covariates approximated by semiparametric TLSE:
## Covariates not approximated by semiparametric TLSE:
  age, re75, ed, married
Since the function selTLSE function returns a new model, we can apply the estModel to it:
estModel(selTLSE(model1, method="FTLSE", crit="BIC"))
## Semiparametric TLSE Estimate
## ***********
## Selection Method: FTLSE
## Criterion: BIC
##
## factor(treat)0
                  factor(treat)1
                                           Xf0age
                                                          Xf0re75
                                                                            Xf0ed
##
     4.825878e+03
                   -3.889679e+02
                                    -2.010566e+01
                                                     2.982477e-01
                                                                     2.500219e+00
##
       Xf0married
                           Xf1age
                                          Xf1re75
                                                            Xf1ed
                                                                       Xf1married
   -1.094084e+03
                     4.105403e+01
                                     2.676162e-02
                                                     4.849161e+02
                                                                     1.417291e+03
```

The causalTLSE method for tlseFit objects

The regression estimated by estModel, or the one defined in the introduction, can be written as

$$Y_i = \beta_0(1 - Z_i) + \beta_1 Z_i + \psi'_0[U_0(X_i)(1 - Z_i)] + \psi'_1[U_1(X_i)Z_i] + u_i \text{ for } i = 1, ..., n.$$

Let $\hat{\beta}_0$, $\hat{\beta}_1$, $\hat{\psi}_0$ and $\hat{\psi}_1$ be the least squares estimates of the above model. Then, the TLSE average causal effect (ACE), causal effect on the treated (ACT) and causal effect on the non-treated (ACN) are defined respectively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{ACE} &= & \hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\phi}_1' \overline{U_1} - \hat{\phi}_0' \overline{U_0} \\ & \text{ACT} &= & \hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\phi}_1' \overline{U_1 Z} - \hat{\phi}_0' \overline{U_0 Z} \\ & \text{ACN} &= & \hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\phi}_1' \overline{U_1 (1 - Z)} - \hat{\phi}_0' \overline{U_0 (1 - Z)} \,, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\overline{U_j} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} U_j(X_i), \text{ for j=0,1}$$

$$\overline{U_j Z} = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} U_j(X_i) Z_i, \text{ for j=0,1}$$

$$\overline{U_j(1-Z)} = \frac{1}{n_0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} U_j(X_i) (1-Z_i), \text{ for j=0,1}$$

and n_0 and n_1 are the sample size in the control and treated groups. The method causalTLSE estimates the causal effects from tlseFit objects using the knots included in the estimated model. The arguments of the method are:

• object: An object of class tlseFit.

- seType: The method to compute the standard errors of the causality measures. By default, they are computed using an analytic expression derived in the paper. Alternatively, we can set the argument to "lm" and use the least squares standard errors based on the asymptotic properties.
- causal: What causality measure should the function compute? We have the choice between "All" (the default), "ACT", "ACE" or "ACT".
- vcov.: An alternative function used to compute the covariance matrix of the least squares estimates. By default, vcov.lm is used. For example, we can set the argument to vcovHC from the sandwich package to compute heteroskedastic robust standard errors.
- ...: This is used to pass arguments to the vcov. function.

In the following example, we estimate the causal effect with the initial knots (without selection).

```
model1 <- setModel(re78 ~ treat | ~ age + re75 + ed + married, data=nsw)
fit1 <- estModel(model1)
causalTLSE(fit1)
## Causal Effect using Semiparametric TLSE</pre>
```

Selection Method: SLSE ## ## ACE = 814.3083 ## ACT = 831.8856 ## ACN = 802.0249

We see that the selection method used to select the knots are set to SLSE. This is explained in the section "Setting up the Model". The method returns an object of class causaltlse. We see above what its print method returns. The following shows its summary method:

```
ce <- causalTLSE(fit1)
summary(ce)</pre>
```

```
## Causal Effect using Semiparametric TLSE
## ***********
## Selection Method: SLSE
##
      Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                          1.689
## ACE
         814.3
                   482.1
                                 0.0912 .
## ACT
         831.9
                   499.5
                          1.665
                                 0.0958 .
         802.0
## ACN
                   498.9
                          1.608
                                 0.1079
##
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

By default, the standard errors are computed using an analytic expression derived in the paper. In the following, we estimate the standard errors using the HC3 type of heteroskedasticity robust standard errors.


```
ce2 <- causalTLSE(fit1, seType="lm", vcov.=vcovHC, type="HC3")
summary(ce2)</pre>
```

```
## Causal Effect using Semiparametric TLSE
  ***********
  Selection Method: SLSE
      Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
##
## ACE
         814.3
                   506.1
                          1.609
                                   0.108
                                   0.115
## ACT
         831.9
                   527.4
                          1.577
## ACN
         802.0
                   514.2
                          1.560
                                   0.119
```

The object causaltlse inherits from the class tlseFit, so we can apply the plot (or the predict) method directly on this object.

```
plot(ce2, "re75")
```

Outcome VS re75 using TLSE



The extract method

The package comes with an extract method for objects of class causaltlse, which is a required method for creating Latex tables using the texreg package. For example, we can compare different methods in a single table.

```
library(texreg)
c1 <- causalTLSE(fit1)
fit2 <- estModel(selTLSE(model1, method="BTLSE"))
fit3 <- estModel(selTLSE(model1, method="FTLSE"))
c2 <- causalTLSE(fit2)
c3 <- causalTLSE(fit3)
texreg(list(SLSE=c1, BTLSE=c2, FTLSE=c3), table=FALSE, digits=4)</pre>
```

	SLSE	BTLSE	FTLSE
ACE	814.3083	824.4901	817.4254
	(482.1393)	(481.8267)	(483.0555)
ACT	831.8856	852.4659	835.2916
	(499.4948)	(496.6795)	(499.2405)
ACN	802.0249	804.9401	804.9401
	(498.8671)	(490.4101)	(491.4644)
Num. knots (Control)	12	6	4
Num. knots (Treated)	11	4	4
Num. covariates	4	4	4
Num. obs. (Control)	425	425	425
Num. obs. (Treated)	297	297	297
\mathbb{R}^2	0.0869	0.0840	0.0812
R_{adj}^2	0.0445	0.0592	0.0590
*** $p < 0.001$; ** $p < 0.01$; * $p < 0.05$			

The option table=FALSE, from the texreg package, is to remove the Latex floating table environment. With this option, the table appears right after the code instead of being placed somewhere else by Latex. The arguments of the extract methods, which control what is printed and can be modified through the texreg function, are:

- include.nobs: Should the number of observations be printed? The default is TRUE.
- include.nknots: Should the number of knots be printed? The default is TRUE.
- include.rsquared: Should the R^2 be printed? The default is TRUE.
- include.adjrsquared: Should the adjusted R^2 be printed? The default is TRUE.
- which: Which causal effects should be printed? The options are "ALL" (the default), "ACE", "ACT", "ACN", "ACE-ACT", "ACE-ACN" or "ACT-ACN".

Here is one example on how to change some arguments:

	SLSE	BTLSE	FTLSE
ACE	814.31	824.49	817.43
	(482.14)	(481.83)	(483.06)
ACT	831.89	852.47	835.29
	(499.49)	(496.68)	(499.24)
Num. knots (Control)	12	6	4
Num. knots (Treated)	11	4	4
Num. covariates	4	4	4
Num. obs. (Control)	425	425	425
Num. obs. (Treated)	297	297	297
\mathbb{R}^2	0.09	0.08	0.08
*** $p < 0.001$; ** $p < 0.01$; * $p < 0.05$			

The causalTLSE method for tlseModel objects

When applied directly to tlseModel objects, the causalTLSE method offers the possibility to select the knots and estimate the causal effects all at once. The method also returns an object of class causaltlse. The arguments are the same as the method for tlseFit objects, plus the necessary arguments for the knots

selection. The following are the arguments not already defined for objects of class tlseFit. The details of these arguments are presented in the section Optimal selection of knots.

- object: An object of class tlseModel.
- **selType**: This is the selection method. We have the choice between "SLSE" (the default), "FTLSE" and "BTLSE". The SLSE method performs no selection, so all knots from the model are kept.
- **selCrit**: This is the criterion used by the selection method. We have the choice between "AIC" (the default), "BIC" or "ASY".
- **pvalT**: This is a function that returns the p-value threshold. We explained this argument when we presented the **selTLSE** function.

For example, we can generate the previous table as follows.

```
c1 <- causalTLSE(model1, selType="SLSE")
c2 <- causalTLSE(model1, selType="BTLSE")
c3 <- causalTLSE(model1, selType="FTLSE")
texreg(list(SLSE=c1, BTLSE=c2, FTLSE=c3), table=FALSE, digits=4)</pre>
```

	SLSE	BTLSE	FTLSE
ACE	814.3083	824.4901	817.4254
	(482.1393)	(481.8267)	(483.0555)
ACT	831.8856	852.4659	835.2916
	(499.4948)	(496.6795)	(499.2405)
ACN	802.0249	804.9401	804.9401
	(498.8671)	(490.4101)	(491.4644)
Num. knots (Control)	12	6	4
Num. knots (Treated)	11	4	4
Num. covariates	4	4	4
Num. obs. (Control)	425	425	425
Num. obs. (Treated)	297	297	297
\mathbb{R}^2	0.0869	0.0840	0.0812
R_{adj}^2	0.0445	0.0592	0.0590
*** $n < 0.001$: ** $n < 0.01$: * $n < 0.05$			

A simulated data set from Model 1

In the package, the data set datSim1 is generated using the following data generating process with a sample size of 300.

$$Y(0) = 1 + X + X^{2} + e$$

 $Y(1) = 1 - 2X + u$
 $Z = B[\Lambda(1 + X)]$
 $Y = Y(1)Z + Y(0)(1 - Z)$

where X, e and u are independent standard normal, $\Lambda(x)$ is the CDF of the standard logistic distribution and B(p) is the Bernoulli distribution. The causal effects ACE, ACT and ACN are approximately equal to -1, -1.6903 and 0.5867 (estimated using a sample size of 10 millions). We can start by building starting model:


```
data(simDat1)
mod <- setModel(Y~Z | ~X, data=simDat1)</pre>
```

Then we can compare three different methods:

```
c1 <- causalTLSE(mod, selType="SLSE")
c2 <- causalTLSE(mod, selType="BTLSE", selCrit="BIC")
c3 <- causalTLSE(mod, selType="FTLSE", selCrit="BIC")
texreg(list(SLSE=c1, BTLSE=c2, FTLSE=c3), table=FALSE, digits=4)</pre>
```

	GE GE	DET OF	DEL CE
	SLSE	BTLSE	FTLSE
ACE	-1.4396***	-1.4530***	-1.4530***
	(0.2614)	(0.2605)	(0.2605)
ACT	-1.9316***	-1.9316***	-1.9316***
	(0.3030)	(0.3024)	(0.3024)
ACN	-0.0865	-0.1369	-0.1369
	(0.3263)	(0.3224)	(0.3224)
Num. knots (Control)	2	2	2
Num. knots (Treated)	4	0	0
Num. covariates	1	1	1
Num. obs. (Control)	80	80	80
Num. obs. (Treated)	220	220	220
\mathbb{R}^2	0.7434	0.7386	0.7386
R_{adj}^2	0.7354	0.7342	0.7342
*** $p < 0.001$; ** $p < 0.01$; * $p < 0.05$			


We see that both selection methods choose to assign 0 knots for the treated group, which is not surprising since the true $f_1(x)$ is linear. We can compare the different fits (we ignore the FTLSE because the selected knots are the same):



We see that the piecewise polynomials are very close to the true $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)$. We can see from the following graph how the lines are fit through the observations by group.

```
plot(c1, "X", addPoints=TRUE)
```

Outcome VS X using TLSE



A simulated data set from Model 2

The dataset datSim2 was generated using the following data generating process. It is change point (piecewise) regression model.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Y(0) & = & (1+X)I(X \leq -1) + (-1-X)I(X > -1) + e \\ Y(1) & = & (1-2X)I(X \leq 0) + (1+2X)I(X > 0) + u \\ Z & = & \mathrm{B}[\Lambda(1+X)] \\ Y & = & Y(1)Z + Y(0)(1-Z) \end{array}$$

where I(A) is the indicator function equal to 1 if A is true, X, e and u are independent standard normal, $\Lambda(x)$ is the CDF of the standard logistic distribution and B(p) is the Bernoulli distribution. The causal effects ACE, ACT and ACN are approximately equal to 3.763, 3.858 and 3.545 (estimated with a sample size of 10 millions). We can compare the SLSE, BTLSE with AIC and BTLSE with BIC.

```
data(simDat2)
mod <- setModel(Y~Z | ~X, data=simDat2)


c1 <- causalTLSE(mod, selType="SLSE")
c2 <- causalTLSE(mod, selType="BTLSE", selCrit="BIC")
c3 <- causalTLSE(mod, selType="BTLSE", selCrit="AIC")
texreg(list(SLSE=c1, BTLSE.BIC=c2, BTLSE.AIC=c3), table=FALSE, digits=4)</pre>
```

	SLSE	BTLSE.BIC	BTLSE.AIC
ACE	3.9290***	3.9201***	3.9201***
	(0.1703)	(0.1717)	(0.1717)
ACT	3.9552***	3.9404***	3.9404***
	(0.1891)	(0.1904)	(0.1904)
ACN	3.8670***	3.8721***	3.8721***
	(0.2371)	(0.2362)	(0.2362)
Num. knots (Control)	2	1	1
Num. knots (Treated)	3	2	2
Num. covariates	1	1	1
Num. obs. (Control)	89	89	89
Num. obs. (Treated)	211	211	211
\mathbb{R}^2	0.7833	0.7829	0.7829
R_{adj}^2	0.7774	0.7784	0.7784

^{***}p < 0.001; **p < 0.01; *p < 0.05

The following shows the fit of BTLSE-AIC with the true $f_1(x)$ and $f_0(x)$, and the observations.

Outcome VS X using TLSE True-treated True-control True-control True-treated True-control True-x and x a



A simulated data set from Model 3

In the package, the data set datSim3 is generated using the following data generating process with a sample size of 300. This model is presented as a case of multiple covariates.

$$Y(0) = [1 + X_1 + X_1^2] + [(1 + X_2)I(X_2 \le -1) + (-1 - X_2)I(X_2 > -1)] + e$$

$$Y(1) = [1 - 2X_1] + [(1 - 2X_2)I(X_2 \le 0) + (1 + 2X_2)I(X_2 > 0)] + u$$

$$Z = B[\Lambda(1 + X_1 + X_2)]$$

$$Y = Y(1)Z + Y(0)(1 - Z),$$

where I(A) is the indicator function equal to 1 if A is true, X_1 , X_2 , e and u are independent standard normal, $\Lambda(x)$ is the CDF of the standard logistic distribution and B(p) is the Bernoulli distribution. The causal effects ACE, ACT and ACN are approximately equal to 2.762, 2.204 and 3.922 (estimated with a sample size of 10 millions). We can compare the SLSE, FTLSE with AIC and FTLSE with BIC.

```
data(simDat3)
mod <- setModel(Y~Z | ~X1+X2, data=simDat3)


c1 <- causalTLSE(mod, selType="SLSE")
c2 <- causalTLSE(mod, selType="FTLSE", selCrit="BIC")
c3 <- causalTLSE(mod, selType="FTLSE", selCrit="AIC")
texreg(list(SLSE=c1, FTLSE.BIC=c2, FTLSE.AIC=c3), table=FALSE, digits=4)</pre>
```

	SLSE	FTLSE.BIC	FTLSE.AIC
ACE	2.4699***	2.4698***	2.4698***
	(0.2684)	(0.2661)	(0.2661)
ACT	2.0653***	2.0432***	2.0432***
	(0.3397)	(0.3354)	(0.3354)
ACN	3.2323***	3.2739***	3.2739***
	(0.3445)	(0.3424)	(0.3424)
Num. knots (Control)	6	4	4
Num. knots (Treated)	6	3	3
Num. covariates	2	2	2
Num. obs. (Control)	104	104	104
Num. obs. (Treated)	196	196	196
\mathbb{R}^2	0.8630	0.8608	0.8608
R_{adj}^2	0.8547	0.8549	0.8549
*** $p < 0.001$; ** $p < 0.01$; * $p < 0.05$			

To illustrate the method, since we have two covariates, we need to plot the outcome against one covariate holding the other fixed. The default is to fix it to its sample mean. For the true curve, we fix it to its population mean, which is 0. We first look at the outcome against X_1 . By fixing X_2 to 0, the true curve is $X_1 + X_1^2$ for the control and $2 - 2X_1$ for the treated. The following graphs show how the FTLSE-BIC method fits the curves.

True-treated True-control Treated Control -3 -2 -1 0 1 2 3

Outcome VS X1 using TLSE



X1

Outcome VS X1 using TLSE

If we fix X_1 to 0, the true curve is $1 + [(1 + X_2)I(X_2 \le -1) + (-1 - X_2)I(X_2 > -1)]$ for the control and $1 + [(1 - 2X_2)I(X_2 \le 0) + (1 + 2X_2)I(X_2 > 0)]$ for the treated. The following graphs illustrates how these curves are approximated by FTLSE-AIC.

-3

