

Dynamic Estimation of the Zero-Coupon Yield Curve

Research Seminar Statistics

Johannes Kepler University, Linz, Austria

Robert Ferstl¹ Josef Hayden¹

¹Department of Finance
University of Regensburg, Germany

June 5, 2008

Outline

1 Motivation

Multistage Model

- stochastic dynamic equilibrium model
- long-run economic effects of strategic investment decisions
- oligopolistic market structure
- multi-stage stochastic program with recourse
- uncertainty is reflected in future level of demand and strategic investment decisions
- solution as mixed complementarity problem (MCP) with GAMS and PATH solver
- S -adapted open-loop information structure leads to Nash equilibria in each node

Scenario Tree

0.60 nodesep=0pt,labelsep=1pt,nrot=:U [colsep=3.0cm,rowsep=0.0cm] H n_7

D n_3

I n_8

B n_1

J n_9

E n_4

K n_{10}

A n_0

L n_{11}

F n_5

M n_{12}

C n_2

N n_{13}

G n_6

O n_{14}

$t = 0$ $t = 1$ $t = 2$ $t = 3$

[linewidth=1.5pt]AB50% [linewidth=1.5pt]AC50% [linewidth=1.5pt]BD25%

[linewidth=1.5pt]BE25% [linewidth=1.5pt]CF25% [linewidth=1.5pt]CG25%

[linewidth=1.5pt]DH12.5% [linewidth=1.5pt]DI12.5%

Notation

 $i \in \mathcal{I}$
 $j \in \mathcal{J}$
 $m \in \mathcal{M}$
 p_n
 p^m
 $q_{i,n}^{j,m}$
 $I_{i,n}^j$
 $K_{i,n}^j$
 F_n^j
 $P_n^m = \alpha_n^m - \beta^m \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} q_{i,n}^{j,m}$
 α_n^m
 β^m
 c_j
 δ_n
 ν
 ρ

players, firms

available technologies

market states

probability to reach a certain node

probability of market state m

production quantities

investment quantities, for $n \in \{n_0\} \cup \mathcal{T}$

available capacity

investment cost

market equilibrium price

intercept of demand function

slope of demand function

variable costs

discount factor

salvage value parameter

depreciation rate

Optimization

$$\max_{q_{i,n}^{j,m}, I_{i,n}^j} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} p_n \delta_n \Pi_{i,n} \left(q_{i,n}^{j,m}, I_{i,n}^j, K_{i,n}^j, Q_n^m \right) + \sum_{n \in \mathcal{S}} p_n \delta_n \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} K_{i,n}^j F_n^j \nu \quad (1)$$

subject to

$$q_{i,n}^{j,m} - K_{i,n}^j \leq 0 \quad \forall i, j, m, n \quad (2)$$

$$Q_n^m - \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} q_{i,n}^{j,m} = 0 \quad \forall m, n \quad (3)$$

$$K_{i,n}^j - (1 - \rho) K_{i,a(n)}^j - I_{i,a(n)}^j = 0 \quad \forall i, j, n \quad (4)$$

$$q_{i,n}^{j,m}, K_{i,n}^j, I_{i,n}^j, Q_n^m \geq 0 \quad \forall i, j, m, n \quad (5)$$

Installed Capacities

Source: International Energy Agency (2007)

Electricity Load

Source: UCTE (2006)

Electricity Prices

Source: EEX (2006); UCTE (2006)

Market Segments

| Price intervals | Average price (Euro/MWh) | Average quantity (MWh) | Number of prices | Percentage of of total prices |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|
| $0 \leq p < 20$ | 12.67 | 46,111.63 | 611 | 6.98% |
| $20 \leq p < 40$ | 31.35 | 54,103.50 | 3,003 | 34.28% |
| $40 \leq p < 60$ | 49.00 | 64,806.04 | 2,626 | 29.98% |
| $60 \leq p < 80$ | 68.46 | 72,385.56 | 1,588 | 18.13% |
| $80 \leq p < 100$ | 88.40 | 75,991.21 | 665 | 7.59% |
| $100 \leq p < \infty$ | 176.06 | 76,482.34 | 266 | 3.04% |

Marginal and Investment costs

| | Variable costs (Euro/MWh) | Investment costs (Euro/GW) |
|------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Hydro | 7.6 | 3,500 |
| Nuclear | 9.5 | 1,841 |
| Lignite | 10.6 | 1,074 |
| Hard coal | 16.1 | 971 |
| Gas (CCGT) | 33.5 | 460 |
| Oil | 44 | n/a |
| Pump | 80 | n/a |

Source: Auer et al. (2006)

Scenario Generation

Production in the initial node $q_{i,0}^m$ (in MWh)

| | $m = 1$ | $m = 2$ | $m = 3$ | $m = 4$ | $m = 5$ | $m = 6$ |
|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Rwe | 10,945.21 | 13,590.03 | 17,150.64 | 20,586.19 | 21,872.07 | 21,984.52 |
| EON | 10,945.21 | 13,592.14 | 17,150.64 | 20,586.09 | 21,871.99 | 21,984.48 |
| Vattenfall | 10,940.85 | 13,590.03 | 15,273.00 | 15,273.00 | 15,273.00 | 15,273.00 |
| EnBW | 10,528.00 | 10,528.00 | 10,528.00 | 10,528.00 | 10,528.00 | 10,528.00 |

Sensitivity Analysis

- Investment quantities in the initial node $I_{i,0}$ (in MW) with $\rho = 0.025$

| | $\nu = 0.99$ | $\nu = 0.95$ | $\nu = 0.9$ | $\nu = 0.85$ |
|------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| Vattenfall | 5,015.93 | 4,832.23 | 4,626.18 | 4,516.54 |
| EnBW | 9,582.64 | 9,405.55 | 9,229.64 | 9,120.00 |

- Investment quantities in the initial node $I_{i,0}$ (in MW) with $\nu = 0.95$

| | $\rho = 0.025$ | $\rho = 0.03$ | $\rho = 0.035$ | $\rho = 0.04$ |
|------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| Vattenfall | 4,832.23 | 4,908.60 | 4,984.96 | 5,061.33 |
| EnBW | 9,405.55 | 9,458.19 | 9,510.83 | 9,563.47 |

Motivation

- €€€€
- dynamic forecast of zero-coupon yield curves
- useful for bond portfolio management, hedging interest rate risk, identify arbitrage opportunities, ...
- further step towards arbitrage-free model with joint estimation procedure