

# Biomass dynamic models

Arni Magnusson

June 3, 2012

## Contents

### 1 Overview

Kingsland (1982) gives a review of biomass models.

## 2 Schaefer (1954)

### 2.1 Same + Growth – Removals

The Schaefer model is:

$$B_t = B_{t-1} + rB_t \left(1 - \frac{B_t}{K}\right) - C_t$$

## 3 Surplus production

The second term describes the relationship between surplus production (growth) and abundance:

$$g(B) = rB \left(1 - \frac{B}{K}\right)$$

In biological terms, the surplus production combines recruitment, body growth, and natural mortalities.

Conceptually, the model describes the simplest case of linear recruitment, where all individuals have the same body weight, and the natural mortality rate is constant. Individuals reproduce as 1-year-olds and then die. After a period of removals, the population rebuilds towards  $K$  until the number of individuals dying from natural causes equals the recruitment.

### 3.1 Original equation

Schaefer (1954, Eq. 2):

$$g(B) = k_2 B (L - B)$$

Replace  $k_2 = \frac{r}{K}$  and  $L = K$ :

$$\begin{aligned} g(B) &= \frac{r}{K} B (K - B) \\ &= rB \left(\frac{K - B}{K}\right) \\ &= rB \left(1 - \frac{B}{K}\right) \end{aligned}$$

### 3.2 $B_{\text{MSY}} = 0.5K$

The surplus production is maximized where the derivative of the growth function is zero. It's easiest to find the derivative by using elementary calculus notation,

$$f(x) = rx \left(1 - \frac{x}{K}\right)$$

$$= rx - \frac{rx^2}{K}$$

$$= rx - \frac{r}{K}x^2$$

$$f'(x) = r - 2\frac{r}{K}x$$

$$= r - \frac{2r}{K}x$$

so:

$$g'(B) = r - \frac{2r}{K}B$$

$B_{\text{MSY}}$  is where the derivative equals zero,

$$0 = r - \frac{2r}{K}B_{\text{MSY}}$$

and we can isolate  $B_{\text{MSY}}$ :

$$\frac{2r}{K}B_{\text{MSY}} = r$$

$$2rB_{\text{MSY}} = rK$$

$$B_{\text{MSY}} = \frac{rK}{2r}$$

$$= \frac{r}{2r}K$$

$$= 0.5K$$

### 3.3 $\text{MSY} = 0.25 rK$

Knowing  $B_{\text{MSY}} = 0.5K$  we can evaluate  $\text{MSY} = g(B_{\text{MSY}})$ :

$$\begin{aligned}g(B) &= rB \left(1 - \frac{B}{K}\right) \\ \text{MSY} &= rB_{\text{MSY}} \left(1 - \frac{B_{\text{MSY}}}{K}\right) \\ &= 0.5 rK \left(1 - \frac{0.5K}{K}\right) \\ &= 0.5 rK (1 - 0.5) \\ &= 0.5 rK (0.5) \\ &= 0.25 rK\end{aligned}$$

### 3.4 Relative growth rate

The relative growth rate, as a fraction of current abundance, is  $\text{Rate}(B) = g(B)/B$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}g(B) &= rB \left(1 - \frac{B}{K}\right) \\ \text{Rate}(B) &= rB \left(1 - \frac{B}{K}\right) / B \\ &= r \left(1 - \frac{B}{K}\right) \\ &= r - \frac{rB}{K}\end{aligned}$$

so the relative growth rate approaches  $r$  when the abundance is close to zero, and declines linearly with  $B$  until zero growth occurs at abundance  $K$ .

## 4 Variations

### 4.1 Gompertz (1825)

Applied in stock assessment by Fox (1970, Eq. 8):

$$\begin{aligned}g(B) &= rB (\log K - \log B) \\&= rB \log \left( \frac{K}{B} \right) \\&= rB \log K \left( \frac{\log K - \log B}{\log K} \right) \\&= rB \log K \left( \frac{\log K}{\log K} - \frac{\log B}{\log K} \right) \\&= rB \log K \left( 1 - \frac{\log B}{\log K} \right)\end{aligned}$$

The surplus production is maximized where the derivative of the growth function is zero. It's easiest to find the derivative by using elementary calculus notation,

$$\begin{aligned}f(x) &= ax (b - \log x) \\&= abx - ax \log x \\f'(x) &= ab - \left[ (ax)' \log x + (ax)(\log x)' \right] \\&= ab - \left[ a \log x + (ax) \frac{1}{x} \right] \\&= ab - \left[ a \log x + a \right] \\&= ab - a \log x - a\end{aligned}$$

so:

$$g'(B) = r \log K - r \log B - r$$

$B_{\text{MSY}}$  is where the derivative equals zero:

$$0 = r \log K - r \log B_{\text{MSY}} - r$$

First isolate  $\log B_{\text{MSY}}$ ,

$$r \log B_{\text{MSY}} = r \log K - r$$

$$\log B_{\text{MSY}} = \log K - 1$$

then exponentiate:

$$\exp(\log B_{\text{MSY}}) = \exp(\log K - 1)$$

$$B_{\text{MSY}} = \exp(\log K) \times \exp(-1)$$

$$= \frac{K}{e}$$

$$\approx 0.368K$$

Knowing  $B_{\text{MSY}} = \frac{K}{e}$  we can evaluate  $\text{MSY} = g(B_{\text{MSY}})$ :

$$g(B) = rB \log\left(\frac{K}{B}\right)$$

$$\text{MSY} = rB_{\text{MSY}} \log\left(\frac{K}{B_{\text{MSY}}}\right)$$

$$= r \frac{K}{e} \log\left(\frac{K}{\frac{K}{e}}\right)$$

$$= \frac{rK}{e} \log\left(\frac{e}{K} \times K\right)$$

$$= \frac{rK}{e} \log(e)$$

$$= \frac{rK}{e}$$

$$\approx 0.368 rK$$

## 4.2 Pella-Tomlinson (1969)

Pella and Tomlinson (1969, Eq. 5):

$$g(B) = \mathcal{H}B^m - \mathcal{K}B$$

Replace  $\mathcal{H} = -\frac{r}{pK^p}$ ,  $m = p+1$ , and  $\mathcal{K} = -\frac{r}{p}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} g(B) &= -\frac{r}{pK^p}B^{p+1} + \frac{r}{p}B \\ &= \frac{rB}{p} - \frac{r}{pK^p}B^{p+1} \\ &= \frac{rB}{p} - \frac{r}{pK^p}B^p \times B \\ &= \frac{rB}{p} - \frac{rB}{p} \times \frac{B^p}{K^p} \\ &= \frac{rB}{p} \left( 1 - \frac{B^p}{K^p} \right) \\ &= \frac{rB}{p} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{B}{K} \right)^p \right] \end{aligned}$$

The surplus production is maximized where the derivative of the growth function is zero. It's easiest to find the derivative by using elementary calculus notation,

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x) &= \frac{ax}{c} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{x}{b} \right)^c \right] \\
 &= \frac{ax}{c} - \frac{ax}{c} \left( \frac{x}{b} \right)^c \\
 &= \frac{ax}{c} - \frac{ax}{c} \left( \frac{x^c}{b^c} \right) \\
 &= \frac{ax}{c} - \frac{a}{c} \left( \frac{x^{c+1}}{b^c} \right) \\
 &= \frac{ax}{c} - \frac{a}{cb^c} x^{c+1} \\
 f'(x) &= \frac{a}{c} - \left( \frac{a}{cb^c} \right) (c+1) x^c \\
 &= \frac{a}{c} - \left( \frac{ac+a}{cb^c} \right) x^c
 \end{aligned}$$

so:

$$g'(B) = \frac{r}{p} - \left( \frac{rp+r}{pK^p} \right) B^p$$

$B_{\text{MSY}}$  is where the derivative equals zero:

$$0 = \frac{r}{p} - \left( \frac{rp+r}{pK^p} \right) B_{\text{MSY}}^p$$



First isolate  $B_{\text{MSY}}^p$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{rp+r}{pK^p}\right)B_{\text{MSY}}^p &= \frac{r}{p} \\
B_{\text{MSY}}^p &= \frac{r}{p} \left(\frac{pK^p}{rp+r}\right) \\
&= r \left(\frac{K^p}{rp+r}\right) \\
&= \frac{rK^p}{rp+r} \\
&= \frac{K^p}{p+1}
\end{aligned}$$

then log-transform,

$$\begin{aligned}
\log(B_{\text{MSY}}^p) &= \log\left(\frac{K^p}{p+1}\right) \\
p \log B_{\text{MSY}} &= \log(K^p) - \log(p+1) \\
\log B_{\text{MSY}} &= \frac{p \log K - \log(p+1)}{p} \\
&= \log K - \frac{\log(p+1)}{p} \\
&= \log K + \left(-\frac{1}{p}\right) \log(p+1) \\
&= \log K + \log(p+1) \left(-\frac{1}{p}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

and exponentiate:

$$\begin{aligned}
\exp(\log B_{\text{MSY}}) &= \exp\left[\log K + \log(p+1) \left(-\frac{1}{p}\right)\right] \\
B_{\text{MSY}} &= \exp(\log K) \times \exp\left[\log(p+1) \left(-\frac{1}{p}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}$$

Noting that  $x^{ab} = (x^a)^b$ , we rearrange the last term:

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{\text{MSY}} &= K \times \exp\left[\log(p+1)\left(-\frac{1}{p}\right)\right] \\
&= K \times \left(\exp\left[\log(p+1)\right]\right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \\
&= K \times (p+1)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \\
&= K\left(\frac{1}{p+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
\end{aligned}$$

When  $p=1$  we get Schaefer:

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{\text{MSY}} &= K\left(\frac{1}{1+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{1}} \\
&= K\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \\
&= 0.5K
\end{aligned}$$

When  $p \rightarrow 0$  we get Gompertz,

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{\text{MSY}} &= \lim_{p \rightarrow 0} K \left( \frac{1}{p+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&= K \times \lim_{p \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{1}{1+p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&= K \times \lim_{p \rightarrow 0} \left[ (1+p)^{-1} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&= K \times \lim_{p \rightarrow 0} (1+p)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \\
&= K \times \exp \left( \lim_{p \rightarrow 0} \log \left[ (1+p)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \right] \right) \\
&= K \times \exp \left[ \lim_{p \rightarrow 0} -\frac{1}{p} \log(1+p) \right] \\
&= K \times \exp \left[ -\lim_{p \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log(p+1)}{p} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

noting that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \lim_{x \rightarrow c} \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{\text{MSY}} &= K \times \exp \left[ -\lim_{p \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{1}{1+p}}{1} \right] \\
&= K \times \exp \left[ -\lim_{p \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{1+p} \right] \\
&= K \times \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{1+0} \right] \\
&= K \times \exp(-1) \\
&= \frac{K}{e} \\
&\approx 0.368K
\end{aligned}$$

Knowing  $B_{\text{MSY}} = K \left( \frac{1}{p+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$  we can evaluate  $\text{MSY} = g(B_{\text{MSY}})$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
g(B) &= \frac{rB}{p} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{B}{K} \right)^p \right] \\
\text{MSY} &= \frac{rB_{\text{MSY}}}{p} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{B_{\text{MSY}}}{K} \right)^p \right] \\
&= \frac{rK \left( \frac{1}{p+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{p} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{K \left( \frac{1}{p+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{K} \right)^p \right] \\
&= \frac{rK}{p} \left( \frac{1}{p+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( 1 - \left[ \left( \frac{1}{p+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right]^p \right) \\
&= \frac{rK}{p} \left( \frac{1}{p+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p+1} \right) \\
&= \frac{rK}{p} \left( \frac{1}{p+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \frac{p+1}{p+1} - \frac{1}{p+1} \right) \\
&= \frac{rK}{p} \left( \frac{1}{p+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \frac{p}{p+1} \right) \\
&= \frac{rK}{p} \left( \frac{p}{p+1} \right) \left( \frac{1}{p+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&= rK \left( \frac{1}{p+1} \right) \left( \frac{1}{p+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&= rK \left( \frac{1}{p+1} \right)^{1+\frac{1}{p}}
\end{aligned}$$

When  $p=1$  we get Schaefer:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{MSY} &= rK \left( \frac{1}{1+1} \right)^{1+\frac{1}{1}} \\
&= rK \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \\
&= 0.25 rK
\end{aligned}$$

When  $p \rightarrow 0$  we get Gompertz,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{MSY} &= \lim_{p \rightarrow 0} rK \left( \frac{1}{p+1} \right)^{1+\frac{1}{p}} \\
&= rK \times \lim_{p \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{1}{1+p} \right)^{1+\frac{1}{p}} \\
&= rK \times \exp \left( \lim_{p \rightarrow 0} \log \left[ \left( \frac{1}{1+p} \right)^{1+\frac{1}{p}} \right] \right) \\
&= rK \times \exp \left[ \lim_{p \rightarrow 0} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p} \right) \log \left( \frac{1}{1+p} \right) \right] \\
&= rK \times \exp \left[ - \lim_{p \rightarrow 0} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p} \right) \log(1+p) \right] \\
&= rK \times \exp \left[ - \lim_{p \rightarrow 0} \log(1+p) + \frac{\log(1+p)}{p} \right] \\
&= rK \times \exp \left[ - \lim_{p \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log(1+p)}{p} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

noting that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \lim_{x \rightarrow c} \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{MSY} &= rK \times \exp \left[ - \lim_{p \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log(1+p)}{p} \right] \\
&= rK \times \exp \left[ - \lim_{p \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{1}{1+p}}{1} \right] \\
&= rK \times \exp \left[ - \lim_{p \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{1+p} \right] \\
&= rK \times \exp \left[ - \frac{1}{1+0} \right] \\
&= rK \times \exp(-1) \\
&= \frac{rK}{e} \\
&\approx 0.368 rK
\end{aligned}$$

The Pella-Tomlinson shape parameter  $p$  does not only shift  $B_{\text{MSY}}$  away from  $0.5K$ , but also changes the maximum height of the production curve. This is unfortunate, as the modeller might be interested in exploring different shapes without altering the maximum productivity.

Since we know the maximum surplus production for a given  $p$ ,

$$\text{MSY} = rK \left( \frac{1}{p+1} \right)^{1+\frac{1}{p}}$$

the production can be scaled to any given range.

Starting from the original Pella-Tomlinson,

$$g(B) = \frac{rB}{p} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{B}{K} \right)^p \right]$$

we first divide by MSY to scale the production between 0 and 1,

$$\begin{aligned}
g_1(B) &= \frac{\frac{rB}{p} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{B}{K} \right)^p \right]}{rK \left( \frac{1}{p+1} \right)^{1+\frac{1}{p}}} \\
&= \frac{\frac{B}{p} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{B}{K} \right)^p \right]}{K \left( \frac{1}{p+1} \right)^{1+\frac{1}{p}}} \\
&= \frac{B \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{B}{K} \right)^p \right]}{pK \left( \frac{1}{p+1} \right)^{1+\frac{1}{p}}} \\
&= \frac{B \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{B}{K} \right)^p \right]}{pK \left[ \frac{1}{(p+1)^{1+\frac{1}{p}}} \right]} \\
&= \frac{B \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{B}{K} \right)^p \right]}{\frac{pK}{(p+1)^{1+\frac{1}{p}}}} \\
&= \frac{(p+1)^{1+\frac{1}{p}}}{pK} \times B \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{B}{K} \right)^p \right] \\
&= \frac{B}{pK} (p+1)^{1+\frac{1}{p}} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{B}{K} \right)^p \right]
\end{aligned}$$

and then multiply by  $0.25 rK$  to use that as maximum production at any given  $p$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
g^*(B) &= 0.25 rK \times \frac{B}{pK} (p+1)^{1+\frac{1}{p}} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{B}{K} \right)^p \right] \\
&= \frac{0.25 rB}{p} (p+1)^{1+\frac{1}{p}} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{B}{K} \right)^p \right]
\end{aligned}$$

### 4.3 Theta-logistic (1973)

Gilpin and Ayala (1973, Eq. 3):

$$g(B) = rB \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{B}{K} \right)^\theta \right]$$

where  $\theta$  gives the asymmetry of the growth.

Different from Pella-Tomlinson, which has  $\frac{r}{p}$  as the first term. The theta-logistic model has the nice property that  $r$  is the initial growth rate, independent of  $\theta$ . The Pella-Tomlinson model has the nice property that it becomes the Gompertz model as  $p \rightarrow 0$ .

The theta-logistic model has a problem with low  $\theta$  values. Maximum production tends towards zero with decreasing  $\theta$ , so the model will need very large  $K$  when  $\theta$  is small.



#### 4.4 Fletcher (1978)

From Prager (2002, Eqs 2–4):

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(B) &= \gamma m \frac{B}{K} - \gamma m \left( \frac{B}{K} \right)^n \\
 &= \gamma m \left[ \frac{B}{K} - \left( \frac{B}{K} \right)^n \right] \\
 &= \gamma m \frac{B}{K} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{B}{K} \right)^{n-1} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\gamma = \frac{n^{n/(n-1)}}{n-1}$$

and  $m = \frac{1}{4} rK$ , i.e. MSY:

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(B) &= \gamma m \left[ \frac{B}{K} - \left( \frac{B}{K} \right)^n \right] \\
 &= \gamma \frac{rK}{4} \left[ \frac{B}{K} - \left( \frac{B}{K} \right)^n \right] \\
 &= \gamma \frac{rK}{4} \frac{B}{K} - \gamma \frac{rK}{4} \left( \frac{B}{K} \right)^n \\
 &= \gamma \frac{r}{4} B - \gamma \frac{rK}{4} \left( \frac{B}{K} \right)^n \\
 &= \gamma \frac{1}{4} rB - \gamma \frac{1}{4} rK \left( \frac{B}{K} \right)^n \\
 &= \frac{\gamma}{4} rB - \frac{\gamma}{4} rK \left( \frac{B}{K} \right)^n \\
 &= \frac{\gamma}{4} r \left[ B - rK \left( \frac{B}{K} \right)^n \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

The Schaefer model corresponds to  $n=2$  where  $\gamma=4$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma &= \frac{n^{n/(n-1)}}{n-1} \\
&= \frac{2^{2/(2-1)}}{2-1} \\
&= \frac{2^{2/(1)}}{1} \\
&= 2^{2/(1)} \\
&= 2^2 \\
&= 4
\end{aligned}$$

as verified here:

$$\begin{aligned}
g(B) &= \frac{\gamma}{4} rB - \frac{\gamma}{4} rK \left( \frac{B}{K} \right)^n \\
&= \frac{4}{4} rB - \frac{4}{4} rK \left( \frac{B}{K} \right)^2 \\
&= rB - rK \left( \frac{B}{K} \right)^2 \\
&= rB - rK \frac{B^2}{K^2} \\
&= rB - r \frac{B^2}{K} \\
&= rB - rB \frac{B}{K} \\
&= rB \left( 1 - \frac{B}{K} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Prager (2002) describes the shape of the production curve with the unitless ratio  $\phi = \frac{B_{MSY}}{K}$ , which has a more intuitive meaning than the  $n$  exponent. The relationship is:

$$\phi = \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1/(n-1)}$$

Insert  $n=2$  and note how the Schaefer model corresponds to  $\phi = 0.5$ , as expected:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi &= \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1/(n-1)} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1/(2-1)} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1/(1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\end{aligned}$$

#### 4.5 Polacheck et al. (1993)

Polacheck et al. (1993, Eq. 1):

$$g(B) = \frac{r}{p} B \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{B}{K} \right)^p \right]$$

where  $p$  controls the asymmetry of the sustainable yield versus stock biomass relationship.

The authors note that the  $\frac{r}{p}$  term is often omitted when the formula is presented.

## 5 References

- Fox, W.W., Jr. 1970. An exponential surplus-yield model for optimizing exploited fish populations. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 99:80–88.
- Garrod, D.J. 1969. Empirical assessments of catch effort relationships in the North Atlantic cod stock. *ICNAF Res. Bull.* 6:26–34.
- Gilpin, M.E. and F.J. Ayala. 1973. Global models of growth and competition. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 70:3590–3593.
- Gompertz, B. 1825. On the nature of the function expressive of the law of human mortality, and on a new mode of determining the value of life contingencies. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* 115:513–583.
- Kingsland, S. 1982. The refractory model: The logistic curve and the history of population ecology. *Q. Rev. Biol.* 57:29–52.
- Laloe, F. 1995. Should surplus production models be fishery description tools rather than biological models? *Aquat. Living Resour.* 8:1–16.
- Pella, J.J. and P.K. Tomlinson. 1969. A generalized stock production model. *IATTC Bull.* 13:421–496.
- Polacheck, T., R. Hilborn, and A.E. Punt. 1993. Fitting surplus production models: Comparing methods and measuring uncertainty. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 50:2597–2607.
- Prager, M.H. 2002. Comparison of logistic and generalized surplus-production models applied to swordfish, *Xiphias gladius*, in the north Atlantic Ocean. *Fish. Res.* 58:41–57.
- Schaefer, M.B. 1954. Some aspects of the dynamics of populations important to the management of the commercial marine fisheries. *IATTC Bull.* 1:27–56.

### 5.1 Look up later

- Fletcher, R.I. 1978. On the restructuring of the Pella-Tomlinson system. *Fish. Bull.* 76:515–521.
- Fox, W.W., Jr. 1970. An exponential surplus-yield model for optimizing exploited fish populations. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 99:80–88.
- Garrod, D.J. 1969. Empirical assessments of catch effort relationships in the North Atlantic cod stock. *ICNAF Res. Bull.* 6:26–34.
- Hilborn, R. and C.J. Walters. 1992. *Quantitative fisheries stock assessment: Choice, dynamics and uncertainty*. New York: Chapman and Hall.
- Quinn, T.J., II and R.B. Deriso. 1999. *Quantitative fish dynamics*. New York: Oxford University Press.