

Gene set enrichment and network analysis of high-throughput RNAi screen data using *HTSanalyzeR*

John Rose, Camille Terfve, and Florian Markowetz

July 7, 2010

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Preprocessing cell-based RNAi screen data with <i>cellHTS2</i>	2
3	Gene set overrepresentation and enrichment analysis	2
3.1	Preparing inputs	2
3.2	Performing analyses	3
4	Network analysis	4
5	Producing the HTML report	5
6	Appendix A: Using MSigDB gene set collections	5
7	Appendix B: Using the HTSanalysis4CellHTS2 function	6

1 Introduction

The package *HTSanalyzeR* facilitates integrated high-level analysis of RNAi high-throughput screening (HTS) data. There are various packages (e.g. *cellHTS2*, *RNAiR*) for normalization, summarization, and annotation of RNAi HTS raw data, but, to date, there is little support for higher-level analyses of such data. This package adapts a number of methods used for high-level microarray analysis for application to RNA HTS data. In particular, this package allows for efficient gene set enrichment and network analyses. Gene set enrichment analysis is performed using both hypergeometric testing and the Gene Set Enrichment Analysis approach reported by Subramanian and colleagues[4]. The network analysis functionalities make use of the BioNet package[1] to identify enriched subnetworks. In this vignette, we demonstrate how to perform these analyses on an RNAi screen data set in *cellHTS2* format.

```
> library(HTSanalyzeR)
> library(GSEABase)
> library(cellHTS2)
> library(org.Dm.eg.db)
> library(GO.db)
> library(KEGG.db)
```

2 Preprocessing cell-based RNAi screen data with *cellHTS2*

The data set that we use results from a genome-wide RNAi analysis of growth and viability in *Drosophila* cells [3]. This data set can be found in the package "cellHTS2"[2]. The first steps of this analysis consists in loading the data and building a configured, normalized and annotated cellHTS object that will be used for the networks analysis. This object is then scored to be used in the gene set over-representation part of this analysis. Briefly, the data consists in a series of text files, one for each microtiter plate in the experiment, containing intensity reading for a luciferase reporter of ATP levels in each well of the plate.

The first data processing step is to read the data files and build a cellHTS object from them (performed by the *readPlateList* function). Then, the object has to be configured, which involves describing the experiment and, more importantly in our case, the plate configuration (i.e. indicating which wells contain samples or controls and which are empty or flagged as invalid). Following configuration, the data can be normalized, which is done in this case by subtracting from each raw intensity measurement the median of all sample measurements on the same plate. In order to use the values in this package, we need to associate each measurement with a meaningful identifier, which can be done by the *annotate* function. In this case, the function will associate with each sample well a flybaseCG identifier, which can be converted subsequently into any identifiers that we might want to use. There are many ways to perform this tasks, for example using our *droso.annotation.convertor* function, using a Bioconductor annotation package or taking advantage of the bioMaRt package functionalities. These normalized and annotated values can now be used for the network analysis part of this vignette.

For the gene set over-representation part of this vignette, we choose to work on data that has been scored and summarized. These last processing steps allow us to work with values that have been standardized across samples, resulting in a robust z-score which is indicative of how much the phenotype associated with one condition differs from the bulk. This score effectively quantifies how different a measurement is from the median of all measurements, taking into account the variance (or rather in this case the median absolute deviation) across measurements, therefore reducing the spread of the data. This seems like a sensible measure to be used in gene set over-representation, especially for the GSEA, since it is more readily interpretable and comparable than an absolute phenotype. Moreover the summarization across replicates produces only one value for each construct tested in the screen, which is what we need for the over-representation analysis.

```
> experimentName <- "KcViab"
> dataPath <- system.file(experimentName, package = "cellHTS2")
> x <- readPlateList("Platelist.txt", name = experimentName,
+   path = dataPath)
> x <- configure(x, descripFile = "Description.txt", confFile = "Plateconf.txt",
+   logFile = "Screenlog.txt", path = dataPath)
> xn <- normalizePlates(x, scale = "multiplicative", log = FALSE,
+   method = "median", varianceAdjust = "none")
> xn <- annotate(xn, geneIDFile = "GeneIDs_Dm_HFA_1.1.txt",
+   path = dataPath)
> xsc <- scoreReplicates(xn, sign = "-", method = "zscore")
> xsc <- summarizeReplicates(xsc, summary = "mean")
```

For a more detailed description of the preprocessing methods below, please refer to the *cellHTS2* vignette.

3 Gene set overrepresentation and enrichment analysis

3.1 Preparing inputs

To perform gene set enrichment analysis, one must first prepare three inputs:

1. A named vector of numeric phenotypes.

2. A vector of hits
3. A list of gene set collections.

First, the phenotypes must be assembled into a vector, annotated (in all of these inputs, the gene identifiers must be supplied as Entrez IDs), and the replicates must be summarized.

```
> data4enrich <- as.vector(Data(xsc))
> names(data4enrich) <- fData(xsc)[, "GeneID"]
> data4enrich <- data4enrich[-which(is.na(names(data4enrich))) ==
+   TRUE]]
> data4enrichentrez <- drososAnnotationConvertor(geneList = data4enrich,
+   initialIDs = "FlybaseCG")
> data4enrichentrez <- data4enrichentrez[-which(is.na(data4enrichentrez))]
> data4enrichentrez <- duplicateRemover(geneList = data4enrichentrez,
+   method = "max")
```

Then we define the hits as targets displaying phenotypes more than 2 standard deviations away from the mean phenotype, i.e. $\text{abs}(\text{z-score}) > 2$.

```
> data.hits <- names(data4enrichentrez)[which(abs(data4enrichentrez) >
+   2)]
```

Next, we must define the gene set collections. *HTSanalyzeR* provides facilities which greatly simplify the creation of up-to-date gene set collections. Here, we will test 4 gene set collections: KEGG and all 3 GO gene set collections. To work properly, these gene set collections must be provided as a named list.

For details on downloading and utilizing gene set collections from Molecular Signatures Database[4], please refer to Appendix B.

```
> Dm.GO.MF <- GOGeneSets(species = "Drosophila_melanogaster",
+   ontologies = c("MF"))
> Dm.GO.BP <- GOGeneSets(species = "Drosophila_melanogaster",
+   ontologies = c("BP"))
> Dm.GO.CC <- GOGeneSets(species = "Drosophila_melanogaster",
+   ontologies = c("CC"))
> kegg.droso <- KeggGeneSets(species = "Drosophila_melanogaster")
> gsc.list <- list(Dm.GO.MF = Dm.GO.MF, Dm.GO.BP = Dm.GO.BP,
+   Dm.GO.CC = Dm.GO.CC, kegg.droso = kegg.droso)
```

In the case where only one gene set collection is to be tested, it is still essential that this gene set collection be supplied to *geneSetAnalyze* as a named list:

```
> gsc.solo.list <- list(Dm.GO.MF = Dm.GO.MF)
```

3.2 Performing analyses

geneSetAnalyze performs hypergeometric tests for overrepresentation of gene sets with the list of hits and also performs gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA), as described by Subramanian and colleagues[4].

```
> enrichment.analysis2 <- analyzeGeneSetCollections(ListOfGeneSetCollections = gsc.list,
+   GeneList = data4enrichentrez, hits = data.hits, p.value.cutoff = 0.05,
+   pAdjustMethod = "BH", npermutations = 1000, min.gene.set.size = 15,
+   exponent = 1, whichSetIsKEGGIds = 4, whichSetIsGOIds = c(1,
+   2, 3))
```

The output contains data frames displaying the results for hypergeometric testing and GSEA for each gene set collection, as well as data frames showing the combined results of all gene set collections. Additionally, gene sets exhibiting significant p-values for enrichment from both hypergeometric testing and GSEA are displayed in separate tables. Additionally, the output contains dataframes of gene sets exhibiting significant p-values (and significant adjusted p-values) for enrichment from both hypergeometric testing and GSEA.

4 Network analysis

As explained above, the data that we use for the network analysis is a configured, normalized and annotated cellHTS object (*xn*). From this object, we extract the normalized data and performs a set of statistical tests for the significance of an observed phenotype, we will then aggregate multiple p-values and map the obtained p-value to an interaction network downloaded from The BioGRID database, and finally use the BioNet package *beisser2010* to extract subnetworks enriched with nodes associated with a significant phenotype, from the statistical analysis. In this example, we perform a one sample t-test which tests whether the mean of the observations for each construct is equal to the median of all sample observations under the null hypothesis. This amounts to testing whether the phenotype associated with a construct is significantly different from the bulk of observations, with the underlying assumption that in a large scale screen (i.e. genome-wide in this case) most constructs are not expected to show a significant effect. We also perform a two-sample t-test, which tests the null hypothesis that two populations have the same mean, where the two populations are a set of observations for each construct and a set of observations for a control population.

To perform those tests, it is mandatory that the samples and controls are labelled in the column "controlStatus" of the *fData(xn)* dataframe as "sample" and a string specified by the "control" argument of the *networkAnalysis* function, respectively. Other types of tests can be performed, namely a "Mann-Whitney" test (one or two sample non-parametric equivalent of the t-test), or a rank product test. Both the two samples and the one sample tests are automatically produced, in the case of the t-test and the Mann-Whitney test.

Please be aware that the t-test works under the assumption that the observations are normally distributed and that all of these tests are less reliable when the number of replicates is small. The user should also keep in mind that the one sample t-test assumes that the majority of conditions are not expected to show any significant effect, which is likely to be a dodgy assumption when the size of the screen is small. This test is also to be avoided when the data consists of pre-screened conditions, i.e. constructs that have been selected specifically based on a potential effect.

All three kind of tests can be performed with the two sided, less or greater alternative, corresponding to population means (or ranking in the case of the rank product) expected to be different, smaller or larger than the null hypothesis, respectively. For example if your phenotypes consist of cell number and you are looking for constructs

Whatever test you choose to produce, you can still choose which resulting p-values you want to map to the interaction data by specifying the "columns" argument of *networkAnalysis*. The *annotationcolumn* argument is used to specify which column of the *fData(xn)* dataframe contains identifiers for the constructs. The type of those identifiers can be specified in the "initialIDs" argument, and will be converted to Entrez gene identifiers which can be mapped to the BioGRID interaction data. The *fdr* and *order* are parameters of the statistical analysis performed by the BioNet package (see [1] for a guide on how to specify those). If you already have a set of interaction data, you can give it as an argument in to the *biogridobject* parameter, as long as the format is: a matrix with a row for each interaction, and at least the three columns "InteractorA", "InteractorB" and "InteractionType", where the interactors are specified by Entrez identifiers. If you set this argument to NA, the function will download a data set from the BioGRID.

The optional "phenotypevector" argument is a named vector (names=Entrez identifiers) containing phenotypes values that will be used to color the nodes of the resulting enriched subnetwork.

The *networkAnalysis* function produces a set files containing the enriched subnetwork in tab delimited format, and in sif format. It also produces an image of the enriched subnetwork, with symbol identifiers as labels of the nodes. An attribute file is also produced, which can be used in Cytoscape for example,

```
> library(BioNet)
> test.module <- networkAnalysis(cellHTSObject = xn, annotationColumn = "GeneID",
+   controls = "neg", alternative = "two.sided", logged = FALSE,
+   tests = c("T-test"), columns = c("t.test.pvalues.two.samples",
+   "t.test.pvalues.one.sample"), species = c("Drosophila_melanogaster"),
+   initialIDs = "FlybaseCG", fdr = 0.001, genetic = FALSE,
+   biogridObject = NA, phenotypeVector = data4enrichentrez,
+   order = 2)
```

The following function produces an html report on the whole analysis performed above. Most of the parameters of this function can be directly copied and pasted from the parameters of the two wrapping functions *geneSetAnalyze* and *networkAnalysis* (see the help on this function). The html report will be written in a subdirectory in you working directory called "HTSanalyzeReport". Start from the index.html page in that directory for an easy navigation through the results of your analysis.

```
> writeReportHTSA(experimentName = "cellHTS2DrosoData",
+   enrichmentAnalysis = enrichment.analysis, cutoffHits = 2,
+   hits = names(data4enrichentrez)[which(abs(data4enrichentrez) >
+     2)], listOfGeneSetCollections = gsc.list, geneList = data4enrichentrez,
+   p.adj.method = "BH", nPermutations = 1000, min.gene.set.size = 15,
+   exponent = 1, nwAnalysisOutput = test.module, nwAnalysisGraphFile = "EnrichedSubNw.png",
+   controls = "neg", alternative = "two.sided", tests = c("T-test"),
+   columns = c("t.test.pvalues.two.samples", "t.test.pvalues.one.sample"),
+   species = c("Drosophila_melanogaster"), fdr = 0.001,
+   genetic = FALSE, biogridObject = NA, nGseaPlots = 10,
+   geneListName = "data4enrichentrez", whichSetIsKEGGIds = 4,
+   whichSetIsGOIds = c(1, 2, 3))
```

For experiments in human cell lines, it is often useful to test the gene set collections available at the Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB; <http://www.broadinstitute.org/gsea/msigdb/>) [4].

```
> c2 <- getGmt(con = "c2.all.v2.5.symbols.gmt.txt", geneIdType = SymbolIdentifier(),
+             collectionType = BroadCollection(category = "c2"))
```

```
> c2entrez <- mapIdentifiers(C2b, EntrezIdentifier("org.Hs.eg.db"))
```

7 Appendix B: Using the HTSanalysis4CellHTS2 function

All of the above steps can be performed with a unique function, starting from a normalized, configured and annotated cellHTS object.

First, we need to create the cellHTS object and the gene set collection list, and load the relevant packages.

```
> experimentName <- "KcViab"
> dataPath <- system.file(experimentName, package = "cellHTS2")
> x <- readPlateList("Platelist.txt", name = experimentName,
+   path = dataPath)
> x <- configure(x, descripFile = "Description.txt", confFile = "Plateconf.txt",
+   logFile = "Screenlog.txt", path = dataPath)
> xn <- normalizePlates(x, scale = "multiplicative", log = FALSE,
+   method = "median", varianceAdjust = "none")
> xn <- annotate(xn, geneIDFile = "GeneIDs_Dm_HFA_1.1.txt",
+   path = dataPath)
> Dm.GO.CC <- GOGeneSets(species = "Drosophila_melanogaster",
+   ontologies = c("CC"))
> kegg.droso <- KeggGeneSets(species = "Drosophila_melanogaster")
> gsc.list <- list(Dm.GO.CC = Dm.GO.CC, kegg.droso = kegg.droso)
> library(org.Dm.eg.db)
> library(GO.db)
> library(KEGG.db)
```

Then we simply call the function HTSanalysis4CellHTS2. This will produce a full HTSanalyzeR report, just as if the above steps were performed separately. All the parameters of the enrichment and network analysis steps can be specified as input of this function (see help(HTSanalysis4CellHTS2)). Since they are given sensible default values, a minimal set of input parameters is actually required.

```
> cellHTS2DrosoData <- xn
> HTSanalysis4CellHTS2(normCellHTSObject = cellHTS2DrosoData,
+   annotationColumn = "GeneID", species = c("Drosophila_melanogaster"),
+   initialIDs = "FlybaseCG", listOfGeneSetCollections = gsc.list,
+   whichSetIsKEGGIds = 2, whichSetIsGOIds = 1)
```

References

- [1] D. Beisser, G.W. Klau, T. Dandekar, T. Mueller, and M. Dittrich. Bionet: an r-package for the functional analysis of biological networks. *Bioinformatics*, 2010.
- [2] M. Boutros, L. Brás, and W. Huber. Analysis of cell-based rna screens. *Genome Biology*, 7(7):R66, 2006.
- [3] M. Boutros, A.A. Kiger, S. Armknecht, K. Kerr, M. Hild, B. Koch, S.A. Haas, et al. Genome-wide rna analysis of growth and viability in drosophila cells. *Science*, 303(5659):832, 2004.
- [4] A. Subramanian, P. Tamayo, V.K. Mootha, S. Mukherjee, B.L. Ebert, M.A. Gillette, A. Paulovich, S.L. Pomeroy, T.R. Golub, E.S. Lander, et al. Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 102(43):15545, 2005.