Working with substrate information in opm

Lea A.I. Vaas Leibniz Institute DSMZ Markus Göker Leibniz Institute DSMZ

Abstract

This is the substrate-information tutorial of **opm** in the version of November 5, 2013. The precomputed information on the known Phenotype Microarray (PM) substrates is explained, as well as the methods available to query this information. IDs for a variety of databases are stored within **opm** and can be used to conduct web queries to obtain comprehensive information on the substrates of interest. We show how these data can be used to visualise results from PM experiments, including the outcome from advanced multiple-comparison statistics, within biochemical pathway maps. Moreover, methods are described to automatically detect the substrate features that potentially explain a given experimental outcome. This includes determining the relevant pathways to be used in the visualisations.

Keywords: Respiration Kinetics, pathways, CAS, MeSH, ChEBI, MetaCyc, KEGG, pathview.

1. Introduction

A detailed description of the OmniLog® Phenotype Microarray (PM) system, its measuring procedure and data characteristics are found in the vignette "opm: An R Package for Analysing OmniLog® Phenotype Microarray Data" (called "main tutorial" in the following). The description of the methods below presupposes that the user is familiar with the usage of opm and has studied the main tutorial as well as the entries of the opm manual relevant to her or his research. Especially the concepts behind, and the methods available for, the different classes of opm objects should be known before starting with this tutorial.

In addition to visual inspection or statistical comparative analyses of PM data, as described in the main tutorial, users might be interested in specific information on the substrates used in PM assays. The **opm** package contains a large variety of additional data on PM substrates. Beside methods for assessing this information directly, this tutorial introduces strategies for visualising the measured PM results by mapping them on pathway maps. Furthermore, analysis methods are described for modelling the effect of substrate features on the PM results and thus, e.g., for the identification of those pathways that are particularly suitable for visualising the PM results in pathway graphs.

2. Preparation

For just downloading information from Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) (see Section 3.1), install the Bioconductor package **KEGGREST**. It needs not be loaded into your R session. For also drawing PM information into KEGG pathway maps (see Section 4),

install the Bioconductor package **pathview** and load it into your session. Note that it is important to load **pathview** before **opm**, which is needed throughout this tutorial, since otherwise some methods are not visible and the package does not work properly. In this vignette this is enforced by optionally detaching **opm** and loading it (again) as follows:

```
R> suppressPackageStartupMessages(library("pathview"))
R> if ("package:opm" %in% search())
         detach("package:opm", unload = TRUE)
R> library("opm")
R> data(vaas_et_al, package = "opmdata")
```

3. Accessing plate and substrate information

The **opm** package contains a number of functions suitable for accessing precomputed information on entire plates and on the substrates within certain wells.

3.1. Available plate information

Currently substrate layouts of various plates are available within **opm**. An overview of the plate types available in the respective version of **opm** is obtained by entering

```
R> plate_type(full = TRUE)
```

The resulting vector of names does not only include OmniLog® plates; see the manual and the main tutorial for further details. Using other values for full, or additional arguments, distinct spelling variants of the plate names can be obtained.

3.2. Available substrate information

In the manual and help pages these functions are explained within the family "naming-functions" with according cross-references.

One usually would start a search by determining the exact spelling of an internally used name with find_substrate:

```
R> substrates <- find_substrate(c("Glutamine", "Glutamic acid"))
R> substrates
```

The result is a list (of the S3 class "substrate_match") containing character vectors with the results for each query name as values. Surprisingly, nothing was found for "Glutamic acid" but several values for "Glutamine". The default search argument is "exact", which is exact (case-sensitive) matching of parts of the names. One might want to use "glob" searching mode:

```
R> substrates <- find_substrate(c("L-Glutamine", "L-Glutamic acid"), "glob")
R> substrates
```

But with so-called wild-cards, i.e. "*" for zero to many and "?" for a single arbitrary character the search is more flexible:

```
R> substrates <- find_substrate(c("*L-Glutamine", "*L-Glutamic acid"), "glob")
R> substrates
```

This fetches all terms that end in either query character string, and does so case-insensitively. Advanced users can apply the much more powerful regex and approx search modes; see the manual for details, entry ?find_substrate.

Note that **opm** appends a concentration (or just repetition) indicator as a number after a hash sign ("#") to the substrate names wherever necessary. Thus a wild-card "*" at the end of a name might often by the most useful search pattern.

Once the internally used names (which are not guaranteed to be stable between distinct **opm** releases) have been found, information on the substrates can be queried such as their occurrences and positions on plates:

```
R> positions <- find_positions(substrates)
R> positions
```

This yields a nested list containing two-column matrices with plate names in the first and well coordinates in the second column. Using the type argument, search can be restricted to a plate type of interest, which would yield a named vector. References to external data resources for each substrate name can be obtained using substrate_info:

```
R> subst.info <- substrate_info(substrates)
R> subst.info
```

By default this yields Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS) numbers (http://www.cas.org/content/chemical-substances/faqs), but Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) names (useful for conducting PubMed queries; see http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/mesh/) (Coletti and Bleich 2001), Chemical Entities of Biological Interest (ChEBI) IDs (Hastings, de Matos, Dekker, Ennis, Harsha, Kale, Muthukrishnan, Owen, Turner, Williams, and Steinbeck 2013), KEGG compound IDs, KEGG drug IDs (Kanehisa, Goto, Furumichi, Tanabe, and Hirakawa 2010) and MetaCyc IDs (Caspi, Altman, Dreher, Fulcher, Subhraveti, Keseler, Kothari, Krummenacker, Latendresse, Mueller, Ong, Paley, Pujar, Shearer, Travers, Weerasinghe, Zhang, and Karp 2012) have also been collected for the majority of the substrates. Using the "browse" argument, full URLs can be created and optionally also directly opened in the default web browser. Using the "downloadd" argument, if KEGG drug or compound IDs have been selected, these can be downloaded from the KEGG server (if the KEGGREST package is available) and converted into customised objects. It is possible to nicely display all available information at once:

```
R> subst.info <- substrate_info(substrates, "all")
R> subst.info
```

Another use of substrate_info is to convert substrate names to lower case but protecting name components such as abbreviations or chemical symbols. See the manual for further details, help page ?substrate_info.

4. Visualisation of PM information within pathway maps

In conjunction with other R packages, it is possible to visualise PM results directly in already existing pathway maps as, for example, those from KEGG. These maps are essentially manually drawn biochemical pathway maps representing the currently available knowledge on substrates, enzymes and genes and their connections within pathways. Depending on the availability of genome and gene-annotation information within KEGG, organism-specific, individual maps can be obtained (Kanehisa *et al.* 2010).

The mapping itself works by using information produced by **opm** for a colour coding of the nodes (here, representing the substrates) within those maps, as can be done similarly with several other types of "OMICS" data such as transcriptomics or proteomics data. For details, see the description on the KEGG website (http://www.genome.jp/kegg/).

4.1. Providing suitable input data

The work flow starts with either an OPMX object containing the aggregated values or the result from an opm_mcp analysis. The first step in both cases is to convert the data into a suitable format, which is a named vector created by the function annotated.

```
R> x <- annotated(vaas_1)
R> head(x)

<NA> C00721 C00208 C01083 C00185 C08240
123.4558 248.1809 284.0994 269.7548 180.7536 287.7959
```

The resulting vector contains the numeric values (selected parameter estimates or opm_mcp results, as explained below) as well as an annotation of the according substrates. For substrates such as "Positive Control" or "pH 5" no KEGG ID is available, which results in NA values in the vector. Accordingly, those substrates cannot be marked within pathway maps (see section 4.2.1). The what argument, passed as eponymous argument to substrate_info, selects the kind of information to be used for the annotation.

Although annotated works directly on OPMX objects containing aggregated data for single plates or bundles of plates, please note, that the output allows for only one value per substrate. Thus, when applying annotated to a set of plates, make sure that only one experimental group is comprised, since the resulting values are averaged per well over all plates in the input object. Using the output argument, one can select the parameter of interest, for example area under the curve instead of maximum height:

```
R> x <- annotated(vaas_1, output = param_names()[4])
R> head(x)

<NA> C00721 C00208 C01083 C00185 C08240
8918.137 18391.590 21960.080 18531.180 11831.150 19254.160
```

Visualisation of the results of an opm_mcp analysis is also possible, which offers more (statistically interesting) opportunities for making sense of the PM data in the context of pathways. However, this method only makes sense if each coefficient estimated by opm_mcp can be linked to a single substrate. This is usually only possible for the "Dunnett" and "Pairs" type of contrasts if applied to the wells (see Section 4.2.3). See the main tutorial and the manual for details on this restriction.

95% family-wise confidence level




Figure 1: Point estimates and 95% confidence intervals in a manually defined comparison of group means for a specifically selected set of wells from the vaas_4 exemplar object. In this procedure each selected well is compared against A05. The picture was obtained by running opm_mcp and then the plotting function for the resulting opm_glht object. See the main tutorial for details.

The results from an opm_mcp procedure are treated with annotated as shown before with an OPMX object, but additional options are available. In the following example, first an opm_glht object is generated from the vaas_4 exemplar object by performing a Dunnett-type multiple comparison of the selected 13 wells against well A05 as control group. The comparison applies to the default parameter given by opm_opt("curve.param"); see the manual for details.

```
R> x \leftarrow opm\_mcp(vaas\_4[, , 1:15], output = "mcp", model = ~ Well, linfct = c(Dunnett.AO5 = 1), full = FALSE)
```

The resulting 95% confidence intervals for the difference of means are plotted in Figure 1.

Using the above generated opm_glht object, the options modifying the output of annotated will be illustrated. Apparently only three comparisons exhibit a statistically significant difference, namely the comparisons A10 - A05, A11 - A05 and A12 - A05, all showing that the reactions in A05 are weaker than those in A10, A11 and A12, respectively.

Using the output argument, users are able to obtain various statistically relevant categorical results instead of the simple numerical output of the respective point estimator. The options upwards and downwards result in a classification into three categories (FALSE, NA, or TRUE). These indicate whether or not the cut-off (zero per default) is included in the confidence interval (NA) and thus a decision possible. If not, the category indicates the direction of the shift relative to the cut-off. The options different, smaller, larger and equal work similarly, but use only the two categories TRUE and FALSE. Short-cuts are available for all

options, enabling the user to set the cut-off together with the kind of output. See the manual for details

A comprehensive overview of the possible results for object x is shown in the following data frame:

	${\tt Comparison}$	numeric	upwards	${\tt downwards}$	${\tt different}$	equal	${\tt smaller}$	larger
1	A01 - A05	1.577317	NA	NA	FALSE	TRUE	FALSE	FALSE
2	A02 - A05	57.274661	NA	NA	FALSE	TRUE	FALSE	FALSE
3	A03 - A05	26.661023	NA	NA	FALSE	TRUE	FALSE	FALSE
4	A04 - A05	34.537328	NA	NA	FALSE	TRUE	FALSE	FALSE
5	A06 - A05	25.078779	NA	NA	FALSE	TRUE	FALSE	FALSE
6	A07 - A05	-1.606236	NA	NA	FALSE	TRUE	FALSE	FALSE
7	A08 - A05	-8.458879	NA	NA	FALSE	TRUE	FALSE	FALSE
8	A09 - A05	-4.975284	NA	NA	FALSE	TRUE	FALSE	FALSE
9	A10 - A05	247.470724	TRUE	FALSE	TRUE	FALSE	FALSE	TRUE
10	A11 - A05	245.163382	TRUE	FALSE	TRUE	FALSE	FALSE	TRUE
11	A12 - A05	250.763650	TRUE	FALSE	TRUE	FALSE	FALSE	TRUE
12	B01 - A05	5.417463	NA	NA	FALSE	TRUE	FALSE	FALSE
13	B02 - A05	27.079437	NA	NA	FALSE	TRUE	FALSE	FALSE
14	B03 - A05	15.870312	NA	NA	FALSE	TRUE	FALSE	FALSE

All these results are obtained with the setting how = "ids"; for the usage of how = "value" see Section 5.

4.2. Visualisation in pathway maps using pathview

4.2.1. Visualisation of group means in pathway maps

Here we will use the function pathview from the package of the same name (Luo and Brouwer 2013). This function can download a user-defined pathway graph from KEGG, optionally integrate additional data from other sources, and render the result. For integrating experimental data from other "OMICS" approaches (such as transcriptomics and proteomics), see the corresponding chapter in the pathview vignette for details.

Here the pathview function serves for integrating and visualising information produced by opm and provided by annotated. The user only has to specify the pathway and provide the opm data. All other necessary steps (download of pathway graph data as XML file from KEGG, parsing of this data file, integrating user-defined data into the pathway representation, and rendering of final output graphics) are automatically conducted by pathview. See the pathview vignette for technical details.

In the case of KEGG, a pathway map is described as "a molecular interaction/reaction network diagram represented in terms of the KEGG Orthology (KO) groups" (see http://www.genome.jp/kegg/kegg3a.html for further details). KEGG contains a collection of distinct types of pathway maps identified by a code containing between two and four letters as a prefix, followed by five digits.

The prefixes have the following meanings:

map - Reference pathway

```
ko - Reference pathway (KO)ec - Reference pathway (Enzyme Commission (EC))rn - Reference pathway (Reaction)
```

org - Organism-specific pathway map (org is a wild-card for the organism-specific abbreviation composed of two to four letters)

Only the first reference pathway map is drawn manually; all other maps are computationally generated. The ko maps contain the manually defined ortholog groups (ko entries) for all proteins and functional RNA molecules that correspond to KEGG pathway nodes, BRITE hierarchy nodes, and KEGG module nodes. The ko entries are converted to gene ID if organism-specific pathways maps are generated. A list of the existing maps and their corresponding numbers are available on the KEGG homepage (see above).

pathview allows only for using KEGG orthology (the ko maps) or species-specific letter codes. See http://www.genome.jp/kegg/catalog/org_list.html for an up-to-date list of organisms with complete genome information in KEGG.

A vector as returned by annotated (see Section 4.1) serves as input for the visualisation procedure based on pathview. For demonstration purposes, we use subsets of vaas_et_al containing the *Escherichia coli* strains from the first biological repetition.

Afterwards we create the annotated vectors containing the average maximum curve heights for the two groups separately:

```
R> anno.k12 <- annotated(coli.k12)
R> anno.type <- annotated(coli.type)</pre>
```

For a more convenient drawing of **opm** data on KEGG pathway maps, we suggest a wrapper for the **pathview** function, providing other default settings for some arguments. All graphics below are produced using this wrapper, but the user is of course free to use the original **pathview** function or write an alternative wrapper.

```
R> opm_path <- function(cpd.data, gene.data = NULL,
    high = list(gene = "green4", cpd = "blue"),
    mid = list(gene = "lightsteelblue1", cpd = "yellow"),
    low = list(gene = "white", cpd = "yellow"),
    species = "ko", out.suffix = "non-native",
    key.pos = "topright", afactor = 1000,
    limit = list(gene = 2, cpd = 400),
    bins = list(gene = 0.5, cpd = 40),
    both.dirs = list(gene = FALSE, cpd = FALSE),
    sign.pos = "topleft", cpd.lab.offset = 0,
    same.layer = FALSE,</pre>
```

```
na.col = "white", ...) {
pathview(cpd.data = cpd.data, gene.data = gene.data,
high = high, mid = mid, low = low,
species = species, out.suffix = out.suffix, key.pos = key.pos,
afactor = afactor, limit = limit, bins = bins,
both.dirs = both.dirs, sign.pos = sign.pos,
cpd.lab.offset = cpd.lab.offset, same.layer = same.layer,
na.col = na.col, ...)
}
```

The data for the two strains are shown on the correspondingly separated maps in Figure 2.

```
R> coli.map.k12 <- opm_path(cpd.data = anno.k12, species = "ko",
    out.suffix = "k12.ko", pathway.id = "00052")
R> coli.map.type <- opm_path(cpd.data = anno.type, species = "ko",
    out.suffix = "type.ko", pathway.id = "00052")</pre>
```


Note particularly the substrates Raffinose, Stachyose and Sucrose (in the middle of the map), which exhibit large respiratory differences, while Sorbitol (on the very left of the map) yields only small respiratory differences between the two strains.

Using the default settings, pathview yields a raster image in Portable Network Graphics (PNG) format, which is stored in the current working directory and shown in Figure 2. For demonstration purposes the pathway number "00052", which encodes for the Galactose metabolism pathway map, is chosen. Genes (boxes) are annotated with KEGG ontology numbers (set by choosing species = "ko"), where available or, alternatively, with EC numbers. Note that the species arguments offers the possibility to use species-specific genome information available in the KEGG directory; see above for the letter codes. The substrates (circles) in the maps get standard compound names, which are automatically retrieved from the ChEMBL database using the compound IDs.


The data for the two strains can be shown analogously using the categorical output of annotated, see Figure 3. This works because the underlying OPMX object contains discretised data. Whereas annotated would by default return a logical vector in that case, the lmap argument can be used to create a numeric vector on the fly. See the manual for its usage, entry ?annotated.

```
R> anno.k12.bin <- annotated(coli.k12, output = param_names("disc.name"),
    lmap = 1:3)
R> anno.type.bin <- annotated(coli.type, output = param_names("disc.name"),
    lmap = 1:3)
R> coli.map.k12.bin <- opm_path(cpd.data = anno.k12.bin, species = "ko",
    out.suffix = "k12.ko.bin", pathway.id = "00052",
    limit = list(gene = 2, cpd = c(1, 3)), bins = list(gene = 0.5, cpd = 3))
R> coli.map.type.bin <- opm_path(cpd.data = anno.type.bin, species = "ko",
    out.suffix = "type.ko.bin", pathway.id = "00052",
    limit = list(gene = 2, cpd = c(1, 3)), bins = list(gene = 0.5, cpd = 3))</pre>
```

4.2.2. Finding substrates within pathways




(a) Respiratory data (mean maximum height) of *Escherichia coli* strain K12 mapped on the KEGG Galactose pathway.




(b) Respiratory data (mean maximum height) of $Escherichia\ coli\ type\ strain\ DSM\ 30083^T$ mapped on the KEGG Galactose pathway.

Figure 2: Galactose metabolism pathway maps for the two $E.\ coli$ strains K12 and DSM $30083^{\rm T}$ showing the mean maximum height on 13 substrates. The aggregated measurement data are represented by according colours and mapped on the corresponding substrates (circles) in the graph.



(a) Respiratory data (mean maximum height, discretised) of $Escherichia\ coli\ strain\ K12\ mapped$ on the KEGG Galactose pathway.



(b) Respiratory data (mean maximum height, discretised) of *Escherichia coli* type strain DSM 30083^T mapped on the KEGG Galactose pathway.

Figure 3: Galactose metabolism pathway maps for the two $E.\ coli$ strains K12 and DSM $30083^{\rm T}$ showing the mean maximum height on 13 substrates. The aggregated and discretised measurement data are represented by according colours and mapped on the corresponding substrates (circles) in the graph.

Note that from the annotation objects anno.k12 or anno.type, respectively, comprising 96 substrates, only 13 are represented in the shown pathway map in Figure 2. This is no wonder because the PM plates are not arranged according to their affiliation to KEGG pathways. It often makes sense to restrict the considered substrates beforehand if the pathway of interest is already known. This particularly saves running time in the calls to opm_mcp and the annotated method for opm_glht objects.

When using the option how = "value", annotated yields a numeric matrix with substrate names as row names and pathway ID as column names. Whereas the main use of such a matrix is described in Section 5, it can also be used simply to show the distribution of substrates over pathways. Ones and zeros indicate whether or not a certain substrate (row) is contained in a certain pathway (column). NAs indicate that a substrate has no KEGG ID, as for example well A01 containing the (pseudo-)substrate "Negative Control".

By summing up the columns and sorting the resulting vector, the user gets a ranking of the columns (pathways) indicating how many substrates are covered.

```
R> anno.k12.mat <- annotated(coli.k12, how = "value")
R> col.sums <- sort(colSums(anno.k12.mat, na.rm = TRUE), decreasing = TRUE)
R> col.sums[1:10]
```

map02010	map01110	map01100	Value	exact_mass
22.00	24.00	43.00	14820.75	17697.97
map00052	Monosaccharides	map02060	map01120	Carbohydrates
13.00	14.00	14.00	16.00	22.00

In the next example we search for the substrates represented in pathway number "00052", which is Galactose metabolism. Then we extract the positions of these substrates (for the plate type of interest) with find_positions:

```
R> e.subs <- rownames(anno.k12.mat)[!is.na(anno.k12.mat[, "map00052"]) &
        anno.k12.mat[, "map00052"] > 0]
R> e.subs.pos <- find_positions(e.subs, type = "Gen III")
R> e.subs.pos
```

D-Raffinose	Stachyose	Sucrose
"B01"	"A09"	"A07"
${\tt N-Acetyl-D-Galactosamine}$	D-Melibiose	a-D-Lactose
"B08"	"B03"	"B02"
D-Fructose	D-Mannose	D-Glucose
"C03"	"C02"	"C01"
${ t myo-Inositol}$	D-Sorbitol	D-Galactose
"D04"	"D01"	"C04"
		Glycerol
		"D05"

4.2.3. Visualisation of differences of group means in pathway maps

Next, we show the maximum-height values from the 13 substrates represented in the Galactose pathway map (number "00052") in Figure 4 to demonstrate the sizes of their differences. Remember that the vector e.subs.pos contains the positions of the substrates of interest as a character string. It can thus directly be used to subset OPMX objects.




Figure 4: Point estimates and 95% confidence intervals for the single maximum-height values of the two $E.\ coli$ strains for the subset of substrates represented in the Galactose pathway map.

```
R> ci_plot(coli.sub[, , e.subs.pos],
    as.labels = list("Species", "Strain"), subset = "A", x = "bottomright",
    draw.legend = T, crr = 1.33)
```

Straightforwardly, we compute a multiple comparison between only the 13 substrates included in the Galactose metabolism pathway map. Our example compares the type strain with K12; each corresponding 95% Confidence Interval (CI) for differences of means for the chosen substrates is shown in Figure 5.

```
R> coli.comp <- opm_mcp(coli.sub[, , e.subs.pos],
    output = "mcp", model = ~ J(Well + Strain), linfct = c(Pairs = 1))</pre>
```

The annotation vector for the differences of means can be obtained by simply applying annotated to the opm_glht object. The direct mapping of these differences between the two strains on the Galactose pathway is shown in Figure 6.




Figure 5: Point estimates and 95% confidence intervals for the differences of means between the two $E.\ coli$ strains for the subset of substrates represented in the Galactose pathway map. The blue dashed line indicates a minimal effect size of 150 as used in Figure 7b. The default is 0, as used in Figure 7a.




Figure 6: Respiration differences between $E.\ coli\ K12$ and DSM $30083^{\rm T}$ regarding the maximum-height values mapped on the Galactose pathway. Compare also Figure 5, which directly depicts the magnitude of the differences.

```
R> coli.comp.map <- opm_path(cpd.data = annotated(coli.comp), species = "ko",
    out.suffix = "coli.comp.ko", pathway.id = "00052")</pre>
```

In analogy to the last example, the function annotated can be used to produce categorical annotation vectors for the differences of means. Such vectors are very useful because they can specifically highlight the statistically significant differences, and particularly those that are significantly larger than a certain biologically relevant minimum effect size. Thus the full power of the functions from the **multcomp** package underlying <code>opm_mcp</code> (see the main tutorial and the manual for details) is available when visualising PM data in pathway graphs.

The mapping of indicators of the significance of the differences between the two strains regarding the Galactose pathway is shown in Figure 7. Because we chose the "upwards" running mode, up to three colours are used in the map, indicating whether the performance difference is significantly larger than the minimum effect size, insignificantly different from the minimum effect size, or significantly smaller than the minimum effect size. In Figure 7a, the default minimum effect size of zero is chosen. Figure 7b shows the results for a user-defined minimum effect size. This can be set using a short-cut notation indicating both the minimum effect size and the kind of comparison to be conducted. The manual lists all possible short-cuts. Remember the use of the lmap argument.

4.2.4. Visualisation of pathway maps in Graphviz layout


In addition to the native KEGG visualisation, pathview can use the Graphviz library for an alternative visualisation approach. As return value, the function always generates a list containing two data frames. The data frame "plot.data.gene" contains the data for mapping the genes and, analogously, "plot.data.cpd" stores the compound-related data.

In the examples detailed above, a variety of such objects have already been generated, e.g., coli.map.k12 or the coli.map.types (both described in Section 4.2.1 and visualised in Figure 2 therein).


Next, we show how to produce graphics from these objects instead of directly from KEGG.

```
R> coli.graphvizmap.k12 <- opm_path(cpd.data = anno.k12, species = "ko", afactor = 1500,
    kegg.native = FALSE, out.suffix = "graphvizk12.ko", pathway.id = "00052",
    sign.pos = "bottomleft", key.pos = "bottomright")</pre>
```

Although pathview offers quite a number of arguments specifically for kegg.native=FALSE, there are, unfortunately, only limited options for tuning the size of the nodes or the font



(a) Using the default minimum effect size of 0.



(b) Using a minimum effect size of 150.

Figure 7: Indicators of the significance of the respiration differences between $E.\ coli\ K12$ and $E.\ coli\ DSM\ 30083^T$ regarding the maximum-height values mapped on the Galactose pathway. Blue, significantly larger than the chosen minimum effect size; grey, insignificantly different from the chosen minimum effect size; yellow, significantly smaller than the chosen minimum effect size. For the direct mapping of the numeric differences see Figure 6. Compare also Figure 5, which directly depicts the significance of the differences.




Figure 8: Respiratory data (mean maximum height) of *Escherichia coli* strain K12 mapped on the KEGG Galactose pathway and rendered by **pathview** using the **Graphviz** layout engine. Compare Figure 2a, which shows the native KEGG rendering of these data. See the main text for a description of some of the limitations when creating graphics in this way.

sizes in this visualisation. The scaling of text and symbols can be tuned with the usual cex argument (for kegg.native = FALSE the default is cex = 0.5). The text.width argument can be used to specify the length for text wrapping. In principle, the node size can be fine-tuned with afactor, however even the help page of the pathview function emphasises that "its effect is subtler than expected". Together with the automated rendering by the graphviz layout engine it might be difficult to obtain a visually satisfying map of the pathway of interest.

5. Finding the pathways of interest

In many cases, the pathways of interest are not known in advance. The information accessible via annotated can be used in conjunction with suitable statistical procedures to automatically determine those features of the substrates that best correspond to a certain experimental outcome. Such results can be used as a starting point when searching for a causal explanation of the given opm results. They can also be used to simply determine the pathways to be used when drawing the opm results in a graph, as detailed in the previous sections.

In the next coding example, we prepare a data matrix of differences between means and potential explanatory variables from KEGG. Again, the comparison applies to the default parameter given by opm_opt("curve.param"); see the manual for details.

The matrix has to be reduced to the non-NA rows, i.e. substrates that are not included in KEGG have to be removed. It also needs to be converted to a data frame before the randomForest package (?) can be applied.

```
R> coli.anno <- as.data.frame(coli.anno[complete.cases(coli.anno), ])
R> suppressPackageStartupMessages(library("randomForest"))
R> coli.comp.rf <- randomForest(x = coli.anno[, -1], y = coli.anno[, 1],
    importance = TRUE, proximity = TRUE)</pre>
```

See (?) for a review of Random Forest (RF) properties that allow for maximising the biological insights that can be extracted from complex OMICS data. (?) emphasise the applications of RF to computational biology with special attention to practical aspects such as parameter selection and major pitfalls and biases of RF and its VIM.

We can now determine the substrate features that best predict the differences between the two *E. coli* strains and depict these features within a "Variable Importance Plot" (Figure 9).

```
R> varImpPlot(coli.comp.rf, main = NULL)
```

The output can be interpreted a follows. Whether or not a substrate is a carbohydrate works best for predicting whether one of the strains performs differently than the other. The category




Figure 9: Variable importance plot for substrate features that potentially explain the performance differences between the two $E.\ coli$ strains. Apparently the category "Carbohydrates" has the highest importance for the model. That is, one of the strains performs stronger than the other particularly regarding carbohydrate utilisation. For a subset of the carbohydrates, those from the Galactose pathway ("map00052"), this can be confirmed in Figure 5.

"Carbohydrates" works even better than its subcategories such as "Oligosaccharides". The pathway map IDs that are shown to have a strong influence are also related to carbohydrate metabolism, of course. For instance, the Galactose metabolism ("map00052") is among the top-scoring substrate features. For a confirmation using opm_mcp, see Figure 5.

Note that the approach used above is suboptimal because mean differences between metadata-defined groups have entered the model as dependent variable. This fits well to the visualisation approaches exemplified above but ignores the variance of the differences and lets the metainformation not directly enter the model because here metadata are only used to define the groups. The forthcoming version of this vignette will demonstrate feature selection from the curve parameters themselves. We will show how to easily generate matrices containing estimated both traditional **opm** metadata and novel **opm** substrate metainformation as (potential) explanatory variables. Moreover, we will make use of the **mboost** package for feature selection.

6. Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Barry Bochner (BIOLOG Inc.) for providing substrate and plate information on PM assays. The integration of missing OmniLog® substrates into ChEBI by the ChEBI staff is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Weijun Luo for providing hints regarding the use of the **pathview** package.

References

- Caspi R, Altman T, Dreher K, Fulcher CA, Subhraveti P, Keseler IM, Kothari A, Krummenacker M, Latendresse M, Mueller LA, Ong Q, Paley S, Pujar A, Shearer AG, Travers M, Weerasinghe D, Zhang P, Karp PD (2012). "The MetaCyc database of metabolic pathways and enzymes and the BioCyc collection of pathway/genome databases." *Nucleic Acids Research*, 40(D1), D742–D753. doi:10.1093/nar/gkr1014.
- Coletti MH, Bleich HL (2001). "Medical Subject Headings Used to Search the Biomedical Literature." *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 8(4), 317–323. doi: 10.1136/jamia.2001.0080317.
- Hastings J, de Matos P, Dekker A, Ennis M, Harsha B, Kale N, Muthukrishnan V, Owen G, Turner S, Williams M, Steinbeck C (2013). "The ChEBI reference database and ontology for biologically relevant chemistry: enhancements for 2013." *Nucleic Acids Research*, **41**(D1), D456–D463. doi:10.1093/nar/gks1146.
- Kanehisa M, Goto S, Furumichi M, Tanabe M, Hirakawa M (2010). "KEGG for representation and analysis of molecular networks involving diseases and drugs." *Nucleic Acids Research*, **38**(suppl 1), D355–D360. doi:10.1093/nar/gkp896.
- Luo W, Brouwer C (2013). "Pathview: an R/Biocondutor package for pathway-based data integration and visualization." *Bioinformatics*. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btt285. URL http://bioinformatics.oxfordjournals.org/content/29/14/1830.full.pdf+html.
- Vaas LA, Sikorski J, Michael V, Göker M, Klenk H (2012). "Visualization and Curve Parameter Estimation Strategies for Efficient Exploration of Phenotype MicroArray Kinetics." *PLoS ONE*, 7, e34846. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0034846.

Affiliation:

Markus Göker Leibniz Institute DSMZ – German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures Braunschweig

Telephone: +49/531-2616-272Fax: +49/531-2616-237

E-mail: markus.goeker@dsmz.de

URL: www.dsmz.de