

The “mc2d” package.

Régis POUILLOT, Marie-Laure DELIGNETTE-MULLER & Jean-Baptiste DENIS

December 5, 2008

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	What is mc2d?	2
1.2	What is Two-Dimensional Monte-Carlo Simulation (briefly)?	3
1.3	A basic example	3
1.3.1	One Dimensional Monte-Carlo Simulation	5
1.3.2	Two dimensional Monte-Carlo Simulation	6
2	Basic Principles and Functions	9
2.1	Before All	9
2.2	The mcnode Object as an Elementary Object.	9
2.2.1	mcnode Object Structure	9
2.2.2	The mcstoc function	10
2.2.3	The mcdata function	12
2.2.4	Operations on mcnode	12
2.2.5	The mcprobtrees function	13
2.2.6	Other functions to build mcnode	13
2.2.7	Building correlation between mcnode	14
2.3	The mc Object	14
2.3.1	The mc Function	14
2.3.2	The mcmodel and the evalmcmod Functions	14
2.3.3	The mcmodelcut and the evalmccut Functions	15
2.4	Studying an mc Object	16
2.4.1	The summary Function	16
2.4.2	The hist Function	17
2.4.3	The plot function	17
2.4.4	The tornado function	18
2.4.5	The tornadounc function	20
2.5	Other Functions and mc Objects	20

3	Multivariate Nodes	21
3.1	Multivariate Nodes for Multivariate Distributions	21
3.2	Multivariate Nodes as a “Third Dimension” for Multiple Options in a Model	23
3.3	Multivariate Nodes as a “Third Dimension” for Multiple Vectors/Contaminants	25
4	Another Example: A QRA of Waterborne Cryptosporidiosis in France	27
4.1	Tap Water Consumption Model	27
4.2	The Dose-Response Model	32
4.3	The Model	33

This documentation is intended for readers with:

- A medium level in R. Please refer to the Manual “An Introduction to R” available with R distribution if needed;
- Some knowledge about Monte-Carlo simulations (its basic principles and its utility) and about Quantitative Risk Assessment (QRA).

This documentation will not described all arguments of the functions. The reference remains the documentation attached to the package.

1 Introduction

1.1 What is mc2d?

“mc2d” means Two-Dimensional Monte-Carlo (*“Monte-Carlo à Deux Dimensions”*). This package :

- provides additional distributions;
- provides tools to build One-Dimensional and Two-Dimensional Monte-Carlo Simulations;
- provides tools to study One-Dimensional and Two-Dimensional Monte-Carlo Simulations.

In a previous version, some tools to fit parametric distributions to data were included. These functions being useful for other purposes, they have been placed in a specific package called **fitdistrplus**. These packages are available at the URL <https://r-forge.r-project.org/projects/riskassessment/>.

mc2d was built for QRA in the Food Safety domain but it might be used for other domains.

1.2 What is Two-Dimensional Monte-Carlo Simulation (briefly)?

The following text and Figure 1 are adapted from [4] and [5] where this method was used. The major reference for Two-Dimensional Monte-Carlo simulations remains [2].

According to international recommendations, a QRA should reflect the “variability” of the risk and estimate the “uncertainty” of the risk estimate. The “variability” represents the temporal, geographical and/or individual heterogeneity of the risk for a given population. The “uncertainty” is understood as the lack of perfect knowledge of the QRA model structure and parameters.

In order to estimate the natural “variability” of the risk, a Monte-Carlo simulation approach may be useful: the empirical distribution of the risk within the population may be estimated from the mathematical combination of distributions reflecting the variability of parameters in the population.

In order to estimate the “uncertainty” of the risk estimates issued from data uncertainty, a two-dimensional (or second-order) Monte-Carlo simulation was proposed [2]. A two-dimensional Monte-Carlo simulation is a Monte-Carlo simulation where the alea reflecting “variability” and the alea reflecting “uncertainty” are transferred separately in the simulation, so that “variability” and “uncertainty” of the output may be estimated separately. It may be described as following (see Figure 1):

1. The parameters of the model should be divided in three categories: the parameters whose alea reflects “variability only”, hereinafter denoted as “variable parameters”, the parameters whose alea reflects “uncertainty only”, denoted as “uncertain parameters” and the parameters whose alea reflects uncertainty and variability. For this latter category, a hierarchical structure, using “hyper-parameters”, should be specified: if a parameter is uncertain and variable, one should be able to specify an empirical or parametric distribution reflecting variability only conditionally on other parameters known with uncertainty. As an example, one should be able to set a structure as $X|a, b \sim N(a, b)$, this normal distribution reflecting variability of x conditionally to a and b , with, e.g., $a \sim Unif(l_a, u_a)$ and $b \sim Unif(l_b, u_b)$, these distributions reflecting the uncertainty around the parameters a and b ;
2. A set of uncertain parameters are randomly sampled from their respective distributions;
3. The QRA is performed using a classical (one-dimensional) Monte-Carlo simulation of size N_v , conditionally to these uncertain parameters considered as fixed. This QRA takes into account the variability of all variable parameters, and leads to an empirical density function reflecting the variability of exposure/risk among the population conditionally to the uncertain parameters. Various statistics (*e.g.* the mean, the standard deviation, some percentiles) of the resulting empirical density are evaluated and stored;
4. Steps 2) and 3) are performed a large number of time (N_u times), leading to N_u set of statistics;
5. As output, the 50th percentile (median) of each statistic is used to establish an estimate of this statistic; the 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles of each statistic are used to establish a 95% credible interval (CI95) of this statistic. The median of the N_u estimated values for each of the 101 estimated percentiles allows us to represent a “variability cumulative distribution” using a graph. This curve is surrounded by the 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles obtained from the N_u estimates of each of the 101 percentiles.

“mc2d” is a set of R functions that will help to develop such two-dimensional Monte-Carlo simulations. The main difference with the procedure described above is that mc2d will use arrays of (at least) two dimensions to derive the results: the first dimension will reflect variability, the second will reflect uncertainty. This document will not develop further the method, but the practical use of mc2d, based on a fictive example.

1.3 A basic example

Quantitative Risk Assessment: *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 infection linked to the consumption of frozen ground beef in <3 year old children.




Figure 1: Schematic Representation of a Two-Dimensional Monte-Carlo Simulation.

- We assume that, in a given batch of ground beef, *E. coli* O157:H7 are randomly distributed with a mean concentration of $c = 10$ bacteria (cfu) per gram of product;
- We assume that no bacterial growth occurs, since the product is kept frozen until cooked, just before consumption;
- 2.7% of consumers cook their beef “rare”, 37.3% “medium” and 60.0% “well cooked”;
- The following inactivation i is associated to these cooking practices:
 - No inactivation for “rare” cooking;
 - 1/5 surviving bacteria for a “medium” cooking;
 - 1/50 surviving bacteria for a “well done” cooking.
- The variability distribution of steak serving sizes s for <3 year children was estimated in a consumption survey. The “best fit” was obtained using a gamma distribution with parameters: $shape = 3.93$, $rate = 0.0806$.
- The dose-response relationship, describing the probability of illness P according to the dose is a one hit model. The probability of illness per hit r is assumed to be constant and $r = 0.001$.

The question is: “*What is the distribution of the risk of illness in the population that consumed the contaminated lot?*”

This distribution will be estimated using Monte-Carlo simulations performed using R with the “mc2d” package. First, the model will be developed in a one dimensional framework. Then, including some uncertainties in the model, it will be derived in a two dimensional framework.

1.3.1 One Dimensional Monte-Carlo Simulation

In a first step, we assume that no uncertainty exists in our model. All distributions reflects variability. The model may be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 c &= 10. \\
 i &\sim emp(\{1, 1/5, 1/50\}, \{0.027, 0.373, 0.600\}) \\
 s &\sim gamma(3.93, 0.0806) \\
 n &\sim Poisson(c \times i \times s) \\
 P &= 1 - (1 - 0.001)^n
 \end{aligned}$$

where $emp(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{P})$ is the empirical distribution where each value X_i is associated to the probability P_i . We will use a “classical” one dimensional Monte-Carlo simulation, with 1000 iterations. Using the “mc2d” package, the model may be written as:

```

> library(mc2d)
> ndvar(1000)

[1] 1000

> conc <- 10
> cook <- mcstoc(rempricalD, values = c(1, 1/5, 1/50), prob = c(0.027,
+   0.373, 0.6))
> serving <- mcstoc(rgamma, shape = 3.93, rate = 0.0806)
> expo <- conc * cook * serving

```

```

> dose <- mcstoc(rpois, lambda = expo)
> r <- 0.001
> risk <- 1 - (1 - r)^dose
> EC1 <- mc(cook, serving, expo, dose, risk)
> print(EC1)

```

	node	mode	nsv	nsu	nva	variate	min	mean	median	max	Nas	type
1	cook	numeric	1000	1	1	1	0.02	0.1165	0.0200	1.000	0	V
2	serving	numeric	1000	1	1	1	5.17	48.4451	44.0195	219.976	0	V
3	expo	numeric	1000	1	1	1	1.03	56.2452	14.1530	935.189	0	V
4	dose	numeric	1000	1	1	1	0.00	56.0520	15.0000	938.000	0	V
5	risk	numeric	1000	1	1	1	0.00	0.0507	0.0149	0.609	0	V

```

outm
1 each
2 each
3 each
4 each
5 each

```

```

> summary(EC1)

```

```

cook :
      mean      sd  Min 2.5% 25% 50% 75% 97.5% Max  nsv Na's
NoUnc 0.116 0.176 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.2      1   1 1000   0

```

```

serving :
      mean      sd  Min 2.5% 25% 50% 75% 97.5% Max  nsv Na's
NoUnc 48.4 24.3 5.17 14.5 29.8 44 62.6 103 220 1000   0

```

```

expo :
      mean      sd  Min 2.5% 25% 50% 75% 97.5% Max  nsv Na's
NoUnc 56.2 96.8 1.03 3.5 8.11 14.2 79.1 229 935 1000   0

```

```

dose :
      mean      sd  Min 2.5% 25% 50% 75% 97.5% Max  nsv Na's
NoUnc 56 96.3 0 2 7 15 79 226 938 1000   0

```

```

risk :
      mean      sd  Min 2.5% 25% 50% 75% 97.5% Max  nsv Na's
NoUnc 0.0507 0.0755 0 0.002 0.00698 0.0149 0.076 0.203 0.609 1000   0

```

This One-Dimensional Monte-Carlo simulation provides an estimates of the mean risk (around 5%), as well as some quantiles of the risk (2.5% of the population has a risk of illness greater than 20.3%).

1.3.2 Two dimensional Monte-Carlo Simulation

Assume now that:

- The mean concentration of bacteria in the batch is not known with certainty. It was an estimate. Microbiologists think that the uncertainty around this estimate might be reflected through a normal distribution with parameters $\mu = 10$ and $\sigma = 2$;

- Epidemiological studies suggest that the r parameter is known with uncertainty. The uncertainty around the mean value 0.001 may be reflected through a uniform distribution bounded within 0.0005 and 0.0015.

The model could then be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 c &\sim N(10, 2) \\
 i &\sim emp(\{1, 1/5, 1/50\}, \{0.027, 0.373, 0.600\}) \\
 s &\sim gamma(3.93, 0.0806) \\
 n &\sim Poisson(c \times i \times s) \\
 r &\sim Unif(0.0005, 0.0015) \\
 P &= 1 - (1 - r)^n
 \end{aligned}$$

Nevertheless, the distributions of r and c do not reflect the same kind of alea then do the distributions of i and s . r and c are uncertain, while i and s are variable. n , as a function of c , i and s will be variable *and* uncertain.

We will use a two dimensional Monte-Carlo simulation, with 1000 iterations in the variability dimension and 100 iterations in the uncertainty dimension. Using the “mc2d” package, the model may be written as:

```
> ndunc(100)
```

```
[1] 100
```

```

> conc <- mcstoc(rnorm, type = "U", mean = 10, sd = 2)
> cook <- mcstoc(rempricalD, type = "V", values = c(1, 1/5, 1/50),
+   prob = c(0.027, 0.373, 0.6))
> serving <- mcstoc(rgamma, type = "V", shape = 3.93, rate = 0.0806)
> expo <- conc * cook * serving
> dose <- mcstoc(rpois, type = "VU", lambda = expo)
> r <- mcstoc(runif, type = "U", min = 5e-04, max = 0.0015)
> risk <- 1 - (1 - r)^dose
> EC2 <- mc(conc, cook, serving, expo, dose, r, risk)
> print(EC2, digits = 2)

```

	node	mode	nsv	nsu	nva	variate	min	mean	median	max	Nas	type
1	conc	numeric	1	100	1	1	5.55771	9.9e+00	9.7214	1.7e+01	0	U
2	cook	numeric	1000	1	1	1	0.02000	1.1e-01	0.0200	1.0e+00	0	V
3	serving	numeric	1000	1	1	1	2.66586	5.0e+01	45.0430	1.6e+02	0	V
4	expo	numeric	1000	100	1	1	0.70535	5.3e+01	13.7118	1.7e+03	0	VU
5	dose	numeric	1000	100	1	1	0.00000	5.3e+01	14.0000	1.7e+03	0	VU
6	r	numeric	1	100	1	1	0.00051	9.6e-04	0.0009	1.5e-03	0	U
7	risk	numeric	1000	100	1	1	0.00000	4.6e-02	0.0136	8.4e-01	0	VU

```
outm
```

```

1 each
2 each
3 each
4 each
5 each
6 each
7 each

```

```
> summary(EC2)
```

```

conc :
      NoVar
median  9.72
mean    9.94
2.5%    5.96
97.5%   14.46

cook :
      mean      sd  Min 2.5% 25% 50% 75% 97.5% Max  nsv Na's
NoUnc 0.107 0.166 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.2  0.22  1 1000  0

serving :
      mean      sd  Min 2.5% 25% 50% 75% 97.5% Max  nsv Na's
NoUnc 49.7 24.9 2.67 13.6 31 45 64.2 110 161 1000  0

expo :
      mean      sd  Min 2.5% 25% 50% 75% 97.5% Max  nsv Na's
median 51.9 94.2 1.234 3.06 7.87 13.58 71.8 240 938 1000  0
mean   53.1 96.3 1.261 3.12 8.04 13.89 73.4 245 959 1000  0
2.5%   31.8 57.8 0.756 1.87 4.82 8.33 44.0 147 575 1000  0
97.5%  77.2 140.2 1.836 4.55 11.71 20.21 106.8 357 1396 1000  0

dose :
      mean      sd  Min 2.5% 25% 50% 75% 97.5% Max  nsv Na's
median 51.9 94.7 0.00  2 7.00 14.0 71.8 242 958 1000  0
mean   53.1 96.7 0.04  2 7.53 14.2 73.4 245 964 1000  0
2.5%   31.7 57.8 0.00  1 4.47 9.0 43.5 146 573 1000  0
97.5%  77.6 140.7 1.00  3 11.00 20.5 107.8 355 1379 1000  0

r :
      NoVar
median 0.000902
mean   0.000962
2.5%   0.000525
97.5%  0.001459

risk :
      mean      sd      Min      2.5%      25%      50%      75% 97.5% Max  nsv
median 0.0445 0.0703 0.00e+00 0.001713 0.00687 0.01298 0.0645 0.2027 0.589 1000
mean   0.0455 0.0706 3.88e-05 0.001902 0.00717 0.01347 0.0674 0.2061 0.582 1000
2.5%   0.0191 0.0324 0.00e+00 0.000583 0.00282 0.00538 0.0271 0.0841 0.290 1000
97.5%  0.0730 0.1057 7.08e-04 0.004115 0.01226 0.02242 0.1116 0.3259 0.788 1000
      Na's
median  0
mean    0
2.5%    0
97.5%   0

```

Note that the syntax is similar. Nevertheless, for each distribution, a “**type**” argument is provided, indicating if the parameter distribution reflects uncertainty (**type**=“U”), variability (**type**=“V”), or both (**type**=“VU”).

The summary now provides estimates of the variability distribution (in row) but with a measure of their uncertainty, linked to the uncertainty around **conc** and **r**. The estimate of the mean risk is now known with uncertainty. The median of the 100 simulations lead to a “best estimate” of 0.0445, with a “credible interval” of [0.191, 0.0730].

2 Basic Principles and Functions

A classical session of R using “mc2d” is as following:

- From data, expert knowledge, *etc.* empirical or parametric distributions are chosen for each “parents” parameters. For data fitting, the “`fitdistrplus`” package is recommended;
- For each parameter, an `mcnode` object is built (key functions: `mcdata`, `mcstoc`);
- Various `mcnode` objects are grouped in a `mc` object (key function: `mc`).
- The `mc` object is studied through summaries, graphs, sensitivity analysis (key functions: `summary.mc`, `plot.mc`, `tornado`, `tornadounc`).

2.1 Before All

The “mc2d” library should be loaded during your R session (“`library(mc2d)`”).

The default size of the Monte-Carlo Simulation should be defined using the `ndvar()` function (dimension of variability) and the `ndunc()` function (dimension of uncertainty).

2.2 The `mcnode` Object as an Elementary Object.

2.2.1 `mcnode` Object Structure

An `mcnode` object is the basic element of an `mc` object. It is an array of dimension $(nsv \times nsu \times nvariables)$ where nsv is the dimension of variability, nsu is the dimension of uncertainty and $nvariables$ is the number of variates of the `mcnode`¹. Four types of `mcnode` exist:

- “V” `mcnode`, for “Variability”, are arrays of dimension $(nsv \times 1 \times nvariables)$. The alea in the data reflects variability of the parameter;
- “U” `mcnode`, for “Uncertainty”, are arrays of dimension $(1 \times nsu \times nvariables)$. The alea in the data reflects uncertainty of the parameter.
- “VU” `mcnode`, for “Variability and Uncertainty”, are arrays of dimension $(nsv \times nsu \times nvariables)$. The alea in the data reflects separated variability (in the first dimension) and uncertainty (in the second dimension) of the parameter.
- Additionally, “0” `mcnode` are defined for some use. “0” stand for “Neither Variability or Uncertainty”. They are arrays of dimension $(1 \times 1 \times nvariables)$. No alea is considered for these nodes. “0” `mcnode` are not necessary in the univariate context (use scalar instead) but are useful to build multivariate nodes (See section 3).

There are 5 ways to build a `mcnode` object:

1. The `mcstoc` function builds `mcnode` from random generating functions;
2. The `mcdata` function fills `mcnode` from data sets;
3. `mcnode` are built directly from operations on `mcnode` objects;
4. `mcprobtrees` is a special function that builds an `mcnode` from various `mcnode` using a probability tree;
5. Some functions, as “==” or “>”, `is.na`, `is.finite` build an `mcnode` when applied to an `mcnode`.

¹In this section, we will only consider `mcnode` with $nvariables = 1$.




Figure 2: Structure of the various `mcnode` objects.

2.2.2 The `mcstoc` function

The `mcstoc` function is written as²:

```
mcstoc(func=runif, type=c("V", "U", "VU", "0"), ..., nsv=ndvar(), nsu=ndunc(),
nvariables=1, outm="each", nsample="n", seed=NULL, rtrunc=FALSE, linf=-Inf, lsup=Inf,
lhs=FALSE)
```

- `func` is a function providing random data or its name as character. The table 1 provides available distributions from the `stats` and the `mc2d` libraries that can be used in `mcstoc`;
- `type` is the type of requested `mcnode`. By default, `mcstoc` builds a “V” `mcnode`;
- ... are the arguments to be passed to the function `func`, with the exception of the argument providing the size of the sample. This latter is calculated by the function according to `func`, `type`, `nsv`, `nsu` and `nvariables`. If the name of the argument specifying the size of the sample is not `n` (e.g. functions `rhyper` and `rwilcox`, see table 1), the name of this parameter should be provided in the `nsample` argument. *Note that all following arguments should be named*;
- `nsv` and `nsu` are the number of values needed in the variability and the uncertainty dimension, respectively. By default, these values are the one provided by `ndvar()` and `ndunc()`, respectively;
- `nvariables` is the desired number of variates in the `mcnode`;
- `outm` is the default output for multivariate nodes;
- `seed` optionally specify a seed for the random generator;

²as classically in R, most of arguments have logical default values and will be infrequently modified.

Table 1: Available distributions

Package	Distribution	function	Parameter n	Other Parameters	trunc	lhs
stats	beta	rbeta	n	shape1, shape2, ncp	Y	Y
	binomial	rbinom	n	size, prob	Y	Y
	Cauchy	rcauchy	n	location, scale	Y	Y
	chi-squared	rchisq	n	df, ncp	Y	Y
	exponential	rexp	n	rate	Y	Y
	F	rf	n	df1, df2, ncp	Y	Y
	gamma	rgamma	n	shape, rate (or scale)	Y	Y
	geometric	rgeom	n	prob	Y	Y
	hypergeometric	rhyper	nn	m, n, k	Y	Y
	lognormal	rlnorm	n	meanlog, sdlog	Y	Y
	logistic	rlogis	n	location, scale	Y	Y
	negative binomial	rnbinom	n	size, prob (or mu)	Y	Y
	normal	rnorm	n	mean, sd	Y	Y
	Poisson	rpois	n	lambda	Y	Y
	Student's t	rt	n	df, ncp	Y	Y
	uniform	runif	n	min, max	Y	Y
	Weibull	rweibull	n	shape, scale	Y	Y
	Wilcoxon	rwilcox	nn	m,n	Y	Y
mc2d	Bernoulli	rbern	n	prob	Y	Y
	empirical discrete	rempiricalD	n	values, prob	Y	Y
	PERT	rpert	n	min, mode, max, shape	Y	Y
	triangular	rtriang	n	min, mode, max	Y	Y
	generalised beta	rbetagen	n	shape1,shape2,min,max,ncp	Y	Y
	multinomial	rmultinomial	n	n, size, prob	N	N
	Dirichlet	rdirichlet	n	alpha	N	N
	multinormal	rmultinormal	n	mean, sigma	N	N

- **rtrunc** allows to truncate the distribution between **linf** and **lsup**. This function is not valid for all distributions (see table 1). See the **rtrunc** function help for further details;
- **lhs** allows to sample the node in a Latin Hypercube Sampling framework. This function is not valid for all distributions (see table 1). See the **lhs** function help for further details.

In our basic example, **mcstoc** was used to specify **conc** (a normal distribution), **cook** (an empirical discrete distribution), **serving** (a gamma distribution), and **dose** (a Poisson distribution). Note that the argument **lambda** of the Poisson distribution (node **dose**) is an **mcnode**.

```
> conc <- mcstoc(rnorm, type = "U", mean = 10, sd = 2)
> cook <- mcstoc(rempiricalD, type = "V", values = c(1, 1/5, 1/50),
+   prob = c(0.027, 0.373, 0.6))
> serving <- mcstoc(rgamma, type = "V", shape = 3.93, rate = 0.0806)
> ...
> dose <- mcstoc(rpois, type = "VU", lambda = expo)
> r <- mcstoc(runif, type = "U", min = 5e-04, max = 0.0015)
> ...
```

A normal distribution with parameters $mean = 2$, $sd = 3$, truncated on $[1.5, 2]$ with a Latin Hypercube Sampling could be written³:

³Note that the mean and the standard deviation of the Gaussian distribution are not kept due to the truncation.

```
> x <- mcstoc(rnorm, mean = 2, sd = 3, rtrunc = TRUE, linf = 1.5,
+           lsup = 2, lhs = TRUE)
> summary(x)
```

node :

	mean	sd	Min	2.5%	25%	50%	75%	97.5%	Max	nsv	Na's
NoUnc	1.75	0.144	1.5	1.51	1.63	1.75	1.88	1.99	2	1000	0

For your use in `mcstoc`, additionnal distributions have been implemented: the Bernoulli distribution (`rbern`), the empirical discrete distribution (`rempiricalD`), the PERT distribution (`rpert`)[6], the triangular distribution (`rtriang`), the Dirichlet distribution (`rdirichlet`) and the multinormal distribution (`rmultinormal`). The multinomial distribution has been adapted (vectorized): `rmultinomial` (library `mc2d`) should be used in place of `rmultinom` (library `stats`). The empirical discrete (*e.g.* for bootstrap), the Dirichlet, the multinomial and the multinormal may be used with uncertain and/or variable parameters using multivariate nodes. See section 3.

2.2.3 The `mcdata` function

Another way to build `mcnode` object is *via* the `mcdata` function, when the data are available.

```
mcdata(data, type=c("V", "U", "VU", "0"), nsv=ndvar(), nsu=ndunc(), nvariates=1,
outm="each")
```

See the documentation associated to this function to see the size/mode of data that can be used to specify an `mcnode`. The following example place a `TRUE` value in a "U" node in half of the simulations:

```
> nu <- ndunc()
> tmp <- (1:nu) > (nu/2)
> mcdata(tmp, type = "U")
```

	node	mode	nsv	nsu	nva	variate	min	mean	median	max	Nas	type	outm
1	x	logical	1	100	1	1	0	0.5	0.5	1	0	U	each

2.2.4 Operations on `mcnode`

`mcnodes` are automatically built using operations on `mcnode`. Rules are built to transfer coherently uncertainty and variability within the model. Logically, the rules are as following (illustrated here with a "+"⁴):

- "0" + "0" = "0";
- "0" + "V" = "V";
- "0" + "U" = "U";
- "0" + "VU" = "VU";
- "V" + "V" = "V";
- "V" + "U" = "VU": the "U" `mcnode` is recycled by row, the "V" `mcnode` is recycled classically by column;
- "V" + "VU" = "VU": the "V" `mcnode` is recycled classically by column;

⁴These rules are not classical R rules of recycling.

- "U" + "U" = "U";
- "U" + "VU" = "VU": the "U" mcnode is recycled by row;
- "VU" + "VU" = "VU"

Thus, in our example:

```
> ...
> expo <- conc * cook * serving
> ...
> risk <- 1 - (1 - r)^dose
```

`expo` is function of a "U" and two "V" mcnode: it is a "VU" mcnode with the variability dimension in row and the uncertainty dimension in column. `risk` is a function of a "U" and a "VU" node: it is a "VU" node.

2.2.5 The `mcprobtree` function

The `mcprobtree` function should be used if a "probability tree" is needed to build an mcnode. Assume that the distribution reflecting the uncertainty on `conc` was not sure, and that the microbiologists suggest that they are 75% confident that $conc \sim N(10, 2)$ but that they are 25% confident that $conc \sim U(8, 12)$. This could be written using `mcprobtree` as⁵:

```
> conc1 <- mcstoc(rnorm, type = "U", mean = 10, sd = 2)
> conc2 <- mcstoc(runif, type = "U", min = 8, max = 12)
> whichdist <- c(0.75, 0.25)
> concbis <- mcprobtree(whichdist, list("0" = conc1, "1" = conc2),
+   type = "U")
```

`mcprobtree` could also be used to provide a mixture distribution in the variability dimension.

2.2.6 Other functions to build mcnode

The functions "`==`", "`<`", "`<=`", "`>=`", "`>`", provides an mcnode when applied on a mcnode.

Special functions `is.na(x)`, `is.nan(x)`, `is.finite(x)`, `is.infinite(x)` are implemented to test if any values are NA (missing data), NaN ("Not A Number"), finite or not.

```
> cook < 1

  node   mode  nsv nsu nva variate min  mean median max Nas type outm
1    x logical 1000   1   1      1  0 0.975      1   1   0    V each

> tmp <- log(mcstoc(runif, min = -1, max = 1))
> tmp

  node   mode  nsv nsu nva variate  min  mean median    max Nas type outm
1    x numeric 1000   1   1      1 -8.19 -1.03 -0.699 -0.00167 512    V each

> is.na(tmp)

  node   mode  nsv nsu nva variate min  mean median max Nas type outm
1    x logical 1000   1   1      1  0 0.512      1   1   0    V each
```

⁵two alternatives for `whichdist` could be `whichdist <- mcstoc(rempiricalD, type="U", values=c(0,1), prob=c(75,25))` or `whichdist <- mcstoc(rbern, type="U", prob=0.25)`

2.2.7 Building correlation between mcnode

Structural links between set of parameters may be very important in QRA. In `mc2d`, a correlation structure (in the sense of Spearman) implying 2 or more nodes may be built with the `cornode` function. This function use the Iman & Conover method [3]. Assume that a study suggests that people that eat their ground beef "rare" eat bigger serving sizes. We could build this relation using:

```
> cornode(cook, serving, target = 0.5, result = TRUE)
```

output Rank Correlation per variates
variates: 1
[1] 1.0000000 0.3796997 0.3796997 1.0000000

\$cook

	node	mode	nsv	nsu	nva	variate	min	mean	median	max	Nas	type	outm
1	x	numeric	1000	1	1	1	0.02	0.107	0.02	1	0	V	each

\$serving

	node	mode	nsv	nsu	nva	variate	min	mean	median	max	Nas	type	outm
1	x	numeric	1000	1	1	1	2.67	49.7	45	161	0	V	each

Note that the resulting correlation (around 0.4) is obviously an approximation in this case, where a discrete distribution (`cook`: 3 categories) is correlated to a continuous distribution (`serving`).

It is possible to create such correlation between "V" nodes, between "U" nodes, between "VU" nodes or between one "V" node and some "VU" nodes.

The use of a multinormal distribution (`rmultinormal`) is another way to create such relationship between nodes.

2.3 The mc Object

Once your `mcnode` objects are built, one should group them in a single object to study the Monte-Carlo results. The "mc" object is a list of `mcnode`. There are three ways to build a `mc` object: using the `mc` function, the `evalmcmmod` function or within the `evalmccut` function.

2.3.1 The mc Function

```
mc(..., name=NULL, devname=FALSE)
```

... are `mcnode` or `mc` objects to be gathered in a `mc` object. `mc` value is an `mc` object with specific methods, e.g. `print` or `summary`. In our example, we used:

```
> ...  
> EC2 <- mc(conc, cook, serving, expo, dose, r, risk)  
> print(EC2)  
> summary(EC2)
```

2.3.2 The mcmmodel and the evalmcmmod Functions

A model may be written in one step using `mcmmodel` (just a wrap of your model in a function), and then evaluated using `evalmcmmod`. These functions may be used once your model is correct and tested using a small number of iterations. For our example:

```

> modeleC3 <- mcmodel({
+   conc <- mcstoc(rnorm, type = "U", mean = 10, sd = 2)
+   cook <- mcstoc(rempiricalD, type = "V", values = c(1, 1/5,
+     1/50), prob = c(0.027, 0.373, 0.6))
+   serving <- mcstoc(rgamma, type = "V", shape = 3.93, rate = 0.0806)
+   r <- mcstoc(runif, type = "U", min = 5e-04, max = 0.0015)
+   expo <- conc * cook * serving
+   dose <- mcstoc(rpois, type = "VU", lambda = expo)
+   risk <- 1 - (1 - r)^dose
+   mc(conc, cook, serving, expo, dose, r, risk)
+ })
> modeleC3

```

```

expression({
  conc <- mcstoc(rnorm, type = "U", mean = 10, sd = 2)
  cook <- mcstoc(rempiricalD, type = "V", values = c(1, 1/5,
    1/50), prob = c(0.027, 0.373, 0.6))
  serving <- mcstoc(rgamma, type = "V", shape = 3.93, rate = 0.0806)
  r <- mcstoc(runif, type = "U", min = 5e-04, max = 0.0015)
  expo <- conc * cook * serving
  dose <- mcstoc(rpois, type = "VU", lambda = expo)
  risk <- 1 - (1 - r)^dose
  mc(conc, cook, serving, expo, dose, r, risk)
})
attr(,"class")
[1] "mcmodel"

```

Note that:

- the model is wrapped between “{” and “}”;
- any (valid) R code may be placed in the model⁶;
- The model should end by an `mc()` function.

The model is then evaluated using the `evalmcmmod` function:

```
evalmcmmod(expr, nsv=ndvar(), nsu=ndunc(), seed=NULL)
```

The interest lay in the possibility to re-run the model with various dimensions or random seeds in one line.

```

> EC3 <- evalmcmmod(modeleC3, nsv = 100, nsu = 10, seed = 666)
> EC4 <- evalmcmmod(modeleC3, nsv = 100, nsu = 1000, seed = 666)

```

2.3.3 The `mcmodelcut` and the `evalmccut` Functions

If you want to evaluate a high dimension model, R may reach its memory limit. `evalmccut` evaluates a 2-dimensional Monte-Carlo model (written with the `mcmodelcut` function) using a loop, calculates and stores statistics in the uncertainty dimension for further analysis. Readers should refer to the corresponding documentation for further details. Our example would be written as:

⁶If needed, it is possible to make reference to the simulation dimensions using `ndvar()` and/or `ndunc()`.

```

> modEC4 <- mcmodelcut({
+   {
+     cook <- mcstoc(rempricalD, type = "V", values = c(0,
+       1/5, 1/50), prob = c(0.027, 0.373, 0.6))
+     serving <- mcstoc(rgamma, type = "V", shape = 3.93, rate = 0.0806)
+     conc <- mcstoc(rnorm, type = "U", mean = 10, sd = 2)
+     r <- mcstoc(runif, type = "U", min = 5e-04, max = 0.0015)
+   }
+   {
+     expo <- conc * cook * serving
+     dose <- mcstoc(rpois, type = "VU", lambda = expo)
+     risk <- 1 - (1 - r)^dose
+     res <- mc(zero, conc, cook, serving, expo, dose, r, risk)
+   }
+   {
+     list(sum = summary(res), plot = plot(res, draw = FALSE),
+       minmax = lapply(res, range), tor = tornado(res),
+       et = sapply(res, sd))
+   }
+ })
> evalmccut(modEC4, nsv = 10001, nsu = 101, seed = 666)

```

Note that the use of a `tornado` function in the model should be avoided since it slows considerably the `evalmccut` function. The `tornado` function will be rewritten in the near future.

2.4 Studying an mc Object

As a reminder, the `print` function provides a very basic summary of the `mc` object. It has a `digits` argument (default: 3). Obviously, other more informative functions are provided in the `mc2d` package.

2.4.1 The summary Function

The `summary` function provide statistics on the `mc` object:

```
summary(object, probs=c(0,0.025,0.25,0.5,0.75,0.975,1), lim=c(0.025,0.975), ...)
```

The mean, the standard deviation and the quantiles provided in the `probs` arguments are evaluated on the variability dimension. Then, the median and the quantiles provided in the `lim` argument are evaluated on these statistics. Of course, these arguments should be changed if other quantiles are needed.

```

> tmp <- summary(EC2, probs = c(0.995, 0.999), digits = 12)
> tmp$risk

```


	mean	sd	99.5%	99.9%	nsv	Na's
median	0.04446930	0.07028198	0.5016035	0.5573356	1000	0
mean	0.04554518	0.07058057	0.4979376	0.5522955	1000	0
2.5%	0.01914973	0.03243724	0.2380268	0.2771793	1000	0
97.5%	0.07297994	0.10573336	0.7113664	0.7565299	1000	0

```

attr(,"type")
[1] "VU"

```


Figure 3: Function `hist`.



2.4.2 The `hist` Function

The `hist` provides an histogram of the different `mcnode` of the `mc` object (cf. Figure 3).

```
hist(x, griddim = NULL, xlab = names(x), ylab = "Frequency", main = "", ...)
```

In the current version, uncertainty and variability distributions are collapsed. The histogram might be meaningless.


```
> hist(EC2)
```

2.4.3 The `plot` function

The `plot` function provides a graph of the empirical distribution function of the estimate (mean or median) of the quantiles.

```
plot(x, prec = 0.01, stat = c("median", "mean"), lim = c(0.025, 0.975), na.rm = TRUE,
     griddim = NULL, xlab = NULL, ylab = "Fn(x)", main = "", draw = TRUE, ...)
```

Figure 4: `plot` Function .



For our example, see the Figure 4 as a default graph.

```
> plot(EC2)
```

Note that `mcnode` objects have the same methods `print`, `summary`, `plot`, and `hist`.

2.4.4 The tornado function


The `tornado` function builds the Spearman (default) rank correlation between nodes of the `mc` object.

```
tornado(x, output=length(x), use="all.obs", method=c("spearman", "kendall", "pearson"),
lim=c(0.025, 0.975))
```

where `output` is the `mcnode` (name or rank) of the output (default: the last `mcnode`). Missing data are treated using the `use` arguments (see the reference documentation). `tornado` creates a `tornado` object with a `plot` method (*cf.* Figure 5).

```
> torEC2 <- tornado(EC2)
> plot(torEC2)
```

Figure 5: `plot.tornado` Function .



2.4.5 The tornadounc function

The `tornadounc` explore the impact of the uncertainty on the uncertainty of an output. It builds the Spearman (default) rank correlation between statistics of the `mc` object calculated in the variability dimension.

```
tornadounc(mc,output = length(mc), quant=c(0.5,0.75,0.975), use = "all.obs",
method=c("spearman","kendall","pearson"), ...)
```

The `quant` argument indicates which quantiles should be used in the variability dimension. `tornadounc` creates a `tornadounc` object with a `plot` method

```
> tornadounc(EC2, output = "risk", quant = 0.99)
```

```
Tornado on uncertainty
Spearman's rho statistic
Output: risk
$risk
```

	conc	mean expo	sd expo	99% expo	mean dose	sd dose	99% dose
mean risk	0.5244044	0.5244044	0.5244044	0.5244044	0.5220402	0.5235644	0.4966712
sd risk	0.5233123	0.5233123	0.5233123	0.5233123	0.5210321	0.5229043	0.4958971
99% risk	0.5233603	0.5233603	0.5233603	0.5233603	0.5214761	0.5233003	0.5088284

r

mean risk	0.7727933
sd risk	0.7728413
99% risk	0.7607921

The output shows the impact of the uncertain nodes (type "U" nodes) and some statistics (mean, median and, here, the 99th percentile) calculated on the variability dimension (type "V" and type "VU" nodes) on some statistics of the output.

2.5 Other Functions and mc Objects

`mc` objects are simply lists of three dimensional arrays; within each arrays, values in a given columns represent variability of the parameter.

Knowing the structure of the `mc` and the structure of the `mcnode` objects, it is direct to apply any R function to these objects. The `"$"` function is helpful to extract an `mcnode` from an `mc` object, the `unmc` function removes all attributes, classes, and dimension equal to one, providing a list of vectors, matrices and/or arrays.

Here is a (silly) example building a linear model (in fact `ndunc()` linear models) between the `risk` and the `dose` within each uncertainty dimension and studying some statistics on the coefficients. This example is here only to show you that the whole power of R is available for your analysis.

```
> tmp <- unmc(EC2, drop = TRUE)
> dimu <- ncol(tmp$risk)
> coef <- sapply(1:dimu, function(x) lm(tmp$risk[, x] ~ tmp$dose[,
+   x])$coef)
> apply(coef, 1, summary)
```

	(Intercept)	tmp\$dose[, x]
Min.	0.0007991	0.0004028
1st Qu.	0.0038060	0.0005948
Median	0.0064130	0.0007084
Mean	0.0072600	0.0007334
3rd Qu.	0.0092290	0.0008837
Max.	0.0206100	0.0011200

3 Multivariate Nodes

The dimension `nvariables` is the third dimension of the `mcnode`. One can use `mc2d` ignoring it. Nevertheless, its use is mandatory to deal with some multivariate distributions, and it may be useful in some circumstances. Building multivariate nodes is direct. We just have to say that the following code:

```
> mcstoc(runif, nvariables = 3, min = c(1, 2, 3), max = 4)
```

will logically not provide a nodes with 3 variates, each having a different limit. The recycling rule tells you that `c(1, 2, 3)` will be used in the first dimension, i.e. the variability dimension. Use instead:

```
> lim <- mcdata(c(1, 2, 3), type = "0", nvariables = 3)
> mcstoc(runif, nvariables = 3, min = lim, max = 4)
```

	node	mode	nsv	nsu	nva	variate	min	mean	median	max	Nas	type	outm
1	x	numeric	1000	1	3	1	1.00	2.54	2.58	4	0	V	each
2	x	numeric	1000	1	3	2	2.00	3.00	3.00	4	0	V	each
3	x	numeric	1000	1	3	3	3.00	3.52	3.52	4	0	V	each

3.1 Multivariate Nodes for Multivariate Distributions

The basic usage of multivariate nodes (and the reason why it has been implemented) is for multivariate distributions such as the dirichlet distribution, the multinomial distribution, the multinormal distribution and, possibly, the empirical distribution

As an example, assume that 3-member families buy 500 g of ground beef. The proportion of steak eaten by the baby, his older brother and his mom follow a Dirichlet (uncertainty) distribution of parameter $\alpha = (2, 3, 5)$. You want to derive the distribution (variability) of steak eaten by 500 babies issued from these 500 families.

```
> (p <- mcstoc(rdirichlet, type = "U", nsu = 100, nvariables = 3,
+   alpha = c(2, 3, 5)))
```

	node	mode	nsv	nsu	nva	variate	min	mean	median	max	Nas	type	outm
1	x	numeric	1	100	3	1	0.0198	0.196	0.170	0.647	0	U	each
2	x	numeric	1	100	3	2	0.0389	0.297	0.283	0.685	0	U	each
3	x	numeric	1	100	3	3	0.1968	0.507	0.512	0.846	0	U	each

```
> s <- mcstoc(rmultinomial, type = "VU", nsv = 500, nsu = 100,
+   nvariables = 3, size = 500, prob = p)
> summary(s)
```

node :

[[1]]

	mean	sd	Min	2.5%	25%	50%	75%	97.5%	Max	nsv	Na's
median	85.0	8.34	60.50	69.00	79.0	85.0	90.5	101.8	109.5	500	0
mean	98.1	8.16	74.28	82.60	92.5	98.0	103.5	114.2	123.8	500	0
2.5%	15.7	3.68	6.47	8.95	13.4	15.4	17.9	23.4	28.3	500	0
97.5%	249.1	11.29	216.65	226.78	241.5	249.0	256.5	270.8	281.0	500	0

[[2]]

	mean	sd	Min	2.5%	25%	50%	75%	97.5%	Max	nsv	Na's
median	141.3	10.06	113.0	121.7	135.0	141.5	148.0	160.3	173.5	500	0

```
mean    148.5  9.55 120.2 130.3 141.9 148.5 154.9 167.1 178.2 500    0
2.5%    24.4  4.89 11.4 15.6 20.9 23.9 27.4 34.4 38.3 500    0
97.5%   319.8 11.34 289.8 298.9 311.9 320.4 327.9 341.6 351.7 500    0
```

```
[[3]]
```

```
      mean      sd   Min 2.5% 25% 50% 75% 97.5% Max nsv Na's
median 256 10.78 221.0 234.7 248 256 264 278 290 500    0
mean    253 10.70 221.5 232.7 246 253 261 274 286 500    0
2.5%    114  9.04  88.8  96.7 108 114 121 134 148 500    0
97.5%    380 11.67 347.0 360.3 374 381 387 399 409 500    0
```

Assume that each member of these families eat a “normal” distribution (variability) of steak with mean 100, 150 and 250 g. There is a positive correlation between the serving of the children, and a negative one with the one of the mother. You want to derive the distribution (variability) of steak eaten by 500 babies.

```
> (x <- mcstoc(rmultinormal, type = "V", nvariates = 3, mean = c(100,
+      150, 250), sigma = c(10, 2, -5, 2, 10, -5, -5, -5, 10)))
```

```
      node    mode  nsv nsu nva variate   min mean median max Nas type outm
1      x numeric 1000   1   3        1 88.4 100    100 110   0   V each
2      x numeric 1000   1   3        2 141.3 150    150 160   0   V each
3      x numeric 1000   1   3        3 239.0 250    250 260   0   V each
```

```
> cor(x[, 1, ])
```

```
      [,1]      [,2]      [,3]
[1,] 1.0000000 0.1822931 -0.4950757
[2,] 0.1822931 1.0000000 -0.4884462
[3,] -0.4950757 -0.4884462 1.0000000
```

In this example, `mean` could be variable or uncertain, as well as `sigma`⁷. You could have used, for an uncertain mean.

```
> m <- mcdata(c(100, 150, 250), type = "0", nvariates = 3)
> mun <- mcstoc(rnorm, type = "U", nvariates = 3, mean = m, sd = 20)
> x <- mcstoc(rmultinormal, type = "VU", nvariates = 3, mean = mun,
+      sigma = c(10, 2, -5, 2, 10, -5, -5, -5, 10))
> cor(x[, 1, ])
```

```
      [,1]      [,2]      [,3]
[1,] 1.0000000 0.1817660 -0.5168595
[2,] 0.1817660 1.0000000 -0.4903274
[3,] -0.5168595 -0.4903274 1.0000000
```

The correlation is preserved, but the mean of each categories is known with uncertainty.

Multivariate nodes may finally be useful to derive non parametric bootstrap. Assume that, from a study, you obtained 6 individuals that eat 100 g, 12 individuals that eat 150 g, 6 individuals that eat 170 g and 6 individuals that eat 200 g of ground beef. You want to derive a non parametric bootstrap to derive uncertainty [2], and then pick in the empirical distribution.

⁷Caution: the use of a varying `sigma` would be very slow.

```
> (x <- mcstoc(rempiricalD, type = "U", outm = c("min", "mean",
+       "max"), nvariates = 30, values = c(100, 150, 170, 200), prob = c(6,
+       12, 6, 6)))
```

```
node    mode  nsv nsu nva variate min mean median max Nas type outm
1      x numeric  1 100 30      NA 100 100   100 100  0   U   min
2      x numeric  1 100 30      NA 143 154   154 168  0   U   mean
3      x numeric  1 100 30      NA 200 200   200 200  0   U   max
```

```
> mcstoc(rempiricalD, type = "VU", values = x)
```

```
node    mode  nsv nsu nva variate min mean median max Nas type outm
1      x numeric 1000 100  1      1 100 154   150 200  0   VU each
```

Printing the statistics of the 30 variates of `x` has no interest. Instead, we use the “outm” option which allows to specify which output we want (“none” for none, “each”, the default, for a series of statistics for each variates, or, as in the example, a vector of functions that are applied over all the 30 variates).

3.2 Multivariate Nodes as a “Third Dimension” for Multiple Options in a Model

The recycling rules in `mc2d` regarding the `nvariate` dimension is as following: the recycling will be done from `nvariates=1` to `nvariates=n` with $n > 1$. This allows to use the multivariates nodes as a third dimension, in case you want to test various alternatives.

Assume as in section 2.2.5 that the distribution reflecting the uncertainty on `conc` was not sure, and that the microbiologists suggest that $conc \sim N(10, 2)$ is possible, but that $conc \sim U(8, 12)$ is also possible. We can *i)* build a “bivariate” node reflecting these two options; *ii)* transfer these options until the final risk estimate. We obtain a bivariate node for the risk, one using the first hypothesis, the second the second hypothesis.

```
> conc1 <- mcstoc(rnorm, type = "U", mean = 10, sd = 2)
> conc2 <- mcstoc(runif, type = "U", min = 8, max = 12)
> conc <- mcdata(c(conc1, conc2), type = "U", nvariates = 2)
> cook <- mcstoc(rempiricalD, type = "V", values = c(1, 1/5, 1/50),
+       prob = c(0.027, 0.373, 0.6))
> serving <- mcstoc(rgamma, type = "V", shape = 3.93, rate = 0.0806)
> expo <- conc * cook * serving
> dose <- mcstoc(rpois, type = "VU", nvariates = 2, lambda = expo)
> r <- mcstoc(runif, type = "U", min = 5e-04, max = 0.0015)
> risk <- 1 - (1 - r)^dose
> EC5 <- mc(conc, cook, serving, expo, dose, r, risk)
> summary(EC5)
```

```
conc :
[[1]]
      NoVar
median  9.96
mean    9.86
2.5%    6.12
97.5%   13.65
```

```
[[2]]
      NoVar
```

```

median  9.95
mean    9.92
2.5%    8.08
97.5%   11.82

```

cook :

```

      mean      sd  Min 2.5%  25%  50% 75% 97.5% Max  nsv Na's
NoUnc 0.122 0.182 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.2      1   1 1000   0

```

serving :

```

      mean      sd  Min 2.5%  25%  50% 75% 97.5% Max  nsv Na's
NoUnc 48.8 25.9 5.88 13.2 29.5 44.3 61.9  112 169 1000   0

```

expo :

```

[[1]]
      mean      sd  Min 2.5%  25%  50% 75% 97.5% Max  nsv Na's
median 59.3 100.7 1.17 3.16  7.95 15.00 83.0  312 1000 1000   0
mean   58.7  99.7 1.16 3.13  7.87 14.85 82.1  309  990 1000   0
2.5%   36.5  61.9 0.72 1.94  4.89  9.22 51.0  192  615 1000   0
97.5%  81.3 138.0 1.60 4.33 10.90 20.56 113.7  428 1370 1000   0

```

[[2]]

```

      mean      sd  Min 2.5%  25%  50% 75% 97.5% Max  nsv Na's
median 59.3 100.7 1.17 3.16  7.95 15.0 82.9  312  999 1000   0
mean   59.1 100.4 1.17 3.15  7.92 14.9 82.7  311  996 1000   0
2.5%   48.1  81.7 0.95 2.56  6.45 12.2 67.3  253  811 1000   0
97.5%  70.4 119.5 1.39 3.75  9.44 17.8 98.5  370 1187 1000   0

```

dose :

```

[[1]]
      mean      sd  Min 2.5%  25%  50% 75% 97.5% Max  nsv Na's
median 59.4 101.4 0.00 2.00  8.00 16.0 82.0  312  998 1000   0
mean   58.7 100.1 0.04 1.88  7.61 15.8 81.1  314  990 1000   0
2.5%   36.3  62.1 0.00 1.00  5.00 10.0 49.1  198  633 1000   0
97.5%  81.2 138.0 1.00 3.00 11.00 22.0 110.8  426 1363 1000   0

```

[[2]]

```

      mean      sd  Min 2.5%  25%  50% 75% 97.5% Max  nsv Na's
median 59.3 101.0 0.00 2.00  8.00 16.0 82.2  317 1020 1000   0
mean   59.1 100.7 0.02 1.95  7.63 16.0 81.4  316 1002 1000   0
2.5%   47.8  81.8 0.00 1.00  6.00 13.0 66.1  255  791 1000   0
97.5%  70.7 120.4 0.00 3.00  9.00 19.0 97.0  378 1196 1000   0

```

r :

```

      NoVar
median 0.001004
mean   0.001036
2.5%   0.000568
97.5%  0.001435

```

risk :


```
[[1]]
      mean      sd      Min      2.5%      25%      50%      75% 97.5%      Max      nsv
median 0.0546 0.0808 0.000000 0.001936 0.00752 0.0162 0.0811 0.278 0.630 1000
mean   0.0543 0.0796 0.000042 0.001968 0.00787 0.0163 0.0805 0.274 0.622 1000
2.5%   0.0257 0.0408 0.000000 0.000604 0.00357 0.0074 0.0362 0.131 0.358 1000
97.5%  0.0854 0.1175 0.000858 0.003666 0.01292 0.0263 0.1325 0.413 0.812 1000
Na's
median 0
mean   0
2.5%   0
97.5%  0

[[2]]
      mean      sd      Min      2.5%      25%      50%      75% 97.5%      Max      nsv
median 0.0538 0.0796 0.00e+00 0.001948 0.00763 0.01598 0.0795 0.272 0.638 1000
mean   0.0544 0.0799 2.37e-05 0.001999 0.00783 0.01639 0.0803 0.276 0.630 1000
2.5%   0.0308 0.0483 0.00e+00 0.000896 0.00411 0.00882 0.0439 0.159 0.429 1000
97.5%  0.0802 0.1120 0.00e+00 0.003280 0.01230 0.02501 0.1220 0.394 0.801 1000
Na's
median 0
mean   0
2.5%   0
97.5%  0
```

(Do not forget to transfer the number of variates you want in `mcstoc...` (see the definition of `dose`). `mc2d` can not guess...)

3.3 Multivariate Nodes as a “Third Dimension” for Multiple Vectors/Contaminants

The recycling rules in `mc2d` also allows to use the multivariate nodes as a third dimension for multiple vectors/Contaminants.

Assume in our ground beef example that we have two contaminants: one has a mean concentration that follows an uncertainty distribution $conc \sim N(10, 2)$, the second one $conc \sim N(14, 2)$. We can *i*) build a “bivariate” node reflecting these two concentrations⁸; *ii*) transfer these options until the final dose; *iii*) sum the dose over the variates (using `mcapply`). The behavior of contaminants is transferred in the model.

```
> mconc <- mcdata(c(10, 14), type = "0", nvariates = 2)
> conc <- mcstoc(rnorm, nvariates = 2, type = "U", mean = mconc,
+              sd = 2)
> cook <- mcstoc(rempricalD, type = "V", values = c(1, 1/5, 1/50),
+              prob = c(0.027, 0.373, 0.6))
> serving <- mcstoc(rgamma, type = "V", shape = 3.93, rate = 0.0806)
> expo <- conc * cook * serving
> dose <- mcstoc(rpois, type = "VU", nvariates = 2, lambda = expo)
> dosetot <- mcapply(dose, margin = "variates", fun = sum)
> r <- mcstoc(runif, type = "U", min = 5e-04, max = 0.0015)
> risk <- 1 - (1 - r)^dosetot
> EC6 <- mc(conc, cook, serving, expo, dose, dosetot, r, risk)
> summary(EC6)
```

⁸Note that we could simulate a correlation between both contaminants using a multinormal distribution.

```

conc :
[[1]]
      NoVar
median  9.79
mean    9.77
2.5%    5.96
97.5%   14.83

[[2]]
      NoVar
median  14.0
mean    14.1
2.5%    10.8
97.5%   18.3

cook :
      mean    sd  Min 2.5%  25%  50% 75% 97.5% Max  nsv Na's
NoUnc 0.112 0.169 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.2    1   1 1000   0

serving :
      mean    sd  Min 2.5%  25%  50% 75% 97.5% Max  nsv Na's
NoUnc  49 24.7 5.58 13.3 30.9 45.5 61.8   108 171 1000   0

expo :
[[1]]
      mean    sd  Min 2.5%  25%  50% 75% 97.5% Max  nsv Na's
median 55.6  94.2 1.092 2.74  7.76 13.1 77.4   258 1031 1000   0
mean   55.5  93.9 1.090 2.74  7.75 13.1 77.2   257 1028 1000   0
2.5%   33.8  57.3 0.665 1.67  4.72  8.0 47.1   157  627 1000   0
97.5%  84.2 142.6 1.654 4.16 11.76 19.9 117.2   390 1561 1000   0

[[2]]
      mean    sd  Min 2.5%  25%  50% 75% 97.5% Max  nsv Na's
median 79.4 134 1.56 3.92 11.08 18.8 110.5   368 1471 1000   0
mean   80.0 135 1.57 3.95 11.17 18.9 111.4   371 1483 1000   0
2.5%   61.6 104 1.21 3.04  8.59 14.5  85.7   285 1141 1000   0
97.5% 103.9 176 2.04 5.13 14.51 24.6 144.6   482 1926 1000   0

dose :
[[1]]
      mean    sd  Min 2.5%  25%  50% 75% 97.5% Max  nsv Na's
median 55.4  94.1 0.000 2.00  7.00 14.0 78.5   262 1038 1000   0
mean   55.5  94.2 0.030 1.76  7.29 14.2 78.5   263 1029 1000   0
2.5%   33.7  57.3 0.000 1.00  4.00  9.0 48.0   158  614 1000   0
97.5%  84.0 142.5 0.525 3.00 11.00 21.0 118.0   400 1539 1000   0

[[2]]
      mean    sd  Min 2.5%  25%  50% 75% 97.5% Max  nsv Na's
median 79.5 135 0.00 3.00 10.5 20.0 112   372 1478 1000   0
mean   80.1 136 0.23 2.88 10.7 20.0 113   377 1484 1000   0
2.5%   61.8 105 0.00 2.00  8.0 15.7  86   285 1120 1000   0
97.5% 104.3 177 1.00 4.00 14.0 25.3 146   493 1941 1000   0

```

```
dosetot :
      mean sd Min 2.5% 25% 50% 75% 97.5% Max nsv Na's
median 136 230 1.00 6.00 18.0 33.2 192 635 2491 1000 0
mean    136 230 1.10 5.69 18.3 33.3 191 634 2514 1000 0
2.5%    107 181 0.00 4.00 15.0 27.0 152 510 1972 1000 0
97.5%   164 279 2.52 7.52 23.0 40.0 234 779 3075 1000 0
```

```
r :
      NoVar
median 0.001000
mean   0.000994
2.5%   0.000546
97.5%  0.001452
```

```
risk :
      mean sd Min 2.5% 25% 50% 75% 97.5% Max nsv Na's
median 0.1050 0.138 0.00104 0.00564 0.01750 0.0311 0.1647 0.450 0.909 1000 0
mean    0.1076 0.139 0.00110 0.00563 0.01806 0.0326 0.1721 0.459 0.894 1000 0
2.5%    0.0633 0.091 0.00000 0.00292 0.00936 0.0171 0.0954 0.289 0.729 1000 0
97.5%    0.1582 0.188 0.00293 0.00893 0.02943 0.0514 0.2613 0.634 0.981 1000 0
```

As a conclusion, this "third" dimension is highly flexible...

4 Another Example: A QRA of Waterborne Cryptosporidiosis in France

This example is adapted from [4]. The aim is to evaluate the risk of infection with *Cryptosporidium parvum* from consumption of tap-water, given that n oocysts /100 l. have been observed in a storage reservoir.

4.1 Tap Water Consumption Model

We have raw data of daily consumption of tap water from 1,180 tap water consumers (var `inca`, see Figure 6). We could choose to use this empirical distribution to evaluate the variability in the tap-water consumption:

```
> ndvar(1001)

[1] 1001


> ndunc(1001)

[1] 1001

> mcstoc(rempiricalD, type = "V", values = inca)

      node   mode  nsv nsu nva variate min mean median max Nas type outm
1      x numeric 1001  1   1         1  0 0.41  0.36  3  0   V each
```

Figure 6: Histogram of daily tap water intake



but we will use the "fitdistrplus" library. `inca` includes a lot of 0, corresponding to days when individuals do not drink tap water (possibly bottled water). We could try a mixture of distributions, with "0" and "non-0" data.

```
> library(fitdistrplus)
> pzero <- sum(inca == 0)/length(inca)
> inca_non_0 <- inca[inca != 0]
> descdist(inca_non_0)
```

```
summary statistics
-----
min: 0.0221   max: 3.2
median: 0.48
mean: 0.566
sample sd: 0.385
sample skewness: 1.75
sample kurtosis: 7.98
```

Following the `descdist` function (See figure 7), let us try the lognormal distribution.

```
> Adj_water <- fitdist(inca_non_0, "lnorm", method = "mle")
> meanlog <- Adj_water$est[1]
> sdlog <- Adj_water$est[2]
> summary(Adj_water)
```

FITTING OF THE DISTRIBUTION ' lnorm ' BY MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD
PARAMETERS

```
      estimate Std. Error
meanlog  -0.784    0.00891
sdlog     0.674    0.00630
Loglikelihood: -1374
```

GOODNESS-OF-FIT STATISTICS

```
----- Chi-squared-----
Chi-squared statistic: 3081
Degree of freedom of the Chi-squared distribution: 23
Chi-squared p-value: 0
```

!!! For continuous distributions, Kolmogorov-Smirnov and
Anderson-Darling statistics should be preferred !!!

```
----- Kolmogorov-Smirnov-----
Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic: 0.0643
Kolmogorov-Smirnov test: rejected
!!! The result of this test may be too conservative as it
    assumes that the distribution parameters are known !!!
```

```
----- Anderson-Darling-----
Anderson-Darling statistic: 18.8
Anderson-Darling test: rejected
```

```
> plot(Adj_water)
```

Figure 7: Graph from the `descdist` function.

summary statistics

min: 0.0221 max: 3.2

median: 0.48

mean: 0.566

sample sd: 0.385

sample skewness: 1.75

sample kurtosis: 7.98

Cullen and Frey graph





Figure 8: Graph from the `fitdist` function.



Not so bad (See Figure 8), and better than a gamma distribution (results not shown). We can now rebuild our mixture. We could consider uncertainty around the maximum likelihood estimates using the `bootdist` function of the `fitdistrplus` package, using something like:

```
> Boot <- bootdist(Ajust_lnorm, bootmethod = "param", niter = ndunc())
> Mean_conso <- mcdata(Boot$estim$meanlog, type = "U")
> Sd_conso <- mcdata(Boot$estim$sdlog, type = "U")
> conso1 <- mcstoc(rlnorm, type = "VU", meanlog = Mean_conso, sdlog = Sd_conso)
```

But for simplicity, we will not consider uncertainty around the estimates.

We will use the `mcprobtrees` function to build the mixture "0", "non-0" distribution:

```
> conso0 <- mcdata(0, type = "V")
> conso1 <- mcstoc(rlnorm, type = "V", meanlog = meanlog, sdlog = sdlog)
> v <- mcprobtrees(c(pzero, 1 - pzero), list("0" = conso0, "1" = conso1),
+   type = "V")
> summary(v)
```

node :

	mean	sd	Min	2.5%	25%	50%	75%	97.5%	Max	nsv	Na's
NoUnc	0.418	0.496	0	0	0	0.31	0.624	1.64	7.08	1001	0

4.2 The Dose-Response Model

We propose a bootstrap from data (`datDR`) issued from [1]. We first define a function "DR" with a `n` argument for the size of the sample to draw. This function may then be used in a `mcstoc` function:

```
> datDR <- list(dose = c(30, 100, 300, 500, 1000, 10000, 1e+05,
+   1e+06), pi = c(2, 4, 2, 5, 2, 3, 1, 1), ni = c(5, 8, 3, 6,
+   2, 3, 1, 1))
> estDR <- function(pos, ref) {
+   -glm(cbind(ref$ni - pos, pos) ~ ref$dose + 0, binomial(link = "log"))$coefficients
+ }
> ml <- 1 - exp(-estDR(datDR$pi, datDR) * datDR$dose)
> DR <- function(n) {
+   boot <- matrix(rbinom(length(datDR$dose) * n, datDR$ni, ml),
+     nrow = length(datDR$dose))
+   apply(boot, 2, estDR, ref = datDR)
+ }
> r <- mcstoc(DR, type = "U")
> summary(r)
```

node :

	NoVar
median	0.00532
mean	0.00571
2.5%	0.00296
97.5%	0.01031

4.3 The Model

Deriving the final model is direct. We build the `mcnode` corresponding to the recovery rate (Uncertainty, `Rr`), the probability for an oocyst to be infective (Variability, `w`):

```
> Rr <- mcstoc(rbeta, type = "U", shape1 = 2.65, shape2 = 3.64)
> w <- mcstoc(rbeta, type = "V", shape1 = 2.6, shape2 = 3.4)
```

Given that $O_o = 2$ oocysts are observed in 100 l of water, the expected number of oocysts in the sample is 1:

```
> Oo <- 2
> l <- (Oo + mcstoc(rnbinom, type = "U", size = Oo + 1, prob = Rr))/100
```

The expected number of oocysts drunk by the individuals is `Or` and the risk ($\times 10000$) is estimated by:

```
> Or <- l * v * w
> P <- 10000 * (1 - exp(-r * Or))
> summary(P)
```

```
node :
      mean    sd Min 2.5% 25%    50%   75% 97.5%   Max  nsv Na's
median 0.558 0.787   0    0    0 0.3411 0.789   2.39 12.13 1001    0
mean   0.883 1.244   0    0    0 0.5396 1.248   3.79 19.15 1001    0
2.5%   0.142 0.200   0    0    0 0.0868 0.201   0.61  3.09 1001    0
97.5%   3.349 4.714   0    0    0 2.0463 4.732  14.36 72.54 1001    0
```

To be compared (roughly since there is some variations) to the results obtained in the Table 2 in [4].

Improvement: the results for $O_o = \{0, 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 1000\}$ can be obtained in one step using:

```
> Oo <- mcdata(c(0, 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 1000), type = "O",
+             nvariables = 9)
```

As a Conclusion

We think and hope that “mc2d” could help risk assessors to build or study their models, and that it may help developing the use of “two-dimensional” simulations. Nevertheless, “mc2d” is currently under development:

CHECK CAREFULLY YOUR MODEL AND RESULTS TO TRACK THE BUGS

and, if you would like to improve it, join us at

<http://riskassessment.r-forge.r-project.org/>

Please refer any commentary or bugs to rpouillot@yahoo.fr.

References

- [1] C. L. Chappell, P. C. Okhuysen, C. R. Sterling, and H. L. DuPont. Cryptosporidium parvum: intensity of infection and oocyst excretion patterns in healthy volunteers. *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 173(1):232–6., 1996.
- [2] A.C. Cullen and H.C. Frey. *Probabilistic techniques in Exposure assessment*. Plenum Press, New York, 1999.
- [3] R. L. Iman and W. J. Conover. A distribution-free approach to inducing rank correlation among input variables. *Communication in Statistics*, B11(3):311–334, 1982.
- [4] R Pouillot, P Beaudeau, J.-B. Denis, F Derouin, and AFSSA Cryptosporidium Study Group. A quantitative risk assessment of waterborne cryptosporidiosis in france using second-order monte carlo simulation. *Risk Anal*, 24(1):1–17, 2004.
- [5] R Pouillot, N Miconnet, A.-L. Afchain, M.-L. Delignette-Muller, A Beaufort, L Rosso, J.-B. Denis, and M Cornu. Quantitative risk assessment of listeria monocytogenes in french cold-salmon : I. quantitative exposure assessment. *Risk Analysis*, 27(3):683–700, 2007.
- [6] D. Vose. *Risk Analysis, A quantitative guide, 2nd Edition*. Wiley and Sons, Chichester, 2nd edition, 2000.