Deep Generative Models

Lecture 15

Roman Isachenko

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology

2022 – 2023

Recap of previous lecture



Theorem (implicit score matching)

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_\pi \left\| \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) - \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \log \pi(\mathbf{x}) \right\|_2^2 = \mathbb{E}_\pi \left[\frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})\|_2^2 + \operatorname{tr}\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})\right) \right] + \text{const}$$

1. The left hand side is intractable due to unknown $\pi(\mathbf{x})$ – **denoising score matching**.
2. The right hand side is complex due to Hessian matrix – **sliced score matching (Hutchinson's trace estimation)**.

Song Y. Generative Modeling by Estimating Gradients of the Data Distribution, blog post, 2021

Recap of previous lecture

Let perturb original data by normal noise $p(\mathbf{x}'|\mathbf{x}, \sigma) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}'|\mathbf{x}, \sigma^2\mathbf{I})$

$$\pi(\mathbf{x}'|\sigma) = \int \pi(\mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{x}'|\mathbf{x}, \sigma)d\mathbf{x}.$$

Then the solution of

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_{\pi(\mathbf{x}'|\sigma)}\left\|\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x}', \boldsymbol{\theta}, \sigma) - \nabla_{\mathbf{x}'} \log \pi(\mathbf{x}'|\sigma)\right\|_2^2 \to \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$$

satisfies $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x}', \boldsymbol{\theta}, \sigma) \approx \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x}', \boldsymbol{\theta}, 0) = \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x}', \boldsymbol{\theta})$ if σ is small enough.

Theorem (denoising score matching)

$$\mathbb{E}_{\pi(\mathbf{x}'|\sigma)}\left\|\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x}', \boldsymbol{\theta}, \sigma) - \nabla_{\mathbf{x}'} \log \pi(\mathbf{x}'|\sigma)\right\|_2^2 =$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\pi(\mathbf{x})}\mathbb{E}_{p(\mathbf{x}'|\mathbf{x},\sigma)}\left\|\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x}', \boldsymbol{\theta}, \sigma) - \nabla_{\mathbf{x}'} \log p(\mathbf{x}'|\mathbf{x}, \sigma)\right\|_2^2 + \text{const}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

Here $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}'} \log p(\mathbf{x}'|\mathbf{x}, \sigma) = -\frac{\mathbf{x}'-\mathbf{x}}{\sigma^2}$.

- The RHS does not need to compute $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}'} \log \pi(\mathbf{x}'|\sigma)$ and even more $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}'} \log \pi(\mathbf{x}')$.
- $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x}', \boldsymbol{\theta}, \sigma)$ tries to **denoise** a corrupted sample.
- Score function $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x}', \boldsymbol{\theta}, \sigma)$ parametrized by σ.

Vincent P. A Connection Between Score Matching and Denoising Autoencoders, 2010

Recap of previous lecture

Noise conditioned score network

- Define the sequence of noise levels: $\sigma_1 > \sigma_2 > \cdots > \sigma_L$.
- Train denoised score function $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x}', \boldsymbol{\theta}, \sigma)$ for each noise level:

$$\sum_{l=1}^{L} \sigma_l^2 \mathbb{E}_{\pi(\mathbf{x})} \mathbb{E}_{p(\mathbf{x}'|\mathbf{x},\sigma_l)} \left\| \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x}', \boldsymbol{\theta}, \sigma_l) - \nabla'_{\mathbf{x}} \log p(\mathbf{x}'|\mathbf{x}, \sigma_l) \right\|_2^2 \to \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$$

- Sample from **annealed** Langevin dynamics (for $l = 1, \ldots, L$).



Song Y. et al. Generative Modeling by Estimating Gradients of the Data Distribution, 2019

Recap of previous lecture

Forward gaussian diffusion process

$$\mathbf{x}_t = \sqrt{1-\beta} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{t-1} + \sqrt{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \quad \text{where } \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1);$$

$$q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_t|\sqrt{1-\beta} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \beta \cdot \mathbf{I}).$$

- $p_\infty(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$
- $q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_0) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_t|\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} \cdot \mathbf{x}_0, (1-\bar{\alpha}_t) \cdot \mathbf{I})$

Reverse gaussian diffusion process

$$p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathcal{N}\big(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}, t), \boldsymbol{\sigma}^2(\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}, t)\big)$$

Forward process

1. $\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{x} \sim \pi(\mathbf{x})$;
2. $\mathbf{x}_t = \sqrt{1-\beta} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{t-1} + \sqrt{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$, where $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, $t \geq 1$;
3. $\mathbf{x}_T \sim p_\infty(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.

Reverse process

1. $\mathbf{x}_T \sim p_\infty(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$;
2. $\mathbf{x}_{t-1} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}, t) \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon} + \boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}, t)$;
3. $\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{x} \sim \pi(\mathbf{x})$;

Weng L. What are Diffusion Models?, blog post, 2021

Recap of previous lecture



- Let treat $\mathbf{z} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_T)$ as a latent variable (**note:** each $\mathbf{x}_t$ has the same size).
- Variational posterior distribution (**note:** there is no learnable parameters)

$$q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}) = q(\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_T|\mathbf{x}_0) = \prod_{t=1}^{T} q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_{t-1}).$$

- Probabilistic model

$$p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta})p(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

- Generative distribution and prior

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = p(\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{x}_1, \boldsymbol{\theta}); \quad p(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{t=2}^{T} p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \cdot p(\mathbf{x}_T)$$

Das A. An introduction to Diffusion Probabilistic Models, blog post, 2021

Outline

Outline

Reverse gaussian diffusion process



Forward process

$$q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_t|\sqrt{1-\beta_t}\cdot\mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \beta_t\cdot\mathbf{I}).$$

Reverse process

$$q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t) = \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_{t-1})q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1})}{q(\mathbf{x}_t)} \approx p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

- $q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1})$, $q(\mathbf{x}_t)$ are intractable.
- If β_t is small enough, $q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t)$ will be Gaussian (Feller, 1949).

Feller W. On the theory of stochastic processes, with particular reference to applications, 1949

Reverse gaussian diffusion process

Reverse process

$$q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t) \approx p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}, t), \boldsymbol{\sigma}^2(\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}, t)\right)$$



Important distribution

$$q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0) = \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{x}_0)q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_0)}{q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_0)} = \mathcal{N}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_t(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0))$$

Feller W. On the theory of stochastic processes, with particular reference to applications, 1949

Outline

Objective of DDPM

ELBO

$$\log p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) \geq \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})} \log \frac{p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})} = \mathcal{L}(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \to \max_{q, \boldsymbol{\theta}}$$

Derivation

$$\mathcal{L}(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_0)} \log \frac{p(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_0)} = \mathbb{E}_q \log \frac{\prod_{t=1}^{T} p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}) p(\mathbf{x}_T)}{\prod_{t=1}^{T} q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_{t-1})}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_q \left[\log p(\mathbf{x}_T) + \log \frac{p(\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{x}_1, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{x}_1|\mathbf{x}_0)} + \sum_{t=2}^{T} \log \left(\frac{p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_{t-1})} \right) \right]$$

$$q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0) = \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_{t-1}) q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_0)}{q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_0)}$$

$$\log \left(\frac{p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_{t-1})} \right) = \log \left(\frac{p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}) q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_0)}{q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0) q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_0)} \right)$$

Ho J. Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models, 2020

Objective of DDPM

Derivation

$$\mathcal{L}(q,\theta) = \mathbb{E}_q\left[\log p(\mathbf{x}_T) + \log\frac{p(\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{x}_1,\theta)}{q(\mathbf{x}_1|\mathbf{x}_0)} + \sum_{t=2}^{T}\log\left(\frac{p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t,\theta)}{q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_{t-1})}\right)\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_q\left[\log p(\mathbf{x}_T) + \log\frac{p(\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{x}_1,\theta)}{q(\mathbf{x}_1|\mathbf{x}_0)} + \sum_{t=2}^{T}\log\left(\frac{p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t,\theta)}{q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t,\mathbf{x}_0)}\right) + \right.$$

$$\left. + \sum_{t=2}^{T}\log\left(\frac{q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_0)}{q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_0)}\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}_q\left[\log\frac{p(\mathbf{x}_T)}{q(\mathbf{x}_T|\mathbf{x}_0)} + \log p(\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{x}_1,\theta) + \right.$$

$$\left. + \sum_{t=2}^{T}\log\left(\frac{p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t,\theta)}{q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t,\mathbf{x}_0)}\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}_q\left[-KL(q(\mathbf{x}_T|\mathbf{x}_0)||p(\mathbf{x}_T)) + \right.$$

$$\left. + \log p(\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{x}_1,\theta) - \sum_{t=2}^{T} KL\big(q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t,\mathbf{x}_0)||p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t,\theta)\big)\right]$$

Ho J. Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models, 2020

Objective of DDPM

$$\mathcal{L}(q, \theta) = \mathbb{E}_q \Big[\log p(\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{x}_1, \theta) - KL\big(q(\mathbf{x}_T|\mathbf{x}_0)||p(\mathbf{x}_T)\big) - \sum_{t=2}^{T} \underbrace{KL\big(q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0)||p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \theta)\big)}_{\mathcal{L}_t} \Big]$$

- First term is a decoder distribution

$$\log(\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{x}_1, \theta) = \log \mathcal{N}\big(\mathbf{x}_0|\boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{x}_1, \theta, t), \boldsymbol{\sigma}^2(\mathbf{x}_1, \theta, t)\big)$$

- Third term is constant ($p(\mathbf{x}_T)$ is a standard Normal, $q(\mathbf{x}_T|\mathbf{x}_0)$ is a non-parametrical Normal).
- $\mathcal{L}_t$ is a KL between two normal distributions:

$$q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_t(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0), \tilde{\beta}_t \mathbf{I}),$$

$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_t(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0)$ and $\tilde{\beta}_t$ have analytical formulas (we omit it) and they are both dependent on β_t.

Ho J. Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models, 2020

Outline

Gaussian diffusion model as VAE

$$q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_t(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0), \tilde{\beta}_t \mathbf{I}),$$
$$p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathcal{N}\big(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}, t), \boldsymbol{\sigma}^2(\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}, t)\big)$$

- Assume $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^2(\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}, t) = \tilde{\beta}_t \mathbf{I}$.
- Use KL formula between two normal distributions:

$$\mathcal{L}_t = KL\Big(\mathcal{N}\big(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_t(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0), \tilde{\beta}_t \mathbf{I}\big) || \mathcal{N}\big(\boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}, t), \tilde{\beta}_t \mathbf{I}\big)\Big)$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}\left[\frac{1}{2\tilde{\beta}_t}\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_t(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0) - \boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}, t)\right\|^2\right]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}\left[\frac{1}{2\tilde{\beta}_t}\left\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\beta_t}}\left(\mathbf{x}_t - \frac{\beta_t}{\sqrt{1-\bar{\alpha}_t}}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\right) - \boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}, t)\right\|^2\right]$$

Here we used the analytic expression for $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_t(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0)$.

Reparametrization

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\beta_t}}\left(\mathbf{x}_t - \frac{\beta_t}{\sqrt{1-\bar{\alpha}_t}}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}, t)\right)$$

Ho J. Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models, 2020

Reparametrization of DDPM

KL term

$$\mathcal{L}_t = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}\left[\frac{1}{2\tilde{\beta}_t}\left\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\beta_t}}\left(\mathbf{x}_t - \frac{\beta_t}{\sqrt{1-\bar{\alpha}_t}}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\right) - \right.\right.$$
$$\left.\left. - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\beta_t}}\left(\mathbf{x}_t - \frac{\beta_t}{\sqrt{1-\bar{\alpha}_t}}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}, t)\right)\right\|^2\right] =$$
$$\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}\left[\frac{\beta_t^2}{2\tilde{\beta}_t(1-\beta_t)}\left\|\frac{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}{\sqrt{1-\bar{\alpha}_t}} - \frac{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}, t)}{\sqrt{1-\bar{\alpha}_t}}\right\|^2\right]$$

$$\mathbf{x}_t = \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}\cdot\mathbf{x}_0 + \sqrt{1-\bar{\alpha}_t}\cdot\boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \quad \text{where } \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$$

At each step of reverse diffusion process we try to predict the noise $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ that we used in forward process!

Ho J. Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models, 2020

Gaussian diffusion model vs Score matching

$$\mathcal{L}_t = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \left[\frac{\beta_t^2}{2\tilde{\beta}_t(1-\beta_t)} \left\| \frac{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}{\sqrt{1-\bar{\alpha}_t}} - \frac{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}, t)}{\sqrt{1-\bar{\alpha}_t}} \right\|^2 \right]$$

- Result from Statement 2

$$q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_0) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_t|\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} \cdot \mathbf{x}_0, (1-\bar{\alpha}_t) \cdot \mathbf{I}).$$

- Score of noised distribution

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{x}_t} \log q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_0) = -\frac{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}{\sqrt{1-\bar{\alpha}_t}}, \quad \text{where } \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1).$$

- Let reparametrize our model:

$$\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}, t) = \frac{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}, t)}{\sqrt{1-\bar{\alpha}_t}}.$$

Noise conditioned score network

$$\mathbb{E}_{p(\mathbf{x}'|\mathbf{x},\sigma_l)} \left\| \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x}', \boldsymbol{\theta}, \sigma_l) - \nabla_{\mathbf{x}'} \log p(\mathbf{x}'|\mathbf{x}, \sigma_l) \right\|_2^2 \to \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$$

Ho J. Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models, 2020

Outline

Denoising diffusion probabilistic model (DDPM)

DDPM is a VAE model

- Encoder is a fixed Gaussian Markov chain.
- Latent variable is a hierarchical (in each step the dim. of the latent equals to the dim of the input).
- Decoder is a simple Gaussian model.
- Prior distribution is given by parametric Gaussian Makov chain.

Forward process

1. $\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{x} \sim \pi(\mathbf{x})$;
2. $\mathbf{x}_t = \sqrt{1-\beta} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{t-1} + \sqrt{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$, where $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$, $t \geq 1$;
3. $\mathbf{x}_T \sim p_\infty(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(0,1)$.

Reverse process

1. $\mathbf{x}_T \sim p_\infty(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(0,1)$;
2. $\mathbf{x}_{t-1} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}, t) \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon} + \boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}, t)$;
3. $\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{x} \sim \pi(\mathbf{x})$;

Denoising diffusion probabilistic model (DDPM)

Samples



Ho J. Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models, 2020

Outline

The worst course overview :)

GAN: Adversarial training

VAE: maximize variational lower bound

Flow-based models: Invertible transform of distributions

Diffusion models: Gradually add Gaussian noise and then reverse



Weng L. What are Diffusion Models?, blog post, 2021

The worst course overview :)



Xiao Z., Kreis K., Vahdat A. Tackling the generative learning trilemma with denoising diffusion GANs

Summary

- Diffusion model is a VAE model which reverts gaussian diffusion process using variational inference.
- ELBO of DDPM is a sum of KL terms.
- At each step DDPM predicts the noise used in forward process.
- Objective of DDPM is closely related to the noise conditioned score network and score matching.