Deep Generative Models

Lecture 11

Roman Isachenko

AI Masters

2024, Summer

Recap of previous lecture

Let perturb original data by normal noise $q(\mathbf{x}_\sigma | \mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}, \sigma^2 \cdot \mathbf{I})$

$$q(\mathbf{x}_\sigma) = \int \pi(\mathbf{x}) q(\mathbf{x}_\sigma | \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$$

Then the solution of

$$\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_\sigma)} \bigl\| \mathbf{s}_{\boldsymbol{\theta},\sigma}(\mathbf{x}_\sigma) - \nabla_{\mathbf{x}_\sigma} \log q(\mathbf{x}_\sigma) \bigr\|_2^2 \rightarrow \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$$

satisfies $\mathbf{s}_{\boldsymbol{\theta},\sigma}(\mathbf{x}_\sigma) \approx \mathbf{s}_{\boldsymbol{\theta},0}(\mathbf{x}_0) = \mathbf{s}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x})$ if σ is small enough.

Theorem (denoising score matching)

$$\mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_\sigma)} \bigl\| \mathbf{s}_{\boldsymbol{\theta},\sigma}(\mathbf{x}_\sigma) - \nabla_{\mathbf{x}_\sigma} \log q(\mathbf{x}_\sigma) \bigr\|_2^2 =$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_{\pi(\mathbf{x})} \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_\sigma | \mathbf{x})} \bigl\| \mathbf{s}_{\boldsymbol{\theta},\sigma}(\mathbf{x}_\sigma) - \nabla_{\mathbf{x}_\sigma} \log q(\mathbf{x}_\sigma | \mathbf{x}) \bigr\|_2^2 + \text{const}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

Here $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}_\sigma} \log q(\mathbf{x}_\sigma | \mathbf{x}) = -\frac{\mathbf{x}_\sigma - \mathbf{x}}{\sigma^2} = -\frac{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}{\sigma}$.

- We do not need to compute $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}_\sigma} \log q(\mathbf{x}_\sigma)$ at the RHS.
- $\mathbf{s}_{\boldsymbol{\theta},\sigma}(\mathbf{x}_\sigma)$ tries to **denoise** a corrupted sample.

Vincent P. A Connection Between Score Matching and Denoising Autoencoders, 2010

Recap of previous lecture

Noise conditioned score network

- Define the sequence of noise levels: $\sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < \dots < \sigma_T$.
- Train denoised score function $\mathbf{s}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, \sigma_t}(\mathbf{x}_t)$ for each noise level:

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} \sigma_t^2 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\pi(\mathbf{x})} \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x})} \big\| \mathbf{s}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, \sigma_t}(\mathbf{x}_t) - \nabla_{\mathbf{x}_t} \log q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}) \big\|_2^2 \to \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$$

- Sample from **annealed** Langevin dynamics (for $t = 1, \dots, T$).



Song Y. et al. Generative Modeling by Estimating Gradients of the Data Distribution, 2019

Recap of previous lecture

NCSN training

1. Get the sample $\mathbf{x}_0 \sim \pi(\mathbf{x})$.
2. Sample noise level $t \sim U\{1, T\}$ and the noise $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I})$.
3. Get noisy image $\mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{x}_0 + \sigma_t \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$.
4. Compute loss $\mathcal{L} = \sigma_t^2 \cdot \|\mathbf{s}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, \sigma_t}(\mathbf{x}_t) + \frac{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}{\sigma_t}\|^2$.

NCSN sampling (annealed Langevin dynamics)

- Sample $\mathbf{x}_0 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_T^2 \cdot \mathbf{I}) \approx q(\mathbf{x}_T)$.
- Apply L steps of Langevin dynamic

$$\mathbf{x}_l = \mathbf{x}_{l-1} + \frac{\eta_t}{2} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, \sigma_t}(\mathbf{x}_{l-1}) + \sqrt{\eta_t} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_l.$$

- Update $\mathbf{x}_0 := \mathbf{x}_L$ and choose the next σ_t.

Song Y. et al. Improved Techniques for Training Score-Based Generative Models, 2020

Recap of previous lecture

Forward gaussian diffusion process

Let $\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{x} \sim \pi(\mathbf{x})$, $\beta_t \in (0, 1)$, $\alpha_t = 1 - \beta_t$ and $\bar{\alpha}_t = \prod_{s=1}^{t} \alpha_s$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{x}_t &= \sqrt{1 - \beta_t} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{t-1} + \sqrt{\beta_t} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \quad \text{where } \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I}); \\
\mathbf{x}_t &= \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} \cdot \mathbf{x}_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \quad \text{where } \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I}).
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
q(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_{t-1}) &= \mathcal{N}(\sqrt{1 - \beta_t} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \beta_t \cdot \mathbf{I}); \\
q(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_0) &= \mathcal{N}(\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} \cdot \mathbf{x}_0, (1 - \bar{\alpha}_t) \cdot \mathbf{I}).
\end{aligned}
$$

1. $\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{x} \sim \pi(\mathbf{x})$;
2. $\mathbf{x}_t = \sqrt{1 - \beta_t} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{t-1} + \sqrt{\beta_t} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$, where $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I})$, $t \geq 1$;
3. $\mathbf{x}_T \sim p_\infty(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I})$, where $T >> 1$.

If we are able to invert this process, we will get the way to sample $\mathbf{x} \sim \pi(\mathbf{x})$ using noise samples $p_\infty(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I})$.

Weng L. What are Diffusion Models?, blog post, 2021

Outline

1. Diffusion denoising score matching

2. Reverse gaussian diffusion process

3. Gaussian diffusion model as VAE

Outline

1. Diffusion denoising score matching
2. Reverse gaussian diffusion process
3. Gaussian diffusion model as VAE

Denoising score matching

NCSN

$$q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_0) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_0, \sigma_t^2 \cdot \mathbf{I}), \quad q(\mathbf{x}_1) \approx \pi(\mathbf{x}), \quad q(\mathbf{x}_T) \approx \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_T^2 \cdot \mathbf{I}).$$

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{x}_t} \log q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}}{\sigma_t^2}$$

Gaussian diffusion

$$q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_0) = \mathcal{N}(\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} \cdot \mathbf{x}_0, (1-\bar{\alpha}_t) \cdot \mathbf{I}), \quad q(\mathbf{x}_1) \approx \pi(\mathbf{x}), \quad q(\mathbf{x}_T) \approx \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I})$$

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{x}_t} \log q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_0) = -\frac{\mathbf{x}_t - \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} \cdot \mathbf{x}_0}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}$$

Theorem (denoising score matching)

$$\mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_t)} \left\| \mathbf{s}_{\boldsymbol{\theta},t}(\mathbf{x}_t) - \nabla_{\mathbf{x}_t} \log q(\mathbf{x}_t) \right\|_2^2 =$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_{\pi(\mathbf{x})} \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x})} \left\| \mathbf{s}_{\boldsymbol{\theta},t}(\mathbf{x}_t) - \nabla_{\mathbf{x}_t} \log q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}) \right\|_2^2 + \text{const}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

Note: We are able to apply NCSN approach with annealed Langevin dynamics to get diffusion denoising model.

Outline

1. Diffusion denoising score matching
2. Reverse gaussian diffusion process
3. Gaussian diffusion model as VAE

Reverse gaussian diffusion process



Forward process

$$q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}\left(\sqrt{1-\beta_t}\cdot\mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \beta_t\cdot\mathbf{I}\right).$$

Reverse process

$$q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t) = \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_{t-1})q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1})}{q(\mathbf{x}_t)} \approx p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

$q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1})$, $q(\mathbf{x}_t)$ are intractable:

$$q(\mathbf{x}_t) = \int q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_0)\pi(\mathbf{x}_0)d\mathbf{x}_0$$

Weng L. What are Diffusion Models?, blog post, 2021

Reverse gaussian diffusion process

$$q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t) = \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_{t-1})q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1})}{q(\mathbf{x}_t)}$$

Theorem (Feller, 1949)

If β_t is small enough, $q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t)$ will be Gaussian (that is why diffusion needs $T \approx 1000$ steps to converge).



Feller W. On the theory of stochastic processes, with particular reference to applications, 1949
Xiao Z., Kreis K., Vahdat A. Tackling the generative learning trilemma with denoising diffusion GANs, 2021

Reverse gaussian diffusion process



Let define the reverse process:

$$q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t) \approx p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\theta},t}(\mathbf{x}_t), \boldsymbol{\sigma}^2_{\boldsymbol{\theta},t}(\mathbf{x}_t)\right)$$

Feller theorem shows that it is a reasonable assumption.

Forward process

1. $\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{x} \sim \pi(\mathbf{x})$;
2. $\mathbf{x}_t = \sqrt{1-\beta_t} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{t-1} + \sqrt{\beta_t} \cdot \epsilon$;
3. $\mathbf{x}_T \sim p_\infty(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I})$.

Reverse process

1. $\mathbf{x}_T \sim p_\infty(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I})$;
2. $\mathbf{x}_{t-1} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\boldsymbol{\theta},t}(\mathbf{x}_t) \cdot \epsilon + \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\theta},t}(\mathbf{x}_t)$;
3. $\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{x} \sim \pi(\mathbf{x})$;

Note: The forward process does not have any learnable parameters!

Weng L. What are Diffusion Models?, blog post, 2021

Outline

1. Diffusion denoising score matching
2. Reverse gaussian diffusion process
3. Gaussian diffusion model as VAE

Gaussian diffusion model as VAE

Let treat $\mathbf{z} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_T)$ as a latent variable (**note:** each $\mathbf{x}_t$ has the same size). Probabilistic model is

$$p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta})p(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$$



Forward diffusion

- Variational posterior distribution (encoder)

$$q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}) = q(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_T|\mathbf{x}_0) = \prod_{t=1}^{T} q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_{t-1}).$$

- **Note:** there is no learnable parameters.

Das A. An introduction to Diffusion Probabilistic Models, blog post, 2021

Gaussian diffusion model as VAE

Let treat $\mathbf{z} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_T)$ as a latent variable (**note:** each $\mathbf{x}_t$ has the same size). Probabilistic model is

$$p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta})p(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$$



Reverse diffusion

- Generative distribution (decoder)

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = p(\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{x}_1, \boldsymbol{\theta}).$$

- Prior distribution

$$p(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{t=2}^{T} p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \cdot p(\mathbf{x}_T).$$

Das A. An introduction to Diffusion Probabilistic Models, blog post, 2021

Conditioned reverse distribution

$$q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t) = \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_{t-1})q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1})}{q(\mathbf{x}_t)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0) &= \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{x}_0)q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_0)}{q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_0)} \\
&= \frac{\mathcal{N}(\sqrt{1-\beta_t}\cdot\mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \beta_t\mathbf{I})\cdot\mathcal{N}(\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}\cdot\mathbf{x}_0, (1-\bar{\alpha}_{t-1})\cdot\mathbf{I})}{\mathcal{N}(\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}\cdot\mathbf{x}_0, (1-\bar{\alpha}_t)\cdot\mathbf{I})} \\
&= \mathcal{N}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_t(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0), \tilde{\beta}_t\cdot\mathbf{I})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_t(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0) = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_t}(1-\bar{\alpha}_{t-1})}{1-\bar{\alpha}_t}\cdot\mathbf{x}_t + \frac{\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}(1-\alpha_t)}{1-\bar{\alpha}_t}\cdot\mathbf{x}_0;$$

$$\tilde{\beta}_t = \frac{(1-\alpha_t)(1-\bar{\alpha}_{t-1})}{1-\bar{\alpha}_t} = \text{const.}$$

$q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0)$ defines how to denoise a noisy image $\mathbf{x}_t$ with access to what the final, completely denoised image $\mathbf{x}_0$ should be.

Ho J. Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models, 2020

ELBO for gaussian diffusion model

Standard ELBO

$$\log p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) \geq \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})} \log \frac{p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})} = \mathcal{L}(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \to \max_{q, \boldsymbol{\theta}}$$

Derivation

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_0)} \log \frac{p(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_0)} \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_0)} \log \frac{p(\mathbf{x}_T) \prod_{t=1}^{T} p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\prod_{t=1}^{T} q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_{t-1})} \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_0)} \log \frac{p(\mathbf{x}_T) \prod_{t=1}^{T} p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\prod_{t=1}^{T} q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{x}_0)} \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_0)} \log \frac{p(\mathbf{x}_T) p(\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{x}_1, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \prod_{t=2}^{T} p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{x}_1|\mathbf{x}_0) \prod_{t=2}^{T} q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{x}_0)}
\end{aligned}$$

We add conditioning on $\mathbf{x}_0$ to make reverse distribution $q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t)$ tractable and to get KL divergences.

Ho J. Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models, 2020

ELBO for gaussian diffusion model

Derivation (continued)

$$\mathcal{L}(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_0)} \log \frac{p(\mathbf{x}_T) p(\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{x}_1, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \prod_{t=2}^{T} p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{x}_1|\mathbf{x}_0) \prod_{t=2}^{T} q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{x}_0)} =$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_0)} \log \frac{p(\mathbf{x}_T) p(\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{x}_1, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \prod_{t=2}^{T} p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{x}_1|\mathbf{x}_0) \prod_{t=2}^{T} \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0) q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_0)}{q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_0)}} =$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_0)} \log \frac{p(\mathbf{x}_T) p(\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{x}_1, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \prod_{t=2}^{T} p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{x}_T|\mathbf{x}_0) \prod_{t=2}^{T} q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0)} =$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_0)} \left[\log p(\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{x}_1, \boldsymbol{\theta}) + \log \frac{p(\mathbf{x}_T)}{q(\mathbf{x}_T|\mathbf{x}_0)} + \sum_{t=2}^{T} \log \left(\frac{p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0)} \right) \right] =$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_1|\mathbf{x}_0)} \log p(\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{x}_1, \boldsymbol{\theta}) + \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_T|\mathbf{x}_0)} \log \frac{p(\mathbf{x}_T)}{q(\mathbf{x}_T|\mathbf{x}_0)} +$$

$$+ \sum_{t=2}^{T} \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_0)} \log \left(\frac{p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0)} \right)$$

Ho J. Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models, 2020

ELBO for gaussian diffusion model

$$\mathcal{L}(q, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_1|\mathbf{x}_0)} \log p(\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{x}_1, \boldsymbol{\theta}) + \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_T|\mathbf{x}_0)} \log \frac{p(\mathbf{x}_T)}{q(\mathbf{x}_T|\mathbf{x}_0)} +$$
$$+ \sum_{t=2}^{T} \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_0)} \log \left(\frac{p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0)} \right) =$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_1|\mathbf{x}_0)} \log p(\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{x}_1, \boldsymbol{\theta}) - KL\big(q(\mathbf{x}_T|\mathbf{x}_0) || p(\mathbf{x}_T)\big) -$$
$$- \sum_{t=2}^{T} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_0)} KL\big(q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0) || p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta})\big)}_{\mathcal{L}_t}$$

- First term is a decoder distribution

$$\log p(\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{x}_1, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \log \mathcal{N}\big(\mathbf{x}_0 | \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\theta},t}(\mathbf{x}_1), \boldsymbol{\sigma}^2_{\boldsymbol{\theta},t}(\mathbf{x}_1)\big).$$

- Second term is constant ($p(\mathbf{x}_T)$ is a standard Normal, $q(\mathbf{x}_T|\mathbf{x}_0)$ is a non-parametrical Normal).

Ho J. Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models, 2020

ELBO for gaussian diffusion model

$$\mathcal{L}(q,\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_1|\mathbf{x}_0)} \log p(\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{x}_1,\boldsymbol{\theta}) - KL\big(q(\mathbf{x}_T|\mathbf{x}_0)||p(\mathbf{x}_T)\big) - \\ - \sum_{t=2}^{T} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_0)} KL\big(q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t,\mathbf{x}_0)||p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t,\boldsymbol{\theta})\big)}_{\mathcal{L}_t}$$



Luo C. Understanding Diffusion Models: A Unified Perspective, 2022

Summary

- Denoising score matching is applicable to gaussian diffusion process.
- Reverse process allows to sample from the real distribution $\pi(\mathbf{x})$ using samples from noise, but it is intractable.
- We will use approximation to get the reverse process.
- Diffusion model is a VAE model which reverts gaussian diffusion process using variational inference.
- ELBO of DDPM could be represented as a sum of KL terms.