

1 A few remarks on cross-validation for Lasso or Ridge

Let us consider the Lasso problem:

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^p} \mathcal{L}_{\text{lasso}}(\theta, \alpha) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i^T \theta)^2 + \alpha \|\theta\|_1.$$

For a given α , the standard procedure would be to learn θ on a train sample $(y_i, x_i)_{i=1}^n$ and then to assess the predictive quality of the model learnt on a test sample $(\tilde{y}_i, \tilde{x}_i)_{i=1}^n$. We denote by $\hat{\theta}(\alpha)$ the estimated parameter.

$\hat{\theta}(\alpha)$ may vary a lot for different values of α and thus the predictive quality of the model may also change a lot for different α s. How can we choose this hyperparameter α ? We can use what is called the K -fold cross validation procedure. It takes the following form

1. split randomly the train set in K folds of approximately the same size. These folds form a partition $\{\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_K\}$ of the training set.
2. choose a set of possible values of α (on a grid) that we want to try. We denote this set \mathcal{A} . In practice, \mathcal{A} has to be a finite set.
3. for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, we operate the following loop
 - (a) for every $k \in \{1, \dots, K\}$, we compute $\hat{\theta}_k(\alpha)$ using all the training set but \mathcal{I}_k .
 - (b) we use \mathcal{I}_k as a test set on which we compute the MSE associated with $\hat{\theta}_k(\alpha)$, that we call $\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_k(\alpha))$.

\implies at the end of the loop, we take $\text{Score}(\alpha) := \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K \text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_k(\alpha))$ as a measure of the performance of α .

4. we select $\alpha_* \in \operatorname{argmin}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \text{Score}(\alpha)$.
5. we use this α_* as our final choice. We learn $\hat{\theta}(\alpha_*)$ on the whole training set and evaluate the performance on the test set.

Note that we could use other criteria than MSE to choose α_* (the Mean Absolute Error for instance). To further reduce the risk of overfitting, we could split the data in three subsamples: one to choose α_* (on which we do steps 1 to 4 of the cross-validation algorithm mentioned above), one to learn $\hat{\theta}(\alpha_*)$ and one to evaluate the performance of the algorithm.

The cross-validation approach is exactly the same for the Ridge estimator.