SHOULD BE REPLACED ON REQUIRED TITLE PAGE

Instruction

- 1. Open needed docx template (folder "title"/<your department or bach if bachelor student>.docx).
- 2. Put Thesis topic, supervisor's and your name in appropriate places on both English and Russian languages.
- 3. Put current year (last row).
- 4. Convert it to "title.pdf," replace the existing one in the root folder.

Contents

1	Introduction		
	1.1	Early Approaches to Automation in the Legal Sphere	7
	1.2	Large Language Models in Law	8
	1.3	RAG Approach	9
		1.3.1 Creating a Subsection	9
	1.4	Theorems, Corollaries, Lemmas, Proofs, Remarks, Definitions	
		and Examples	11
	1.5	Optional table of contents heading	12
2	Lite	rature Review	14
	2.1	Another Section	15
3	Met	hodology	19
4	Imp	lementation	21
5	Eva	luation and Discussion	22
6	Con	clusion	23
Bi	Bibliography cited 24		24

CONTENTS		3
A	Extra Stuff	27
В	Even More Extra Stuff	28

List of Tables

1.1	This is the title I want to appear in the List of Tables	11
2.1	This is the title I want to appear in the List of Tables	16
3.1	This is the title I want to appear in the List of Tables	19

List of Figures

1.1	One kernel at x_s (dotted kernel) or two kernels at x_i and x_j (left	
	and right) lead to the same summed estimate at x_s . This shows a	
	figure consisting of different types of lines. Elements of the figure	
	described in the caption should be set in italics, in parentheses, as	
	shown in this sample caption	10
2.1	One kernel at x_s (dotted kernel) or two kernels at x_i and x_j (left	
	and right) lead to the same summed estimate at x_s . This shows a	
	figure consisting of different types of lines. Elements of the figure	
	described in the caption should be set in italics, in parentheses, as	
	shown in this sample caption	17

Abstract

My abstract starts from here.

Introduction

1.1 Early Approaches to Automation in the Legal Sphere

The application of Artificial Intelligence in the legal domain began in 1958 with Lucien Mehl's seminal article [1], which explored AI's potential in law and decision-making processes. Mehl proposed two primary approaches for legal AI systems:

- Information machine "the machine for finding precedent"
- Consultation machine "the judgement machine"

The author argued that both approaches required transforming natural language in legal documents into a strictly formalized, machine-readable format. This task was considered a preliminary step toward formalizing natural language as a whole. While Mehl proposed a framework for legal text formalization, this remains an unsolved challenge today.¹

¹Modern projects like [2] continue to explore machine-readable formats for legislative texts.

Early probabilistic approaches to natural language processing emerged in 1952 [3], but were deemed impractical due to computational constraints. Only in 1990 did IBM introduce efficient, computationally feasible methods [4]. A 2003 review [5] confirmed that case-based and rule-based reasoning systems remained the most prevalent AI applications in law at that time.

1.2 Large Language Models in Law

Advances in computational power enabled complex natural language processing models. The field transformed with Word2Vec's semantically meaningful word vectors (2013) [6], followed by Google's Transformer architecture (2017) [7]. OpenAI's 2020 paper [8] introduced few-shot learning through prompting, leading to powerful, accessible AI assistants applicable to legal domains.

A 2024 Texas survey [9] revealed that over 50% of respondents would consult AI assistants for tenancy, tax, and traffic legal matters.² Similarly, LexisNexis [10] reported 35% of lawyers using AI assistants monthly.

Specialized legal LLMs like LawGPT (2023) [11], LawyerLLaMA (2023) [12], and ChatLaw (2023) [13] have emerged. Despite LLMs' strong performance in general domains, legal applications face accuracy challenges. Stanford research (2024) [14] shows fine-tuned legal LLMs still hallucinate in 17-33% of cases. OpenAI's theoretical analysis [15] confirms all language models retain measurable hallucination probabilities regardless of size or training.

Legal domains present unique challenges:

• Continuous evolution: Legislative acts constantly expand and change

 $^{^2}$ However, only $\approx 30\%$ trusted AI for divorce, juvenile, or civil dispute cases.

- Outdated information: Documents may be deprecated, replaced, or amended
- **Jurisdictional variation**: Legal documents apply differently across regions, requiring localized fine-tuning

These factors heighten risks when using hallucination-prone AI for felony or misdemeanor cases, particularly when relying solely on "consultation machines" without verified document retrieval.

1.3 RAG Approach

Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG), introduced in 2020 [16], combines LLMs with reliable information retrieval systems (see Section 2). [14] demonstrates RAG can reduce legal QA hallucinations to near-zero with proper context retrieval.

While RAG-based legal QA systems are rapidly developing (detailed in Section 2), existing research focuses on Western (primarily US and Australian) legal systems. Though Russian applications exist (e.g., [17]), none address legal domains. Our work applies and evaluates RAG in Russian legal contexts, providing foundational insights for future research.

1.3.1 Creating a Subsection

Creating a Subsubsection

Creating a Subsubsection

Creating a Subsubsection

This is a heading level below subsubsection And this is a quote:

Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like "Huardest gefburn"? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.



Fig. 1.1. One kernel at x_s (dotted kernel) or two kernels at x_i and x_j (left and right) lead to the same summed estimate at x_s . This shows a figure consisting of different types of lines. Elements of the figure described in the caption should be set in italics, in parentheses, as shown in this sample caption.

This is a table:

TABLE 1.1 This Is a Table Example

A	В	С
a1	b1	c1
a2	b2	c2
a3	b3	c3
a4	b4	c4

The package "upgreek" allows us to use non-italicized lower-case greek letters. See for yourself: β , β , β . Next is a numbered equation:

$$\|\mathbf{X}\|_{2,1} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} f_j(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|\mathbf{X}_{.,j}\|_2$$
 (1.1)

The reference to equation (1.1) is clickable.

1.4 Theorems, Corollaries, Lemmas, Proofs, Remarks, Definitions, and Examples

Theorem 1. Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like "Huardest gefburn"? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special

12

content, but the length of words should match the language.

Proof. I'm a (very short) proof.

Lemma 1. I'm a lemma.

Corollary 1. *I include a reference to Thm. 1.*

Proposition 1. *I'm a proposition.*

Remark. I'm a remark.

Definition 1. I'm a definition. I'm a definition.

Example. I'm an example.

1.5 Section with

linebreaks in

the name

Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like "Huardest gefburn"? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet

and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

This is the second paragraph. Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like "Huardest gefburn"? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

Literature Review

And after the second paragraph follows the third paragraph. Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like "Huardest gefburn"? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

After this fourth paragraph, we start a new paragraph sequence. Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like "Huardest gefburn"? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be

2.1 Another Section 15

written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

2.1 Another Section

Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like "Huardest gefburn"? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

2.1 Another Section 16

With the widespread of computing systems, information processing, and net working, the practice of replacing paper documentation to electronic documentation has become more and more common. Electronic documentation within the workplace has several advantages over the traditional one, such as being easy to share, copy and edit the document. However, these advantages also present a problem. A malefactor, having access to the system, can easily copy and leak the document, without leaving any trace. Such actions are virtually undetectable in most systems, so, the malefactor goes unpunished. In this thesis, we propose one solution to the problem: digital watermarking.

Every electronic document within the protected system is marked with an invisible digital watermark, containing information about the user, accessing this particular document. Therefore, in case the protected company discovers the leaked document, they will be able to identify the machine of the malicious person and time when the document was leaked. This will allow inflicting punishment on the malefactor, recovering the costs of the leak, and potentially preventing future ones.

TABLE 2.1 Simulation Parameters

A	В
Parameter	Value
Number of vehicles	V
Number of RSUs	<i>U</i>
RSU coverage radius	150 m
V2V communication radius	30 m
Smart vehicle antenna height	1.5 m

A	В
RSU antenna height	25 m
Smart vehicle maximum speed	v _{max} m/s
Smart vehicle minimum speed	v _{min} m/s
Common smart vehicle cache capacities	[50, 100, 150, 200, 250] mb
Common RSU cache capacities	[5000, 1000, 1500, 2000, 2500] mb
Common backhaul rates	[75, 100, 150] mb/s



Fig. 2.1. One kernel at x_s (dotted kernel) or two kernels at x_i and x_j (left and right) lead to the same summed estimate at x_s . This shows a figure consisting of different types of lines. Elements of the figure described in the caption should be set in italics, in parentheses, as shown in this sample caption.

This description implies several essential properties of the task at hand:

1. Watermark must contain all necessary information, but still, be placeable and recognizable even on smaller images. The produced watermark must be compact but have the possibility to store enough information.

2.1 Another Section 18

2. To prevent easy tampering, the watermark must be invisible to the naked eye (and, preferably, to basic image parsing tools). If malefactor does not know about the existence of watermark, they might not even try to remove it and disable it.

Methodology

Referencing other chapters 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6

TABLE 3.1 Simulation Parameters

A	В
Parameter	Value
Number of vehicles	\mathcal{V}
Number of RSUs	<i>U</i>
RSU coverage radius	150 m
V2V communication radius	30 m
Smart vehicle antenna height	1.5 m
RSU antenna height	25 m
Smart vehicle maximum speed	v _{max} m/s
Smart vehicle minimum speed	v _{min} m/s
Common smart vehicle cache capacities	[50, 100, 150, 200, 250] mb
Common RSU cache capacities	[5000, 1000, 1500, 2000, 2500] mb

A	В
Common backhaul rates	[75, 100, 150] mb/s

Implementation

Evaluation and Discussion

Conclusion

Bibliography cited

- [1] D. L. Mehl, "Automation in the legal world," *Mechanisation of Thought Processes*, 1958.
- [2] D. Merigoux, N. Chataing, and J. Protzenko, "Catala: A Programming Language for the Law," *Proceedings of the ACM on Programming Languages*, vol. 5, no. ICFP, 77:1, 2021. DOI: 10.1145/3473582. Accessed: Mar. 30, 2025.
- [3] W. Weaver, "Translation," in *Proceedings of the Conference on Mechanical Translation*, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1952. Accessed: Mar. 30, 2025.
- [4] P. F. Brown et al., "A Statistical Approach to Machine Translation," *Computational Linguistics*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 79–85, 1990. Accessed: Mar. 30, 2025.
- [5] E. L. Rissland, K. D. Ashley, and R. Loui, "AI and Law: A fruitful synergy," *Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 150, no. 1-2, pp. 1–15, Nov. 2003, ISSN: 00043702. DOI: 10.1016/S0004-3702(03)00122-X. Accessed: Mar. 30, 2025.

- [6] T. Mikolov, K. Chen, G. Corrado, and J. Dean, *Efficient Estimation of Word Representations in Vector Space*, Sep. 2013. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.1301. 3781. arXiv: 1301.3781 [cs]. Accessed: Mar. 30, 2025.
- [7] A. Vaswani et al., "Attention is All you Need," in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 30, Curran Associates, Inc., 2017. Accessed: Mar. 30, 2025.
- [8] T. Brown et al., "Language Models are Few-Shot Learners," in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 33, Curran Associates, Inc., 2020, pp. 1877–1901. Accessed: Mar. 30, 2025.
- [9] T. Seabrooke et al., "A Survey of Lay People's Willingness to Generate Legal Advice using Large Language Models (LLMs)," in *Proceedings of the Second International Symposium on Trustworthy Autonomous Systems*, Austin TX USA: ACM, Sep. 2024, pp. 1–5, ISBN: 979-8-4007-0989-0. DOI: 10.1145/3686038.3686043. Accessed: Mar. 30, 2025.
- [10] Lawyers gear up for generative AI, https://www.lexisnexis.co.uk/insights/lawyers-cross-into-the-new-era-of-generative-ai/. Accessed: Mar. 30, 2025.
- [11] H.-T. Nguyen, *A Brief Report on LawGPT 1.0: A Virtual Legal Assistant Based on GPT-3*, Feb. 2023. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2302.05729. arXiv: 2302.05729 [cs]. Accessed: Mar. 30, 2025.
- [12] Q. Huang et al., *Lawyer LLaMA Technical Report*, Oct. 2023. DOI: 10. 48550 / arXiv. 2305. 15062. arXiv: 2305. 15062 [cs]. Accessed: Mar. 30, 2025.
- [13] J. Cui et al., Chatlaw: A Multi-Agent Collaborative Legal Assistant with Knowledge Graph Enhanced Mixture-of-Experts Large Language Model,

- May 2024. DOI: 10.48550 / arXiv.2306.16092. arXiv: 2306.16092 [cs]. Accessed: Mar. 30, 2025.
- [14] V. Magesh, F. Surani, M. Dahl, M. Suzgun, C. D. Manning, and D. E. Ho, *Hallucination-Free? Assessing the Reliability of Leading AI Legal Research Tools*, May 2024. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2405.20362. arXiv: 2405.20362 [cs]. Accessed: Mar. 30, 2025.
- [15] A. T. Kalai and S. S. Vempala, *Calibrated Language Models Must Hallucinate*, Mar. 2024. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2311.14648. arXiv: 2311.14648 [cs]. Accessed: Mar. 30, 2025.
- [16] P. Lewis et al., "Retrieval-Augmented Generation for Knowledge-Intensive NLP Tasks," in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 33, Curran Associates, Inc., 2020, pp. 9459–9474. Accessed: Mar. 21, 2025.
- [17] A. G. Oleynik, I. O. Datyev, A. A. Zuenko, A. M. Fedorov, A. V. Shestakov, and I. G. Vishnyakov, "Using rag technology to design an intelligent information system for support exploratory search," *Transactions of the Kola Science Centre of RAS. Series: Engineering Sciences*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 5–27, 2024. DOI: 10.37614/2949.1215.2024.15.3.001. [Online]. Available: https://rio.ksc.ru/data/documents/60_3_2024_15_IIMM/60_Trud_Teh_3_2024_15.pdf.

Appendix A

Extra Stuff

Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like "Huardest gefburn"? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

Appendix B

Even More Extra Stuff

Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like "Huardest gefburn"? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.