Ryan Skinner

Project 2 (Homework 4)

CS3310

The branch-and-bound solution doesn't always preform better than backtracking. I think when there are a lot of items with the same weight and value the backtracking solution works better, for example if I have 4 items, all 1 weight and 1 value and a bag can hold up to 3 weight: backtracking solves in 7 steps while branch-and-bound solves it in 13.

I could not find any specific data sets that made branch-and-bound and backtracking slow down to be comparable to brute-forcing. However for small data sets, when the two faster algorithms have to check a higher percentage of the overall items, they do become similar.

It is impossible to say how much more efficient the two algorithms are than brute-forcing. As each data set has a different number of nodes checked. However this is the data I haven noticed

brute-force	backtracking	branch-and-bound
(n+1) <sup>2</sup> -1	∼n²	~n <sup>2</sup>

## Data

Items		Brute	Backtrack	B-n-b
{(\$40,2)(\$30,2)(\$50,10)(\$10,5)}	16	31	13	11
{(\$1,1)(\$1,1)(\$1,1)(\$1,1)}		31	7	13
{(\$5,10)(\$6,2)(\$2,4)(\$31,45)(\$22,24)(\$33,45)}		127	27	27
{(\$4,12)(\$2,1)(\$2,2)(\$10,4)(\$1,1)}		63	25	25
{(\$505,23)(\$352,26)(\$458,20)(\$220,18)(\$354,32)(\$414,27)(\$498,29) (\$545,26)(\$473,30)(\$543,27)}		2047	109	77