# Noname manuscript No.

(will be inserted by the editor)

# Open source landscape ecology tools

Maximillian H.K. Hesselbarth  $\cdot$  Jakub Nowosad  $\cdot$  Author  $3 \cdot \ldots \cdot$ 

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract Max. (200 words) Abstract Abst

Grants or other notes about the article that should go on the front page should be placed here. General acknowledgments should be placed at the end of the article.

Maximillian H.K. Hesselbarth

Department of Ecosystem Modelling, University of Goettingen, Buesgenweg 4, 37077 Goettingen, Germany

E-mail: maximilian.hesselbarth@uni-goettingen.de

Jakub Nowosad

Institute of Geoecology and Geoinformation, Adam Mickiewicz University, Krygowskiego 10, 61-680 Poznan, Poland

E-mail: nowosad.jakub@gmail.com

Author 3
Adress author 3
E-mail: E-Mail author 3
...

... E m

E-mail: . . .

**Keywords** keyword  $1 \cdot$  keyword  $2 \cdot$  keyword  $3 \cdot$  keyword  $4 \cdot \ldots \cdot$ 

#### 1 Introduction

### 1.1 A short introduction to landscape ecology

Landscape ecology focuses on how ecological processes are influenced and modified by the heterogeneous landscapes they occur in and simultaneously how the ecological processes themselves influence the landscapes [1,2,3]. In this context, landscape ecology considers, besides others, i) spatial and temporal dynamics of heterogeneous landscapes, ii) interactions, fluxes and exchange within these landscapes, iii) how the landscapes influence ecological processes (and vice versa) and lastly iv) how to manage these heterogeneous landscapes [4,1].

While human activities have altered the landscapes for millennials [5,6], in the past centuries the effects of humans on landscapes have increased to an unknown high, known as the the Anthropocene [7]. Today, almost all landscapes are directly or indirectly influenced by human activities [8]. Thus, understanding the complex interactions between landscapes and ecological processes becomes increasingly important [3].

Because landscapes are defined as mosaics of different land covers, ecosystems, habitat types, or land uses [9,10,11], spatial context is important and ecological processes will vary spatially [3]. Related to this, the importance of scale was already raised decades ago [12,13,14] and is still of relevance until today [15,16]. Thus, in contrast to many other sub-disciplines of ecology, landscape ecology emphasizes especially spatial patterns to a high degree [4]. Consequently, the field of landscape ecology relies on software to preprocess, modify, model, analyze and visualize spatial data.

# 1.2 Open-source software and R

Software to manage and analyze data becomes increasingly important in modern scientific research [17] and many scientific studies would not have been possible without open-source software [18]. Open-source software includes all software which is released under a license that allows to freely use, modify and distribute the software [19]. Open-source software development has many advantages, such as fast innovation, transparency and reliability as well as longevity mainly due to many diverse contributors [20,19]. Additionally, the use of open-source software facilitates (computational) reproducibility and can allow a better understanding of the methodology [18,21]. Lastly, open-source software allows other scientists to reuse code and not "reinvent the wheel" [18] and customize existing software to their specific needs easily [22].

One example of a successful open-source project is the R programming language and its  $Comprehensive\ R\ Archive\ Network\ (CRAN)$  for extensions

(also called packages) [23]. Firstly introduced in 1995 [24], today the programming language is among the most popular programming languages, especially in ecology [25]. Originally introduced as a statistical programming language, a growing body of packages designed to analyze spatial data subsequently emerged for the R programming language [26]. This is documented by the growing  $CRAN\ Task\ View$ :  $Analysis\ of\ Spatial\ Data\ [27]\ and\ CRAN\ Task\ View$ :  $Handling\ and\ Analyzing\ Spatio-Temporal\ Data\ [28]\ with\ currently\ almost 300\ packages in total. The growing popularity of the <math>R$  programming language for spatial data analysis and landscape ecology can also be seen by the increasing number of related textbooks [29, 30, 31].

Even though many other open-source tools for landscape ecology exist [32, 33,34], in this review we are going to focus on tools implemented in the R programming language. Thus, in the first part we are going to try to give a comprehensive overview over existing R packages for landscape ecology. In the second part, we will identify topics for which R packages are currently missing to the best of our knowledge. For more general overviews see e.g. [35,22,36, 37].

#### 2 Existing packages

- 2.1 Basic spatial data
- 2.2 Creating maps
- 2.3 Spatial regression
- 2.4 Ecological analysis

Landscape metrics One of the most fundamental steps of landscape ecology is to describe and quantify landscape characteristics [2,38]. For discrete land cover classes the composition (number and abundance) and configuration (spatial arrangement) of the landscape is often described using landscape metrics [39,40,41,42]. These metrics allow to compare different landscapes, quantify temporal and spatial landscape changes and investigate interactions between landscape characteristics and ecological processes [40].

The use of landscape metrics was heavily facilitated by the introduction of the FRAGSTATS software [43], firstly published in 1995 [44,42]. However, FRAGSTATS is not not open-source software (however it is free to download at the developers' homepage), which restricts transparency and reproducibility. To provide a truly open-source solution to calculate landscape metrics, recently the landscapemetrics package [45] was developed. The package allows to calculate the most widely used landscape metrics in a transparent and reproducible workflow within the R environment.

Species distribution modeling Species distribution modeling (SDM) analysis how landscape patterns (e.g. habitat suitability, resources availability, etc.)

influence and determine the patterns of species distribution, mainly to infer ecological processes and predict future species distributions [46]. Originated in the 1970s, SDM has experienced numerous methodological advancement and a numerous body of literature exists today [47]. Additionally, textbooks introducing basic concepts of SDM in R exists [48,29].

Because the used modeling approaches are diverse [49,50,29], there is also a large number of R packages used for SDMs. Popular approaches and packages include generalized linear models using e.g. the stats package; generalized additive models using e.g. the mgcv or lme4 package; classification and regression trees (CART) using e.g. the rpart, randomForest or ranger package or multivariate data analysis using e.g. the ade4 package. Another widely used SDM approach uses the concept of maximum entropy [51,29]. However, currently the maxent package is not available on CRAN anymore. Of course, also packages specifically designed for SDM exists. This includes the dismo, sdm, ecospat, biomod2 and PresenceAbsence packages.

Connectivity Connectivity is one of the core elements of landscape structure [52] and thus one of the core concepts of landscape ecology [3]. Landscape connectivity describes how landscape characteristics facilitate or hinder the movement of species [53] or other aspects of mobility, such as dispersal, gene or nutrient flow [3]. While structural connectivity focuses only on landscape characteristics (e.g. movement corridors, barriers, etc.), functional connectivity also includes behavior characteristics of the species [53,3].

Given its wide concept, many different measures of connectivity exists [54]. On patch level structural connectivity can be measured using nearest-neighbor distances or characterizations of the patch neighborhood (e.g. amount of suitable habitat) [54,3]. Such measures are provided within the landscapemetrics package (see 2.4). Another way to describe connectivity is based on graph theory with the advantages that also functional connectivity can be included [54]. In graph theory [55] landscapes are described by nodes (i.e. habitat patches) connected by and functional connections called links [55]. The grainscape package [56] provides a tool to model connectivity based on spatially explicit networks. More general, the igraph packages [57] provides functionality related to graph theory.

Landscape genetics Landscape genetics investigates how characteristics of landscapes interact with gene flow, genetic drift and selection [58]. Such insights improve our understanding of metapopulation dynamics, speciation, species' distributions and conservation [59]. By explicitly including characteristics of landscape, landscape genetics is a way more realistic way of analysis than e.g. metapopulation genetics [60]. As a result of its interdisciplinary, landscape genetics combines methods from different disciplines including landscape ecology, spatial statistics, geography and population genetics [59].

Neutral landscape models

#### References

- M. Turner, Landscape ecology: The effect of pattern on process, Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 20(1), 171 (1989)
- M. Turner, Landscape ecology: What is the state of the science?, Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 36(1), 319 (2005)
- K. With, Essentials of Landscape Ecology, 1st edn. (Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 2019)
- P. Risser, J. Karr, R. Forman, Landscape ecology: Directions and approaches, Illinois Natural History Survey Special Publication 2, 7 (1984)
- 5. E. Ellis, Anthropogenic transformation of the terrestrial biosphere, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences **369**(1938), 1010 (2011)
- E. Ellis, Ecology in an anthropogenic biosphere, Ecological Monographs 85(3), 287 (2015)
- 7. P. Crutzen, Geology of mankind, Nature 415(6867), 23 (2002)
- 8. P. Vitousek, Human Domination of Earth's ecosystems, Science 277(5325), 494 (1997)
- 9. R. Forman, M. Godron, Landscape Ecology (Wiley, New York, 1986)
- R. Forman, Land Mosaics: The Ecology of Landscapes and Regions (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1995)
- J. Wiens, in Mosaic Landscapes and Ecological Processes, ed. by L. Hansson, L. Fahrig, G. Merriam (Chapman and Hall, London, UK, 1995), pp. 1–26
- 12. J. Wiens, Spatial scaling in ecology, Functional Ecology 3(4), 385 (1989)
- 13. S. Levin, The problem of pattern and scale in ecology, Ecology 73(6), 1943 (1992)
- D. Jelinski, J. Wu, The modifiable areal unit problem and implications for landscape ecology, Landscape Ecology 11(3), 129 (1996)
- P. Šímová, K. Gdulová, Landscape indices behavior: A review of scale effects, Applied Geography 34, 385 (2012)
- L. Estes, P. Elsen, T. Treuer, L. Ahmed, K. Caylor, J. Chang, J. Choi, E. Ellis, The spatial and temporal domains of modern ecology, Nature Ecology & Evolution 2(5), 819 (2018)
- G. Wilson, D. Aruliah, C. Brown, N. Chue Hong, M. Davis, R. Guy, S. Haddock, K. Huff, I. Mitchell, M. Plumbley, B. Waugh, E. White, P. Wilson, Best Practices for Scientific Computing, PLoS Biology 12(1), e1001745 (2014)
- 18. A. Prlić, J. Procter, Ten simple rules for the open development of scientific software, PLoS Computational Biology 8(12), e1002802 (2012)
- A. St. Laurent, Understanding Open Source and Free Software Licensing (O'Reilly, Sebastopol, USA, 2008)
- 20. G. von Krogh, E. von Hippel, The promise of research on open source software, Management Science  ${\bf 52}(7),\,975\,\,(2006)$
- S. Powers, S. Hampton, Open science, reproducibility, and transparency in ecology, Ecological Applications 29(1) (2019)
- 22. S. Steiniger, G. Hay, Free and open source geographic information tools for landscape ecology, Ecological Informatics 4(4), 183 (2009)
- 23. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing (2019)
- 24. D. Smith. Over 16 years of R Project history (2016)
- 25. J. Lai, C. Lortie, R. Muenchen, J. Yang, K. Ma, Evaluating the popularity of R in ecology, Ecosphere 10(1) (2019)
- 26. R. Bivand, Implementing spatial data analysis software tools in R, Geographical Analysis 38(1), 23 (2006)
- 27. R. Bivand. Analysis of Spatial Data (2019)
- 28. E. Pebesma. Handling and Analyzing Spatio-Temporal Data (2020)
- 29. R. Fletcher, M.J. Fortin, Spatial Ecology and Conservation Modeling: Applications with R, 1st edn. (Springer, New York, USA, 2019)
- 30. R. Lovelace, J. Nowosad, J. Münchow, *Geocomputation with R*, 1st edn. (Chapman and Hall/CRC Press, Boca Raton, USA, 2019)
- 31. E. Pebesma, R. Bivand, Spatial Data Science (2019)

- 32. QGIS Development Team. QGIS (2016)
- 33. GRASS Development Team. Geographic Resources Analysis Support System (GRASS). Open Source Geospatial Foundation (2017)
- 34. C. Porta, L. Spano, F. Pontedera. R.li Toolset for multiscale analysis of landscape structure <a href="https://grass.osgeo.org/grass74/manuals/r.li.html">https://grass.osgeo.org/grass74/manuals/r.li.html</a> (2017)
- A. Jolma, D. Ames, N. Horning, H. Mitasova, M. Neteler, A. Racicot, T. Sutton, Chapter Ten: Free and open source geospatial tools for environmental modeling and management, Developments in Integrated Environmental Assessment 3, 163 (2008). DOI 10.1016/ S1574-101X(08)00610-8
- S. Steiniger, E. Bocher, An overview on current free and open source desktop GIS developments, International Journal of Geographical Information Science 23(10), 1345 (2009)
- 37. S. István, Comparison of the most popular open-source GIS software in the field of landscape ecology, Landscape & Environment 6(2), 76 (2012)
- A. Lausch, T. Blaschke, D. Haase, F. Herzog, R. Syrbe, L. Tischendorf, U. Walz, Understanding and quantifying landscape structure A review on relevant process characteristics, data models and landscape metrics, Ecological Modelling 295, 31 (2015)
- E. Gustafson, Quantifying landscape spatial pattern: What is the state of the art?,
   Ecosystems 1, 143 (1998)
- E. Uuemaa, M. Antrop, R. Marja, J. Roosaare, Ü. Mander, Landscape metrics and indices: An overview of their use in landscape research, Living Reviews in Landscape Research 3, 1 (2009)
- 41. E. Uuemaa, Ü. Mander, R. Marja, Trends in the use of landscape spatial metrics as landscape indicators: A review, Ecological Indicators 28, 100 (2013)
- E. Gustafson, How has the state-of-the-art for quantification of landscape pattern advanced in the twenty-first century?, Landscape Ecology 34, 1 (2019)
- 43. K. McGarigal, S. Cushman, E. Ene. FRAGSTATS v4: Spatial pattern analysis program for categorical and continuous maps. Computer software program produced by the authors at the University of Massachusetts, Amherst. <a href="http://www.umass.edu/landeco/research/fragstats/fragstats.html">http://www.umass.edu/landeco/research/fragstats/fragstats.html</a>. University of Massachusetts (2012)
- 44. J.A. Kupfer, Landscape ecology and biogeography: Rethinking landscape metrics in a post-FRAGSTATS landscape, Progress in Physical Geography 36(3), 400 (2012)
- M. Hesselbarth, M. Sciaini, K. With, K. Wiegand, J. Nowosad, Landscapemetrics: An open-source R tool to calculate landscape metrics, Ecography 42(10), 1648 (2019)
- Y. Wiersma, F. Huettmann, C. Drew, in Predictive Species and Habitat Modeling in Landscape Ecology, ed. by C. Drew, Y. Wiersma, F. Huettmann (Springer New York, New York, USA, 2011), pp. 1–6. DOI 10.1007/978-1-4419-7390-0\_1
- 47. N. Zimmermann, T. Edwards, C. Graham, P. Pearman, J.C. Svenning, New trends in species distribution modelling, Ecography 33(6), 985 (2010)
- A. Guisan, W. Thuiller, N. Zimmermann, Habitat Suitability and Distribution Models: With Applications in R, 1st edn. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2017). DOI 10.1017/9781139028271
- M. Hooten, in Predictive Species and Habitat Modeling in Landscape Ecology, ed. by C. Drew, Y. Wiersma, F. Huettmann (Springer New York, New York, USA, 2011), pp. 29–41. DOI 10.1007/978-1-4419-7390-0\_3
- J. Kerr, M. Kulkarni, A. Algar, in Predictive Species and Habitat Modeling in Landscape Ecology, ed. by C. Drew, Y. Wiersma, F. Huettmann (Springer New York, New York, USA, 2011), pp. 9–28. DOI 10.1007/978-1-4419-7390-0\_2
- 51. S. Phillips, R. Anderson, R. Schapire, Maximum entropy modeling of species geographic distributions, Ecological Modelling 190(3-4), 231 (2006)
- P. Taylor, L. Fahrig, K. Henein, G. Merriam, Connectivity is a vital element of landscape structure, Oikos 68(3), 571 (1993)
- L. Tischendorf, L. Fahrig, On the usage and measurement of landscape connectivity, Oikos 90(1), 7 (2000)
- P. Kindlmann, F. Burel, Connectivity measures: A review, Landscape Ecology pp. s10,980-008-9245-4 (2008)
- A. Laita, J. Kotiaho, M. Mönkkönen, Graph-theoretic connectivity measures: What do they tell us about connectivity?, Landscape Ecology 26(7), 951 (2011)

- 56. A. Chubaty, P. Galpern, S. Doctolero, The R toolbox grainscape for modelling and visualizing landscape connectivity using spatially explicit networks, Methods in Ecology and Evolution 11(4), 591 (2020)
- 57. G. Csardi, T. Nepusz, The igraph software package for complex network research, InterJournal Complex Systems, 1695 (2006)
- S. Manel, M. Schwartz, G. Luikart, P. Taberlet, Landscape genetics: Combining land-scape ecology and population genetics, Trends in Ecology & Evolution 18(4), 189 (2003)
   A. Storfer, M. Murphy, J. Evans, C. Goldberg, S. Robinson, S. Spear, R. Dezzani,
- A. Storfer, M. Murphy, J. Evans, C. Goldberg, S. Robinson, S. Spear, R. Dezzani,
   E. Delmelle, L. Vierling, L. Waits, Putting the 'landscape' in landscape genetics, Heredity 98(3), 128 (2007)
- 60. R. Holderegger, H. Wagner, A brief guide to landscape genetics, Landscape Ecology **21**(6), 793 (2006)