RBC Models

Rubén Fernández-Fuertes

April 21, 2023

1 Baseline RBC model

I am considering the Hansen-Style RBC model. Here firms are producing a good using the production function:

$$Y_t := Y_t(Z_t, K_{t-1}, L_t) = Z_t K_{t-1}^{\alpha} L_t^{1-\alpha}, \tag{1}$$

and households are endowed with a utility function characterised by

$$u_t := u(C_t, L_t) = c_t - \xi \frac{L_t^{1 + \frac{1}{\nu}}}{1 + \frac{1}{\nu}}, \tag{2}$$

where $c_t := \log C_t$. Since there are no distortions, I can solve the model in the spirit of Ramsay social planner's problem, i.e., maximising

$$\max_{\{C_t, L_t, K_t\}} \mathbb{E}_t \left[\sum_{k \ge 0} \beta^k u\left(C_{t+k}, L_{t+k}\right) \right]$$
(3)

subject to

$$C_t \le Y_t - I_t,\tag{4}$$

where the dynamics of K_t , Y_t and A_t are driven by

$$K_t = I_t + (1 - \delta)K_{t-1} \tag{5}$$

$$Y_t = Z_t K_{t-1}^{\alpha} L_t^{1-\alpha},\tag{6}$$

$$\log Z_t = \rho_a \log Z_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t^z, \tag{7}$$

where $\varepsilon_t^z \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma_z^2\right)$. Note that from (5) I can write I_t in terms time-t and time-(t-1) capital, i.e., $I_t = K_t - (1-\delta)K_{t-1}$. Hence, I can rewrite (4) as

$$C_t \le Z_t K_{t-1}^{\alpha} L_t^{1-\alpha} - (K_t - (1-\delta)K_{t-1})$$
(8)

On the other hand, notice that

$$\mathbb{E}_{t}\left[\sum_{k\geq0}\beta^{k}u\left(C_{t+k},L_{t+k}\right)\right]=u_{t}+\beta\mathbb{E}_{t}\left[u_{t+1}\right]+\mathbb{E}_{t}\left(\sum_{k\geq2}\beta^{k}u_{t+k}\right)$$

I can now define the Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{t} := \mathcal{L}_{t} \left(C_{t}, L_{t}, K_{t}, \lambda_{t} \right) = u_{t} + \beta \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[u_{t+1} \right] + \left(\cdots \right) + \lambda_{t} \left(A_{t} K_{t-1}^{\alpha} L_{t}^{1-\alpha} - \left(K_{t} - (1-\delta) K_{t-1} \right) - C_{t} \right). \tag{9}$$

Now I take the FOC:

$$\partial_{C_t} \mathcal{L}_t = \partial_{C_t} u_t - \lambda_t = 0, \tag{10}$$

$$\partial_{L_t} \mathcal{L}_t = \partial_{L_t} u_t + (1 - \alpha) \lambda_t Z_t K_{t-1}^{\alpha} L_t^{-\alpha} = 0, \tag{11}$$

$$\partial_{K_t} \mathcal{L}_t = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \left[\partial_{K_t} u_{t+1} \right] - \lambda_t = 0. \tag{12}$$

Now, subbing (10) into both (11) and (12), I obtain

$$\partial_{L_t} u_t = -(1 - \alpha) \partial_{C_t} u_t Z_t K_{t-1}^{\alpha} L_t^{-\alpha} \tag{13}$$

$$\partial_{C_t} u_t = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \left[\partial_{K_t} u_{t+1} \right]. \tag{14}$$

I just need now to compute the partial derivatives:

$$\partial_{C_t} u_t = \frac{1}{C_t}, \qquad \partial_{L_t} u_t = -\xi L_t^{\frac{1}{\nu}}, \qquad \partial_{K_t} u_{t+1} = \frac{1}{C_t} \left(\alpha Z_{t+1} K_t^{\alpha - 1} L_{t+1}^{(1-\alpha)} + (1-\delta) \right), \tag{15}$$

where I have used that the constraint (8) is binding, because $\partial_{C_t} u_t > 0$ by assumption (non-satiation). Notice on the other hand that

$$Z_{t+1}K_t^{\alpha-1}L_{t+1}^{(1-\alpha)} = \frac{Y_{t+1}}{K_t}, \qquad Z_tK_{t-1}^{\alpha}L_t^{-\alpha} = \frac{Y_t}{L_t}.$$

Hence, the two FOC conditions are:

$$\xi L_t^{\frac{1}{\nu}} = (1 - \alpha) \frac{Y_t}{L_t C_t} \tag{16}$$

$$\frac{1}{C_t} = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \left[\frac{1}{C_{t+1}} \left(\alpha \frac{Y_{t+1}}{K_t} + (1 - \delta) \right) \right]$$
 (17)

For simplicity, I am going to define two new variables:

$$R_{t+1} := \alpha \frac{Y_{t+1}}{K_t} + (1 - \delta) \tag{18}$$

$$W_t := (1 - \alpha) \frac{Y_t}{L_t},\tag{19}$$

which can be interepreted as the marginal value (note that both are defined by means of partial derivatives) of an additional unit of capital at time t inherited in period t+1, and the marginal product of labmy (real wage), respectively. Hence, the FOC conditions are now:

$$W_t = \xi C_t L_t^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \tag{20}$$

$$1 = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \left[\frac{C_t}{C_{t+1}} Z_{t+1} \right]. \tag{21}$$

I am now in conditions of defining the equilibrium:

Definition 1 The equilibrium of the model defined by all the equations (1)-(7) consists of

- 1. Set of prices, R_t, W_t ;
- 2. Allocations, Y_t , C_t , L_t , I_t and K_t ,
- 3. Productivity, Z_t

all satisfying the FOC conditions (20) and (21), and where the dynamics of Y_t , K_t and Z_t are described by (6), (5), (7), respectively.

In order to solve the model using perturbation methods, I need to find the steaty state. My notation for steady states is simply dropping the subscripts. Hence, note that $\mathbb{E}[\log Z_t] = 0$, which means that $\log A = \mathbb{E}[\log Z_t] = 0$ and then A = 1. On the other hand, it's also easy to see from the dynamics of K_t , (5), that

$$I = \delta K \tag{22}$$

. Also, from (4),

$$Y = C + I; (23)$$

and from the dyanmics of Y_t , (1),

$$.Y = K^{\alpha} L^{1-\alpha}. \tag{24}$$

Putting these three equations together, I get $C + \delta K = K^{\alpha} L^{1-\alpha}$, which gives us the consumption-to-labmy ratio

$$\frac{C}{L} = \left(\frac{K}{L}\right)^{\alpha} - \delta \frac{K}{L}.\tag{25}$$

Now take (18). Hence,

$$R = \alpha \frac{Y}{K} + (1 - \delta) = \alpha \frac{K^{\alpha} L^{1 - \alpha}}{K} + (1 - \delta) = \alpha \left(\frac{K}{L}\right)^{\alpha - 1} + (1 - \delta),\tag{26}$$

and then, from (21),

$$R = \frac{1}{\beta},\tag{27}$$

Table 1: Parameters of my RBC Model α ν ξ β δ ρ_a σ_a 0.324.50.990.0250.950.01

and then,

$$\frac{1}{\beta} = \alpha \left(\frac{K}{L}\right)^{\alpha - 1} + (1 - \delta),\tag{28}$$

from which is easy to identify the capital-to-labour variable:

$$\frac{K}{L} = \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{\beta} - (1 - \delta)\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha - 1}} = \left[\frac{\alpha}{\frac{1}{\beta} - (1 - \delta)}\right]^{\frac{1}{1 - \alpha}}.$$
(29)

Then I can express (19) in terms of (29), i.e.,

$$W = (1 - \alpha) \left(\frac{K}{L}\right)^{\alpha}. \tag{30}$$

For the moment, I have identified Z, R, W, K/L and C/L. I am now interested in idenfitying K and L from which I, Y can be directly computed, and finally C. To do so, let's start from (20) and (19). Then,

$$(1 - \alpha) \left(\frac{K}{L}\right)^{\alpha} = \xi C L^{\frac{1}{\nu}}.$$
(31)

Note that here I only have consumption, C, but I can fix it by multiplying and dividing by L, i.e.,

$$(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{K}{L}\right)^{\alpha} = \xi \frac{C}{L} L^{\frac{1}{\nu}+1}.$$
(32)

it's clear then that

$$L = \left[\frac{1 - \alpha}{\xi} \left(\frac{C}{L} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{K}{L} \right)^{\alpha} \right]^{\frac{\nu}{1 + \nu}}.$$
 (33)

From here, K can be easily computed, because $K = \frac{K}{L}L$ and then I, Y and C. Finally, I am choosing the parameters that can be found in Table 1.

2 RBC-GK model

I will explain the different sectors separately:

2.1 Household Sector

I am considering a representative household who has to solve the following problem:

$$\max_{\{C_t, L_t, B_{t+1}\}} \mathbb{E}_t \left[\sum_{k \ge 0} \beta^{t+k} u_{t+k} \right]$$
(34)

subject to his period budget constraint, i.e.,

$$C_t + B_{t+1} = W_t L_t + R_t B_t + \Pi_t. (35)$$

This can be rewritten as

$$v_h(B_t) = \max_{\{C_t, L_t, B_{t+1}\}} \{u_t + \beta \mathbb{E}_t \left[v_h(B_{t+1}) \right] \}$$
(36)

I can write the Lagrangian here as

$$\mathcal{L}_{t} := \mathcal{L}_{t} \left(C_{t}, L_{t}, B_{t+1} \right) = u_{t} + \beta \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[v_{h}(B_{t+1}) \right] + \lambda_{t} \left(W_{t} L_{t} + R_{t} B_{t} + \Pi_{t} - C_{t} - B_{t+1} \right). \tag{37}$$

where λ_{t+1} is predictable. From here, I just take the first order conditions:

$$\partial_{C_t} \mathcal{L}_t = \partial_{C_t} u_t - \lambda_t = 0 \tag{38}$$

$$\partial_{L_t} \mathcal{L}_t = \partial_{L_t} u_t + \lambda_t W_t = 0 \tag{39}$$

$$\partial_{B_{t+1}} \mathcal{L}_t = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \left[v_h'(B_{t+1}) \right] - \lambda_t = 0. \tag{40}$$

The two first equations give us that

$$\xi L_t^{\frac{1}{\nu}} = \frac{W_t}{C_t},\tag{41}$$

where we have use my computations before, i.e., $\partial_{L_t} u_t = -\xi L_t^{\frac{1}{\nu}}$. On the other hand, from (40), we get

$$\lambda_t = \mathbb{E}_t \left[\lambda_{t+1} R_{t+1} \right] \tag{42}$$

after making use of the Envelope Theorem:

$$v'(B_{t+1}) = \partial_{B_{t+1}} \mathcal{L}_{t+1} = \lambda_{t+1} R_{t+1}. \tag{43}$$

Finally, since $\lambda_{t+1} = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \left[\frac{1}{C_{t+1}} \right]$ (it can be seen iterating the maximisation and changing the expectation with the maximum under the assumption that u_t is increasing in C_t for all t),

$$1 = \mathbb{E}_t \left[\beta \frac{C_t}{C_{t+1}} R_{t+1} \right] = \mathbb{E}_t \left[\Lambda_{t+1} R_{t+1} \right], \tag{44}$$

where

$$\Lambda_{t+1} := \beta \frac{C_t}{C_{t+1}}.\tag{45}$$

If we collect them together, we'd have

$$\xi L_t^{\frac{1}{\nu}} = \frac{W_t}{C_t},\tag{46}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_t \left[\Lambda_{t+1} R_{t+1} \right] = 1. \tag{47}$$

2.2 The firm sector

Now consider a firm solving the following problem:

$$J(K_t) := \max_{\{K_{t+1}, L_t, \Pi_t\}} (\Pi_t + \beta \mathbb{E}_t \left[\Lambda_{t+1} J(K_{t+1}) \right])$$
(48)

subject to

$$Y_t + (1 - \delta)K_t \ge K_{t+1} + W_t L_t + \Pi_t, \tag{49}$$

where

$$Y_t = A_t K_t^{\alpha} L_t^{1-\alpha} \tag{50}$$

$$\log A_{t+1} = \rho_a \log A_t + \varepsilon_{t+1}^a \tag{51}$$

and. We set again the Lagrangian up:

$$\mathcal{L}_{t} := \mathcal{L}_{t} \left(K_{t+1}, L_{t}, \Pi_{t} \right) = \Pi_{t} + \beta \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[\Lambda_{t+1} J(K_{t+1}) \right] - \lambda_{t} \left(K_{t+1} + W_{t} L_{t} + \Pi_{t} - Y_{t} - (1 - \delta) K_{t} \right). \tag{52}$$

The first order conditions are:

$$\partial_{\Pi_t} \mathcal{L}_t = 1 - \lambda_t = 0, \tag{53}$$

$$\partial_{L_t} \mathcal{L}_t = -\lambda_t \left(W_t - \partial_{L_t} Y_t \right) = 0, \tag{54}$$

$$\partial_{K_{t+1}} \mathcal{L}_t = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \left[\Lambda_{t+1} J'(K_{t+1}) \right] - \lambda_t = 0. \tag{55}$$

Also, note that thanks to the Envelope Theorem,

$$J'(K_{t+1}) = \partial_{K_{t+1}} \mathcal{L}_{t+1} = \lambda_{t+1} \left(\partial_{K_{t+1}} Y_{t+1} + (1 - \delta) \right). \tag{56}$$

Now, since $\lambda_{t+1} = 1$ exactly by the same reason that $\lambda_t = 1$, and $\partial_{K_{t+1}} Y_{t+1} = \alpha \frac{Y_{t+1}}{K_{t+1}}$, then, the two FOC for this problem are

$$W_t = (1 - \alpha) \frac{Y_t}{L_t},\tag{57}$$

$$1 = \mathbb{E}_t \left[\Lambda_{t+1} \left(\alpha \frac{Y_{t+1}}{K_{t+1}} + (1 - \delta) \right) \right]. \tag{58}$$

2.3 The banking sector

Each bank is solving the following problem

$$V_b(N_t) = \max_{K_{t+1}} \mathbb{E}_t \left[\Lambda_{t+1} \left[(1 - \psi) N_{t+1} + \psi V_b(N_{t+1}) \right] \right]$$
 (59)

subject to

$$V_b(N_t) \ge \lambda k_{t+1} \tag{60}$$

where N_t stands for net worth. In this model, bankers use net worth and (new) savings, B_{t+1} , to purchase new capital, i.e., $N_t + B_{t+1} = K_{t+1}$. On the other hand, net worth evolves through retained earnings, i.e.,

$$N_{t+1} = R_{t,t+1}^{k} K_{t+1} - R_{t}^{h} B_{t+1}$$

$$= R_{t,t+1}^{k} K_{t+1} - R_{t+1}^{h} (K_{t+1} - N_{t})$$

$$= (R_{t,t+1}^{k} - R_{t}^{h}) K_{t+1} - R_{t}^{h} N_{t},$$
(61)

where $R_t^h B_{t+1}$ indicates promises to households and $R_{t,t+1}^k K_{t+1}$ indicates the return on assets. We have seen in class that by guessing that is linear, i.e., $V_t(N_t) = A_t N_t$, we can show that

$$A_{t} = \frac{R_{t}^{h}}{1 - u_{t}} \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[\Lambda_{t+1} \left[(1 - \psi) + \psi A_{t+1} \right] \right], \tag{62}$$

$$\mu_{t} = \max \left\{ 1 - \frac{R_{t}^{h} N_{t}}{\lambda K_{t+1}} \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[\Lambda_{t+1} \left[(1 - \psi) + \psi A_{t} \right] \right], 0 \right\},$$
 (63)

and then rewrite

$$V(N_{t}) = \max_{K_{t+1}} \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[\hat{\Lambda}_{t+1} \left[R_{t+1}^{k} - R_{t+1}^{h} \right] K_{t+1} \right]$$
(64)

subject to

$$A_t N_t \ge \lambda K_{t+1}. \tag{65}$$

and where

$$\hat{\Lambda}_{t+1} = \Lambda_{t+1} \left[(1 - \psi) + \psi A_t \right]. \tag{66}$$

The Lagrangian of this problem is

$$\mathcal{L}_{t} = \mathcal{L}_{t} (K_{t+1}) = \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[\hat{\Lambda}_{t+1} \left[R_{t+1}^{k} - R_{t+1}^{h} \right] K_{t+1} \right] - \lambda_{t} (\lambda K_{t+1} - A_{t} N_{t}),$$
 (67)

and then the first order condition is

$$\partial_{K_{t+1}} \mathcal{L}_t = \mathbb{E}_t \left[\hat{\Lambda}_{t+1} \left[R_{t+1}^k - R_t^h \right] \right] - \lambda \lambda_t = 0, \tag{68}$$

i.e.,

$$\mathbb{E}_t \left[\hat{\Lambda}_{t+1} R_{t+1}^k \right] = \mathbb{E}_t \left[\hat{\Lambda}_{t+1} R_t^h \right] + \lambda \mu_t. \tag{69}$$

Now, let's define the aggregate variables in the following way:

$$K_{t+1} = \int_{H} K_{t+1} (N_{h,t}) dh,$$

$$B_{t+1} = \int_{H} B_{t+1} (N_{h,t}) dh.$$
(70)

If we define the cum interest that bankers need to pay to households as

$$P_t = R_t B_t, (71)$$

and we assume that, in each period, the new bankers start with an aggregate capital of wK_t . Therefore, the aggregate net-worth equals

$$N_t = \psi \left(R_{t+1}^k K_t - P_t \right) - w K_t. \tag{72}$$

2.4 The Stationary State

The first thing we should note is that the goods market should clear, i.e.,

$$Y_t = C_t + I_t. (73)$$

On the other hand, if we combine the labour optimality conditions for the household and the firm, i.e., (46) and (57), we get

$$L_t^{\frac{1}{\nu} + \alpha} = \frac{1 - \alpha}{\xi} \frac{A_t K_t^{\alpha}}{C_t} \implies L_t = \left(\frac{1 - \alpha}{\xi} \frac{A_t K_t^{\alpha}}{C_t}\right)^{\frac{1}{\frac{1}{\nu} + \alpha}}.$$
 (74)

Same than before, the return on asset/capital equals the future benefit of having one additional unit of capital, i.e,

$$R_{t+1}^k = \alpha \frac{Y_{t+1}}{K_t} + (1 - \delta). \tag{75}$$

On the other hand, note that, from (51), and assuming that $A_t = A$ for all t, I have that A = 1. Also, I have from (45), and assuming that $\Lambda_t = \Lambda$ for all t, that $\Lambda = \beta$. From (50), I have that the output-to-capital and the labour-to-capital are related by means of

$$\frac{Y}{K} = \left(\frac{L}{K}\right)^{1-\alpha}.\tag{76}$$

If I idenfity either the output-to-capital or the labour-to-capital, then I will identify W, because, from (57),

$$W = (1 - \alpha)\frac{Y}{L} = (1 - \alpha)\frac{K^{\alpha}L^{1 - \alpha}}{L} = (1 - \alpha)\left(\frac{K}{L}\right)^{\alpha}.$$
 (77)

Now, from (58),

$$1 = \beta \left(\alpha \frac{Y}{K} + (1 - \delta) \right) \implies \frac{Y}{K} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{\beta} - (1 - \delta) \right). \tag{78}$$

I have managed then to identify the output-to-capital ratio and, for the reasons that I explained above, also, the labour-to-capital ratio and W. Now, from (49),

$$Y = \delta K + WL + \Pi \implies \frac{\Pi}{K} = \frac{Y}{K} - \delta - W\frac{L}{K},\tag{79}$$

then $\frac{\Pi}{K}$ is also identified. Now, from (46) and (57),

$$\xi C L^{\frac{1}{\nu}} = (1 - \alpha) \frac{Y}{L},\tag{80}$$

and therefore

$$L^{\frac{1}{\nu}+1} = \frac{1-\alpha}{\xi} \frac{Y}{C} \implies L = \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\xi} \frac{Y}{C}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{\nu}}}.$$
 (81)

We would need then to identify the output-to-consumption ratio. From (62), and using that $R^h = \beta$ and $\Lambda = 1/\beta$,

$$A = \frac{\beta}{1 - \mu} \frac{1}{\beta} \left[(1 - \psi) + \psi A \right] \implies A(1 - \mu) = (1 - \psi) + \psi A \implies A = \frac{1 - \psi}{1 - \mu - \psi}, \tag{82}$$

If $\mu = 0$, then A = 1. On the other hand, we had

$$A = \lambda \left(\frac{N}{K}\right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{N}{K}},\tag{83}$$

so we would need to identify N/K. In that case, from (69),

$$\beta R^k = \beta R^h + \lambda \mu \iff R^k = \frac{1 + \lambda \mu}{\beta}.$$
 (84)

Then, from (61), and dividing it by K in both sides, we get

$$R^k - \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{B}{K} = \lambda \iff \frac{B}{K} = \beta \left(R^k - \lambda \right) = \beta \left(\frac{1}{\beta} - \lambda \right),$$
 (85)

i.e.,

$$\frac{B}{K} = 1 - \lambda \beta. \tag{86}$$

Now, if we divide in both sides of (72) ny K, we get

$$\frac{N}{K} = \psi \left(R^k - R^h \frac{B}{K} \right) - w = \frac{\psi}{\beta} (1 + \lambda \mu - (1 - \lambda \beta)) - w. \tag{87}$$

Hence, we have this system of equations:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{N}{K} = \frac{\psi}{\beta} (1 + \lambda \mu - (1 - \lambda \beta)) - w \\ 1 - \mu - \psi = \frac{1 - \psi}{\lambda} \frac{N}{K} \end{cases}$$
(88)

so we have identified also N/K and μ (system of two equations and two unknowns), and then also A. We are about to finish: notice that

$$\frac{C}{K} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\beta}\right) \frac{B}{K} = W \frac{L}{K} + \frac{\Pi}{K} \implies \frac{C}{K} = W \frac{L}{K} + \frac{\Pi}{K} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\beta}\right) (1 - \lambda \beta), \tag{89}$$

and then $\frac{C}{K}$ is also identified. From the dynamics of capital, i.e., $K_t = I_t + (1 - \delta)K_{t-1}$, we get $I = \delta K$, then

$$L = \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\xi} \left(1 + \delta \frac{K}{C}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{\nu}}}.$$
(90)

With K in hands, I idenfity K, and then B, etc.