ECE653

Software Testing, Quality Assurance, and Maintenance Assignment 1 (70 Points), Version 1

Instructor: Werner Dietl Release Date: May 12, 2019

Due: 21:00, Friday, June 7, 2019 Submit: An electronic copy on GitHub

The GitHub repository with the source code and test cases for the assignment can be obtained using https://classroom.github.com/a/NmeY6hWI.

An account on eceUbuntu.uwaterloo.ca is available to you. Several of the resources required for this assignment might be already installed on these servers, but can also be downloaded independently. If you are attempting to connect to a server from off campus, remember you will need to connect to the University's VPN first: https://uwaterloo.ca/information-systems-technology/services/virtual-private-network-vpn/about-virtual-private-network-vpn

You will need a working Python environment to complete the assignment. Follow the instructions below to set one up on eceUbuntu or on your personal machine:

- https://ece.uwaterloo.ca/~agurfink/stqam/tutorial/2019/01/02/python
- https://ece.uwaterloo.ca/~agurfink/stqam/tutorial/2019/01/02/virtual-box
- https://ece.uwaterloo.ca/~agurfink/stqam/tutorial/2019/01/02/virtual-env-intro
- https://ece.uwaterloo.ca/~agurfink/stgam/tutorial/2019/01/07/docker-tutorial
- https://ece.uwaterloo.ca/~agurfink/stgam/tutorial/2019/01/19/docker-for-windows

Please note to use eceUbuntu instead of ecelinux.

You can also use a provided Docker container. Instructions on how to install it are available at https://ece.uwaterloo.ca/~agurfink/stqam/tutorial/2019/01/07/docker-tutorial. We will expect your assignments to work in the container.

I expect each of you to do the assignment independently. I will follow UW's Policy 71 for all cases of plagiarism.

Submission Instructions:

Please read the following instructions carefully. If you do not follow the instructions, you may be penalized up to 5 points. Illegible answers receive no points.

Submit by pushing your changes to the master branch of your GitHub repository. The repository must contain the following:

• a user.yml file with your UWaterloo user information;

- a single pdf file called al_sub.pdf. The first page must include your full name, 8-digit student number and your uwaterloo email address;
- a directory alq3 that includes your code for Question 3; and
- a directory wlang that includes your code for Question 4.

After submission, please view your submissions on GitHub to make sure you have uploaded the right files/versions.

You can push changes to the repository before and after the deadline. We will use the latest commit at the time of deadline for marking.

Question 1 (10 points)

Below is a faulty *Python* program, which includes a test case that results in a failure. Not all array elements are considered. A possible fix is to change line 7 as follows:

```
for j in range (0, i + 1):
```

Answer the following questions for this program:

- (a) If possible, identify a test case that does not execute the fault.
- (b) If possible, identify a test case that executes the fault, but does not result in an error state.
- (c) If possible, identify a test case that results in an error, but not a failure.
- (d) For the test case x = [4, 0, -2, 3] the expected output is 5. Identify the first error state. Describe the complete state.
- (e) Using the minimum number of nodes (9), draw a Control Flow Graph (CFG) of the function max_prefix. Include your diagram in al_sub.pdf. The CFG should be at the level of basic blocks. Use the line number of the first statement of the basic block to mark the corresponding CFG node. See the lecture notes on *Structural Coverage* and *CFG* for examples.

```
1
   def max_prefix(arr):
2
        """Ensures: returns the maximum sum of array elements
3
                     in a prefix, or 0 if the prefix is empty"""
4
        res = 0
        for i in range(0, len(arr)):
 5
6
            temp = 0
 7
            for j in range(0, i):
8
              temp = temp + arr[j]
9
10
            if temp > res:
              res = temp
11
12
13
        return res
14
15
   \# x = [4, 0, -2, 3]
   \# r = max\_prefix(x)
  # assert (r == 5)
```

Question 2 (15 points)

Recall the WHILE language from the lecture notes. We are going to introduce an additional statement **repeat-until** with the following syntax:

repeat S until b

where S is a statement, and b is Boolean expression.

- (a) Following the example in the lecture notes, define a Python class RepeatUntilStmt to represent the Abstract Syntax Tree node for the repeat-until statement. Include the source code for the class in al_sub.pdf.
- (b) Informally, the semantics of the **repeat-until** loop is that in each iteration: (a) the S statements is executed, and (b) the b expression is evaluated. If b evaluates to false, the loop continues to the next iteration. Otherwise, the loop terminates and statements following the loop (if any) are executed. Formalize this semantics using the judgment rules of the Natural Operational Semantics (big-step) **WITHOUT** using the rules of the **while** loop.
- (c) Use your semantics from part (b) to show that the following judgment is valid:

$$\langle x := 2 \; ; \mathbf{repeat} \; x := x - 1 \; \mathbf{until} \; x \leq 0, [\;] \rangle \; \Downarrow [x := 0]$$

(d) Use your semantics from part (b) to prove that the statement

repeat S until b

is semantically equivalent to

S; while not b do S

Question 3 (15 points)

(Adapted from the original version by Patrick Lam and Lin Tan.)

Consider the following (contrived) program:

```
class M (object):
 2
         def m (self, arg, i):
 3
             q = 1
 4
              o = None
 5
 6
              nothing = Impossible ()
 7
              if i == 0:
 8
                 q = 4
              q = q + 1
              n = len (arg)
10
              if n == 0:
11
12
              q = q / 2
elif n == 1:
13
                  o = A ()
14
                  B ()
15
              q = 25
elif n == 2:
16
17
                  \circ = A ()
18
                  q = q * 100

o = B ()
19
20
21
              else:
22
                  o = B ()
              if n > 0:
23
24
                  o.m ()
25
              else:
                  print 'zero'
26
27
              nothing.happened()
28
29
     class A (object):
30
         def m (self):
31
             print 'a'
32
     class B (A):
33
         def m (self):
             print 'b'
34
35
     class Impossible (object):
36
         def happened(self):
37
             pass
38
39
     def main (argv):
40
         obj = M()
41
         if len (argv) > 1:
42
              obj.m (argv [1], len (argv))
```

- (a) Using the minimal number of nodes, draw a Control Flow Graph (CFG) for method M.m() and include it in your al_sub.pdf. The CFG should be at the level of basic blocks. Use the line number of the first statement of the basic block to mark the corresponding CFG node.
- (b) List the sets of Test Requirements (TRs) with respect to the CFG you drew in part (a) for each of the following coverage: node coverage (NC); edge coverage (EC); edge-pair coverage (EPC); and prime path coverage (PPC). In other words, write four sets: TR_{NC} , TR_{EC} , TR_{EPC} , and TR_{PPC} . If there are infeasible test requirements, list them separately and explain why they are infeasible.
- (c) Using alq3/coverage_tests.py as a starting point, write unit tests that achieve, for method M.m(), each of the following coverages: (1) node coverage but not edge coverage; (2) edge coverage but not edge-pair coverage; (3) edge-pair coverage but not prime path coverage; and (4) prime path coverage. In other words, you will write four test sets (groups of test functions) in total. One test set satisfies (1), one satisfies (2), one satisfies (3), and the last satisfies (4), if possible. If it is not possible to write a test set to satisfy (1), (2), (3), or (4), explain why. For each test written, provide a simple documentation in the form of a few comment lines above the test function, listing which TRs are satisfied by that test. Consider feasible test requirements only for this part.

You can execute the tests using the following command:

```
python -m alq3.test
```

Question 4 (30 points)

Your GitHub repository includes an implementation of a parser and interpreter for the WHILE language from the lecture notes. Your task is to extend the code with two simple visitor implementations and to develop a test suite that achieves complete branch coverage.

The implementation of the interpreter is located in directory wlang. You can execute the interpreter using the following command:

```
(venv) $ python -m wlang.int wlang/test1.prg
x: 10
```

A sample program is provided for your convinience in wlang/test1.prg

(a) Statement coverage. A sample test suite is provided in wlang/test_int.py. Extend it with additional test cases (i.e., test methods) to achive complete statement coverage in wlang/parser.py, wlang/ast.py, and wlang/int.py. If complete statement coverage is impossible (or difficult), provide an explanation for each line that was not covered. Refer to lines using FILE:LINE. For example, ast.py:10 refers to line 10 of wlang/ast.py. Fix and report any bugs that your test suite uncovers.

To execute the test suite use the following command:

```
(venv) $ python -m wlang.test
x: 10
```

To compute coverage of your test suite and to generate an HTML report use the following command:

```
(venv) $ coverage run -m wlang.test
(venv) $ coverage html
```

The report is generated into htmlcov/index.html. For more information about the coverage command see https://coverage.readthedocs.io/en/coverage-4.3.1.

For your convenience, a readable version of the grammar is included in wlang/while.lean.ebnf, and a picture of the grammar is included in wlang/while.svg.

(b) Branch coverage. Extend your test suite from part (a) to complete branch coverage. If complete branch coverage is impossible (or difficult), provide an explanation for each line that was not covered. Fix and report any bugs that your test suite uncovers.

To compute branch coverage, use the following command:

```
(venv) $ coverage run --branch -m wlang.test
(venv) $ coverage html
```

Explain what can be concluded about the interpreter after it passes your test suite?

(d) Statistics Visitor Complete an implementation of a class StatsVisitor in wlang/stats_visitor.py. StatsVisitor extends wlang.ast.AstVisitor to gather number of statements and the number of variables in a program. An example usage is provided in the wlang/test_stats_visitor.py test suite.

Extend the test suite to achieve complete statement coverage of your implementation.

(e) *Undefined Use Visitor*. An assignment to a variable is called a *definition*, an appearance of a variable in an expression is called a *use*. For example, in the following statement

```
x := y + z
```

variable x is defined and variables y and z are used. A variable u is said to be used before defined (or undefined) if there exists an execution of the program in which the use of the variable appears prior to its definition. For instance, if the statement above is the whole program, then the variables y and z are undefined.

As another example, consider the program

In this program, z is undefined because it is used before being defined in the execution 1, 2, 3, 6.

Complete an implementation of a class UndefVisitor in wlang/undef_visitor.py that extends wlang.ast.AstVisitor to check a given program for all undefined variables. The class must provide two methods: check() to begin the check, and get_undefs() that returns the set of all variables that might be used before being defined. An example usage is provided in the wlang/test_undef_visitor.py test suite.

Extend the test suite to achieve complete statement coverage of your implementation.