

**CMSI 371-01**  
**COMPUTER GRAPHICS**  
Spring 2013

## **Assignment 0326 Feedback**

For this assignment, outcomes *2a*, *2b*, *3d*, and *3e* max out at | because the requested functionality in this assignment do not yet reach the culmination of what these outcomes represent overall.

### **Carlos Agudo**

*2a* — You've taken a some steps toward full 3D transform proficiency, but your work shows a fundamental misunderstanding of how they are implemented. See my long inline comment for details. (/)

*2b* — You have ortho implemented properly (as a standalone matrix) but not frustum. (/)

*3d* — Your matrix library has gotten started, but you need a clarification session to correct what appears to be a misunderstanding of how these transform matrices are used and formed. (/)

*3e* — Your matrices represent additional progress toward 3D scene rendering, but as mentioned will not top out this outcome yet because we haven't covered the full range of shader functionality yet. (|)

*4a* — In terms of code, you certainly wrote things the way you intended; that aspect is not a problem for this outcome. However, some genuine coding issues were indeed found, mainly in your unit test suite. These issues are detailed in my inline comments there; they do represent enough to lower this proficiency by a notch. (|)

*4b* — Separation of concerns looks well taken care of in the code that you have so far. My only comment is that functions that are not object-oriented (i.e., they do not operate on this) do not have to be assigned to `Matrix4x4`'s prototype. Not a huge deal; more of a very JavaScript-specific fine point. (+)

*4c* — Your matrix code is decently readable, although some comments will be useful especially for functions whose tasks are not immediately obvious (e.g., conversion). Plus, you still have the occasional incorrect indent or inconsistent spacing that really should not be there at all (|)

*4d* — Your work shows decent resource use, except for that fundamental misunderstanding of how matrices work. We need to find a way to correct that eventually. (|)

*4e* — Your commit phasing can be finer grained than it is. I generally saw "lump sum" commits where you did a bunch of work in one sitting then just committed when you're done. Instead, for something like this you should really be working one function at a time. You started out this way, but appear to clump all of the remaining functions. (|)

*4f* — Very preliminary version submitted on time, but most of the work took place after the due date. The on-time work is sufficiently preliminary that it does detract from this outcome. (|)