ECE 408 Final Project

applied_indexing

Nishant Dash, dash3 Rohan Tikmany, tikmany2 Zizhen Liu, zliu99

Index

- Milestone 1
- Milestone 2
- Milestone 3
- Division of Labor

Milestone 1

On keeping the yml file as it is, the output generated is:

```
New Inference
Loading fashion-mnist data... done
Loading model... done
EvalMetric: {'accuracy': 0.8673}
```

On modifying the yml file to run m1.2.py, the output generated is:

```
New Inference
Loading fashion-mnist data... done
Loading model...[22:06:14] src/operator/././cudnn_algoreg-inl.h:112: Runni
```

```
ng performance tests to find the best convolution algorithm, this can take
a while... (setting env variable MXNET_CUDNN_AUTOTUNE_DEFAULT to 0 to disa
ble)

done

EvalMetric: {'accuracy': 0.8673}
```

And finally, modifying the yml file to generate an **NVPROF** Profile, from which we can see that some of the most time consuming kernels are,

Kernel	Time	% of total time used
void cudnn::detail::implicit_convolve_sg emm	50.460 ms	37.08%
void cudnn::detail::activation_fw_4d_ker nel	19.383 ms	14.24%
void cudnn::detail::pooling_fw_4d_kern el	14.502 ms	10.66%

The remaining calls all fall under 1% of time used.

The **NVPROF** profile generated shows us under one section, which kernel call is spending how much time in absolute value and how much % of total execution time, and under another section, the same statistics for CUDA API calls.

Milestone 2

 Running the code on the large dataset, we see the following NVPROF profile. The time spent on the convolution layer is 0.115775s

```
* Running nvprof python m2.1.py

New Inference

Loading fashion-mnist data... done

Loading model... done
```

```
0p Time: 11.643823
Correctness: 0.8562 Model: ece408-high
```

 Running the code on the small dataset, we see the following NVPROF profile. The time spent on the convolution layer is 0.115775s

```
* Running nvprof python m2.1.py ece408-low 100

New Inference

Loading fashion-mnist data... done

Loading model... done

Op Time: 0.115775

Correctness: 0.63 Model: ece408-low
```

As seen above, the expected correctness for the datasets was obtained.

Milestone 3

• Running the base GPU implementation on the *ece408-high* dataset of size 10000 yielded the following results with the expected *correctness* of 0.8562 and an *op time* of 0.492485s:

Kernel	Time	Number of calls	% of total time used
forward_kernel	472.05 ms	1	81.56%
sgemm_sm35_ldg_tn_12 8x8x256x16x32	38.754 ms	1	6.70%

However our fastest recorded instance of *op time* on the *high* dataset is 0.405591s and on the *low* dataset is 0.321678s (both of default size 10000).

```
* Running /usr/bin/time -f "%Uuser %Ssystem %eelapsed" python /eval-scrip ts/m3.1.py ece408-high

New Inference

Loading fashion-mnist data... done
```

```
Loading model... done

Op Time: 0.405591

Correctness: 0.8562 Model: ece408-high

2.00user 1.12system 2.64elapsed

* Running /usr/bin/time -f "%Uuser %Ssystem %eelapsed" python /eval-scrip ts/m3.1.py ece408-low

New Inference

Loading fashion-mnist data... done

Loading model... done

Op Time: 0.321678

Correctness: 0.629 Model: ece408-low

1.58user 0.98system 2.10elapsed
```

Division of Labor

Task	Nishant	Rohan	Zizhen
Understanding Task	•	•	•
Implementing simple GPU forward convolution	•	•	•
Report	•	•	•