Text Preprocessing

COMP90042 Natural Language Processing Lecture 2

Semester 1 Week 1 Jey Han Lau



Definitions

- Words
 - Sequence of characters with a meaning and/or function
- Sentence
 - "The student is enrolled at the University of Melbourne."
- Document: one or more sentences.
- Corpus: a collection of documents.
- Word token: each instance of a word.
 - E.g. 9 word tokens in the example sentence.
- Word type: distinct words.
 - Lexicon ("dictionary"): a group of word types.
 - E.g. 8 word types in the example sentence.

COMP90042 L2

How many words (types) are there in English?

- < 10K
- < 50K
- < 100K
- < 500K
- ???

PollEv.com/jeyhanlau569



How Many Unique Words?

	#Tokens (N)	#Type (IVI)
Switchboard phone conversation	2.4 million	20 thousand
Shakespeare	800 thousand	31 thousand
Google N-gram	1 trillion	13 million

Church and Gale (1990): $IVI > O(N^{1/2})$

Why Preprocess?

- Most NLP applications have documents as inputs:

 - Eu estive em Melbourne no ano passado." → "I was in Melbourne last year."
- Key point: language is compositional. As humans, we can break these documents into individual components. To understand language, a computer should do the same.
- Preprocessing is the first step.

Preprocessing Steps

- 1. Remove unwanted formatting (e.g. HTML)
- 2. Sentence segmentation: break documents into sentences
- 3. Word tokenisation: break sentences into words
- Word normalisation: transform words into canonical forms
- 5. Stopword removal: delete unwanted words

```
"Hi there. I'm ["Hi there.", [["hi", "there", "."], "I'm TARS."] ["i", "am", "tars", "."]]

"Hi there. I'm [["Hi", "there", "."], ["I", "m", "TARS", "."]] [[],["tars"]]
```

Sentence Segmentation

```
"Hi there. I'm _____ ["Hi there.", 
TARS." "I'm TARS."]
```

Sentence Segmentation

- Naïve approach: break on sentence punctuation ([.?!])
 - But periods are used for abbreviations!
 (U.S. dollar, ..., Yahoo! as a word)
- Second try: use regex to require capital ([.?!] [A-Z])
 - But abbreviations often followed by names (Mr. Brown)
- Better yet: have lexicons
 - But difficult to enumerate all names and abbreviations
- State-of-the-art uses machine learning, not rules

Binary Classifier

- Looks at every "." and decides whether it is the end of a sentence.
 - Decision trees, logistic regression
- Features
 - Look at the words before and after "."
 - Word shapes:
 - Uppercase, lowercase, ALL_CAPS, number
 - Character length
 - Part-of-speech tags:
 - Determiners tend to start a sentence

Word Tokenisation

Word Tokenisation: English

- Naïve approach: separate out alphabetic strings (\w+)
- Abbreviations (*U.S.A.*)
- Hyphens (merry-go-round vs. well-respected vs. yesbut)
- Numbers (1,000,00.01)
- Dates (3/1/2016)
- Clitics (n't in can't)
- Internet language (http://www.google.com, #metoo, :-))
- Multiword units (New Zealand)

Word Tokenisation: Chinese

- Some Asian languages are written without spaces between words
- In Chinese, words often correspond to more than one character

墨大	的	学生	与众不同
Unimelb	's	students (are)	special

Word Tokenisation: Chinese

- Standard approach assumes an existing vocabulary
- MaxMatch algorithm
 - Greedily match longest word in the vocabulary

 $V = \{ \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z$

墨大的学生与众不同

match 墨大, match 的, match 学生, match与众不同, move to 的 move to 学 move to 与 done

Word Tokenisation: Chinese

- But how do we know what the vocabulary is
- And doesn't always work

去 买 新西兰 花 go buy New Zealand flowers

去 买 新 西兰花 go buy new broccoli

Subword Tokenisation

- Colourless green ideas sleep furiously →
 [colour] [less] [green] [idea] [s] [sleep] [furious] [ly]
- One popular algorithm: byte-pair encoding (BPE)
- Core idea: iteratively merge frequent pairs of characters
- Advantage:
 - Data-informed tokenisation
 - Works for different languages
 - Deals better with unknown words

- Corpus
 - [5] I o w _
 - [2] I o w e s t _
 - [6] n e w e r _
 - [3] wider_
 - [2] n e w _
- Vocabulary
 - _, d, e, i, l, n, o, r, s, t, w

- Corpus
 - [5] I o w _
 - [2] I o w e s t _
 - [6] n e w e r_
 - [3] wider_
 - [2] n e w _
- Vocabulary
 - _, d, e, i, l, n, o, r, s, t, w, r_

- Corpus
 - [5] I o w _
 - [2] I o w e s t _
 - [6] n e w er_
 - [3] wider_
 - [2] n e w _
- Vocabulary
 - _, d, e, i, l, n, o, r, s, t, w, r_, er_

- Corpus
 - [5] I o w _
 - [2] I o w e s t _
 - [6] n ew er_
 - [3] wider_
 - [2] n ew _
- Vocabulary
 - _, d, e, i, l, n, o, r, s, t, w, r_, er_, ew

- Corpus
 - [5] I o w _
 - [2] I o w e s t _
 - [6] new er_
 - [3] wider_
 - [2] new _
- Vocabulary
 - _, d, e, i, l, n, o, r, s, t, w, r_, er_, ew, new

Vocabulary

- _, d, e, i, l, n, o, r, s, t, w, r_, er_, ew, new
- _, d, e, i, l, n, o, r, s, t, w, r_, er_, ew, new, ow
- _, d, e, i, l, n, o, r, s, t, w, r_, er_, ew, new, ow, low
- _, d, e, i, l, n, o, r, s, t, w, r_, er_, ew, new, ow, low, newer
- _, d, e, i, l, n, o, r, s, t, w, r_, er_, ew, new, ow, low, newer_, low_

- In practice BPE will run with thousands of merges, creating a large vocabulary
- Most frequent words will be represented as full words
- Rarer words will be broken into subwords
- In the worst case, unknown words in test data will be broken into individual letter

Word Normalisation

Word Normalisation

- Lower casing (Australia → australia)
- Removing morphology (cooking → cook)
- Correcting spelling (definately → definitely)
- Expanding abbreviations (U.S.A → USA)
- Goal:
 - Reduce vocabulary
 - Maps words into the same type

Inflectional Morphology

- Inflectional morphology creates grammatical variants
- English inflects nouns, verbs, and adjectives
 - Nouns: number of the noun (-s)
 - Verbs: number of the subject (-s), the aspect (-ing) of the action and the tense (-ed) of the action
 - Adjectives: comparatives (-er) and superlatives (-est)
- Many languages have much richer inflectional morphology than English
 - E.g. French inflects nouns for gender (un chat, une chatte)

Lemmatisation

- Lemmatisation means removing any inflection to reach the uninflected form, the *lemma*
 - Speaking → speak
- In English, there are irregularities that prevent a trivial solution:
 - poked → poke (not pok)
 - stopping → stop (not stopp)
 - b watches → watch (not watche)
 - was → be (not wa)
- A lexicon of lemmas needed for accurate lemmatisation

Derivational Morphology

- Derivational morphology creates distinct words
- English derivational suffixes often change the lexical category, e.g.
 - -ly (personal → personally)
 - -ise (final → finalise)
 - -er (write → writer)
- English derivational prefixes often change the meaning without changing the lexical category
 - write → rewrite
 - healthy → unhealthy

Stemming

- Stemming strips off all suffixes, leaving a stem
 - E.g. automate, automatic, automation → automat
 - Often not an actual lexical item
- Even less lexical sparsity than lemmatisation
- Popular in information retrieval
- Stem not always interpretable

The Porter Stemmer

- Most popular stemmer for English
- Applies rewrite rules in stages
 - First strip inflectional suffixes
 - Then derivational suffixes
- computational → computate → comput

Stopword Removal

```
[["hi", "there", "."],
["i", "am", "tars", "."]] > [[],["tars"]]
```

Stop Words

- Definition: a list of words to be removed from the document
 - Typical in bag-of-word (BOW) representations
 - Not appropriate when sequence is important
- How to choose them?
 - All closed-class or function words
 - E.g. the, a, of, for, he, ...
 - Any high frequency words
 - NLTK, spaCy NLP toolkits

A Final Word

- Preprocessing unavoidable in text analysis
- Can have a major effect on downstream applications
- Exact steps may vary depending on corpus, task
- Simple rule-based systems work well, but rarely perfectly
- Language-dependent

Further Reading

• J&M3 Ch 2.5