

Computer Science & IT

Database Management System



Transaction & concurrency control

Lecture No. 02



By- Vishal Sir

Recap of Previous Lecture



✓ Topic

Transaction

✓ Topic

ACID properties

✓ Topic

Atomicity

Topics to be Covered



✓ Topic Durability

✓ Topic Isolation

✓ Topic Schedule (Serial schedule & Concurrent schedule)

✓ Topic Serializable schedule

✓ Topic Consistency





Topic : Durability



- Changes performed by committed transactions must persist in the database even if the failure occur



Topic : Isolation



- * Isolation says that if two or more transactions are executing concurrently, then they all must be unaware of each other.

eg: → Consider two transactions.

* T_1 : Transfer Rs 500/- from account A to B

* T_2 : Check the balance amount in account A & B respectively

T_1

$R_1(A)$

$W_1(A)$

$R_1(B)$

$W_1(B)$

$A = A - 500$

$B = B + 500$

T_2

$R_2(A)$

$R_2(B)$

$R_i(X)$: Read of dataitem X
by transaction T_i

$W_j(Y)$: Write of dataitem Y
by transaction T_j



Topic : Schedule



Time ordered sequence of operations of two or more transaction is called a schedule

eg.

Schedule 'S'

T ₁	T ₂
R ₁ (A)	
	R ₂ (A)
W ₁ (A)	
	R ₂ (B)
R ₁ (B)	
W ₁ (B)	

time is increasing

$\equiv S = R_1(A), R_2(A), W_1(A), R_2(B), R_1(B), W_1(B)$

→ Consider the following Schedule

S: $W_2(B)$, $R_1(A)$, $R_2(A)$, $W_3(A)$, $R_2(B)$, $W_1(B)$, $R_3(B)$

(S)

	T_1	T_2	T_3
		$W_2(B)$	
	$R_1(A)$	$R_2(A)$	
		$R_2(B)$	$W_3(A)$
	$W_1(B)$		$R_3(B)$

time ↓



Topic : Schedule



There are two types of Schedules

- * ① Serial schedule (one after another)
- * ② Concurrent schedule (interleaved execution of opⁿ is allowed)



Topic : Serial Schedule



(one after another)

- We can start executing the operations of a new transaction only if we have executed all the operations of previously started transaction

Such Schedules are called Serial Schedules

→ Consider two transactions T_1 & T_2

T_1 : $R_1(A)$, $W_1(A)$, $R_1(B)$, $W_1(B)$ { transfer Rs 500/- from A to B }

T_2 : $R_2(A)$, $R_2(B)$ { Check balance amount in A & B respectively }

→ If there are two transactions, then only two serial schedules are possible { (i) $T_1 \rightarrow T_2$: First execute T_1 , then T_2 , (ii) $T_2 \rightarrow T_1$: First execute T_2 , then T_1 }

$S_1 \equiv T_1 \rightarrow T_2$

T_1	T_2
$R_1(A)$	
$W_1(A)$	
$R_1(B)$	
$W_1(B)$	
	$R_2(A)$
	$R_2(B)$

$S_2 \equiv T_2 \rightarrow T_1$

T_1	T_2
	$R_2(A)$
	$R_2(B)$
$R_1(A)$	
$W_1(A)$	
$R_1(B)$	
$W_1(B)$	

n	*	$n-1$	*	$n-2$...

Note:

If there are n transactions,
then $n!$ serial schedules are possible

Note:-

All serial schedules will always satisfy the isolation condition.

↳ But, with serial schedules "throughput" of the system will be low.

↓
∴ We will try to execute transactions concurrently.



Topic : Concurrent Schedule

→ If operations of two or more transactions are allowed to get executed in interleaved manner, then such schedule is called Concurrent Schedule

→ Consider two transactions T_1 & T_2

T_1 : $R_1(A)$, $W_1(A)$, $R_1(B)$, $W_1(B)$ { transfer Rs 500/- from A to B }

T_2 : $R_2(A)$, $R_2(B)$ { check balance amount in A & B respectively }

(S₁)

T_1	T_2
$R_1(A)$	
$W_1(A)$	
	$R_2(A)$
	$R_2(B)$
$R_1(B)$	
$W_1(B)$	

(S₂)

T_1	T_2
$R_1(A)$	
$W_1(A)$	
	$R_2(A)$
$R_1(B)$	
$W_1(B)$	
	$R_2(B)$

- Consider two transactions T_1 & T_2 let, initially $A=1000$ & $B=0$
- T_1 : $R_1(A)$, $W_1(A)$, $R_1(B)$, $W_1(B)$ { transfer Rs 500/- from A to B }
- T_2 : $R_2(A)$, $R_2(B)$ { check balance amount in A & B respectively }
- output of Every serial schedule is considered correct

$S_1 = T_1 \rightarrow T_2$

	T_1	T_2
$A = 1000$	$\leftarrow R_1(A)$	
$A = 500$	$\leftarrow W_1(A)$	
$B = 0$	$\leftarrow R_1(B)$	
$B = 500$	$\leftarrow W_1(B)$	
		$R_2(A) \rightarrow A = 500$
		$R_2(B) \rightarrow B = 500$

$S_2 = T_2 \rightarrow T_1$

T_1	T_2
	$R_2(A) \rightarrow A = 1000$
	$R_2(B) \rightarrow B = 0$
$R_1(A)$	$\rightarrow A = 1000$
$W_1(A)$	$\rightarrow A = 500$
$R_1(B)$	$\rightarrow B = 0$
$W_1(B)$	$\rightarrow B = 500$

Consider two transactions T_1 & T_2

T_1 : $R_1(A)$, $W_1(A)$, $R_1(B)$, $W_1(B)$ { transfer Rs 500/- from A to B }

T_2 : $R_2(A)$, $R_2(B)$ { check balance amount in A & B respectively }

let, initially $A = 1000$
& $B = 0$

Note:- Throughput of the system will increase with Concurrent schedule
but, Every Concurrent schedule need not satisfy the isolation Condⁿ.
{ i.e. o/p of Every Concurrent schedule need not be correct }

(S₁)

T_1	T_2
$R_1(A)$	$A = 1000$
$W_1(A)$	$A = 500$
	$R_2(A) - A = 500$
	$R_2(B) - B = 0$
$R_1(B)$	$B = 0$
$W_1(B)$	$B = 500$

These values are neither
same as
Serial schedule $T_1 \rightarrow T_2$,
nor same as
Serial schedule $T_2 \rightarrow T_1$

↓
In this case T_2 will be able
to identify that it is executing
along with some other transaction
∴ Isolation Condⁿ is not satisfied
by schedule S₁

(S₂)

T_1	T_2
$R_1(A)$	$A = 1000$
$W_1(A)$	$A = 500$
	$R_2(A) - A = 500$
$R_1(B)$	$B = 0$
$W_1(B)$	$B = 500$
	$R_2(B) - B = 500$

All values are
Exactly same as
Serial schedule $T_1 \rightarrow T_2$

↓
None of the transaction
will be able to identify
that they are executing
along with some
other transactions

↓
Hence isolation Condⁿ is
satisfied by schedule S₂

Note :-

In order to say that schedule "S" satisfies the isolation condition, behaviour of schedule "S" must be equivalent to at least one of the serial schedule over the transactions of schedule S.

Serializable Schedule \Rightarrow

A schedule is called a serializable schedule if and only if, behaviour of schedule is equivalent to at least one of the "serial schedule"

Note:

Every serial schedule is always a serializable schedule, but every serializable schedule need not be a serial schedule



Topic : Isolation



* Isolation says that if two or more transactions are executing concurrently, then they all must be unaware of each other.

* In order to say^(or) that a schedule 'S' satisfies isolation condition, behaviour of schedule S must be equivalent to at least one serial schedule.

* In order to say^(or) that schedule 'S' satisfies isolation condⁿ, schedule 'S' must be a serializable schedule.

Consider two transactions T_1 & T_2

T_1 : $R_1(A)$, $W_1(A)$, $R_1(B)$, $W_1(B)$ {transfer Rs 500/- from A to B}

T_2 : $R_2(A)$, $R_2(B)$ {check balance amount in A & B respectively}

let, initially $A = 1000$
& $B = 0$

Note:- Throughput of the system will increase with Concurrent schedule
but, Every Concurrent schedule need not satisfy the isolation Condⁿ.
{i.e. o/p of Every Concurrent schedule need not be correct}

(S₁)

T_1	T_2
$R_1(A)$	$A = 1000$
$W_1(A)$	$A = 500$
	$R_2(A) - A = 500$
	$R_2(B) - B = 0$
$R_1(B)$	$B = 0$
$W_1(B)$	$B = 500$

It is not same as
Serial schedule $T_1 \rightarrow T_2$
 $\therefore S_1 \neq T_1 \rightarrow T_2$

It is not same as
Serial schedule $T_2 \rightarrow T_1$
 $\therefore S_1 \neq T_2 \rightarrow T_1$

S_1 is not Equivalent to any
of the serial schedule

Hence, ' S_1 ' is a non-serializable schedule

(S₂)

T_1	T_2
$R_1(A)$	$A = 1000$
$W_1(A)$	$A = 500$
	$R_2(A) - A = 500$
$R_1(B)$	$B = 0$
$W_1(B)$	$B = 500$
	$R_2(B) - B = 500$

It is not same as
Serial schedule $T_2 \rightarrow T_1$
 $\therefore S_2 \neq T_2 \rightarrow T_1$

All values are
same as serial
schedule $T_1 \rightarrow T_2$
 $\therefore S_2 \equiv T_1 \rightarrow T_2$

$S_2 \neq T_2 \rightarrow T_1$, but $S_2 \equiv T_1 \rightarrow T_2$
i.e. S_2 is Equivalent to at least one serial
schedule, Hence S_2 is a serializable schedule
And Equivalent serial schedule is $T_1 \rightarrow T_2$

Note :

Concurrency Control Component is responsible for avoiding the execution of non-serializable schedule

i.e., Concurrency Control Component ensures serializability
 \Rightarrow i.e., if a schedule is allowed to execute by Concurrency Control Component, then schedule is a serializable schedule

i.e., Concurrency Control Component ensures isolation



Topic : Consistency



★ Consistency states that, before and after the execution of transactions of a schedule, the database must remain consistent

Criteria for Consistency :-

- ★
- ① Schedule must be strict recoverable. ✓
 - And ② Schedule must be serializable schedule ✓

Note:

- ① For atomicity Recovery management Component comes into the picture
- ② For isolation Concurrency Control Component is responsible
- ③ For Consistency, both recovery management Component and Concurrency Control Component are responsible

H.W.

Q:-

Consider two transactions.

$T_1: R_1(A), W_1(A), R_1(B), W_1(B)$

&

$T_2: R_2(A), R_2(B)$

How many total schedules are possible
Over the operations of transactions T_1 & T_2



2 mins Summary



✓ **Topic**

Durability

✓ **Topic**

Isolation

✓ **Topic**

Schedule (Serial schedule & Concurrent schedule)

✓ **Topic**

Serializable schedule

✓ **Topic**

Consistency

THANK - YOU