

PROB 204 - KAMU KUNUK

cont.

Q1. Let $x(t)$ be a solution to $\dot{z} = A(t) \cdot z$ w/ $x(0) = x_0$.
 Let $y(t)$ be another " " " " w/ $y(0) = y_0$.

Is the following statement true? why?

$$x_0 \neq y_0 \rightarrow x(t) \neq y(t), \forall t$$

(Is the solution unique?

For linear sys.s of ODEs, the existence & uniqueness theorem:

if $A(t)$ is cont. on an interval I containing t_0
 then there exists a unique sol. $z(t)$ s.t. $z(t_0) = z_0$ for each initial cond. z_0 .

here $x(t)$ & $y(t)$ are both sol.s to the same linear ODE \dot{z} w/ different initial conditions
 by the uniqueness $\rightarrow x(t)$ and $y(t)$ must be different for each $(x_0 \neq y_0)$
 t in the I of existence of the sol.s.
 So the statement is true.

disc

Q2. Let $x(k)$ be a sol. to $z(k+1) = A(k) \cdot z(k)$ w/ $x(0) = x_0$.
 Let $y(k)$ " another " " w/ $y(0) = y_0$.

Is it true? why?

$$x_0 \neq y_0 \rightarrow x(k) \neq y(k), \forall k, \text{ where } k \text{ is a nonnegative integer.}$$

Analogous to cont. sys.s in that if $A(k)$ is a sequence of nonsingular matrices, then the discrete sys. has a unique solution for a given initial condition.

So $x_0 \neq y_0$ given, and assuming $A(k)$ is nonsingular for all k
 disc. sys. propagate these initial cond.s ^{forward} uniquely. So $y(k) \neq x(k)$ & the statement is true.

3. For every sys. $\dot{x} = A x(t)$, adjoint sys. is defined by $\dot{p}(t) = -A^T p(t)$
 Show that if $\Phi_A(t, \tau)$ is the state-transition matrix for the original system, then the state-transition matrix for the adjoint sys. is $\Phi_A^T(\tau, t)$.

$\rightarrow \Phi_A(t, \tau)$ the state transition matrix satisfies:

1. $\Phi_A(t, \tau)$ is the solution to $\dot{x} = A x(t)$ w/ the init. cond, $\Phi_A(\tau, \tau) = I$ (identity)
2. For any time $t \in \tau$, $\Phi_A(t, \tau)$ maps the state from τ to t .
3. $\Phi_A(t, \tau)$ satisfies $\frac{d}{dt} \Phi_A(t, \tau) = A \Phi_A(t, \tau)$

So the adjoint sys: $\dot{p}(t) = -A^T p(t)$ — assoc. w/ the transpose of A .

The state trans. matrix for this sys — let's call it $\Phi_{-A^T}(t, \tau)$ must satisfy

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Phi_{-A^T}(t, \tau) = -A^T \Phi_{-A^T}(t, \tau) \text{ w/ } \Phi_{-A^T}(\tau, \tau) = I$$

We must show $\Phi_{-A^T}(t, \tau) = \Phi_A^T(\tau, t)$

$$\Phi_A(t, \tau) \cdot \Phi_A^{-1}(t, \tau) = I \text{ (by def.)}$$

$$(\Phi_A(t, \tau) \cdot \Phi_A^{-1}(t, \tau))^T = I^T$$

$$\Phi_A^{-1}(t, \tau)^T \cdot \Phi_A(t, \tau)^T = I$$

satisfies the dif. eqn. we can denote it as

$$\Phi_{-A^T}(t, \tau) \cdot \Phi_A(t, \tau)^T = I$$

$$\text{if we use the property: } \Phi_A^T(t, \tau) = \Phi_A^{-1}(\tau, t)^T$$

$$\Phi_A(\tau, t) = \Phi_A^{-1}(t, \tau)$$

this is exactly $\Phi_{-A^T}(t, \tau)$

$$\text{So, } \Phi_A^T(\tau, t) = \Phi_{-A^T}(t, \tau)$$

and $\Phi_A^T(\tau, t)$ is the state-transition matrix for the adjoint sys.

Q4. Let $A(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & f(t) \\ -f(t) & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ where $f(t): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous func.

Let $F(t) = \int_0^t f(\tau) d\tau$. Show that the state-trans. matrix ass. w/ $A(t)$ is

$$\Phi(t, 0) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(F(t)) & \sin(F(t)) \\ -\sin(F(t)) & \cos(F(t)) \end{bmatrix}$$

→ The sol. to the sys. $\dot{x} = A(t) \cdot x(t)$ can be expressed in terms of the state-trans. matrix

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Phi(t, \tau) = A(t) \cdot \Phi(t, \tau) \quad \leftarrow \& \Phi(t, 0) \text{ can be found by solving the dif. eqn.}$$

$$\text{w/ } \Phi(0, 0) = I$$

Let's start w/ $\dot{x} = A(t) \cdot x(t)$ & solve it using $A(t)$ given

let's assume $\Phi(t, 0)$ has the form of a rotation matrix (because $A(t)$ is skew-symmetric)

$$\text{A general rot. matrix } R(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}, \theta: \text{rotation angle}$$

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt} = f(t)$$

$$\theta(t) = F(t)$$

→ Substituting $\theta(t)$ w/ $F(t)$ in the rot. matrix we get the proposed $\Phi(t, 0)$

To confirm $\rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \Phi(t,0)$ satisfies the matrix diff equation w/ the initial condition $\Phi(0,0) = I$
 we must show that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } \rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \Phi(t,0) &= \frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} \cos(F(t)) & \sin(F(t)) \\ -\sin(F(t)) & \cos(F(t)) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -\sin(F(t)) & \cos(F(t)) \\ -\cos(F(t)) & -\sin(F(t)) \end{bmatrix} \underbrace{\frac{dF(t)}{dt}}_{f(t)} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & f(t) \\ -f(t) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \cos(F(t)) & \sin(F(t)) \\ -\sin(F(t)) & \cos(F(t)) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= A(t) \cdot \Phi(t,0) \end{aligned}$$

\rightarrow So our proposed $\Phi(t,0)$ satisfies the eqn.

Also @ $t=0$, $F(0)=0$ & $\Phi(0,0)=I$

* The state-transition matrix is correct.

Q5. Given sys: $\dot{x} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{4}{t} & -\frac{2}{t^2} \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{A(t)} x$

a) Is $\phi_1(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{t^2} \\ -\frac{1}{t} \end{bmatrix}$ a solution to this system?

check if it satisfy $\dot{x} = A(t) \cdot x$

LHS / So $\rightarrow \dot{\phi}_1(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{t^2} \\ -\frac{1}{t} \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{2}{t^3} \\ \frac{1}{t^2} \end{bmatrix}$

RHS / $A(t) \cdot \phi_1(t) = \begin{bmatrix} -4/t & -2/t^2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1/t^2 \\ -1/t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2/t^3 \\ 1/t^2 \end{bmatrix}$

LHS = RHS &

$\dot{\phi}_1(t) = A(t) \phi_1(t)$

So $\phi_1(t)$ is a sol. to the sys.

b) Is $\phi_2(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 2/t^3 \\ -1/t^2 \end{bmatrix}$ a sol. ?

LHS / $\dot{\phi}_2(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 2/t^3 \\ -1/t^2 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} -6/t^4 \\ 2/t^3 \end{bmatrix}$

RHS / $A(t) \cdot \phi_2(t) = \begin{bmatrix} -4/t & -2/t^2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2/t^3 \\ -1/t^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -6/t^4 \\ 2/t^3 \end{bmatrix}$

LHS = RHS

$\dot{\phi}_2(t) = A(t) \cdot \phi_2(t)$

So $\phi_2(t)$ is a sol. to the sys.

c) Find the state-transition matrix $\Phi(t, \tau)$ for this sys.

$\Phi(t, \tau) \rightarrow$ fund. sol. to $\dot{x} = A(t)x$ & satisfies $\Phi(\tau, \tau) = I$

$$A(t) = \begin{bmatrix} -4/t & -2/t^2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{d\Phi(t)}{dt} = A(t) \cdot \Phi(t) \quad \text{w/ the cond. } \Phi(\tau, \tau) = I$$

From a & b we know that

$$\phi_1(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1/t^2 \\ -1/t \end{bmatrix} \quad \& \quad \phi_2(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 2/t^3 \\ -1/t^2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{are two linearly indep. sol.s to the sys.}$$

We can construct $\Phi(t)$ as:

$$\star \quad \Phi(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1/t^2 & 2/t^3 \\ -1/t & -1/t^2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{--- satisfies } \frac{d}{dt} \Phi(t) = A(t) \cdot \Phi(t)$$

However it doesn't necessarily satisfy $\Phi(\tau, \tau) = I$ for $\tau \neq t$.
To ensure this condition $\Phi(t)$ should be normalized s.t. $\Phi(\tau) = I$.
& for $\Phi(t, \tau)$ we need to solve the matrix IVP from τ to t w/ $\Phi(\tau, \tau) = I$
Requires integrating $A(t)$ from τ to t (may or not be feasible)

d) Find $\phi(t)$ w/ $x(1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\phi(t) = \Phi(t, 1) x(1)$$

* I've used MATLAB for approximate calculation

Q6 Consider the sys: $\dot{x}(t) = t \cdot x(t)$

Is it stable in the sense of Lyapunov?

Lyapunov \rightarrow for $\forall \epsilon > 0$, $\exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $\|x(0)\| < \delta$ ^(init. cond)

then for $\forall t > 0$, $\|x(t)\| < \epsilon$

$$\dot{x}(t) = t \cdot x(t)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} x = t \cdot x$$

$$\int \frac{1}{x} dx = \int t dt$$

$$\ln|x| = \frac{1}{2}t^2 + C \rightarrow x(t) = Ce^{\frac{1}{2}t^2}, \quad C: \text{integration const. det. by initial cond. } x(0)$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$ $\left[\begin{array}{l} \text{if } x(0) = 0 \rightarrow C = 0 \text{ \& solutions} = 0 \text{ (stable)} \\ \text{if } x(0) \neq 0 \rightarrow C \neq 0 \text{ \& } x(t) \text{ will grow w/o bound as } t \text{ increases} \\ \text{(bcs } e^{\frac{1}{2}t^2} \text{ increases rapidly w/ } t) \end{array} \right]$

Therefore, for $\forall \epsilon > 0$, no matter how small δ , there'll be t s.t. $\|x(t)\| \geq \epsilon$ due to the exponential term.

SYS Not stable in terms of Lyapunov

Q7. sys: $\dot{x} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_A x + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_B u$
 $y = \underbrace{[-1 \ 2]}_C x$

a) Find all eqm. sol.s x_e

x_e is a constant sol. where the sys's state doesn't change over time.

Occurs when the input (u) is s.t.

$$Ax_e + Bu = 0$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x_e + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$-2x_{e1} + u = 0 \rightarrow x_{e1} = \frac{u}{2}$$

$$0x_{e2} + u = 0$$

$$\hookrightarrow u = 0$$

this state is free

$$w/ u=0 \rightarrow Ax_e = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$-2x_{e1} = 0 \rightarrow x_{e1} = 0$$

$$\forall x_{e2}(\text{any})$$

$$\rightarrow x_e = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x_{e2} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } x_{e2} \text{ can be any real.}$$

b) For x_e , determine whether it's asymptotically stable.

Since $u=0$ @ eqm.

eigenvalues of $A = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\lambda_1 = -2$$

$$\lambda_2 = 0 \rightarrow \text{not asymptotically stable}$$

So $x_e = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x_{e2} \end{bmatrix}$ are ^{asy.} not asymptotically stable. (for stability: all eigenvalues must have negative real parts)

for all initial conditions close to x_e
 $\hookrightarrow x(t)$ remains close to x_e for $t \rightarrow \infty$ (Lyapunov)
 $\hookrightarrow x(t)$ converges to x_e as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for any init. cond

c) For x_e determine whether it's stable in the sense of Lyapunov.

the eigenvalues are

$$\lambda_1 = -2 \rightarrow \text{any perturbation in the } x_1 \text{ dir.}$$

$$\lambda_2 = 0 \rightarrow \text{will decay back to the eqm}$$

perturbations in the x_2 dir
 will not grow, but they'll also not decay

$$\text{So } x_e = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x_{e2} \end{bmatrix} \text{ are Lyapunov stable.}$$

4) Is the sys. BIBO stable?

transfer func. $H(s) \rightarrow$

$$Y(s) = H(s)U(s)$$

$$H(s) = C(sI - A)^{-1}B$$

$$= [-1 \ 2] \begin{bmatrix} s+2 & 0 \\ 0 & s \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= [-1 \ 2] \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{s+2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{s} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$H(s) = -\frac{1}{s+2} + \frac{2}{s}$$

poles @ $s = -2$ — in the left-half plane
 $s = 0$ — does not effect BIBO stability

on the imaginary axis - indicates a marginally stable sys. in the con of internal stability.

For BIBO \rightarrow a pole @ the origin is permissible as it corresponds to a const mode in the sys's response

Therefore, the sys is BIBO stable

(as long as the pole @ the origin does not correspond to an integrator)

c) Is the sys. controllable?

controllability matrix: $C = [B, AB, A^2B, \dots, A^{n-1}B]$ n : # of states
 $n = 2$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\left. \begin{matrix} A \\ B \end{matrix} \right\} C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow C \text{ has 2 rank, The sys. is controllable.}$$

f) Find $B \neq 0$ s.t. the sys. becomes uncontrollable.

find B s.t. C won't have full rank

One way to guarantee \rightarrow select B to be in the $N(A)$, i.e. any vector v in $N(A)$, $Av = 0$
 AB would be a zero vector \rightarrow lin. dep. w/ B .

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \text{since 2nd row is 0, any vector w/ a zero in the first component will be in } N(A)$$

$$(*) \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ b \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \text{Therefore this'll make the sys. uncontrollable}$$

$$\text{let's compute } AB = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \text{uncontrollable.}$$