N.I. Lobachevsky State University of Nizhni Novgorod

Probability theory and mathematical statistics:

Independent trials

Associate Professor A.V. Zorine

Independent trials

$$p(\omega_1) + p(\omega_2) + \ldots = 1.$$

Then $P(A) = \sum_{\omega \in A} p(\omega), A \subset \Omega$, is a probability.

Independent trials 2 / 26

$$p(\omega_1) + p(\omega_2) + \ldots = 1.$$

Then $P(A) = \sum_{\omega \in A} p(\omega), A \subset \Omega$, is a probability. 1. $P(\Omega) = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} p(\omega) = 1$.

Independent trials 2 / 26

$$p(\omega_1) + p(\omega_2) + \ldots = 1.$$

Then $P(A) = \sum_{\omega \in A} p(\omega), A \subset \Omega$, is a probability.

1.
$$P(\Omega) = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} p(\omega) = 1$$
.

2.
$$P(A) = \sum_{\omega \in A} p(\omega) \ge 0$$
.

Independent trials 2 / 26

$$p(\omega_1) + p(\omega_2) + \ldots = 1.$$

Then $P(A) = \sum_{\omega \in A} p(\omega), A \subset \Omega$, is a probability.

- 1. $P(\Omega) = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} p(\omega) = 1$.
- 2. $P(A) = \sum_{\omega \in A} p(\omega) \ge 0$.
- 3. Let A_1, A_2, \ldots be mutually exclusive events, then

$$P(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \ldots) = \sum_{\omega \in A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \ldots} p(\omega)$$
$$= \sum_{\omega \in A_1} p(\omega) + \sum_{\omega \in A_2} p(\omega) + \ldots$$
$$= P(A_1) + P(A_2) + \ldots$$

↓□▶ ↓□▶ ↓ □▶ ↓ □▶ ↓ □ ♥ ♀ ○

2/26

People frequently keep independence in mind when assigning $p(\omega)$ to an outcome ω .

Consider a sequence of n independent trials. In each trial one of mutually exclusive events E_1, E_2, \dots, E_r occurs. Put $p_k = P(E_k)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, r$.

Let's construct a probability model for this situation. Put

$$\Omega = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \colon x_1 \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}, \dots, x_n \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}\}$$

Here $x_1 = k$ if event E_k was observed in kth trial. To introduce independence to the model, put $p(\omega) = p_{x_1} p_{x_2} \cdots p_{x_n}$ when $\omega = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$.



Independent trials 3 / 26

Bernoulli trials

Let r=2, i.e. an event A occurs $(E_1=A)$ or does not occur $(E_2=\bar{A})$ in a single trial. It is useful here to introduce binary vectors $\omega=(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n), x_i\in\{0,1\}$ to describe outcomes. By p denote the probability of A in a single trial and define $p(\omega)=p^{x_1+\ldots+x_n}(1-p)^{n-(x_1+\ldots+x_n)}$. For example,

$$p((0,0,\ldots,0)) = (1-p)^{n},$$

$$p((1,1,\ldots,1)) = p^{n},$$

$$p((1,\underbrace{0,\ldots,0})) = p(1-p)^{n-1},$$

$$p((0,1,\underbrace{0,\ldots,0})) = p(1-p)^{n-1},$$

$$p((0,1,\underbrace{0,\ldots,0})) = p(1-p)^{n-1},$$

Independent trials 4 / 26

Examples of events in Bernoulli trials and their probabilities:

• What's the probability that *A* never happens?

$$P("A never happens") = P(\{(0,0,...,)\}) = (1-p)^n$$

• What's the probability that *A* happens at least once?

P("A happens at least once") =
$$1-P("A \text{ never happens"}) = 1-(1-p)^n$$

• What's the probability *A* happens exactly once?

$$P(\{(1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-1 \text{ zeros}}), (0, 1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-2 \text{ zeros}}), \dots, (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-1 \text{ zeros}}, 1)\}) = np(1-p)^{n-1}$$

Event A is usually referred to as a "success", event \bar{A} as "failure".

We have to prove now that our models does not lead to contradictions with initial problem statement.

1) The probability of A in a single trial should be equal to p. Let's compute this probability for the first trial:

$$P(\{(1, x_{2}, ..., x_{n}) : x_{2} \in \{0, 1\}, ..., x_{n} \in \{0, 1\}\}) =$$

$$= \sum_{x_{2}=0}^{1} ... \sum_{x_{n-1}=0}^{1} \sum_{x_{n}=0}^{1} p^{1+x_{2}+...+x_{n-1}+x_{n}} (1-p)^{n-(1+x_{2}+...+x_{n-1}+x_{n})}$$

$$= p \sum_{x_{2}=0}^{1} ... \sum_{x_{n-1}=0}^{1} \sum_{x_{n}=0}^{1} p^{x_{2}+...+x_{n-1}} (1-p)^{n-2-(x_{2}+...+x_{n-1})} p^{x_{n}} (1-p)^{1-x_{n}}$$

$$= p \sum_{x_{2}=0}^{1} ... \sum_{x_{n-1}=0}^{1} p^{x_{2}+...+x_{n-1}} (1-p)^{n-2-(x_{2}+...+x_{n-1})} (1-p+p)$$

$$= ... = p$$

4 日 5 4 例 5 4 图 5 4 图 5 图 6/26 2) Using the same technique we can show that

$$P(\{(1,0,x_3,\ldots,x_n):x_3\in\{0,1\},\ldots,x_n\in\{0,1\}\})=p(1-p)$$

This means that occurrences of *A* in the first and the second trials are independent:

$$P(\{(1,0,x_3,\ldots,x_n): x_3 \in \{0,1\},\ldots,x_n \in \{0,1\}\}) =$$

$$= P(\{(1,x_2,\ldots,x_n): x_3 \in \{0,1\},\ldots,x_n \in \{0,1\}\})$$

$$\times P(\{(x_1,0,x_3,\ldots,x_n): x_3 \in \{0,1\},\ldots,x_n \in \{0,1\}\}).$$

Similar results can be obtained for any on n trials.



7/26

Independent trials

Formula of Jacob Bernoulli

Theorem

The probability to observe event A exactly k times in n repeated (independent) trials equals

$$b(k; n, p) = C_n^k p^k (1 - p)^{n-k}$$

Numbers $\{b(k; n, p): k = 0, 1, ..., n\}$ are called *binomial probabilities*.

Independent trials 8 / 26

Formula of Jacob Bernoulli

Theorem

The probability to observe event A exactly k times in n repeated (independent) trials equals

$$b(k; n, p) = C_n^k p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$$

Numbers $\{b(k; n, p): k = 0, 1, ..., n\}$ are called *binomial probabilities*.

Proof. The number of favorable outcomes equals to the number of ways to place exactly k 1's into n places, which is C_n^k . Each favorable outcome ω' is assigned the number $p(\omega') = p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$. So

$$\sum_{\omega': x_1 + \dots + x_n = k} p(\omega') = C_n^k p^k (1 - p)^{n - k}.$$

Independent trials 8/26

Usage of Bernoulli's formula

Often this formula is used without constructing specific probability model!

Example. A die is rolled 5 times. What is the probability to have 6 points twice?

Solution. The probability to have 6 points in one roll is $\frac{1}{6}$ and rolls are made independently. Thus the probability is $b(2; 5, \frac{1}{6})$ which equals

$$C_5^2 \left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{6}\right)^{5-2} = \frac{3125}{23328} \approx 0,133959106721536...$$

Independent trials 9 / 20

Problem. How many throws of two dice is it enough for a pair of \blacksquare appears at least once with probability $\ge \frac{1}{2}$? **Solution.** Here $p = (1/36)^2$, n is unknown. The probability to have

two \square 's in n throws is

$$1 - \left(\frac{35}{36}\right)^n$$

Find the smallest *n* such that

$$1 - \left(\frac{35}{36}\right)^n \geqslant \frac{1}{2}.$$

By trial-and-error (or taking logarithms), n = 25.

Independent trials 10 / 26

A power supply problem

Suppose that n = 10 workers are to use electric power intermittently, and we are interested in estimating the total load to be expected. Assume that each worker has the same probability p of requiring a unit of power. If they work independently, the probability of exactly k workers requiring power at the same time should be b(k; n, p). If, on the average, a worker uses power for 12 minutes per hour, we should put $p = \frac{1}{5}$. The probability of seven or more workers requiring current at the same time is then

$$b(7; 10, 0,2) + \ldots + b(10; 10, 0,2) = 0,00086\ldots$$

If the supply is adjusted to six power units, an overload has probability 0,00086... and should be expected about one minute in twenty hours.

> Independent trials 11/26

Problem. The probability that an article is defective equals 0,005. What is the probability that among 10000 randomly sampled articles a) exactly 40 articles are defective? b) at most 70 articles are defective?

Solution. Here n = 10000, p = 0.005.

- a) $b(40; 10000, 0,005) = C_{100000}^{40} \cdot 0,005^{40} \cdot 0,995^{9960} = 0,021435...$
- b) There could be 0, 1, ..., 70 defective articles:

$$Q(70;10000,0,005) = \sum_{k=0}^{70} b(k;n,p) =$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{70} C_{100000}^{k} \cdot 0,005^{k} \cdot 0,995^{10000-k} = 0,997098...$$

12 / 26

Independent trials

Let's study b(k; n, p) as a function of k. Since

$$\begin{split} R(k;n,p) &= \frac{b(k;n,p)}{b(k-1;n,p)} = \frac{C_n^k p^k (1-p)^{n-k}}{C_n^{k-1} p^{k-1} (1-) p^{n-k+1}} = \\ &= \frac{p}{1-p} \cdot \frac{n!(k-1)!(n-k+1)!}{k!(n-k)!n!} = \frac{p}{1-p} \cdot \frac{n-k+1}{k} = \\ &= \frac{p}{1-p} \Big(\frac{n+1}{k} - 1 \Big). \end{split}$$

Obviously R(k; n, p) is decreasing as k increases.

13 / 26

Independent trials

Solving inequation

$$\frac{p}{1-p}\cdot\frac{n-k+1}{k}>1$$

for k obtain

$$k < (n+1)p$$

So R(k; n, p) < 1 when $\frac{k}{n+1} < p$ and R(k; n, p) < 1 when $\frac{k}{n+1} > p$. It means that probabilities b(k; n, p) increase until $k \ge p(n+1)$ and then decrease.

Independent trials 14 / 26

Consider an example with $p = \frac{1}{6}$:

$$k$$
 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 $b(k;4,\frac{1}{6})$ 0,4823 0,3858 0,1157 0,0154 0,0008 $b(k;5,\frac{1}{6})$ 0,4019 0,4019 0,1608 0,0322 0,0032 0,0001 $b(k;10,\frac{1}{10})$ 0,1615 0,3230 0,2907 0,1550 0,0543 0,0130 0,0022

For n = 4 we have p(n + 1) < 1 and all probabilities are decreasing. For n = 5 p(n + 1) is a whole number so there are two values k = 0 and k = 1 with the greatest probability.

□ ト 4 回 ト 4 直 ト 4 直 ト 9 へ ○

Computation using spreadsheets

Numbers R(k; n, p) give the means to compute binomial probabilities without using factorials.

	Α	В	O		
1	p=	0,4			
2	n=	10			
3	k	R(k;n,p)	b(k;n,p)		
4	0		=POWER(1-B\$1;B\$2)		
5	1	=B\$1*(B\$2-A5+1)/(1-B\$1)/A5	=C4*B5		
6	2	=B\$1*(B\$2-A6+1)/(1-B\$1)/A6	=C5*B6		
7	3	=B\$1*(B\$2-A7+1)/(1-B\$1)/A7	=C6*B7		
8	4	=B\$1*(B\$2-A8+1)/(1-B\$1)/A8	=C7*B8		
9	5	=B\$1*(B\$2-A9+1)/(1-B\$1)/A9	=C8*B9		
10	6	=B\$1*(B\$2-A10+1)/(1-B\$1)/A10	=C9*B10		
11	7	=B\$1*(B\$2-A11+1)/(1-B\$1)/A11	=C10*B11		
12	8	=B\$1*(B\$2-A12+1)/(1-B\$1)/A12	=C11*B12		
13	9	=B\$1*(B\$2-A13+1)/(1-B\$1)/A13	=C12*B13		
14	10	=B\$1*(B\$2-A14+1)/(1-B\$1)/A14	=C13*B14		
15		Total	=SUM(C4:C14)		
16			Ì		
17					

Independent trials 16 / 26

Computation using spreadsheets

Numbers R(k; n, p) give the means to compute binomial probabilities without using factorials.

	Α	В	С	D
1	p=	0,4		
2	n=	10	L	
3	k	R(k;n,p)	b(k;n,p)	
4	0		0,00605	
5	1	6,66667	0,04031	
6	2	3,00000	0,12093	
7	3	1,77778	0,21499	
8	4	1,16667	0,25082	
9	5	0,80000	0,20066	
10	6	0,55556	0,11148	
11	7	0,38095	0,04247	
12	8	0,25000	0,01062	
13	9	0,14815	0,00157	
14	10	0,06667	0,00010	
15		Total	1,00000	
16				
17				

16 / 26

Now we can estimate sums

$$Q(k; n, r) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} b(j; n, p)$$

Here Q(k; n, r) equals to the probability to have at most k successes (occurrences of event A). First notice that

$$b(k-1;n,p) = \frac{b(k;n,p)}{R(k;n,p)},$$

$$b(k-2;n,p) = \frac{b(k-1;n,p)}{R(k-1;n,p)} = \frac{b(k;n,p)}{R(k;n,p)R(k-1;n,p)},$$

and so on.

◆□▶◆□▶◆□▶◆□▶ □ 900

Thus

$$\begin{split} Q(k;n,p) &= b(k;n,p) \left(1 + \frac{1}{R(k;n,p)} + \frac{1}{R(k;n,p)R(k-1;n,p)} + \ldots \right) \\ &\leqslant b(k;n,p) \left(1 + \frac{1}{R(k;n,p)} + \frac{1}{R(k;n,p)R(k;n,p)} + \ldots \right) \\ &\leqslant b(k;n,p) \frac{R(k;n,p)}{R(k;n,p)-1} = b(k;n,p) \frac{(n-k+1)p}{(n+1)p-k}. \end{split}$$

This estimate is quite accurate when k and n are large and k/(pr) is not close to 1.

18 / 26

Independent trials

For example, let n = 30, p = 0.7, k = 16.

$$Q(k; n, p) = 0,04005254768213134,$$

$$b(k; n, p) = 0,02311523618958177,$$

$$\frac{(n+1-k)p}{(n+1)p-k} = 1,842105263157895$$

$$b(k; n, p) \frac{(n+1-k)p}{(n+1)p-k} = 0,04258069824396642$$

with 15 decimal places.

Independent trials 19 / 26

Multinomial trials

In case of $r \ge 2$ mutually exclusive outcomes E_1, E_2, \dots, E_r , $p_k = P(E_k), p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_r = 1$,

$$\Omega = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \colon x_1 \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}, \dots, x_n \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}\},\$$

$$p(\omega) = p_{x_1}p_{x_2}\cdots p_{x_n}, \quad \omega = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

Similar to the Bernoulli trials case it can be proved, that

- 1) The probability of event E_k in a single trial equals still p_k ,
- 2) Outcomes of different trials are independent.

□ト 4回ト 4 差ト 4 差ト 差 りなぐ

20 / 26

Theorem

The probability of n_1 occurrences of E_1 , n_2 occurrences of E_2 , ..., n_r occurrences of E_r in n independent trials, $n_1 + n_2 + ... + n_r = n$, equals

$$\text{multi}(n_1, \dots, n_r; n, p_1, \dots, p_r) = \frac{n!}{n_1! n_2! \cdots n_r!} p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} \cdots p_r^{n_r}$$

Probabilities multi $(n_1, \ldots, n_r; n, p_1, \ldots, p_r)$ are called *multinomial probabilities*.

Independent trials 21 / 26

Example. Three dice are rolled. What is the probability that the product of numbers shown on each die is 20?

Independent trials 22 / 26

Example. Three dice are rolled. What is the probability that the product of numbers shown on each die is 20?

$$20 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 5 = 1 \cdot 4 \cdot 5$$

Now, for $n_2 = 2$, $n_5 = 1$,

$$multi\Big(0,2,0,0,1,0;3,\frac{1}{6},\frac{1}{6},\frac{1}{6},\frac{1}{6},\frac{1}{6},\frac{1}{6}\Big) = \frac{3!}{2!1!(0!)^4} \cdot 16^3 = \frac{3}{216},$$

and for $n_1 = n_4 = n_5 = 1$

$$\text{multi}\Big(1,0,0,1,1,0;3,\frac{1}{6},\frac{1}{6},\frac{1}{6},\frac{1}{6},\frac{1}{6},\frac{1}{6}\Big) = \frac{3!}{(1!)^3(0!)^3} \cdot 16^3 = \frac{6}{216}.$$

The probability in question is $\frac{3}{216} + \frac{6}{216} = \frac{1}{24}$.

Independent trials 22 / 2

Many interesting problems involve infinite series of independent trials, for example ruin of gamesters, problem of runs, etc. We'll get focused on Bernoulli trials here. Denote by p_1 the probability of success, by $p_0 = 1 - p_1$ the probability of failure. Put

$$\Omega = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots) : x_k \in \{0, 1\}, \ k = 1, 2, \dots\}$$

Keeping independence in mind, we have to assign zero probability to any elementary outcome:

$$P(\{(0,0,\ldots,0,\ldots)\}) = p_0 p_0 \cdots p_0 \cdots = 0.$$

$$P(\{(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n,\ldots)\}) = p_{x_1} p_{x_2} \cdots p_{x_n} \cdots = 0.$$

Independent trials 23 / 26

Consider events

$$A_{\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2,\ldots,\varepsilon_n}^{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_n} = \{(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n,\ldots) \colon x_{i_1} = \varepsilon_1, x_{i_2} = \varepsilon_2,\ldots,x_{i_n} = \varepsilon_n\}.$$
(1)

Event $A^{i_1,i_2,\dots,i_n}_{\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2,\dots,\varepsilon_n}$ is called cylindrical. For independent trials,

$$P(A_{\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2,\ldots,\varepsilon_n}^{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_n}) = p_{\varepsilon_1}p_{\varepsilon_2}\ldots p_{\varepsilon_n}.$$
 (2)

Formula (2) defines a unique probability for any event which can be expressed using finite or denumerable number of intersections, unions, etc of events (1).

Independent trials 24 / 26

Geometric interpretation of infinite series of trials

As it was noticed in 1920's, any infinite sequence of successes and failures can be represented with a point in a unite segment [0, 1]. In fact, any point $x \in [0, 1]$ has its binary expansion

$$x = 0, x_1 x_2 \dots x_n \dots, x_k \in \{0, 1\}, \ k = 1, 2, \dots$$

In this way the probability of an event is a sort of a measure of a point set in the unit segment. It became possible to treat different question in real analysis in a probabilistic way, using notion of independence in particular.

Independent trials 25 / 26

Uniform distribution and symmetric Bernoulli trials

Suppose a random point x is selected in a unit segment [0,1]. The probability $P(x \in [\alpha, \beta])$ that the point belongs to a segment $[\alpha, \beta]$, $0 \le \alpha \le \beta \le 1$ equals $\lambda([\alpha, \beta]) = \beta - \alpha$, the length of the segment $[\alpha, \beta]$.

Consider binary expansion

$$x = 0, x_1 x_2 \dots x_n \dots, x_k \in \{0, 1\}, k = 1, 2, \dots$$

One has

$$P(x_1 = 0) = P(0 \le x \le 0.5) = \lambda([0, 0.5]) = 0.5,$$

and the same is true for any digit x_k : $P(x_k = 0) = 0.5$. Moreover, any digits $x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_k}$ are independent.