

# Ch 6.1, 6.2, 6.5: LU Factorization, part 1 (basics)

Saturday, December 6, 2025 7:13 PM

Should be a review of what students saw in APPM 3310

LU factorization is how we actually do Gaussian Elimination on a computer

This is ch. 6.5 in Burden and Faires on Matrix Factorization

LU is an example of a matrix factorization

means writing your matrix "A"

as the product of other matrices which have special properties

Ex of matrix factorizations

→ LU <sup>ch. 6.5</sup>  $A = L \cdot U$  L is Lower triangular, U is Upper triangular  
Covered in our class

→ Eigenvalue <sup>ch. 9</sup>  $A = V \cdot D \cdot V^{-1}$  V is invertible, D is diagonal (only for square matrices)

"SVD" → end of ch. 9. We don't normally cover, but the factorization is super useful  
Singular Value  $A = V \cdot \Sigma \cdot U^T$  V, U are orthogonal ( $V^T \cdot V = I$  ...),  $\Sigma$  is a diagonal matrix w/ nonzero entries

→ QR  $A = Q \cdot R$  Q is orthogonal, R is upper triangular  
(like Gram-Schmidt)

Schur, Polar not as common (though Schur is a useful intermediate step for eigenvalues)

LDL<sup>T</sup>, Cholesky variants of LU that we'll talk about briefly

LU decomposition to solve a linear system of equations

Want to solve  $A \vec{x} = \vec{b}$ , suppose we can write  $A = L \cdot U$   
 $n \times n$  (square) lower triag! upper triag!

so solve  $L \underbrace{U \vec{x}}_{\vec{y}} = \vec{b}$

Let  $\vec{y} = U \vec{x}$

$$\boxed{A} = \boxed{L} \cdot \boxed{U}$$

① Solve  $L \vec{y} = \vec{b}$

This is "easy" ( $O(n^2)$  flops not  $O(n^3)$ ) since L is Lower Triangular

"Forward Substitution"

3x3 example:

$$\begin{bmatrix} L_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ L_{21} & L_{22} & 0 \\ L_{31} & L_{32} & L_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

1)  $L_{11} y_1 = b_1$  so  $y_1 = b_1 / L_{11}$

2)  $L_{21} y_1 + L_{22} y_2 = b_2$  so  $y_2 = (b_2 - L_{21} y_1) / L_{22}$   
known! unknown

3)  $L_{31} y_1 + L_{32} y_2 + L_{33} y_3 = b_3$   
known known unknown

(2) Solve  $U\vec{x} = \vec{y}$ Also "easy" ( $O(n^2)$ ) since  $U$  is Upper triangular**"Back substitution"**

$$\begin{bmatrix} U_{11} & U_{12} & U_{13} \\ 0 & U_{22} & U_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & U_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

3)  $U_{33} x_3 = y_3$

2)  $U_{22} x_2 + U_{23} x_3 = y_2$

1)  $U_{11} x_1 + U_{12} x_2 + U_{13} x_3 = y_1$

\* TRIANGULAR SYSTEMS OF EQUATIONS ARE EASY TO SOLVE  
**IF YOU SOLVE THEM IN THE RIGHT ORDER**

Interlude: block matrix multiplication

$$\text{Ex. } \begin{array}{c} \uparrow 2 \\ 4 \\ \downarrow 2 \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & & \\ m & m & -4 \\ m & m & m \\ m & m & m \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} & F \\ & G \\ & \vec{x} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} BF + CG \\ DF + EG \end{bmatrix}$$

Any size blocks work (as long as they're all compatible)

So, if  $A$  is square,  
and we want to  
keep the 1st block of  
 $A\vec{x}$  the same as  $\vec{x}$ ,  
meaning  $F = BF + CG$   
then choose  $B = I$   
 $C = 0$

## Finding the LU decomposition

We'll do Gaussian elimination, and it'll cost  $O(n^3)$  flops,  
so this is the expensive part**"Gaussian Elimination = LU"**

$$A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{bmatrix} \quad E_2 \leftarrow E_2 - \frac{a_{21}}{a_{11}} \cdot E_1$$

$$E_3 \leftarrow E_3 - \frac{a_{31}}{a_{11}} \cdot E_1$$

 $E_i = i^{\text{th}}$  row

to get  $\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & | & b_1 \\ 0 & m & m & | & m \\ 0 & m & m & | & m \end{bmatrix}$

we "zeroed out" 1st column

just means "something"

Connect this step to matrix multiplication

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\alpha_2 & 1 & 0 \\ -\alpha_3 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ -\alpha_2 a_1 + a_2 \\ -\alpha_3 a_1 + a_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Recall

$$A \cdot [\vec{y}_1, \vec{y}_2, \vec{y}_3] = [A\vec{y}_1, A\vec{y}_2, A\vec{y}_3]$$

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\alpha_2 & 1 & 0 \\ -\alpha_3 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{M^{(1)}} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ 0 & \text{m} & \text{m} \\ 0 & \text{m} & \text{m} \end{bmatrix}$$

So that 1<sup>st</sup> step of Gaussian Elimination was equivalent to

$$M^{(1)} A \vec{x} = M^{(1)} \vec{b}$$

Now we do more steps, but ignore 1<sup>st</sup> row

$$\text{so } M^{(2)} = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & =I \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & =0 \\ 0 & m & 1 & \end{array} \right]$$

this has the block structure  
we just talked about in the  
interlude

$$M^{(2)} M^{(1)} A \vec{x} = M^{(2)} M^{(1)} \vec{b}$$

For a  $n \times n$  matrix, end up with

$$\underbrace{M^{(n-1)} M^{(n-2)} \dots M^{(1)}}_L A \vec{x} = M^{(n-1)} M^{(n-2)} \dots M^{(1)} \vec{b}$$

$\xrightarrow{U}$

we know that via Gaussian elimination, we get

$$\left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} \text{m} & \text{m} & \text{m} & \text{m} \\ 0 & \text{m} & \text{m} & \text{m} \\ 0 & 0 & \text{m} & \text{m} \end{array} \right]$$

upper triangle  $\xrightarrow{U}$

$\xrightarrow{L^{-1}} \vec{b}$

$\text{m}$  just means  
some number  
I don't want  
to give a  
variable name

i.e.  $\tilde{L} A = U$ , so  $A = LU$  if  $L := \tilde{L}^{-1}$

$U$  is upper triangular (via design of Gaussian elimination)

but is  $L$  really Lower triangular?

1) note  $M^{(i)}$  are lower triangular. Is the product of two lower triangular matrices also lower triangular?

Yes!

$$\begin{matrix} L^{(1)} & \end{matrix} = \begin{matrix} L^{(1)} \\ L^{(2)} \end{matrix}$$

$$(L^{(1)} L^{(2)})_{ij} = \begin{matrix} \cancel{0} \\ 0 \end{matrix} = 0 \text{ if } j > i$$

So,  $\underbrace{M^{(3)}}_{\text{lower triang.}} \underbrace{M^{(2)} M^{(1)}}_{\text{lower triang.}}$  is also lower triangular!

etc.  $\Rightarrow \tilde{L} = M^{(n-1)} M^{(n-2)} \dots M^{(2)} M^{(1)}$  is lower triangular

2) if  $\tilde{L}$  is lower triangular, is its inverse  $L := \tilde{L}^{-1}$  also lower triangular?

yes! the inverse is the solution  $X$  to the equation  $\tilde{L}X = I$

then the  $j^{\text{th}}$  column of  $X$  (i.e., of  $L$ ) solves

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cccc} \tilde{L}_{11} & \tilde{L}_{12} & \dots & \tilde{L}_{1n} \\ \tilde{L}_{21} & \tilde{L}_{22} & \dots & \tilde{L}_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \tilde{L}_{n1} & \tilde{L}_{n2} & \dots & \tilde{L}_{nn} \end{array} \right] \begin{bmatrix} x_{1j} \\ x_{2j} \\ \vdots \\ x_{nj} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{jth spot}$$

so by forward substitution,

$$\tilde{L}_{11} x_{1j} = 0 \Rightarrow x_{1j} = 0$$

etc.

until we get to  $x_{jj}$

So...

Gaussian elimination gave us  $\tilde{L}\vec{A} = \vec{U}$  which we

can use to solve  $(\tilde{L}\vec{A}\vec{x} = \vec{U}\vec{x}) = \tilde{L}\vec{b}$  (i.e. multiply  $\vec{A}\vec{x} = \vec{b}$  by

or, similarly,  $L := \tilde{L}^{-1}$   $\tilde{L}$  on the left)

$A = LU$  and solve as we did earlier