

# Summary of “Universal Statistical Simulator”

## Introduction

The work by Carney and Varcoe (2022) presents a *Quantum Galton Board* (QGB)—a quantum circuit analogue of the classical Galton board that demonstrates exponential speedup in generating statistical distributions. The approach uses only three types of quantum gates (Hadamard, X, and controlled-SWAP/Fredkin), allowing a transparent and intuitive demonstration without deep complexity theory arguments.

Beyond reproducing the binomial/normal distribution of a classical Galton board, the authors show that by removing pegs or adjusting their “left-right” probabilities, the QGB can serve as a *universal statistical simulator*. Potential applications span complex system simulation, random walks on graphs, machine learning, stock price modeling, cryptographic primitives, and sampling/search problems.

## Classical Galton Board

A classical Galton board has  $n$  rows of pegs. Each ball falls left or right at each peg with probability  $p$  and  $q = 1 - p$ . For  $p = q = 0.5$ , the distribution of outcomes over the  $n + 1$  output bins follows the binomial law

$$P(k) = \binom{n}{k} \frac{1}{2^n},$$

which approaches the normal distribution for large  $n$  (De Moivre–Laplace theorem).

## Quantum Galton Board Construction

The QGB models each physical peg as a *quantum peg module*:

- Qubits  $q_1, q_2, q_3$  are “working” qubits;  $q_0$  is a control qubit.
- All are initialized to  $|0\rangle$ ; an  $X$  gate on  $q_2$  represents the incoming “ball”.
- A Hadamard gate on  $q_0$  creates superposition (quantum coin toss).
- Controlled-SWAP operations route the “ball” between paths, analogous to going left or right.
- Measurement outputs correspond to the ball’s final position.

Cascading these modules builds multi-level QGB circuits. For  $n$  output bits,  $n$  ancilla qubits are needed; each “peg” requires at most 4 gates.

## Features and Scaling

Compared to prior work, the proposed QGB:

- Has shallower circuit depth ( $\sim$ half in examples), reducing error.
- Outputs states with a single ‘1’ bit per run (post-processing required to map to bin indices).
- Allows bias control by replacing  $H$  with  $R_x(\theta)$ , enabling skewed distributions.

## Experimental Results

Simulations (local and IBM-QX) for a 4-level QGB produce distributions matching the expected normal curve after post-processing. On real NISQ hardware, noise significantly affects fidelity due to non-native controlled-SWAP decompositions inflating gate count. The biased QGB demonstrates tunable output skew both in simulation and hardware runs.

## Biased and Fine-Grained QGB

Bias is introduced by  $R_x(\theta)$  in place of  $H$ , giving left/right outcome probabilities  $\cos^2(\theta/2)$  and  $\sin^2(\theta/2)$ . The authors extend this to fine-grained bias control per peg, allowing arbitrary per-level or per-peg distributions.

## Conclusion

The QGB offers an intuitive, modular, and gate-efficient approach to simulating statistical distributions on a quantum processor. While hardware noise limits near-term scalability, the method demonstrates the potential of quantum circuits to:

- Efficiently generate normal and biased distributions.
- Serve as a universal statistical simulator.
- Illustrate quantum speedups in a physically intuitive way.

In NISQ-era devices, the QGB also provides a platform for exploring quantum randomness in statistical simulation and sampling tasks.