

Stat 6021: Peer Evaluation

Peer evaluation written by Rachel Holman (Group Number 15)

1 Group Evaluation

In general, I thought the group worked well as a whole! Members were generally respectful of each other, were open to sharing ideas, and it was always clear what the assigned tasks were for each member. We effectively distributed work and maintained a good timeline so that we were able to complete, edit, and review the report by Friday (two days before the assignment was due). I think we handled all differences of opinion with grace and in the future I hope to recreate this effective work environment for all groups I work with.

2 Self Evaluation

- **Overall contributions: score of 3.**
 - My contributions were that I coded and produced the majority of the visualizations, I set up a full template for the final report, I wrote the data description section along with the modeling and assumption-addressing introduction sections. I combined all of the team's code into one well organized .rmd file and I proofread and edited the final report. Finally, I came ready with ideas for every meeting!
- **Team role fulfillment: score of 2.**
 - I was generally a good and active participant during our meetings and completed the work that was assigned to me.
- **Cooperation and communication: score of 2.**
 - I made a conscious effort to listen to the opinions of others, and really think about their suggestions. I was also comfortable reviewing the work of others and provided constructive feedback to their work.
- **Focus: score of 2.**
 - I can be counted upon to complete my task.
- **Accuracy of work: score of 2.**
 - My work was generally complete, met all the requirements of the task, and was completed on time if not early.

3 Peer Evaluation

3.1 Group Member: Hayden French

- **Overall contributions: score of 2.**
 - Did his fair share of work. Worked on doing all the variable transformations for the linear model and wrote the SLR, summary of findings, and conclusion sections.

- **Team role fulfillment: score of 2.**
 - Generally a good participant in our meetings and did most of the assigned work.
- **Cooperation and communication: score of 2.**
 - Good listener and supported other group members by providing valuable feedback.
- **Focus: score of 2.**
 - Can be counted upon to complete work without prodding.
- **Accuracy of work: score of 2.**
 - Work was generally complete and met the requirements, and done on time!
- **General comments:**
 - I would be very happy to work with Hayden again! He made for a wonderful teammate!

3.2 Group Member: Addison Gambhr

- **Overall contributions: score of 2.**
 - Did his fair share of work. Worked with me on the data visualization section, he interpreted many of the visuals in the report.
- **Team role fulfillment: score of 2.**
 - Generally a good participant in our meetings and did most of the assigned work.
- **Cooperation and communication: score of 2.**
 - Good listener and supported other group members by providing valuable feedback.
- **Focus: score of 2.**
 - Can be counted upon to complete work without prodding.
- **Accuracy of work: score of 2.**
 - Work was generally complete and met the requirements, and done on time.
- **General comments:**
 - I wish Addison took more initiative and contributed more to the report and to group meetings, but overall he was a good teammate.

3.3 Group Member: Isha Thukral

- **Overall contributions: score of 2.**
 - Did her fair share of work. Worked with me on the data visualization section, wrote the section on how diamond features relate to one another, and reviewed and edited the 3rd claim visualization interpretations,
- **Team role fulfillment: score of 2.**
 - Generally a good participant in our meetings and did most of the assigned work. Always came to meetings with ideas and energy!
- **Cooperation and communication: score of 2.**
 - Good listener and supported other group members by providing valuable feedback.
- **Focus: score of 2.**
 - Can be counted upon to complete work without prodding.
- **Accuracy of work: score of 2.**
 - Work is generally complete and met the requirements, and done on time.
- **General comments:**
 - I would be very happy to work with Isha again! She is a hard worker with great ideas!