name	label	choice1	choice2	choice3	choice4	choice5	choice6	choice7	choice8
note_MI	## Indicator								
MI_ShortName	Short name of the indicator								
MI_Description	Longer description of the indicator (please give enough detail to understand how the indicator is assessed)								
MI_Dimension	What latent dimension(s) of research is it supposed to measure in your use case?	methodologic al rigor	scientific impact	societal impact	productivity / quantify	don't know	Other (add below)		
MI_Dimension_Other	Other: (optional)								
MI_ObjectiveMeasure	Is it objectively measurable? (i.e., would any rater arrive at tl	Objectively / with very high interrater agreement	Subjective rating scale with clearly defined options	Mostly subjective					
MI_AssessmentTarget	To which target of assessment is the indicator applied? <details> <summary> <i>More information (click)</i> </summary> Often evaluations of lower level units are aggregated to higher units (e.g., citation counts of papers are aggregated on the person level). Here we ask, to which unit an indicator is applied to. For example, are you looking for the best poster at a conference (unit of assessment: single research output), or at the most productive researcher (unit of assessment: researcher; even if the input to this evaluation is based on their papers).</details>	Publications (in a broad sense; including papers, preprints, books, chapters, manuals,)	Data sets	Research Software	Researchers (persons)	Larger units of people (i.e., teams, departments, entire institutions)	Other (add belo	w)	
MI_AssessmentTarget_Oth									
er MI_Purpose	Other: (optional) For which purpose is that indicator (primarily) used in your in	Academic grad	ι Academic hirin	Job promotion to a higher g payment level	payments and	Awards	Funding decisions	General performance evaluation	Other (add below
MI_Purpose_Other	Other: (optional)								, , , , , , ,
MI_Regulations	For all purposes that you selected in the previous question: Are there mandatory regulations or policies for using this indicator?	Yes	No	don't know					
MI_Regulations_Policy	Please specify the level of the policy (country, institution,):								
	If possible: Please provide a link to the document.								

Is a certain performance on this indicator **essential** (i. e., a certain number, such as "at least 10 published papers" *must* be reached) or **desirable**? essential desirable don't know In an **informal** way (e.g., committee members are counting publications,	me	label	choice1	choice2	choice3	choice4	choice5	choice6	choice7	choice8
Automatic retrieval (e.g. from a database or manual/huma n processing manual MI_Retrieve How is the information retrived in the assessment exercise? API) How strongly is that indicator weighted compared to other used indicators? How important is it? Is a certain performance on this indicator **essential** (i. e., a certain number, such as "at least 10 published papers" MI_Essential Automatic retrieval (e.g. from a manual/huma n processing manual odon't know more important as other indicators indicators indicators don't know MI_Weight used indicators? How important is it? Is a certain performance on this indicator **essential** (i. e., a certain number, such as "at least 10 published papers" MI_Essential A mixture of automatic and more important as other indicators odon't know More important to other indicators indicators In an **informal** way (e.g., committee members are counting publications,	_Provider		measures the indicator, based on openly available	underlying information for the indicator is openly available, but prepared by a service	information is not openly available, we buy it from a commercial	Other (add below	_λ don't know			
MI_Retrieve How is the information retrived in the assessment exercise? API) Needs manual/huma manual/ more manual/huma more important as other used indicators? How important is it? Is a certain performance on this indicator **essential** (i. e., a certain number, such as "at least 10 published papers" **must** be reached) or **desirable**? MI_Essential Retrieval (e.g. from a database or manual/huma n processing manual of n processing manual more important as other indicators other indicators of the indi	_Provider_Other	Other: (optional)								
How strongly is that indicator weighted compared to other used indicators? How important is it? Is a certain performance on this indicator **essential** (i. e., a certain number, such as "at least 10 published papers" MI_Essential *must* be reached) or **desirable**? Is a certain number, such as "at least 10 published papers" *must* be reached) or **desirable**? In an **informal** way (e.g., committee members are counting publications,	_Retrieve	How is the information retrived in the assessment exercise?	retrieval (e.g. from a database or	manual/huma	automatic and					
e., a certain number, such as "at least 10 published papers" *must* be reached) or **desirable**? In an **informal** way (e.g., committee members are counting publications,	_Weight		than other	important as other	important than other	don't know				
informal way (e.g., committee members are counting publications,	_Essential	e., a certain number, such as "at least 10 published papers"	essential	desirable	don't know					
Is that indicator primarily used in an **formal/explicit** way (e.g., as part of an official policy), or rather way (**informally/implicit**? **sformalized/ standardized* "official" indicator)	_Use	way (e.g., as part of an official policy), or rather **informally/implicit**?	standardized*	**informal** way (e.g., committee members are counting publications, although this is not an "official"						
In your personal opinion: Is that indicator **valid** for its purpose? (Note: This is about the personal opinion of the person filling out the survey). Validity In your personal opinion: Is that indicator **valid** for its to some To some workly valid extent valid not valid	_Validity	purpose? (Note: This is about the personal opinion of the	valid	mostly valid		not valid				
General comment: What are potential **downsides or biases** of that indicator? What are **positive** aspects? MI_GeneralComment Any other comments? (optional)		General comment: What are potential **downsides or biases** of that indicator? What are **positive** aspects?								
submit_MI Continue to next page	mit_MI	Continue to next page								